

AGENDA
PLANNING COMMISSION
of the
CITY OF HANFORD

COUNCIL CHAMBERS
400 N. Douty Street
5:30 p.m., Tuesday, October 12, 2021

Planning Commissioners will meet in person in the Council Chambers.
The meeting will also be livestreamed on the City's website:
<http://livestream.hanford.city/>

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

INVOCATION

FLAG SALUTE

PUBLIC COMMENT

*This is the time for citizens to comment on subject matters not on the agenda and that are within the jurisdiction of the Commission. This is also the public's opportunity to request an item from the Consent Calendar be pulled for discussion purposes or to comment on any item on the agenda. Comments related to Public Hearing items will be heard at the time the item is discussed. A maximum of **three minutes** is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and providing your city of residence.*

CONSENT CALENDAR

Consent Calendar items are considered routine and will be enacted in one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these matters unless a request is made and then the item will be removed from the Consent Calendar to be discussed and voted upon by a separate motion.

Approval of the Minutes of the September 28, 2021 Meeting

PUBLIC HEARING

1. **Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07, a request to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The project is located at 103 W. Grangeville Boulevard (APN 010-023-004).**
2. **Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01, a request to subdivide a 19,290 sq. ft. (0.44 acres) parcel into two 9,645 sq. ft. (0.22 acres) parcels for single-family residential use in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The property is located at 811 W. Seventh St. (APN 012-271-003).**
3. **Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01: A request by Complete Wireless Consulting to construct a 99-foot-tall monopole for AT&T with associated antennas and ground and tower equipment in the C-S Service Commercial zone district. Variance No. 2021-04: A request to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code: a) Section 17.20.130 B., in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF zone; b) Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter of the lease area; and c) Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue. The project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032).**
4. **Annexation No. 160, Part 7: A proposal to annex approximately 20.50+/- acres into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction. Prezone No. 2021-07: A proposal to prezone Annexation 160 Area 7 as R-L-12 Low-Density Residential, 12,000 sq. ft. minimum, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area – Low-Density Residential. The project is generally located along Kings Road, north of Grangeville Boulevard, between 11th and 12th Avenues (APN 008-510-001 through-018, and 008-520-001 through -018).**
5. **Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01: a request by the City of Hanford to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding sections in order to: 1. Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 2. Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 3. Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts; and 4. Add “Laboratory; general, medical, or dental” as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 5. Add “Food catering” as a permitted use in C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district.**

DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

COMMISSIONER'S ITEMS OF INTEREST

ADJOURNMENT

Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Planning Commission after distribution of the agenda packet are available to public inspection in the Planning Division Office located at 317 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California 93230, during normal business hours. Such documents are also available at the city's website, www.cityofhanfordca.com subject to staff's ability to post documents before the meeting.

If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in this meeting, please contact the Planning Division's office, 559-585-2580, 317 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California 93230, at least 2 days prior to the meeting {28 CFR 35.102.35.104 ADA Title II}

APPEALS:

Any action of the Planning Commission, which is a final project decision, may be appealed to the City Council by the applicant or any adversely affected person. To file an appeal, an appeal application and filing fee of \$1,000.00 must be submitted to the Community Development Department, 317 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California, within ten (10) days following the date of the final decision of the Planning Commission.



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 10/12/2021	AGENDA SECTION:
---------------------------------	------------------------

SUBJECT:

Approval of the Minutes of the September 28, 2021 Meeting


RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the minutes of the September 28, 2021 meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

09-28-21



MINUTES
PLANNING COMMISSION
of the
CITY OF HANFORD

COUNCIL CHAMBERS
400 N. Douty St.
5:30 PM, Tuesday, September 28, 2021

CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson SANCHEZ called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Richard Douglas		Present	4:39 PM
Travis Paden		Present	4:40 PM
Martin Devine		Absent	
Jacob Sanchez		Present	4:38 PM

ADJOURNMENT

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Richard Douglas
SECONDER:	Travis Paden
AYES:	Douglas, Paden, Sanchez
ABSENT:	Devine

Motion

Following roll call, Chairperson SANCHEZ announced that there was not a quorum. City Attorney Mizote explained that the Planning Commission is a seven-member body. Although City Council voted, at their last meeting, to reduce the number to five members, that ordinance would not take effect for another three weeks. Because there were not four Commissioners present, there was a lack of quorum, and no business could be conducted. He advised that the only thing that could be done was to accept a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Chairperson SANCHEZ adjourned the meeting at 5:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Diana Black, Recording Secretary

Attachment: 09-28-21 (09-28-21)



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 10/12/2021	AGENDA SECTION: 1
---------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07, a request to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The project is located at 103 W. Grangeville Boulevard (APN 010-023-004).

See staff report attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:**ATTACHMENTS:**

Staff Report - CUP 2021-07 massage
Resolution No. 2021-19
Attachment 1: Site Plan
Attachment 2: Notice of Exemption No. 2021-83

**CITY OF HANFORD PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT
Tuesday, October 12, 2021**

PROJECT: Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07, a request to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

LOCATION: The project is located at 103 W. Grangeville Boulevard (APN 010-023-004).

PLANNER: Mark Manha, Assistant Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-19, approving Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

1. I move to adopt Resolution No. 2021-19, approving Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07.


PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project is a request to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district, as shown in **Attachment 1**. The use of a massage therapy business requires a conditional use permit in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The last use of the space was a medical office. The project site also contains an existing dentist office at 101 W. Grangeville Blvd., which will remain unaltered.

Project Location

The proposed project is located at 103 W. Grangeville Boulevard (APN 010-023-004) (see Figure 1, below).

Figure 1:
Land Use (property outlined in red)




BACKGROUND INFORMATION

General Plan Designation

The General Plan designates the property as Office Residential (see Figure 2, below). In accordance with General Plan Policy L79, Hanford shall establish the Office Residential land use designation to preserve and enhance existing residential structures by allowing them to convert to offices, bed and breakfast inns, or a combination of office and residential units. The project supports this policy, as it will maintain the residential characteristics of the building while still providing an office environment. In accordance with General Plan Policy L111, Hanford shall promote efforts to make new and existing neighborhoods more complete by encouraging the development of a mix of complementary uses and amenities that meet the daily needs of residents. Such uses and amenities may include parks, community centers, religious institutions, daycare centers, libraries, schools, community gardens, and neighborhood commercial and mixed-use developments. The project supports the City's efforts to make existing neighborhoods more complete with a mix of complementary uses.

Figure 2:
General Plan Designation (property outlined in bold)
Office Residential



Zoning Designation

The property is zoned O-R Office Residential, which corresponds with the General Plan designation (see Figure 3, below). The applicant seeks to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district. According to the Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table, presented in Table 17.08.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code, barber shop, hair salon, nail care, massage therapy, or day spa businesses requires a Conditional Use Permit in the O-R Office Residential zone district (see Figure 4, below). A “barbershop, hair salon, nail care, massage therapy, or day spa” business means an establishment that provides personal care treatments or massage therapy of hair, skin, nails, and/or face where overnight accommodations are not provided. This use includes the application of permanent facial makeup, but does not include other forms of tattooing or body art application.

Figure 3:
Zoning Designation (property outlined in bold)
O-R Office Residential




Figure 4:
Land Use Table

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table															
P = Use Is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use Is Not Allowed															
Land Uses	Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones			Specific Land Use Standards (See Identified Section)
	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO	
J4 Barber shop, hair salon, nail care, massage therapy, or day spa	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	C	C	C					

PROJECT EVALUATION

Conformance with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district

17.30.030 Lot Area

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the minimum lot area shall be 5,000 square feet. Existing lots of less than 5,000 square feet may be developed in accordance with the specifications of Chapter 17.30 of the Municipal Code. The subject property is a 7,936-square-foot existing and developed site. The property exceeds the lot area requirement for the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.040 Lot Dimensions

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the minimum lot frontage shall be 40 feet, the minimum lot width shall be 60 feet for corner lots, and the minimum lot depth shall be 90 feet. The project site has approximately 60 feet of street frontage along Douty Street and 130 feet of street frontage along Grangeville Blvd. The lot is approximately 60 feet in width and 130 feet in depth. The property exceeds the lot frontage, width, and depth requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.050 Site Area per Dwelling Unit

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the minimum site area per dwelling unit shall be 3,000 square feet. There are no dwelling units existing on or proposed for this lot.

17.30.060 Coverage

The maximum coverage of the lot shall be determined by the combined building setback area requirements, accessory structure limitations, open space requirements, and off-street parking and loading requirements. All required building setbacks, landscaping, off-street parking and loading requirements were evaluated and mandated as part of the site plan review process.

17.30.070 Building Setback Area

No structure is permitted to be placed within a building setback area.

Front-Building Setback

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the front building setback area shall be 15 feet from the front property line for livable building space and 20 feet for garages, carports, and other non-livable building space. On corner lots, the property line adjoining the shorter street frontage shall be the front property line. In this case, Douty Street is the shorter side of the corner lot; therefore, the property line adjoining Douty Street is the front. The building setback is approximately 27 feet from the front property line along Douty Street, which satisfies the front setback requirement for the O-R Office Residential zone district.

Side-Building Setback

The side building setback area shall be five feet from an interior side property line and 10 feet from a street-side property line. The interior side building setback is five feet and the street-side building setback along Grangeville Blvd. is approximately 20 feet, both of which meet and exceed the side setback requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district.

Rear-Building Setback

The rear building setback shall be 15 feet from the rear property line for one-story structures not adjacent to a landscape easement or an alley. The building has a setback of five feet from the rear property line, which does not meet the rear setback requirement. However, the structure is considered a legally existing, nonconforming structure. According to Section 17.90.040(A) of the Hanford Municipal Code, "a structure shall be deemed nonconforming if it was lawfully erected prior to the adoption or amendment of this title, but which now does not meet all of the provisions, standards, and requirements of this title applicable to structures." A 15-foot rear setback was required when the original structure was proposed in 1959. However, Variance No. 63 was approved in order to reduce the rear setback from 15 feet to five feet. The applicant does not propose to bring the site into further non-conformance. As an existing structure, the building may remain, as is.

17.30.080 Distances Between Structures

The minimum distance between structures shall be 10 feet, except as provided by the building code. There are no existing or proposed detached structures on site.

17.30.090 Height of Structures

The maximum height of structures in the O-R Office Residential zone district is 35 feet. The existing building is a single-story structure. There are no changes proposed to the existing building height. The single-story structure does not exceed the zone district height limitations.

17.30.110 Driveways

The width of a driveway and any paved area in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall not cumulatively exceed 40% of the width of the lot's street frontage on which the driveway and any paved area faces. The site has one driveway on Grangeville Blvd. and one on Douty Street. The width of the driveway along Douty Street is approximately 33% of the width of the lot frontage along Douty Street, and the width of the driveway along Grangeville Blvd. is approximately 23% of the width of the lot frontage along Grangeville Blvd. Neither driveway exceeds the requirement for the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.140 Off-Street Parking

There are eight existing parking spaces on site. Since there is not an established parking requirement for massage therapy businesses, the Site Plan Review Committee shall determine the most similar specified use. It was determined that the parking requirement for offices would be the most similar use for this site. Chapter 17.54.040 of the Hanford Municipal Code requires 1 space per 250 square feet for all office and medical uses, except call center, hospital, and pharmacy. Based on the calculation for parking requirements for a change of use stated below, no additional parking is required.

Calculation:

Proposed Use	Massage Therapy
Total Square Feet	1,625 sq. ft.
Parking Requirement Per Municipal Code	1:250 sq. ft.
Total Parking Required	(A) 7 stalls

Previous Use	Office
Total Square Feet of Previous Use	1,625 sq. ft.
Parking Requirement of Previous Use	1:250 sq. ft.
Total Parking Credit	(B) 7 stalls

Parking Requirement:

Total Parking Required (A) Less Total Parking Credit (B) equals New Parking Demand (C)

(A) 7 - (B) 7 = (C) 0 Parking Spaces Required

Under the current Zoning Ordinance, required parking spaces may not be provided in any front or side building setback area. Existing parking is located within the front setback area. At the time the site was originally approved for development in 1959, parking was permitted to be located in the setback area. According to Section 17.90.040(A) of the Hanford Municipal Code, “a structure shall be deemed nonconforming if it was lawfully erected prior to the adoption or amendment of this title, but which now does not meet all of the provisions, standards, and requirements of this title applicable to structures.” Therefore, the parking spaces in the front setback area are considered legally existing, nonconforming. The applicant does not propose to bring the site into further non-conformance. The parking may remain, as is.

17.30.150 Usable Open Space

Lots with four or less dwelling units shall provide for a usable open space area of a minimum 300 square feet per dwelling unit. The open space shall be a minimum 15 feet wide. As a non-residential use, there is not a requirement to provide usable open space on site.

17.30.160 Landscaping

In accordance with Chapter 17.30.160 of the Hanford Municipal Code, except for driveways and approved parking areas, all yard areas and setback areas visible from the street(s) shall be landscaped with live plant materials and ornamentation common to the Hanford area or up to 100% of the yard and setback area may be landscaped with artificial turf and other permeable surfaces. The existing setback areas visible from the street that are not covered by driveways and parking areas are landscaped. There are no changes proposed to the existing landscaping.

17.30.170 Screening, Fences, and Walls

There is an existing fence along the interior side property line of the subject site.

Street-Side and Front-Yard Fencing

According to the Municipal Code, Sections 17.50.110 and 17.50.120, no hedge, shrub, fence, or wall exceeding the three feet in height, or four feet in height if the upper one foot is fifty percent

(50%) or more open shall be planted, placed, or maintained within the 25 feet corner sight triangle of a corner lot, or along a front or street side property line where the hedge, shrub, fence, or wall creates a traffic or pedestrian hazard as determined by the Community Development Director. There is no fencing on the front- or street-facing side of the property. The existing shrubs at the intersection of Grangeville and Douty are low and shall be maintained in order to provide sufficient visibility at the street intersection.

Rear- and Interior-Side Fencing

In accordance with Section 17.50.120(A) and (B), fencing along a rear property line shall not exceed seven feet in height and fencing along an interior side property line shall not exceed seven feet in height beginning at 10 feet from the front property line. The existing fencing on the interior side property line of the subject parcel is permissible in accordance with the provisions set forth in 17.50.120 of the Hanford Municipal Code. The applicant does not propose any modifications to the existing fencing along the interior side property line.

17.30.180 Signs

There are two existing building signs on site. All signage must follow the standards set in Chapter 17.56 of the Hanford Municipal Code. For permanent building signs, there is a limit of one sign per site, with a maximum size of eight square feet placed at a maximum of eight feet high; signs may only have external illumination. For permanent freestanding signs, there is a limit of one sign per site maximum, with a maximum size of 20 square feet and a maximum height of six feet; signs may only have external illumination. For temporary building signs, there is a limit of one sign per site, with a maximum size of 12 square feet placed at a maximum of 10 feet high; signs may not have illumination. For temporary freestanding signs, there is a limit of four signs per site maximum, with a maximum size of 16 cumulative square feet and a maximum height of five feet for the first sign and two feet for all other signs; signs may not have illumination.

Signage requires a separate application and will be evaluated at the time of submittal.

All requirements of the O-R Office Residential zone district, prescribed by Chapter 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code, are satisfied, except for those items that are legally non-conforming.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2021-07

Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07

In taking action on a Conditional Use Permit, the Planning Commission must make the appropriate findings, in accordance with Section 17.80.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

1. The proposed use would not impair the integrity and character of the zoning district in which it is to be located;

ANALYSIS: That the proposed use will occupy an existing building and not impair the integrity and character of the O-R Office Residential zone district. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in Chapter 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code for the O-R Office Residential zone district, except for those items that are legally non-conforming, but will not be brought into further non-conformance. The use of a massage therapy business is listed as

a permitted use with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit in the O-R Office Residential zone district, as prescribed in the Land Use Table in Section 17.08.030.

2. The proposed use would be compatible with existing land uses and future permitted land uses within the zoning district in which the proposed use is to be located;

ANALYSIS: That the proposed massage therapy business is compatible with existing and future permitted land uses in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.30 for the O-R Office Residential zone district, except for those items that are legally non-conforming. In accordance with General Plan Policy L111, Hanford shall promote efforts to make new and existing neighborhoods more complete by encouraging the development of a mix of complementary uses and amenities that meet the daily needs of residents. Such uses and amenities may include parks, community centers, religious institutions, daycare centers, libraries, schools, community gardens, and neighborhood commercial and mixed-use developments. The massage therapy business is compatible with surrounding uses as it is a complementary use to other existing uses in the neighborhood.

3. The proposed use is consistent with the General Plan;

ANALYSIS: That in accordance with General Plan Policy L79, Hanford shall establish the Office Residential land use designation to preserve and enhance existing residential structures by allowing them to convert to offices, bed and breakfast inns, or a combination of office and residential units. The project supports this policy, as it will maintain the residential characteristics of the building while still providing an office environment. In accordance with General Plan Policy L111, Hanford shall promote efforts to make new and existing neighborhoods more complete by encouraging the development of a mix of complementary uses and amenities that meet the daily needs of residents. Such uses and amenities may include parks, community centers, religious institutions, daycare centers, libraries, schools, community gardens, and neighborhood commercial and mixed-use developments. The project supports the City's efforts to make existing neighborhoods more complete with a mix of complementary uses.

4. There will not be significant effects upon the quality of the environment and natural resources;

ANALYSIS: That this project is categorically exempt from further environmental review, as per Section 15301 Existing Facilities of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared and is attached as **Attachment 2**.

5. The proposed location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use would not be detrimental to the public interests, health, safety, convenience, or welfare of the city and that any incompatible impacts of the proposed use are mitigated by conditions of approval.

ANALYSIS: That this application has been reviewed by various City departments and evaluated for conformity with the requirements of Chapter 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code for the O-R Office Residential zone district. The use of a massage therapy business is compliant with the Hanford Municipal Code. Any improvements or mitigations required for public health, safety, and welfare have been applied to the project as conditions of approval in the resolution.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

A Notice of Public Hearing for a Conditional Use Permit was noticed in the local newspaper on October 1, 2021 and mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on September 30, 2021. No comments were received, as of the date of preparation of this report.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

That this project is categorically exempt from further environmental review, as per Section 15301 Existing Facilities of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. There are no exceptions to this exemption, as per Section 15300.2 Exceptions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared and is attached as **Attachment 2**.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-19, approving Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07.

Applicant:

Yulan Ding
7817 Cibola Dr.
Bakersfield, CA 93309

Property Owner:

Robert L. and Dorothy Q. Jensen Trust
2277 N. Douty St.
Hanford, CA 93230

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-19

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD PERTAINING TO CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2021-07, A REQUEST TO ALLOW A MESSAGE THERAPY BUSINESS IN THE O-R OFFICE RESIDENTIAL ZONE DISTRICT. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT 103 W. GRANGEVILLE BOULEVARD (APN 010-023-004).

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021 on motion of Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07, a request to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district, as shown in **Exhibit A**, has been reviewed by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford in accordance with Title 17.80 of the Hanford Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 103 W. Grangeville Boulevard (APN 010-023-004); and

WHEREAS, staff, the various governmental departments, and the Planning Commission have given careful consideration to this conditional use permit and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the project is exempt from environmental review, per Section 15301 Existing Facilities of the California Environmental Quality Act of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared; and

WHEREAS, on the basis of the facts and evidence provided in the staff report and the written and oral evidence presented at the public hearing, the Planning Commission hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section 17.80.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

1. The proposed use would not impair the integrity and character of the zoning district in which it is to be located;

ANALYSIS: That the proposed use will occupy an existing building and not impair the integrity and character of the O-R Office Residential zone district. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in Chapter 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code for the O-R Office Residential zone district, except for those items that are legally non-conforming, but will not be brought into further non-conformance. The use of a massage therapy business is listed as a permitted use with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit in the O-R Office Residential zone district, as prescribed in the Land Use Table in Section 17.08.030.

2. The proposed use would be compatible with existing land uses and future permitted land uses within the zoning district in which the proposed use is to be located;

ANALYSIS: That the proposed massage therapy business is compatible with existing and future permitted land uses in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.30 for the O-R Office Residential zone district, except for those items that are legally non-conforming. In accordance with General Plan Policy L111, Hanford shall promote efforts to make new and

existing neighborhoods more complete by encouraging the development of a mix of complementary uses and amenities that meet the daily needs of residents. Such uses and amenities may include parks, community centers, religious institutions, daycare centers, libraries, schools, community gardens, and neighborhood commercial and mixed-use developments. The massage therapy business is compatible with surrounding uses as it is a complementary use to other existing uses in the neighborhood.

3. The proposed use is consistent with the General Plan;

ANALYSIS: That in accordance with General Plan Policy L79, Hanford shall establish the Office Residential land use designation to preserve and enhance existing residential structures by allowing them to convert to offices, bed and breakfast inns, or a combination of office and residential units. The project supports this policy, as it will maintain the residential characteristics of the building while still providing an office environment. In accordance with General Plan Policy L111, Hanford shall promote efforts to make new and existing neighborhoods more complete by encouraging the development of a mix of complementary uses and amenities that meet the daily needs of residents. Such uses and amenities may include parks, community centers, religious institutions, daycare centers, libraries, schools, community gardens, and neighborhood commercial and mixed-use developments. The project supports the City's efforts to make existing neighborhoods more complete with a mix of complementary uses.

4. There will not be significant effects upon the quality of the environment and natural resources;

ANALYSIS: That this project is categorically exempt from further environmental review, as per Section 15301 Existing Facilities of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared.

5. The proposed location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use would not be detrimental to the public interests, health, safety, convenience or welfare of the city and that any incompatible impacts of the proposed use are mitigated by conditions of approval.

ANALYSIS: That this application has been reviewed by various City departments and evaluated for conformity with the requirements of Chapter 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code for the O-R Office Residential zone district. The use of a massage therapy business is compliant with the Hanford Municipal Code. Any improvements or mitigations required for public health, safety, and welfare have been applied to the project as conditions of approval in the resolution.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07 be approved, subject to the conditions presented in **Exhibit B**.

EXPIRATION

That this Conditional Use Application Permit become null and void two (2) years after the effective date of approval, unless, prior to the expiration, the use for which the permit was approved has commenced. Prior to expiration of a permit, a person having authority to file an application may file a written request with the Community Development Director for extension of a permit that may otherwise expire. The request for extension will be taken to the Planning Commission, who may extend the expiration of the permit by one year.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Hanford City Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES:	Commissioners
NOES:	Commissioners
ABSTAIN:	Commissioners
ABSENT:	Commissioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the City of Hanford Planning Commission, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12^h day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers, Acting Secretary

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-19 (CUP 2021-07)

Exhibit A
Site Plan

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-19 (CUP 2021-07)




Exhibit B
Site Plan Review Approval Letter – Conditions of Approval

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-19 (CUP 2021-07)

PLANNING DIVISION

Contact Assistant Planner Mark Manha at (559) 585-2583 or mmanha@cityofhanfordca.com concerning questions regarding the comments below:

General Requirements:

- ☒ That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, fees, or other City Ordinances.
- ☒ That all approved proposals of the applicant be conditions of development, if not mentioned herein.
- ☒ That no expansion of the use which would tend to increase the projected scale of operations shall be permitted except upon application for, and approval of, modification of this application according to all procedures and requirements thereof.
- ☒ That if cultural resources are discovered during construction or related activities, all work shall be halted and a qualified archeologist and the City of Hanford shall be notified. The find shall be properly investigated and appropriate measures are to be taken before construction may continue.

O-R Zone Requirements (all checked shall apply):**Building Setback Areas:** (Section 17.30.070) – no building expansion proposed

- ☒ That the appropriate setbacks be maintained for new construction, as follows:
 - ☒ The front building setback area shall be 20 feet.
 - ☒ The rear building setback may be 15 feet.
 - ☒ The interior side building setback may be five feet.
 - ☒ The street side building setback may be 10 feet.

Off Street Parking: (Section 17.30.140 and 17.54)

- ☒ That all existing on-site parking shall be maintained.

Landscaping: (Section 17.30.160 and 17.52)

- ☒ That all existing landscaping remain for the site.
- ☒ That except for driveways and approved parking areas all yard areas and setback areas visible from the street(s) shall be landscaped with live plant materials and ornamentation common to the Hanford area or up to 100% of the yard and setback area may be landscaped with artificial turf and other permeable surfaces.
- ☒ General Design Standards: the following features shall be incorporated into the design of the proposed landscape and shown on the required landscape plans:

- ☒ Publicly maintained landscape areas shall be separated from privately maintained landscape areas with, at a minimum, a four (4) inch wide concrete mow strip.
- ☒ Pedestrian access to sidewalks and structures shall be integrated with the design of landscaped areas.
- ☒ Landscape adjacent to driveways and parking areas shall be protected from vehicle damage through the provision of a minimum six (6) inch high and six (6) inch wide concrete curb.
- ☒ Concrete mow strips, when used, shall be a minimum of four (4) inches in width.
- ☒ Trees and shrubs shall be planted so that at maturity they do not interfere with utility lines and traffic safety sight areas.
- ☒ Trees and shrubs shall be planted and maintained in a manner that protects the basic rights of adjacent property owners, particularly the right to solar access.
- ☒ Trees planted within five (5) feet of sidewalks or curbs shall have an eighteen (18) inch by ten (10) foot long linear root barrier placed at each edge of the sidewalk or face of curb, centered on the tree.
- ☒ Plant materials. Plant materials shall be selected and installed in accordance with the following requirements:
 - ☒ The size of ten (10) percent of the trees to be planted shall be twenty-four (24) inch box or larger. The remaining trees shall be sized fifteen (15) gallons or larger.
 - ☒ The size of seventy (70) percent of plants and shrubs shall be at five (5) gallon or larger. The remaining plants and shrubs shall be sized one (1) gallon or larger.
 - ☒ The size of groundcover at planting shall be one (1) gallon or larger.
 - ☒ Groundcover shall be designed to have one hundred (100) percent coverage within two (2) years.
 - ☒ Drought tolerant plant material and climate appropriate species shall be emphasized in the design.
- ☒ That the landscaped area shall be planted with live and healthy plant materials suitable for screening/or ornamenting the site. Landscaped areas shall be watered by automatic systems and designed and developed in accordance with Chapter 12.08 and the most recent State Model Water Efficient Landscaped Ordinance.
- ☒ That no fence, hedge, or shrub shall be installed, planted, or maintained along a street frontage, which creates a traffic or pedestrian hazard.

Signage: (Section 17.56)

- ☒ That any/all signs proposed for this development shall be subject to the requirements and prescribed in Chapter 17.56 of the Hanford Municipal Code. A separate application is required.

Outdoor Lighting Standards: (Section 17.50.140)

- ☒ That all lights and light fixtures, except public street lights, shall be located, aimed or shielded so as to minimize light trespassing across property boundaries or skyward.
- ☒ That building mounted lighting fixtures shall be attached only to the walls of the building. The top of a light fixture attached to a building wall shall not be higher than the top of the building parapet or the top of the roof eave, whichever is lower.
- ☒ That the canopy ceiling light fixtures shall be recessed or the sides of the lens area shall be shielded, in order to eliminate emission of horizontal light.
- ☒ That the height of freestanding light fixtures, including freestanding parking lot fixtures, shall be measured from the top of a light fixture to the adjacent grade at the base of the support for that light fixture and shall not exceed 18 feet.

Dust Control:

- ☒ That the appropriate dust-control practices of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District shall be implemented.
- ☒ That the any necessary permits be obtained through the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

BUILDING DIVISION
CUP 2021-007 (508-0232) Massage Salon
9-9-2021
103 W Grangeville Blvd

Contact Building Official: Tom Webb (559) 585-2584
 Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below.

Building Permits will be required if doing any work listed below.

1. That building permits must be obtained from the City Building Division for each structure to include but not limited to: buildings, pools, fences, trash enclosures, signs and carports for any structural, plumbing, electrical or mechanical work being done.
2. That no building or structure shall be used or occupied, and no change in the existing occupancy classification of building or structure or portion thereof shall be made until the building official has approved the change and issued a Certificate of Occupancy.
3. That detailed dimensioned plans be provided to the Building Division for each structure prior to obtaining construction permits. Each structure will require a separate submittal and permit.
4. That each structure will require plans and calculations signed by an architect or engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. Submittal shall consist of as applicable:
 - 4.1 1 copy of the City of Hanford Permit Application form
 - 4.2 6 complete sets of Drawings, unless noted, including:
 - 4.2.1 Grading Plan (Civil Drawings, for reference)
 - 4.2.2 Site Plan
 - 4.2.3 Architectural Drawings
 - 4.2.4 Structural Drawings
 - 4.2.5 Electrical Drawings
 - 4.2.6 Mechanical Drawings
 - 4.2.7 Plumbing Drawings
 - 4.2.8 Landscape Plan (for reference)
 - 4.2.9 Planning Dept. "Conditions of Approval" or "Resolution"
printed on the drawings and part of the drawing submittal
 - 4.2.10 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.

****** Each structure will be considered a separate submittal. Grading, Landscaping, Signage and all Fire permits are separate submittals as well and require separate applications and submittals as applicable. ******


- 4.3 2 complete sets of documentation, unless noted, including:
 - 4.3.1 Architectural Specifications
 - 4.3.2 Structural Calculations
 - 4.3.3 Electrical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.4 Mechanical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.5 Plumbing Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.6 Energy Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.7 CalGreen Compliance, if not included on plans
 - 4.3.8 **Compliance with the City of Hanford Landscape Ordinance**
 - 4.3.9 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
5. That the site, as well as the buildings, shall be made accessible and usable by the disabled

in accordance with the California Building Code, Chapter 11B.

6. That a school impact fee for each square foot of new building area be paid when building permits are issued.
7. That all special inspection reports be submitted to the Building Division prior to final inspection.
8. That all construction shall conform to the Most Current Edition (2019) of the California Building Code, California Electrical Code, California Mechanical Code, California Plumbing Code, California Fire Code, California Energy Code and CalGreen.
9. That Kings County Impact Fees shall apply at current rates.
10. That the tenant, lessee, and/or owner are responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. By federal law your facility must be made accessible to the highest degree possible.
11. That the applicant provides a complete set of AS-BUILT drawings on CD, in "tif" format, to the Building Division prior to Final Inspection.
12. That block walls and trash enclosures require separate submittals/permits through the Building Dept.

2 + 3 = 5

Grangeville



Frontdoor

Reception area

open room

Room ③

Storage

Small Room ①

Storage

Bathroom

Bathroom

Room ②

Small Room ④

Extr. door

Extr. door

Notice of Exemption 2021-83

To: ☐ Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 212
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

☒ County Clerk
County of Kings
Kings County Government Center
Hanford, CA 93230

From: City of Hanford
317 North Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230

Project Title: Conditional Use Permit 2021-07

Project Location – 103 W. Grangeville Blvd. (APN 010-023-004)

Project Location – City: Hanford **Project Location – County:** Kings County

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project: Conditional Use Permit No. 2021-07, a request to allow a massage therapy business in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: City of Hanford, Planning Division

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Yulan Ding

Exempt Status: **(check one)**

- ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 152698);
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269 (a));
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
☒ Categorical Exemption: State type and section number: 15301 Existing Facilities
☐ Statutory Exemption. State code number:

Reasons why project is exempt:

The City of Hanford determined the project to be exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. Section 15301 states that Class 1 exemptions consist of the operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing, or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of existing or former use. This project involves the permitting of an existing private structure, involving negligible or no expansion.

Lead Agency

Contact Person: Mark Manha Area Code/ Telephone: (559) 585-2583

Signature: _____ Date: October 12, 2021 Title: Assistant Planner

☒ Signed by Lead Agency Date received for filing at OPR: _____
☐ Signed by Applicant

Attachment: Attachment 2: Notice of Exemption No. 2021-83 (CUP 2021-07)



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 10/12/2021	AGENDA SECTION: 2
---------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01, a request to subdivide a 19,290 sq. ft. (0.44 acres) parcel into two 9,645 sq. ft. (0.22 acres) parcels for single-family residential use in the O-R Office Residential zone district. The property is located at 811 W. Seventh St. (APN 012-271-003).

See staff report attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Staff Report - TPM 2021-01

Resolution No. 2021-03

Attachment 1: Vesting Tentative Parcel Map with Abandonment

Attachment 2: Floor Plan

Attachment 3: Notice of Exemption No. 2021-12

**CITY OF HANFORD PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT
Tuesday, October 12, 2021**

PROJECT: **Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01:** a request to subdivide a 19,290 sq. ft. (0.44 acres) parcel into two 9,645 sq. ft. (0.22 acres) parcels for single-family residential use in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

LOCATION: The property is located at 811 W. Seventh St. (APN 012-271-003).

PROJECT PLANNER: Mark Manha, Assistant Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-03, approving Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

1. I move to adopt Resolution No. 2021-03, approving Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 is a request to subdivide a 19,290 sq. ft. (0.44 acres) parcel into two 9,645 sq. ft. (0.22 acres) parcels for single-family residential use in the O-R Office Residential zone district (see **Attachment 1**). The applicant has been issued a building permit to construct one single-family dwelling, which will occupy Parcel 2 (see **Attachment 2**). The residence is currently under construction. Parcel 1 is vacant.

Project Location

The project is located at 811 W. Seventh St. (APN 012-271-003) (see Figure 1, below). The site is designated as Office Residential by the General Plan and zoned O-R Office Residential, in conformance with the General Plan Designation (see Figures 2 and 3, below, respectively).

Road Abandonment

There is an existing, undeveloped public street within a portion of proposed Parcel 1, as shown on **Attachment 1**. California Government Code 66499.20.2. permits the abandonment of public streets and public easements through the subdivision process. The public street will be abandoned when the approved parcel map is filed with the Kings County Clerk-Recorder's office.

Attachment: Staff Report - TPM 2021-01 (TPM 2021-01)

Figure 1: Land Use
(Property outlined in red)



Figure 2: General Plan Designation
Office Residential
(Property outlined in red)




Figure 3: Zoning Designation
O-R Office Residential
(Property outlined in red)



BACKGROUND

General Plan Designation

The General Plan designates the property as Office Residential. The property is zoned O-R Office Residential, which corresponds with the General Plan Designation. According to General Plan Policy L135, Hanford shall support, encourage and, to the extent possible, incentivize infill development projects that can effectively utilize existing transportation and utility infrastructure. According to General Plan Policy L136, Hanford shall encourage land assembly to achieve building sites large enough to support infill development. The subdivision of the parcel, as proposed, is consistent with the General Plan, as it maintains building sites large enough to support development and supports an infill project that utilizes existing infrastructure to the greatest extent possible.

Zoning Designation

The site is zoned O-R Office Residential. The project is evaluated for consistency with Section 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code, pertaining to the O-R Office Residential zone district, below.

PROJECT EVALUATION

Project Design

	<u>Entire Site</u>	<u>Parcel 1</u>	<u>Parcel 2</u>
Area:	0.44 acres; 19,290 sq. ft.	0.22 acres; 9,645 sq. ft.	0.22 acres; 9,645 sq. ft.
Street Frontage:	105.96 ft. along W. Seventh St.	52.98 ft. along W. Seventh St.	52.98 ft. along W. Seventh St.
Width:	105.96 ft.	52.98 ft.	52.98 ft.
Depth:	182.05 ft. (minimum depth); 182.06 ft. (maximum depth)	182.05 ft. (minimum depth); 182.06 ft. (maximum depth)	182.05 ft.
Sidewalk:	Existing sidewalk along W. Seventh St.	Existing sidewalk along W. Seventh St.	Existing sidewalk along W. Seventh St.
Existing Use:	Vacant	Vacant	Vacant
Proposed Use:	Split into two lots	Single-Family Residential	Single-Family Residential

Conformance with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district

17.30.030 Lot Area

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the minimum lot area shall be 5,000 square feet. Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 is a request to divide a 0.44-acre parcel into two separate parcels, resulting in two 9,645 sq. ft. parcels. Both parcels from the resulting parcel split will each have a lot area greater than 5,000 sq. ft.

	<u>Entire Site</u>	<u>Parcel 1</u>	<u>Parcel 2</u>
Area:	0.44 acres; 19,290 sq. ft.	0.22 acres; 9,645 sq. ft.	0.22 acres; 9,645 sq. ft.

17.30.040 Lot Dimensions

Lot Frontage

The minimum lot frontage in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall be 40 feet. Both parcels meet the minimum lot frontage of the O-R Office Residential zone district. Parcel 1 of the proposed parcel split will have 52.98 feet of street frontage along W. Seventh St. Parcel 2 also will have 52.98 feet of street frontage along W. Seventh St. All lots proposed under Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 exceed the requirement for lot frontage in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

	<u>Entire Site</u>	<u>Parcel 1</u>	<u>Parcel 2</u>
Street Frontage:	105.96 ft. along W. Seventh St.	52.98 ft. along W. Seventh St.	52.98 ft. along W. Seventh St.

Lot Width

The minimum lot width in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall be 50 feet for interior lots and 60 feet for corner lots. There are no corner lots proposed. Parcel 1 has a minimum width of 52.98 feet. Parcel 2 also has a minimum width of 52.98 feet. All lots proposed under Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 exceed the requirement for lot width in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

	<u>Entire Site</u>	<u>Parcel 1</u>	<u>Parcel 2</u>
Width:	105.96 ft.	52.98 ft.	52.98 ft.

Lot Depth

The minimum lot depth in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall be 90 feet. Parcel 1 has a minimum lot depth of 182.05 feet. Parcel 2 also has a minimum lot depth of 182.05 feet. All lots proposed under Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 exceed the requirement for lot depth in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

	<u>Entire Site</u>	<u>Parcel 1</u>	<u>Parcel 2</u>
Depth:	182.05 ft. (minimum depth); 182.06 ft. (maximum depth)	182.05 ft. (minimum depth); 182.06 ft. (maximum depth)	182.05 ft.

17.30.050 Site Area per Dwelling Unit

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the minimum site area per dwelling unit shall be three thousand (3,000) square feet. One residence is currently under construction. Each new parcel may have a maximum of three dwelling units, based on the new proposed parcel size of 9,645 sq. ft. The existing residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the site area per dwelling unit requirement for the O-R Office Residential zone district. The proposed parcel split will maintain the required minimum site area per dwelling unit. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.060 Coverage

The maximum coverage of a lot in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall be determined by the combined building setback requirements, accessory structure limitations, open space requirements, and off-street parking requirements. One residence is currently under construction. The residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the coverage requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.070 Building Setback Area

No structure is permitted to be placed within a building setback area.

Front-Yard Setback

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the front building setback area shall be 15 feet from the front lot line for livable building space and 20 feet for garages, carports, and other non-livable building space. The residence under construction is 20 feet from the front lot line.

Rear-Yard Setback

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the rear building setback shall be 15 feet from the rear lot line. The rear building setback area shall be increased by 10 feet for buildings over one-story high. The residence under construction is approximately 105 feet from the rear lot line.

Side-Yard Setback

In the O-R Office Residential zone district, the side building setback area shall be five feet from an interior side lot line and ten feet from a street-side property line. There is no side lot line adjacent to a street. The residence under construction is five feet from the side lot line.

The residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the setback requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district. The proposed parcel split will not encroach into any required setback area. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.080 Distances Between Structures

The minimum distance between structures shall be 10 feet, except as provided by the building code. There is no proposal for multiple structures on one lot at this time. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.090 Height of Structures

The maximum height of structures in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall be 35 feet. The residence under construction is 17.5 feet high. The residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the height requirement for the O-R Office Residential zone district. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.110 Driveways

The width of a driveway and any paved area shall not cumulatively exceed 40% of the width of the lot's street frontage on which the driveway and any paved area faces. In the case of "L-" or "U-" shaped driveways or other configurations, besides perpendicular driveways, the paved area of these driveways shall not exceed 50% of the front building setback area. The residence under construction has a driveway approximately 16 feet in width, which will be approximately 30% of the width of the new parcel. The residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the driveway requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.140 Off-Street Parking

Single-family dwellings in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall provide a minimum of one covered and one uncovered parking space. The uncovered parking space may be provided on a driveway. The residence under construction has a driveway and a garage, both of which are a minimum of 18.5 feet in length and nine feet in width to accommodate at least two vehicles on site. The residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the parking requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

17.30.150 Usable Open Space

Lots with four or less dwelling units in the O-R Office Residential zone district shall provide for a usable open space area of a minimum 300 square feet per dwelling unit, with a minimum width of 15 feet. The residence under construction has an area approximately 105 feet in length and 52.98 feet in width behind the residence to provide ample open space with approval of this Tentative Parcel Map. The residence was evaluated at the time of building permit submittal and found to meet the open space requirements for the O-R Office Residential zone district. Any development on the undeveloped parcel will be evaluated at the time of project submittal for consistency with the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

All requirements of the O-R Office Residential zone district have been satisfied, in accordance with Section 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

Pursuant to Section 16.04.010 of the Subdivision Ordinance Code and Section 66474 of the Subdivision Map Act, the Planning Commission is required to make the following findings:

1. Consistency Finding: That the proposed map is consistent with applicable general and specific plans.

Analysis: That the proposed map is consistent with the applicable General Plan. According to General Plan Policy L135, Hanford shall support, encourage and, to the extent possible, incentivize infill development projects that can effectively utilize existing transportation and utility infrastructure. According to General Plan Policy L136, Hanford shall encourage land assembly to achieve building sites large enough to support infill development. The subdivision of the parcel, as proposed, is consistent with the General Plan, as it maintains building sites large enough to support development and supports an infill project that utilizes existing infrastructure to the greatest extent possible.

2. Design Finding: That the design or improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with applicable general and specific plans.

Analysis: That the design of the proposed subdivision is consistent with the General Plan. The General Plan designates the project site as Office Residential. Each parcel is designed in conformance with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.30, pertaining to the O-R Office Residential zone district. A building permit was issued to construct one dwelling unit on site. The structure conforms with Section 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code. Future development on either parcel will be evaluated by the Community Development Department to ensure consistency with the General Plan and the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

3. Type of Development Finding: That the site is physically suitable for the type of development.

Analysis: That approval of the subdivision will yield two parcels with buildable lot configurations, which are physically suited for residential development. Proposed Parcel 2, as shown in **Attachment 1**, is currently under development. Any future development proposals will be evaluated by the Community Development Department for consistency with the General Plan and the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

4. Density Finding: That the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of the development.

Analysis: That the Municipal Code prescribes a minimum site area per dwelling unit of 3,000 square feet for the O-R Office Residential zone district. The division of the properties, as proposed, will not affect the ability of the property to meet the density required. The lot size, pre-subdivision, is 19,290 square feet. The subdivision of the property into two lots, both 9,645 square feet, will permit a maximum of three dwelling units per parcel. Only one dwelling unit is proposed for each parcel, which is consistent with the density prescribed by the Municipal Code.

5. Environmental Finding: That the design of the subdivision or the proposed improvements is unlikely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.

Analysis: That this division of land is considered categorically exempt by California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15315, Minor Land Divisions. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project in accordance with CEQA guidelines and is attached as **Attachment 3**.

6. Public Health Finding: That the design of the subdivision or type of improvements is unlikely to cause serious public health problems.

Analysis: That this application has been reviewed by various City departments. Any improvements required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the conditions of approval. The City of Hanford Building Division is responsible for ensuring that future construction of the site follows adopted building codes, thus reducing exposure to accidents.

7. Improvements and Access Findings: That the design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision.

Analysis: That the design of the proposed subdivision and type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of the property within the proposed subdivision. The existing undeveloped public street will be abandoned when the approved parcel map is filed with the Kings County Clerk-Recorder's office. Any off-site improvements such as drive approaches will be inspected and/or verified to ensure the design, material, and installation of said improvements meet or exceed standards adopted by the City of Hanford.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

That this project is categorically exempt from further environmental review, as per Section 15315 Minor Land Divisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. There are no exceptions to this exemption, as per Section 15300.2 Exceptions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared and is attached as **Attachment 3**.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

A Notice of Public Hearing and Notice of a Tentative Parcel Map was published in the newspaper on October 1, 2021, and mailed to property owners within 500 feet of the project site on September 30, 2021. The Community Development Department has not received comments on the project, as of the preparation of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-03, approving Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01.

Applicant/Property Owner:

Rosario Herrera
2023 Garvey Ave.
Corcoran, CA 93212

Engineer/Surveyor:

Zumwalt Hansen & Associates Inc.
609 N. Irwin St.
Hanford, CA 93230

RESOLUTION 2021-03

**A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD
PERTAINING TO TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 2021-01, A REQUEST TO SUBDIVIDE A
19,290 SQ. FT. (0.44 ACRES) PARCEL INTO TWO 9,645 SQ. FT. (0.22 ACRES) PARCELS
FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL USE IN THE O-R OFFICE RESIDENTIAL ZONE
DISTRICT. THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 811 W. SEVENTH STREET (APN 007-030-
019).**

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021 on motion of Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01, a request to subdivide a 19,290 sq. ft. (0.44 acres) parcel into two 9,645 sq. ft. (0.22 acres) parcels for single-family residential use in the O-R Office Residential zone district has been reviewed by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford in accordance with Title 16.04 of the Hanford Subdivision Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 811 W. Seventh Street (APN 007-030-019); and

WHEREAS, the configuration of the proposed parcels is shown on attached **Exhibit A**; and

WHEREAS, the various governmental department agencies, staff, and the Planning Commission have given careful consideration to this tentative subdivision map and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the project is exempt from environmental review, per Section 15315 Minor Land Divisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on the basis of the facts and evidence provided in the staff report and the written and oral evidence presented at the public hearing, the Planning Commission hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section 16.04.010 of the Subdivision Ordinance Code and Section 66474 of the Subdivision Map Act:

1. Consistency Finding: That the proposed map is consistent with applicable general and specific plans.

Analysis: That the proposed map is consistent with the applicable General Plan. According to General Plan Policy L135, Hanford shall support, encourage and, to the extent possible, incentivize infill development projects that can effectively utilize existing transportation and utility infrastructure. According to General Plan Policy L136, Hanford shall encourage land assembly to achieve building sites large enough to support infill development. The subdivision of the parcel, as proposed, is consistent with the General Plan, as it maintains building sites large enough to support development and supports an infill project that utilizes existing infrastructure to the greatest extent possible.

2. Design Finding: That the design or improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with applicable general and specific plans.

Analysis: That the design of the proposed subdivision is consistent with the General Plan. The General Plan designates the project site as Office Residential. Each parcel is designed in conformance with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.30, pertaining to the O-R Office Residential zone district. A building permit was issued to construct one dwelling unit on site. The structure conforms with Section 17.30 of the Hanford Municipal Code. Future development on either parcel will be evaluated by the Community Development Department to ensure consistency with the General Plan and the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

3. Type of Development Finding: That the site is physically suitable for the type of development.

Analysis: That approval of the subdivision will yield two parcels with buildable lot configurations, which are physically suited for residential development. Proposed Parcel 2, as shown in **Exhibit A**, is already under development. Any future development proposals will be evaluated by the Community Development Department for consistency with the General Plan and the standards of the O-R Office Residential zone district.

4. Density Finding: that the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of the development.

Analysis: That the Municipal Code prescribes a minimum site area per dwelling unit of 3,000 square feet for the O-R Office Residential zone district. The division of the properties, as proposed, will not affect the ability of the property to meet the density required. The lot size, pre-subdivision, is 19,290 square feet. The subdivision of the property into two lots, both 9,645 square feet, will permit a maximum of three dwelling units per parcel. Only one dwelling unit is proposed for each parcel, which is consistent with the density prescribed by the Municipal Code.

5. Environmental Finding: That the design of the subdivision or the proposed improvements is unlikely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.

Analysis: That this division of land is considered categorically exempt by California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15315, Minor Land Divisions. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project in accordance with CEQA guidelines.

6. Public Health Finding: That the design of the subdivision or type of improvements is unlikely to cause serious public health problems.

Analysis: That this application has been reviewed by various City departments. Any improvements required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the conditions of approval. The City of Hanford Building Division is responsible for ensuring that future construction of the site follows adopted building codes, thus reducing exposure to accidents.

7. Improvements and Access Findings: That the design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will not conflict with easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision.

Analysis: That the design of the proposed subdivision and type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of the

property within the proposed subdivision. The existing undeveloped public street will be abandoned when the approved parcel map is filed with the Kings County Clerk-Recorder's office. Any off-site improvements such as drive approaches will be inspected and/or verified to ensure the design, material, and installation of said improvements meet or exceed standards adopted by the City of Hanford.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 be approved subject to the conditions of approval, attached as **Exhibit B**.

EXPIRATION

This Tentative Parcel Map becomes null and void after eighteen (18) months has elapsed from the date of approval if the map has not been recorded. The Commission may grant a time extension if a written request and fee is received from the applicant prior to the expiration date. The time extension, if approved, will be subject to the improvement standards and fees in effect at the time the extension for the Tentative Parcel Map is granted.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners
 NOES: Commissioners
 ABSTAIN: Commissioners
 ABSENT: Commissioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
 COUNTY OF KINGS)ss
 CITY OF HANFORD)


I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the City of Hanford Planning Commission, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers, Acting Secretary

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-03 (TPM 2021-01)

Exhibit A
Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-03 (TPM 2021-01)



VESTING TENTATIVE
PARCEL MAP


OWNER/APPLICANT

ROSARIO HERRERA
2023 GARVEY AVENUE
CORCORAN, CA 93212
559-556-3347

GENERAL INFORMATION

EXISTING ZONE	O-R OFFICE RESIDENTIAL
PROPOSED ZONE	O-R OFFICE RESIDENTIAL
EXISTING USE	VACANT
PROPOSED USE	RESIDENTIAL
SEWER	CITY OF HANFORD
WATER	CITY OF HANFORD
A.P.N.	012-271-003

VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE



G:\ZHA\JOB FILES\0789311 Rosario Herrera - Miguel\DWG\0789311.dwg, 5/18/2021 8:35:07 AM, Resubmittal, Plotted by MBC

[illegible]

**VESTING TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP
811 7TH STREET HANFORD, CA 93230
FOR
ROSARIO HERRERA**

		CIVIL ENGINEERS ZUMWALT HANSEN & HANSEN		LAND SURVEYORS 609 N. Irvan St. Hattala, CA 93250 Office (559) 882-1056 Fax: (559) 884-4145		DRAWN BY: MD CHECKED BY: RK INKED BY: SJW/2021 DATE: 07/29/11 JOB NO.: 072911 SHEET: 1 OF: 1	
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Exhibit B
Conditions of Approval

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-03 (TPM 2021-01)

ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

Contact the Community Development Department (559) 585-2580
concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

Defense and Indemnification Provisions:

1. That the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Hanford ("City"), its officials, officers, employees, representatives, agents and attorneys, from and against all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liabilities, expenses and other costs, including litigation costs and attorney's fees, arising out of, resulting from, or in connection with, the City's act or acts leading up to and including approval of any environmental document and/or granting of any approvals relating to the Project. Applicant's obligation to defend, indemnify and hold the City harmless specifically includes, but is not limited to, any suit or challenge by any third party against the City which challenges or seeks to set aside, void or annul the legality or adequacy of any environmental document approved by the City or any approval related to the Project.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Contact Assistant Planner Mark Manha (559) 585-2583
concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

General:

1. That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Uniform Fire and Building Codes, Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, and other City Ordinances.
2. That all approved proposals of the application be conditions of development if not mentioned herein.
3. That the general design of the parcel map be approved with minor modifications being approved by the Community Development and Public Works Departments.
4. That prior to recording the parcel map, it is to be submitted to the City Engineer and Community Development Department for compliance with the tentative approval.
5. That in accordance with California Government Code Section 66020, the applicant is hereby notified that the 90-day appeal period identified in California Government Code Section 66020 during which you may protest the imposition of the fees, dedications, reservations and other extractions identified in this Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01 will begin to run on the date of the approval of this application by the Planning Commission.
6. That the applicant hereby agrees to hold the City of Hanford and all of its departments, officers, agents, and employees free and harmless of and from all claims of any kind or nature arising out of or by reason of the approval of this project.
7. That any development of the site be subject to the applicable regulations of the Hanford Municipal Code, specifically the O-R Office Residential zone district.



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Engineering Division Comments

PROJECT: TPM 2021-01 – 811 W. Seventh Street

General Requirements:

1. That Applicant comply with the City of Hanford Public Works construction standards and specification requirements. Any deviation from said standards and specifications must be approved by the City Engineer prior to construction.
2. That an encroachment permit for all construction in the public right-of-way be obtained from the Public Works Department prior to beginning construction.
3. That the development is subject to an Engineering review and inspection fee in accordance with City Resolution No. 92-58-R., or any revisions thereof. Subject fee shall be payable prior to approval of improvement plans.

Water System:

1. That a separate water service assembly be installed to serve both Parcel 1 and 2 in conformance with City Standard WA-11. This improvement may be deferred until issuance of a building permit for either Parcel and shall be noted on the final parcel map.

Sewer Improvements:

1. That a sanitary sewer lateral be installed to serve both Parcel 1 and 2 in conformance with City Standard SS-23. This improvement may be deferred until issuance of a building permit for either Parcel and shall be noted on the final parcel map.


Impact Fees:

1. That development is subject to a Police Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
2. That development is subject to a Fire Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
3. That development is subject to a Park Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 90-10 and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
4. That development is subject to a Transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Ordinance No. 90-09 and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
5. That the development is subject to a Wastewater System Development Impact fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
6. That development is subject to a Storm Drainage Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
7. That development is subject to a Water System Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14 or any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
8. That development is subject to a Refuse and Recycling Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 05-16 or any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.

John Doyel
City Engineer (559) 585-2571

9/14/21
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-03 (TPM 2021-01)



SCALE: 1"=50'



ABANDONMENT NOTE

THE EASTERLY 20' OF TREWHITT STREET WHICH LIES ADJACENT TO LOT 29, AS SHOWN ON L.S.P. 1-83, K.C.R., SHALL BE ABANDONED ON THE FINAL PARCEL MAP PER SECTION 66499.20.2 OF THE SUBDIVISION MAP ACT.

VESTING TENTATIVE
PARCEL MAP

OF THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 21 EAST, MOUNT DIABLO BASE AND MERIDIAN, LYING IN THE CITY OF HANFORD, COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE APPROVED GOVERNMENT TOWNSHIP PLATS THEREOF, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
A PORTION OF LOT 29 OF SUPPLEMENTAL PLAT TO S.E. BIDDLE'S SUBDIVISION, ACCORDING TO THE MAP THEREOF RECORDED MARCH 13, 1905, IN BOOK 1, PAGE 83 OF LICENSED SURVEYORS' PLATS, KINGS COUNTY RECORDS.



OWNER/APPLICANT

ROSARIO HERRERA
2023 GARVEY AVENUE
CORCORAN, CA 93212
559-556-3347


GENERAL INFORMATION

EXISTING ZONE	O-R OFFICE RESIDENTIAL
PROPOSED ZONE	O-R OFFICE RESIDENTIAL
EXISTING USE	VACANT
PROPOSED USE	RESIDENTIAL
SEWER	CITY OF HANFORD
WATER	CITY OF HANFORD
A.P.N.	012-271-003

VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE



VESTING TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP
811 7TH STREET HANFORD, CA 93230
FOR
ROSARIO HERRERA



CIVIL ENGINEERS
ZUMWALT
HANSEN &
LAND SURVEYORS

609 N. Irwin St.
Hanford, CA 93230
Office: (559) 582-1056
Fax: (559) 584-4143

DRAWN BY:	MO
CHECKED BY:	RR
INDEXED BY:	
DATE:	5/18/2021
JOB NO.:	0789311
SHEET:	1 OF 1

TABLE R602.3(1) FASTENING SCHEDULE			
ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING ELEMENTS	NUMBER AND TYPE OF FASTENERS, b, c	SPACING AND LOCATION
Roof			
1	Blocking between ceiling joists or rafters to top plate	4-8d box (21/2" x 0.113") or 3-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128") or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	Toe nail
2	Ceiling joists to top plate	4-8d box (21/2" x 0.113") or 3-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128") or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	Per joist, toe nail
3	Ceiling joist not attached to parallel rafter, laps over partitions (see Sections R602.3.1, R602.3.2 and Table R602.5.1(a))	4-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	Face nail
4	Ceiling joist attached to parallel rafter (heel joint) (see Sections R602.3.1 and R602.3.2 and Table R602.5.1(a))	Table R602.5.1(a)	Face nail
5	Collar tie to rafter, face nail or 11/4" x 20 ga. ridge strap to rafter	4-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3-10d common (3" x 0.148"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	Face nail each rafter
6	Rafter or roof truss to plate	3-16d box nails (31/2" x 0.135"), or 3-10d common nails (3" x 0.148"), or 4-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	2 toe nails on one side and 1 toe nail on opposite side of each rafter or truss
7	Roof rafters to ridge, valley or hip rafters or roof rafter to minimum 2" ridge beam	4-16d (31/2" x 0.135") or 3-10d common (31/2" x 0.148"), or 4-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	Toe nail
		3-16d box 31/2" x 0.135", or 2-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	End nail
Wall			
8	Stud to stud (not at braced wall panels)	1-6d common (31/2" x 0.162") 1-10d box (3" x 0.128") or 3" x 0.131" nails	24" o.c. face nail
9	Stud to stud and abutting studs at intersecting wall corners (at braced wall panels)	1-6d box (31/2" x 0.135"), or 3" x 0.131" nails	6" o.c. face nail
10	Build-up header (2 to 2; header with 1/2" spacer)	1-6d common (31/2" x 0.162") 1-6d common (31/2" x 0.162")	12" o.c. face nail 16" o.c. face nail
11	Continuous header to stud	1-6d box (31/2" x 0.135") 5-8d box (21/2" x 0.113") or 4-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 4-10d box (3" x 0.128")	12" o.c. each edge face nail Toe nail
12	Top plate to top plate	1-6d common (31/2" x 0.162") 1-10d box (3" x 0.128") or 3" x 0.131" nails	16" o.c. face nail
13	Double top plate splice for SDCs A-D2 with seismic braced wall line spacing ≤ 25'	8-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 12-16d box (31/2" x 0.135"), or 12-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 12-3" x 0.131" nails	Face nail on each side of end joint (minimum 24" lap splice length each side of end joint)
	Double top plate splice SDCs D0, D1, or D2; and braced wall line spacing ≥ 25'	12-16d (31/2" x 0.135")	
14	Bottom plate to joist, rim joist, band joist or blocking (not at braced wall panels)	1-6d common (31/2" x 0.162") 1-6d box (31/2" x 0.135"), or 3" x 0.131" nails	16" o.c. face nail
15	Bottom plate to joist, rim joist, band joist or blocking (at braced wall panel)	3-16d box (31/2" x 0.135"), or 2-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	12" o.c. face nail 3 each 16" o.c. face nail 4 each 16" o.c. face nail
16	Top or bottom plate to stud	4-8d box (21/2" x 0.113"), or 3-16d box (31/2" x 0.135"), or 4-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 4-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	Toe nail
		3-16d box (31/2" x 0.135"), or 2-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	End nail
17	Top plates, laps at corners and intersections	3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 2-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	Face nail
18	1" brace to each stud and plate	3-8d box (21/2" x 0.113"), or 2-8d common (21/2" x 0.131"), or 2-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 2 staples 13/4"	Face nail
19		1" x 6" sheathing to each bearing 3-8d box (21/2" x 0.113"), or 2-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 2-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 2 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 13/4" long	Face nail
20	1" x 8" and wider sheathing to each bearing	3-8d box (21/2" x 0.113"), or 3-8d common (21/2" x 0.131"), or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 13/4" long Wider than 1" x 8" 4-8d box (21/2" x 0.113"), or 3-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 4 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 13/4" long	Face nail
Floor			
21	Joist to sill, top plate or girder	4-8d box (21/2" x 0.113"), or 3-8d common (21/2" x 0.131"), or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	Toe nail
22	Rim joist, band joist or blocking to sill or top plate (roof applications also)	8d box (21/2" x 0.113") 8d common (21/2" x 0.131"), or 1-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3" x 0.131" nails	4" o.c. toe nail 6" o.c. toe nail
23	1" x 6" subfloor or less to each joist	3-8d box (21/2" x 0.113") or 2-8d common (21/2" x 0.131") or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 2 staples, 1" crown, 16 ga., 13/4" long	Face nail
24	2" subfloor to joist or girder	3-16d box (31/2" x 0.135") or 2-16d common (31/2" x 0.162")	Blind and face nail
25	2" planks (plank & beam-floor & roof)	3-16d box (31/2" x 0.135") or 2-16d common (31/2" x 0.162")	At each bearing, face nail
26	Band or rim joist to joist	3-16d common (31/2" x 0.162") 4-10 box (3" x 0.128"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails, or 4-3" x 14 ga. staples, 7/16" crown	End nail
27	Build-up girders and beams, 2-inch lumber layers	2-20d common (4" x 0.192") or 1-10d box (3" x 0.128") or 3" x 0.131" nails	Nail each layer as follows: 32" o.c. at top and bottom and staggered 24" o.c. face nail at top and bottom staggered on opposite sides
		2-20d common (4" x 0.192"), or 3-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 3-3" x 0.131" nails	Face nail at ends and at each splice
28	Ledger strip supporting joists or rafters	4-16d box (31/2" x 0.135") or 3-16d common (31/2" x 0.162"), or 4-10d box (3" x 0.128"), or 4-3" x 0.131" nails	At each joist or rafter, face nail
29	Bridging to joist	2-10d (3" x 0.128")	Each end, toe nail

ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING ELEMENTS	NUMBER AND TYPE OF FASTENERa, b, c	SPACING OF FASTENERS (inches) Intermediate supports; c, e (inches)
Wood structural panels, subfloor, roof and interior wall sheathing to framing and particleboard wall sheathing to framing (see Table R602.3(3) for wood structural panel exterior wall sheathing to wall framing)			
30	3/8"-1/2"	8d common (2" x 0.113") nail (subfloor, wall) 8d common (21/2" x 0.131") nail (roof)	6 12f
31	1/8"-1"	8d common nail (21/2" x 0.131")	6 12f
32	11/8"-11/4"	1-10d common (3" x 0.148") nail, or 8d (21/2" x 0.131") deformed nail	6 12
Other wall sheathings			
33	1/2" structural cellulose fiberboard sheathing	11/2" galvanized roofing nail, 7/16" head diameter, or 1" crown staple 16 ga., 11/4" long	3 6
34	25/32" structural cellulose fiberboard sheathing	13/4" galvanized roofing nail, 7/16" head diameter, or 1" crown staple 16 ga., 11/4" long	3 6
35	1/2" gypsum sheathing	11/2" galvanized roofing nail, staple galvanized 11/2"-long, 11/4" screws, Type W or S	7 7
36	5/8" gypsum sheathing	13/4" galvanized roofing nail, staple galvanized 15/8"-long, 15/8" screws, Type W or S	7 7
Wood structural panels, combination subfloor underlayment to framing			
37	3/4" and less	8d deformed (2" x 0.120") nail, or 8d common (21/2" x 0.131") nail	6 12
38	7/8"-1"	8d common (21/2" x 0.131") nail, or 8d deformed (21/2" x 0.120") nail	6 12
39	11/8"-11/4"	1-10d common (3" x 0.148") nail, or 8d deformed (21/2" x 0.120") nail	6 12

a. Nails are smooth-common, box or deformed shanks except where otherwise stated. Nails used for framing and sheathing connections shall have minimum average bending yield strengths as shown: 80 ksi for shank diameter of 0.192 inch (20d common nail), 90 ksi for shank diameters larger than 0.142 inch but not larger than 0.177 inch, and 100 ksi for shank diameters of 0.142 inch or less.

b. Staples are 16 gage wire and have a minimum 7/16-inch on diameter crown width.

c. Nails shall be spaced at not more than 6 inches on center at all supports where spans are 48 inches or greater.

d. Four-foot by 8-foot or 4-foot by 9-foot panels shall be applied vertically.

e. Spacing of fasteners not included in this table shall be based on Table R602.3(2).

f. Where the ultimate design wind speed is 130 mph or less, nails for attaching wood structural panel roof sheathing to gable end wall framing shall be spaced 6 inches on center. Where the ultimate design wind speed is greater than 130 mph, nails for attaching panel roof sheathing to intermediate supports shall be spaced 6 inches on center for minimum 48-inch distance from ridges, eaves and gable end walls, and 4 inches on center to gable end wall framing.

g. Gypsum sheathing shall conform to ASTM C-1396 and shall be installed in accordance with CA 263. Fiberboard sheathing shall conform to ASTM C208.


h. Spacing of fasteners on floor sheathing panel edges applies to panel edges supported by framing members and required blocking and at floor perimeters only.

i. Spacing of fasteners on roof sheathing panel edges applies to panel edges supported by framing members and required blocking. Blocking of roof or floor sheathing panel edges perpendicular to the framing members need not be provided except as required by other provisions of this code. Floor perimeter shall be supported by framing members or solid blocking.

j. Where a rafter i.

SITE PLAN NOTES

- 3. a. PROVIDE 2% SLOPE AWAY FROM THE PROPOSED BUILDING FOR A MINIMUM OF 5 FEET IF PHYSICAL OBSTRUCTIONS OR LOT LINES PROHIBIT 5' OF HORIZ. DIST. FORM FND THEN A SWALE THAT RUNS PARALLEL TO THE FND MAY BE USED AND IS REQUIRED TO BE A MIN SLOPE OF 2%.
- b. ALL OTHER SITE GRADINGS OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING ENVELOPE IS REQUIRED TO BE A MINIMUM OF 5% DIRECTED TOWARDS THE STREET
- c. SHOULD THERE BE SIGNIFICANT 'GRADING', THEN A CERTIFIED GRADING PLAN WILL BE REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED BY A REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER (ALTERNATE MEANS AND METHODS MAY BE CONSIDERED)
4. NO ON-SITE WATER RETENTION OR DRAINAGE INTO ADJACENT PROPERTIES. DRAIN TO THE STREET OR DESIGNED BASING.
5. THE ENTIRE SITE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM OF 5 PERCENT SLOPE FOR 10' FROM FND FOR DRAINAGE.
6. ALL WATER DRAINAGE SHALL BE TO THE STREET OR APPROVED LOCATION.
7. ANY HVAC EQUIPMENT LOCATED ON SITE SHALL NOT BE LOCATED WITHIN THE BUILDING SETBACKS.
8. REPAIR AND DAMAGED AND/OR OFF GRADE OFF SITE CONCRETE IMPROVEMENTS AS DETERMINED BY CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT DIVISION PRIOR TO OCCUPANCY.
9. ANY SURVEY MONUMENTS WITHIN THE AREA OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE PRESERVED OR RESET BY A REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER OR LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR.
10. ALL PUBLIC CONCRETE SIDEWALK FLATWORK TO BE INSPECTED PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF CONCRETE.
11. INSTALL STREET ADDRESS NUMERALS, AT LEAST 4" HIGH WITH MINIMUM 1/2" STROKE, MOUNTED ON A CONTRASTING BACKGROUND CLEARLY VISIBLE FROM THE STREET PRIOR TO CALLING FOR THE FIRST INSPECTION.
12. DRIVEWAYS TO RESIDENTIAL GARAGES SHALL HAVE A MAX SLOPE OF 12 PERCENT FOR A MIN OF 20'-0" FRO THE GARAGE. NO PORTION OF THE DRIVEWAY SHALL EXCEED A GRADE OF 18 PERCENT.
13. BUILDING SITE SHALL BE CLEARED AND ALL VEGETATION, TREE ROOTS OR OTHER FOREIGN MATTER SHALL BE REMOVED TO A MINIMUM OF 12"
14. 2 WORKING DAYS BEFORE COMMENCING EXCAVATION OPERATIONS WITHIN THE STREET RIGHT OF WAY AND/OR UTILITY EASEMENT. ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND FACILITIES SHALL HAVE BEEN LOCATED BY UNDERGROUND SERVICES ALERT (USA) CALL 1-800-842-2444
15. ALL EXISTING DRIVEWAY APPROACHES WHICH NO LONGER PROVIDE APPROVED ACCESS TO APPROVED VEHICLE PARKING AREAS SHALL BE REMOVED, UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE CITY ENGINEER. SUCH AREAS SHALL BE RECONSTRUCTED WITH CURB, GUTTER AND SIDEWALK TO MATCH EXISTING ADJACENT STREET IMPROVEMENTS. THIS WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED AND ACCEPTED BEFORE A PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY IS ISSUED OR THE BUILDING IS OCCUPIED.
16. CONSTRUCT CONCRETE SIDEWALKS, CURBS, GUTTERS, AND DRIVEWAY APPROACHES TO PUBLIC WORKS STANDARDS SPECIFICATIONS.
17. CHEMICAL TOILET IS REQUIRED ON SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION.
18. APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES:
2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE 2019 CALIFORNIA GREEN CODE
2019 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE 2019 CALIFORNIA RESIDENTIAL CODE
2019 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE
2019 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE
2019 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE
2019 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE
Structural design and details fully conform to all appropriate requirements of the California Residential Code



ENERGY STANDARDS (SOLAR READY BUILDING REQUIREMENTS)

PROVIDE MINIMUM 200 AMP MAIN SERVICE ENTRANCE WITH END FEED BUSS. (CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE SECTION 110.10(e) 1 AND 2 (NO CENTER FEED BUSS PANELS ALLOWED, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY LISTED BY THE ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURE AS SOLAR READY)

MAIN SERVICE PANEL SHALL RESERVE AS A MINIMUM, A SPACE FOR A DOUBLE POLE CIRCUIT BREAKER AT THE OPPOSITE END OF THE BUSS FROM THE UTILITY FEED POINT AND SHALL BE MARKED 'FOR FUTURE SOLAR ELECTRIC' (CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE SECTION 110.10(e) 2 A AND B)

ALL BUILDINGS TAHT MUST INCLUDE A SOLAR ZONE MUST ALSO INCLUDE A PLAN FOR CONNECTING A PV AND SWH SYSTEM TO THE BUILDING'S ELECTRICAL AND PLUMBING SYSTEM. THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS SHALL INDICATE:
a) THE LOCATION FOR INVERTERS AND METERING EQUIPMENT FOR FUTURE SOLAR ELECTRIC SYSTEMS (CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE 110.10(e))
b) A PATHWAY FOR ROUTING CONDUIT FROM THE SOLAR ZONE TO THE POINT OF INTERCONNECTION WITH THE ELECTRICAL SERVICE (CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE 110.10(e))
c) A PATHWAY FOR ROUTING OF PLUMBING FROM THE SOLAR ZONE TO THE WATER HEATING SYSTEM (CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE 110.10(e))

GROUND SNOW LOAD	WIND DESIGN SPEED (MPH)	TOPOGRAPHIC EFFECTS	SEISMIC DESIGN CAT	SUBJECT TO DAMAGE FROM WEATHERING	FROST LINE DEPTH	TERMITE	WINTER DESIGN TEMP	ICE BARRIER UNDERLAYMENT REQUIRED	FLOOD HAZARDS	AIR FREEZING INDEX	MEAN ANNUAL TEMP
0	110 MPH	NO EXP. C	D	<5000"=NEG	<5000"=12"	YES	24°	<5000"=NO	NONE	1500 OR LESS	63.3°

SITE INFORMATION

OCCUPANCY OF BUILDING _____ R1 (RESIDENCE) U (GARAGE)
CONSTRUCTION TYPE _____ VB
NUMBER OF STORIES _____ 1
BUILDING HEIGHT _____ ±17'-6"
LOT SIZE _____ 6075 SF
LOT COVERAGE _____ 33.06%

SQUARE FOOTAGE

MAIN LEVEL 1348 SF
GARAGE 431 SF
COVERED PORCH 120 SF
COVERED PATIO 110 SF

BASIC WIND SPEED AND WIND EXPOSURE (110 MPH, EXPOSURE C)

SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY AND SITE CLASS (SDC D, SITE CLASS D), I = 1

SMs = 903 Pa = 1.199
SM1 = 530 Pw = 1.817
SD = 602 Sa = 754
SD1 = 353 S1 = 292

LATITUDE 36.3435338
LONGITUDE -119.6504242
ALLOWABLE SOIL BEARING PRESSURE 1500 PSF
CONCRETE DESIGN STRENGTH 2500 PSF

CLIMATE ZONE 13

ROOF LOAD PER TRUSS CO.

LOAD CASE: STANDARD

TCCL 20.0

TCCL 14.0

BCDL 10.0

APPROVED

JAS PACIFIC BUILDING PLAN CHECK DIVISION

THIS APPROVED DRAWING SHALL NOT BE CHANGED OR ALTERED WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM THE BUILDING INSPECTION DIVISION. THE STAMPING OF THESE PLANS SHALL NOT BE HELD TO PERMIT OR TO APPROVE THE VIOLATION OF ANY CITY/COUNTY ORDINANCE OR STATE LAW.

THIS APPROVED DRAWING SHALL BE KEPT ON THE CONSTRUCTION PREMISES AT ALL TIMES DURING WHICH THE WORK AUTHORIZED IS IN PROGRESS AND SHALL BE OPEN TO INSPECTION BY PUBLIC OFFICIALS.

REVISIONS	BY

SANTOS HERRERA
811 W. SEVENTH ST. HANFORD CA 93230
559-362-7695

Melissa F. Camp
Drafting & Design Services
4812 Ave 400, Dinuba, CA 93618
Phone (559) 300-7661
ask4prints@gmail.com

M Camp
9-29-2020

INDEX

- 1 - SITE PLAN
- 2 - FLOOR PLAN
- 3 - ELEVATIONS
- 4 - FOUNDATION & SHEAR WALL
- 5 - ROOF DRAINING
- 6 - ELECTRICAL
- 7 - MECHANICAL
- 8 - TITLE 24
- 9 - TITLE 24 & MM
- 10 - CALGREEN CHECK LIST

DRAWN MFC
CHECKED MFC
DATE
JOB NO.
SHEET NO.
1
OF SHEETS

GENERAL NOTES

- DO NOT SCALE FROM DRAWINGS, WRITTEN DIMENSIONS HAVE PRECEDENCE OVER ALL ELSE. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE DESIGNER AND THE OWNER PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK.
- FIELD VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, MATERIAL AND SITE CONDITIONS.
- CONSTRUCTION, DIMENSIONS, AND MATERIAL SHALL BE AS PER CURRENT EDITION OF THE CBC, CA TITLE 24 2016 CODES AND/OR LOCAL GOVERNING CODES.
- DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE OF STUDS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- OWNER/BUILDER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING ROOF TRUSS SYSTEM DETAILS AND ENGINEERING.
- INSULATE ALL EXTERIOR WALLS WITH R-21 MIN. BATT INSULATION. CEILINGS WITH R-49 INSULATION, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THESE PLANS AND DRAWINGS.
- ROOMS W/ FIXED GLASS & BATHROOMS & LAUNDRY ROOMS SHALL HAVE 50 CU PM - INTERMITTENT OR 20 CU PM - CONTINUOUS VENTILATION.
- INTERIOR WALL COVERINGS SHALL PER CRC 302.9 & 302.10
- BLOWN INSULATION, BLOWN OR POUR TYPE INSULATION MATERIAL SHALL ONLY BE USED IN ATTIC SPACES WHERE SLOPE DOES NOT EXCEED 3/12 PITCH.
- WHEN EAVE VENTS ARE INSTALLED BAFFLES SHALL BE IN PLACE AT THE TIME OF FRAMING INSPECTION.
- AFTER INSTALLATION OF WALL, CEILING OR FLOOR INSULATION, THE INSTALLER SHALL POST IN A CONSPICUOUS LOCATION IN THE BUILDING, A CERTIFICATE SIGNED BY THE INSTALLER STATING THAT THE INSTALLATION WAS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF TITLE 24, PART 2, CHAPTER 2-53 OF THE CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.
- AFTER INSTALLING WATER HEATING SYSTEMS, FENSTRATION, AND EQUIPMENT, THE INSTALLER SHALL SUBMIT AN INSTALLATION CERTIFICATE (CF-6R FORM) COMPLETED & SIGNED BY THE INSTALLER, LISTING THE EQUIPMENT INSTALLED, (MANUF. MODEL, & EFF. U-VALUES & SHGC VALUES ETC) AND THAT IT MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ENERGY DOCUMENTATION) AND DIAGNOSTIC TESTING FORM (CF-4R) IF APPLICABLE TO THE CITY/COUNTY PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION ATTIC ACCESS. THE ATTIC ACCESS SHALL BE WEATHER-STRIPPED, AND INSULATION EQUIVALENT TO THAT OF THE CEILING SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE ACCESS PANEL IN THE ATTIC SIDE OF CEILING. MIN ATTIC ACCESS 22"x30" ROUGH FRAME.
- PROVIDE FIRE-BLOCKING AT THE 10' HEIGHT INTERVALS AND AT ALL OF THE FLOOR AND CEILING LEVELS.
- FINGER JOINTED STUDS ARE NOT ALLOWED IN STRUCTURAL WALLS (BEARING OR SHEAR WALLS) WITHOUT ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OR ICBO APPROVAL TO SUBSTANTIATE EQUIVALENCE.
- PROVIDE A NON-REMOVABLE TYPE BACK FLOW PREVENTION DEVICE ON ALL HOSE BIBBS.
- SMOKE DETECTORS ARE REQUIRED TO BE DIRECT WIRED, INTER-CONNECTED AND BE EQUIPPED WITH A BATTERY BACK-UP. DETECTORS MUST EMIT A SIGNAL WHEN BATTERIES ARE LOW. BATTERY OPERATED OK IN EXISTING BLDG.
- MANUFACTURER'S CERTIFICATION OF GLU-LAM BEAMS MUST BE PROPERLY IDENTIFIED FOR THE LOCATION AND SPECIFIC JOB, AND BE PROVIDED BEFORE THE FRAMING INSPECTION.
- PROVIDE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS AT JOB SITE FOR INSPECTION OF WOOD BURNING STOVE.
- PROPANE LOG LIGHTERS ARE NOT ALLOWED.
- THE INSULATION SHALL CONFORM TO FLAME-SPREAD RATING AND SMOKE DENSITY REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 707.3 OF THE 2016 CBC & CRC 302.9&10
- ALL STUDS, TOP PLATES & SILL PLATES TO BE 2x4 DF#2 OR BETTER. ALL HEADERS & BEAMS TO BE DF#2 OR BETTER.
- ALL STUDS SHALL BE 2x4 MIN DF#2, OR BETTER W/ SPACING @ 16" O.C.
- CONTINUOUS 2x4 DF #2 STUDS @ 16" O.C. EXCEEDING 14'-0" AT GABLE WALLS SHALL BE 2x6.
- ANCHOR TIES EVERY 2 SQ FT AND 16" O.C. HORIZ., 16" O.C. VERT. FURNACE AIR DUCTS IN GARAGE THAT PASS THRU THE LIVING/GARAGE COMMON WALL SHALL BE NO. 26 GAGE STEEL OR THICKER.
- 3/16" AND 7/16" WAFFERBOARD, ORIENTED STRAND BOARD, AND PARTICLEBOARD REQUIRE TONGUE AND GROOVE EDGES OR MUST BE SUPPORTED WITH BLOCKING OR EDGE CLIPS.
- FOUNDATION GRADE REDWOOD OR PRESSURE TREATED WOOD TO BE USED FOR SILLS ON CONCRETE IN DIRECT CONTACT WITH THE GROUND.
- GREEN VINYL SINKERS TO NOT MEET THE NAILING REQUIREMENTS FOR MOST BOX AND COMMON NAIL CONNECTIONS.
- FIELD CUTTING ENDS, NOTCHES, AND DRILLED HOLES IN PRESERVATIVE-TREATED WOOD SHALL BE TREATED IN THE FIELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWPA M4.

ENERGY NOTES

- BUILDER SHALL PROVIDE THE ORIGINAL OCCUPANT WITH A LIST OF THE HEATING, COOLING, WATER HEATING AND LIGHTING SYSTEMS AND CONSERVATION OR SOLAR DEVICES INSTALLED IN THE BUILDING AND INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO USE THEM EFFICIENTLY.
- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE TO THE BUILDING DIVISION A COMPLETED CEC FORM CF-2R & CF-3R PRIOR TO THE REQUEST FOR A FINAL BUILDING INSPECTION. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT NO FINAL INSPECTION WILL BE MADE UNTIL THIS FORM IS SUBMITTED.
- EXTERIOR ENVELOPE OF THE DWELLING SHALL BE CAULKED AND SEALED TO LIMIT AIR INFILTRATION.
- MANUFACTURED DOOR AND WINDOWS SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO MEET CEC REQUIREMENTS.
- AUTOMATIC SETBACK THERMOSTAT TO BE PROVIDED.
- SPACE CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT SHALL BE CERTIFIED BY THE CEC.
- LUMINARIES RECESSED IN INSULATED CEILINGS MUST MEET THREE REQUIREMENTS:
THEY MUST BE RATED FOR DIRECT INSULATION CONTACT (IC)
THEY MUST BE CERTIFIED AS AIRTIGHT CONSTRUCTION
THEY MUST HAVE A SEALED GASKET OR CHALKING BETWEEN THE HOUSING AND CEILING (CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE)
THEY MAY NOT CONTAIN A SCREW BASE SOCKET
THEY SHALL CONTAIN A JAS COMPLIANT LIGHT SOURCE
- LIGHTING AND CONTROLS SHALL CONFORM TO CURRENT BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS.
- AFTER INSTALLING WATER HEATING SYSTEMS, FENSTRATION, AND EQUIPMENT, THE INSTALLER SHALL SUBMIT AN INSTALLATION CERTIFICATE (CF-2R FORM) COMPLETED & SIGNED BY THE INSTALLER, LISTING THE EQUIPMENT INSTALLED, (MANUF. MODEL, & EFF. U-VALUES & SHGC VALUES ETC) AND THAT IT MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ENERGY DOCUMENTATION) AND DIAGNOSTIC TESTING FORM (CF-3R) IF APPLICABLE TO THE CITY/COUNTY PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION JOINTS AND OTHER OPENINGS IN THE BUILDING ENVELOPE THAT ARE POTENTIAL SOURCES OF AIR LEAKAGE SHALL BE CAULKED, EQUIPPED WITH GASKETS, WEATHER STRIPPED, OR OTHERWISE SEALED TO LIMIT INTERNAL OR EXTERNAL AIR FILTRATION.
- EVERY MANUFACTURED AND SITE BUILT FENSTRATION PRODUCT OR FENSTRATION SYSTEM INSTALLED IN CONSTRUCTION TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH 2016 BEES SECTION 110.6
SHALL HAVE ATTACHED TO IT A CLEARLY VISIBLE TEMPORARY LABEL OR HAVE AN ASSOCIATED LABEL CERTIFICATE THAT LISTS THE U-FACTOR, THE SOLAR HEAT GAIN COEFFICIENT (SHGC) OF THAT PRODUCT AND THE METHOD USED TO DERIVE THOSE VALUES, AND CERTIFIES COMPLIANCE WITH AIR LEAKAGE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE, 110.6 THE LABEL SHALL NOT BE REMOVED UNTIL APPROVED BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR.
- THE INSULATION SHALL CONFORM TO FLAME-SPREAD RATING AND SMOKE DENSITY REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 707.3 OF THE 2016 CBC & CRC 302.9&10
- AFTER INSTALLING WALL, CEILING OR FLOOR INSULATION, THE INSTALLER SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE TO THE ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OR POST IN A CONSPICUOUS LOCATION IN THE BUILDING, A CERTIFICATE SIGNED BY THE INSTALLER STATING THAT THE INSTALLATION IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. THE CERTIFICATE SHALL ALSO STATE THE MANUFACTURER'S NAME AND MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION, THE INSTALLED R-VALUE, AND (IN APPLICATIONS OF LOOSE FILL INSULATION) THE MINIMUM INSTALLED WEIGHT PER SQUARE FOOT PER SQUARE FOOT CONSISTENT WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S LABELLED DESIGN DENSITY FOR THE DESIRED R-VALUE.
- PROVIDE BATT INSULATION IN CEILING WHERE THE SLOPE EXCEEDS 2.5:12
- Certificate of Installation: Provide note on plan: "REGISTERED" copies of the CF-2R and CF-3R forms shall be submitted prior to final inspection, signed by certified by the installer(s) for the CF-2R form, and the HERS Rater, for Field Verification and Diagnostic Testing on the CF-3R form. (CEES section 10-103(a)(3) and 10-103(a)(5)) Provide note on the plans.

KITCHEN NOTES

- UPPER CABINETS SHALL BE A MIN. OF 18" ABOVE FINISHED DECK OR THE HOOD IS TO BE INSTALLED PER MANUFACTURERS REQUIREMENTS WITH CLEARANCES AS REQ. BY THE RANGE/COOKTOP MANUFACTURERS.
- INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS. PROVIDE MIN CLEARANCES TO COMBUSTIBLE MATERIALS PER CMC 906.1* & 508.6
- FLEX-DUCT SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED FOR HOOD EXHAUST SYSTEMS. COMBUSTION AIR OPENINGS OR DUCT SHALL BE PROVIDED WITHIN THE UPPER & LOWER 12" OF THE ENCLOSURE. COMBUSTION AIR OPENINGS OR DUCTS SHALL BE A MIN OF 100 SQ IN ADD 1 SQ IN COMBUSTION AIR PER 1000 BTU IN EXCESS OF 100,000 BTU/H AS REQUIRED BY CMC.
- WALL SURFACE BEHIND CERAMIC TILE OR OTHER FINISH WALL MATERIALS ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED OF MATERIAL NOT AFFECTED BY WATER. IF GYPSUM BD IS TO BE USED IT MUST BE APPROVED WR BOARD INSTALLED ACCORDING TO CRC STANDARDS.

WATER HEATER/FURNACE NOTES

- PROVIDE WATER HEATER & FURNACE WITH A TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE RELIEF VALVE HAVING A FULL SIZE DRAIN OR GALVANIZED STEEL OR HARD DRAWN COPPER AND DISCHARGE TO THE OUTSIDE OF A BUILDING IN AN APPROVED MANNER.
- SECURE AND ANCHOR WATER HEATER & FURNACE WITH RIGID CONNECTIONS OR A MIN. OF A 2x6 GA x 2" WIDE SH-1 MTL. STRAP WITH A MIN OF (2) 16d NAILS OR (1) #12 GA x 1 1/2" WOOD SCREW INTO 2x4 SOLID BLOCKING. THE STRAPS SHALL BE INSTALLED WITHIN THE UPPER 1/3 AND LOWER 1/3 OF THE W/H VERTICAL DIMENSION. THE LOWER POINT SHALL BE MIN. OF 4" ABOVE CONTROLS.
- Isolation valves: Instantaneous water heaters with an input rating greater than 6.8 BTU/hr, shall have isolation valves on both the cold-water supply and the hot water pipe leaving the water heater, and hose bibs or other fittings on each valve for flushing the water heater when the valves are closed. Specify size of tank-less water heater on the floor plan per CF1R, §110.3(c) 7 CEC

LAUNDRY NOTES


- DRYER VENT SHALL NOT EXCEED A COMBINED VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL LENGTH OF 14' INCLUDING 2 (TWO) 90° ELBOWS.

BATHROOM NOTES


- CEMENT, FIBER-CEMENT OR GLASS MAT GYPSUM BACKERS INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURERS RECOMMENDATIONS SHALL BE USED AS A BASE FOR WALL TILE IN TUB AND SHOWER AREAS AND WALL AND CEILING PANELS IN SHOWER AREAS (CRC 2016 R702.4.2) REGULAR GYPSUM BOARD IS PERMITTED UNDER TILE OR WALL PANELS IN OTHER WALL AND CEILING AREAS (CRC 2016 R702.3.1)
- ALL TUBS AND SHOWERS SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMPLETE FRAMING OF ALL BATHS. INSTALL BRASS FERRULE FITTING.
- SHOWER AND TUB ENCLOSURE SHALL CONFORM TO CPC AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM INTERIOR AREA OF 1024 SQ IN ABLE TO ENCOMPASS A 30" DIAMETER CIRCLE AND HAVE A THRESHOLD 2'-9" DEEP.
- WATER CLOSETS: WALL CLOSETS SHALL BE LOW-FLOW WATER TYPE WITH A MAXIMUM CAPACITY OF 1.6 GALLON.
- SHOWER DOORS MUST BE AT LEAST 22" WIDE.
- ALL SHOWER/TUB AND SHOWER VALVES ARE TO BE SINGLE CONTROL. PRESSURE BALANCING OR THERMOSTATIC ANTI-SCALD TYPE. MAX SETTING IS 120°F.
- SHOWERS SHALL HAVE WATERPROOFING FINISH AT WALLS UP TO AT LEAST 70" ABOVE THE SHOWER DRAIN. SHOWER CURTAINS OR SHOWER SAFETY ENCLOSURES ARE REQUIRED.
- JETTED TUBS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS U.O.N.A. REMOVABLE PANEL OF SUFFICIENT DIMENSION SHALL BE PROVIDED TO ACCESS PUMP/WH/OUT DAMAGING OPENING. THE CIRCULATION PUMP SHALL BE LOCATED ABOVE THE CROWN WEIR TRAP. THE PUMP AND CIRCULATION PIPING SHALL BE SELF DRAINING TO MINIMIZE WATER RETENTION. SUCTION FITTINGS ON WHIRLPOOL BATHTUBS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LISTED STANDARDS (CPC 413)
- R702.3.7) WATER RESISTANT GYPSUM BACKING BOARD LIMITATIONS (CRC 2016) SHALL NOT BE USED IN THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:
- OVER A VAPOR RETARDER IN SHOWER OR BATHROOM COMPARTMENT
- WHERE THERE WILL BE DIRECT EXPOSURE TO WATER OR IN AREAS SUBJECT TO CONTINUOUS HIGH HUMIDITY
- ON CEILINGS WHERE FRAME SPACING EXCEEDS 12" O.C. FOR 3/8" THICK WATER RESISTANT GYPSUM BOARD OR MORE THAN 16" O.C. FOR 1/2" THICK WATER RESISTANT GYPSUM BOARD

WINDOW & DOOR NOTES

- ALL WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SLIDING GLASS DOORS SHALL BE DUAL-GLAZED PANE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THESE PLANS.
- WINDOWS TO MEET THE MIN STANDARDS AS EST BY THE CBC STDS
- WIDTH OF DOOR WITH 36" MIN IN DIRECTION OF TRAVEL (CRC 311.3.3/4) MAXIMUM THRESHOLD HEIGHT FOR SLIDING DOORS SERVING DWELLING UNITS AND 1/2" FOR OTHERS, MEASURED FROM TOP OF FLOOR. RAISED THRESHOLDS AND FLOOR LEVEL CHANGES GREATER THAN 1/4" AT DOORWAYS SHALL BE BEVELED WITH A SLOPE NOT GREATER THAN ONE UNIT VERTICAL IN TWO UNITS HORIZONTAL (CRC 311.3.7) 3/4" MAX STEP DOWN FROM TOP OF THRESHOLD (CRC 311.3.1)
- EMERGENCY EGRESS WINDOWS OR DOORS TO EXTERIOR SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR BEDROOMS MINIMUM NET CLEAR OPENING WIDTH TO BE 20 INCHES
- WINDOW AREA MUST BE AT LEAST 8 % OF THE FLOOR AREA, 10 SQ FT MINIMUM IN HABITABLE ROOMS (FRENCH DOORS) ARE REQUIRED TO MEET THE LIGHT AND VENTILATION AREA REQUIREMENTS, 4 % OF THE FLR AREA SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR NATURAL VENTILATION
- MAXIMUM NET CLEAR OPENING HEIGHT OF 44" ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR FOR ALL WINDOWS USED FOR EMERGENCY EXITS.
- LABELED SAFETY GLASS IS REQUIRED IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS ALL GLASS IN DOORS, WINDOWS LESS THAN 60" ABOVE WALKING SURFACE IN WALLS ENCLOSING STAIRWAYS, GLAZING WITHIN 5' OF TOP AND BOTTOM OF STAIRS/POOLS WHERE BOTTOM OF WINDOW IS LESS THAN 60" ABOVE WALKING SURFACES, AND GLAZING THAT IS SUBJECT TO IMPACTS SUCH AS SLIDING SNOW.
- PROVIDE DEAD BOLT @ ALL EXTERIOR DOORS, STEEL PLATE AT THE DEAD BOLT STRIKER, SOLID SHIM 6" ABOVE AND BELOW WITH 2-#8 x 2" SCREWS. THE LOAD RESISTANCE OF GLASS UNDER UNIFORM LOAD SHALL BE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM 1530.
- PROVIDE A FLOOR OR LANDING ON EACH SIDE OF EVERY EXTERIOR DOOR. LANDIG SHALL HAVE A WIDTH NOT LESS THAN THE WIDTH OF THE DOOR
- THE LANDING AT REQUIRED OUT-SWING DOOR SHALL NOT BE MORE THAN 1/2' LOWER THAN THE TOP OF THE THRESHOLD
- THE LANDING AT IN-SWING DOORS AND DOORS OTHER THAN THE REQUIRED EGRESS SHALL NOT BE MORE THAN 7 3/4" BELOW THE TOP OF THE THRESHOLD
- MAXIMUM SLOPE OF ANY LANDING SHALL NOT EXCEED 1/4" PER FOOT
- THE NFRC TEMPORARY LABEL DISPLAYED ON WINDOWS MUST REMAI ON THE UNIT UNTIL FINAL INSPECTION HAS BEEN COMPLETED




TYPICAL FRAMING DETAIL



DRYER & HOOD DUCT SCHEMATIC

DRYER VENT ISOMETRIC									
Section	Item	Size (Inches)	Length (Feet)	f' Feet of 1" C"	Velocity (fpm)	Velocity Pressure	Pressure Drop		
B-B	Duct	4	1	0.42	1400	0.12	0.05		
B-C	Duct	3x4	10	0.01	1400	0.10	0.10		
C-D	Transition	4 to 5	-	0.19	1400	0.12	0.02		
D-E	Duct	5	13	0.0032	875	-	0.02		
E-F	Transition	5 to 4	20	0.19	1400	0.12	0.02		
							0.25		



QUALITY INSULATION INSPECTION REQUIRED (QII)

PV SYSTEM 3.32 kWdc

EXTERIOR WALLS TO BE 2x6 DF#2 @ 16" O.C.
INTERIOR WALLS TO BE 2x4 DF#2 @ 16" O.C.
WALLS TO BE R-21 w/ 1 COAT STUCCO (R4) - LIVING
WALLS TO BE R-13 w/ 1 COAT STUCCO (R4) - GARAGE
CLG TO BE R-38 @ CEILING W/ R-13 @ RAFTERS (LIVING)
CLG TO BE R-38 (GARAGE)

CONTINUOUS INSULATION
R-4 OR GREATER
ICC-ES ESR-1061
Minimum Airflow
Verified Refrigerant Charge
Fan Efficiency Watts/CFM
HVAC Distribution System Verifications:
Duct Sealing
Domestic Hot Water System Verifications:
-- None --

WINDOWS TO BE MIN
FENSTRATION U FACTOR - 32
FENSTRATION SHGC - .25
HEATING AFUE - 80% MIN
A/C SEER - 14.00 MIN 11.0 EER
DUCT INSULATION - R-8
TANKLESS WATER HEATER EF - 0.84 MIN

SQUARE FOOTAGE

MAIN LEVEL	1348 SF
GARAGE	431 SF
COVERED PORCH	120 SF
COVERED PATIO	110 SF

HERS FEATURE SUMMARY

Building-level Verifications:
High quality insulation installation (QII)
IAQ mechanical ventilation
Cooling System Verifications:
Minimum Airflow
Verified Refrigerant Charge
Fan Efficiency Watts/CFM
HVAC Distribution System Verifications:
Duct Sealing
Domestic Hot Water System Verifications:
-- None --

INDEX

DRAWN

MFC

CHECKED

MFC

DATE

JOB NO.

SHEET NO.

2

OF

SHEETS

Melissa F. Camp
Drafting & Design Services
4812 Ave 400, Dinuba, CA 93618
Phone (559) 300-7661
ask4prints@gmail.com

M Camp
9-29-2020

Notice of Exemption 2021-12

To: ☐ Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 212
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

☒ County Clerk
County of Kings
Kings County Government Center
Hanford, CA 93230

From: City of Hanford
317 North Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230

Project Title: Tentative Parcel Map 2021-01

Project Location – 811 W. Seventh Street (APN 012-102-012)

Project Location – City: Hanford **Project Location – County:** Kings County

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project: Tentative Parcel Map No. 2021-01: a request to subdivide a 19,290 sq. ft. (0.44 acres) parcel into two 9,645 sq. ft. (0.22 acres) parcels for single-family residential use in the O-R Office Residential zone district.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: City of Hanford, Planning Division

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Rosario Herrera

Exempt Status: **(check one)**

- ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 152698);
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269 (a));
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
☒ Categorical Exemption: State type and section number: 15315 Minor Land Divisions
☐ Statutory Exemption. State code number:

Reasons why project is exempt:

The City of Hanford determined the project to be exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15315 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. The project meets the following conditions stated in Section 15315: the project is a division of property in an urbanized area zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use into four or fewer parcels when the division is in conformance with the General Plan and zoning; no variances or exceptions are required; all services and access to the proposed parcels to local standards are available; the parcel was not involved in a division of a larger parcel within the previous 2 years; the parcel does not have an average slope greater than 20 percent.

Lead Agency

Contact Person: Mark Manha Area Code/ Telephone: (559) 585-2583

Signature: _____ Date: October 12, 2021 Title: Assistant Planner

☒ Signed by Lead Agency Date received for filing at OPR: _____
☐ Signed by Applicant

Attachment: Attachment 3: Notice of Exemption No. 2021-12 (TPM 2021-01)



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 10/12/2021	AGENDA SECTION: 3
---------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01: A request by Complete Wireless Consulting to construct a 99-foot-tall monopole for AT&T with associated antennas and ground and tower equipment in the C-S Service Commercial zone district. Variance No. 2021-04: A request to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code: a) Section 17.20.130 B., in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF zone; b) Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter of the lease area; and c) Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue. The project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032).

See staff report, attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Staff Report - Planning Commission
 Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP
 Resoluion No. 2021-22 VAR A and B
 Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C
 Attachment 1 - Site Plan Review No. 2020-03
 Attachment 2 - Project Support Statement
 Attachment 3 - Site Plan Review Conditions of Approval
 Attachment 4 - Elevations
 Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study
 Attachment 6 - Zoning Propigation Maps
 Attachment 7 - Environmental Noise Study
 Attachment 8 - Variance Support Statement
 Attahcment 9 - Notice of Exemption

**CITY OF HANFORD PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT
Tuesday, October 12, 2021**

PROJECT: **Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01:** A request by Complete Wireless Consulting to construct a 99-foot-tall monopole for AT&T with associated antennas and ground and tower equipment in the C-S Service Commercial zone district.

Variance No. 2021-04: A request to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code:

- a) Section 17.20.130 B., in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF zone;
- b) Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter of the lease area; and
- c) Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue.

LOCATION: The project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032).

PLANNER: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

- 1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-18, approving Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01.
- 2. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-22, approving Variance No. 2021-04, Parts a and b.
- 3. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-23, denying Variance No. 2021-04, Part c.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

- 1. I move to adopt Resolution No. 2021-18, approving Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01.
- 2. I move to adopt Resolution No. 2021-22, approving Variance No. 2021-04, Parts a and b.
- 3. I move to adopt Resolution No. 2021-23, denying Variance No. 2021-04, Part c.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01 is a request to construct a 99-foot-tall monopole for AT&T with associated antennas and ground and tower equipment in the C-S Service Commercial zone district – **Attachment 1**.

According to the Project Support Statement, provided by the applicant, **Attachment 2**, "AT&T is seeking to improve communication services in the City of Hanford, specifically a currently

underserved area between 11th and 13th Avenues in northeastern Hanford. Currently, this portion of the AT&T network is suffering from poor coverage due to an insufficient amount of telecommunications facilities and the ever-increasing volume of service. To address this issue, AT&T is proposing a new wireless communications facility on a parcel with existing industrial use in order to improve coverage for both existing and potential customers and to provide capacity relief during peak usage hours to along the area. the increase in wireless services will benefit residents, local businesses, travelers, and public safety communications systems in and around the City of Hanford, including police, fire, and medical services.

The project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue, south of Fargo Avenue, west of the Santa Fe Railroad tracks (APN 008-360-032).

In accordance with Section 17.68.090 of the Hanford Municipal Code, the following wireless communication facilities (WCF) shall obtain a conditional use permit prior to construction or installation, in accordance with Chapter 17.80. A site plan review permit issued in accordance with Chapter 17.72 and a building permit is also required:

B. 2 Installation of a new free-standing antenna/tower with no guy wires in the C-S or C-H, I-L, or I-H zone districts that does not exceed one hundred (100) feet in height.

Variance No. 2021-04 is a request to:

- a) Deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.130 B, in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF Public Facility Zone district; and
- b) Deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the five-foot landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter for the tower's lease area; and
- c) Deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the 15-foot landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue, south of Fargo Avenue, west of the Santa Fe Railroad tracks (APN 008-360-032), as shown in Figure 1. The property is designated by the General Plan as Service Commercial, as shown in Figure 2, and zoned C-S Service Commercial, as shown in Figure 3.

Setting:

The proposed site is the location of the Square-8's Dance Hall. There are two buildings on site that directly abut the Santa Fe Railroad on the eastern property line and Fargo Avenue on the northern property line. The proposed monopole will be located within a 30-foot-by-30-foot lease area on the southern portion of the property, setback approximately 465 feet from the property line adjoining Fargo Avenue.

Figure 1: Land Use (Property outlined in red; approximate lease area shown in black)



Figure 2: General Plan Designation (outlined in black)
C-S Service Commercial





Figure 3: Zoning Designation (outlined in black)
C-S Service Commercial



General Plan Designation

The General Plan designates the property as Service Commercial. The property is zoned C-S Service Commercial, which corresponds with the General Plan Designation. According to General Plan Policy L51, the purpose of the Service Commercial Land Use Designation is to provide for establishments that engage in servicing equipment, materials, products and related sales and travel conveniences, but which do not require the manufacturing, assembly, packaging, or processing of articles or merchandise for distribution. According to General Plan Policy L52, typical uses in the Service Commercial land use designation include a broad range of commercial activities, such as businesses which have both retail and service components. Among these are uses such as vehicle sales and services; auto rental and equipment rental; motels; restaurants (including fast food); service stations; car washes; building material supply, warehousing; wholesale trade; contractors, suppliers, small equipment yards; and other similar uses.

The wireless communication facility is considered ancillary to the land use existing on the site, but would not conflict with the existing and planned uses in the Service Commercial designation. In accordance with Section 17.68.030 A. of the Hanford Municipal Code, antennas and towers may be considered either principle or accessory uses. A different existing use on an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot.

Zoning Designation

The property is zoned C-S Service Commercial, in accordance with the General Plan designation, Service Commercial.

Wireless Communication Facility development is regulated by Section 17.68 of the Hanford Municipal Code. A “wireless communication facility (WCF)” means any unstaffed facility for the transmission and/or reception of wireless telecommunications services, usually consisting of an antenna array, connection cables, and equipment facility, and a support structure to achieve the necessary elevation. The purpose of Section 17.68 is to promote and maintain the purposes and objectives of the city of Hanford zoning ordinance; to ensure the co-location of new and existing tower and antenna sites, whenever possible; to ensure the location of towers and antennas are in areas where the adverse impact on the community is minimal; to ensure that towers and antennas are designed in a way that minimizes the adverse visual impact on the community; and to protect the public safety and general welfare of the community.

In accordance with Section 17.68.090 B. 2. Installation of a new freestanding antenna/tower with no guy wires in the C-S Service Commercial zone district that does not exceed 100 feet in height shall obtain a conditional use permit prior to construction or installation, in accordance with Section 17.80 of the Hanford Municipal Code. A site plan review permit, issued in accordance with Chapter 17.72, and a building permit is also required.

The applicant has submitted Site Plan Review No. 2020-03 for the project – **Attachment 1**. The project has been reviewed by the Site Plan Review Committee and conditioned, accordingly. Conditions of approval appear in **Attachment 3**. All conditions of approval of the site plan review are also conditions of approval of the conditional use permit and variance application.

PROJECT EVALUATION – SECTION 17.68 WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITIES

The proposal has been evaluated, in accordance with the regulations set forth by Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.68 Wireless Communication Facilities. The purpose of Section 17.68 is to promote and maintain the purposes and objectives of the Hanford Zoning Ordinance; to ensure the co-location of new and existing tower and antenna sites whenever possible; to ensure the location of towers and antennas are in areas where the adverse impact on the community is minimal; to ensure that towers and antennas are designed in a way that minimizes the adverse visual impact on the community; and to protect the public safety and general welfare of the community.

17.68.030 Principle or accessory use.

Antennas and towers may be considered either principle or accessory uses. A different existing use or an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot.

Analysis: The proposed site is the location of the Square-8's Dance Hall. The proposed tower will be considered an accessory use on the site.

17.68.040 Measurement of setbacks.

For purposes of determining compliance with development standards in this title, including, but not limited to, setback requirements, lot coverage requirements, and other applicable requirements, the dimensions of the entire lot shall be considered, even though the antennas or towers may be located on leased areas within such lots.

Analysis: The subject property is zoned C-S Service Commercial. In accordance with Section 17.20.060 Building Setback Areas for the C-S Service Commercial zone district, structures shall be setback, as follows:

1. Front setback: 15 feet
2. Rear setback: zero, except where the rear lot line abuts a public street or R-L, R-M, R-H, OR, O, PF, AP, or CO zone district then the rear building setback shall be fifteen (15) feet. The rear property line abuts a PF zone district; therefore, the required setback shall be 15 feet.
3. Side setbacks: The side building setback area shall be zero (0) feet, except that where the side lot line abuts a public street or an R-L, R-M, R-H, OR, O, PF, AP, or CO zone district the side building setback area shall be fifteen (15) feet. The western property line abuts an R-L-5 zone district; therefore, the required side-yard setback shall be 15 feet. The eastern property line abuts the railroad; therefore, no setback is required.

The proposed lease area for the monopole is setback approximately 466 feet from the front property line, 20 feet from the rear property line, 74 feet and three inches from the western side-property line, and 59 feet and 10 inches from the eastern property line. All required setbacks are satisfied.

17.68.050 Effect on nonconforming uses.

Towers that are constructed, and antennas that are installed, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter shall not be deemed to constitute the expansion of a nonconforming use or structure.

Analysis: The use of the site as a dance hall is a conforming use, as club, lounge, or private meeting halls are a permitted use in the C-S Service Commercial zone district. Certain aspects of the site are legally existing, non-conforming, such as parking.

As required, the tower shall not be deemed to constitute an expansion of a nonconforming use or structure; therefore, the provisions of Section 17.90 would not apply.

17.68.060 General standards.

The following requirements and standards shall apply to the construction and installation of all new wireless communication facilities (WCF):

- A. All standards set forth in this chapter;
- B. Any applicable federal, state and local laws, regulation and ordinances;
- C. All applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, Hanford Zoning Ordinance, public works improvements standards, and all applicable fees that are not in conflict with the provisions of this chapter;
- D. The [Uniform Building Code](#), [National Electrical Code](#), [Uniform Plumbing Code](#), [Uniform Mechanical Code](#), and [Uniform Fire Code](#), where applicable; and
- E. All FCC rules, regulations, and standards.

Analysis: The project has been evaluated by the Site Plan Review Committee, which is comprised of representatives from the Building and Planning Division, and the Public Works and Fire Department, in order to ensure conformity with the applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, Zoning Ordinance, Public works Improvement Standards, Uniform Building code, National Electrical Code, Uniform Mechanical Code, and Uniform Fire Code.

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. That all applicable standards of 17.68, shall apply.
2. That any applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations and ordinances shall apply.
3. That all applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, Hanford Zoning Ordinance, public works improvements standards, and all applicable fees that are not in conflict with the provisions of Chapter 17.68, shall apply.
4. That the project conform with all applicable provisions of the [Uniform Building Code](#), [National Electrical Code](#), [Uniform Plumbing Code](#), [Uniform Mechanical Code](#), and [Uniform Fire Code](#).

5. That all FCC rules, regulations, and standards shall apply.

According to the applicant's Project Support Statement, "This project will not interfere with any TV, radio, Telephone, satellite, or other signals. Any interference would be against federal law and a violation of AT&T's FCC License."

17.68.070 Co-location of facilities.


- A. When technically and economically feasible, a new wireless communication facility (WCF) shall be attached to an existing structure (attached WCF), shall co-locate with another WCF, or create sites that will accommodate future co-location of other WCFs in the future.
- B. Any site plan review application proposed in accordance with Chapter 17.72 proposing the construction of a new WCF with a supporting structure shall specifically identify the actions taken by the applicant to locate, construct and operate the new WCF at a co-location. If co-location is not feasible, the application shall specifically identify the locations considered and the reasons why such locations are unacceptable for the construction and operation of the new WCF.

Analysis: According to the applicant's statement of support, "AT&T is proposing a monopole due to the property's existing use. The height of pole and size of lease area will provide other carriers with opportunities for future colocation. AT&T has placed the facility as far back as possible on the parcel to minimize the impact of the tower as much as possible, while still serving a large area that currently has poor wireless service."

The applicant goes on to provide information regarding their site selection criteria and alternatives considered:

"AT&T's engineer began the site selection process by identifying a search area, called a 'search ring', and a required centerline height.

Search Ring Issued by AT&T



The search ring represents the area within which a facility can be located to produce the desired coverage objective. The centerline height of 95' represent the required height of the antennas to produce the desired coverage objective.

AT&T strives to minimize visual and noise impacts for each facility and seeks to incorporate ways to preserve the local community character to the greatest extent feasible at all stages of site selection and design process. Part of this involves seeking properties in areas with substandard wireless coverage that provide the ability to meet community needs, zoning standards, and engineering requirements.

In identifying the location of a new wireless telecommunication facility to fulfill the above referenced service objectives a variety of factors are evaluated. These factors include:

- A willing landlord
- Compliance with local zoning requirements
- Satisfaction of the radiofrequency coverage needs, and

- Constructability, including available utilities and road access.

After evaluating the City's zoning regulations, the next step is to identify any existing wireless facilities or other structures within the search ring that could allow for collocation. In this search ring, there are no existing wireless facilities on which AT&T would be able to collocated, nor are there any suitable structures- the tallest existing structure within the ring is an approximately 30- tall water tank, with the rest of the area consisting of single-family homes, agricultural land, and other assorted single-story structures.

In addition to the lack of suitable collocation candidates, the City of Hanford's wireless code prohibits non-exempt wireless communication facilities in all residential zones, as well as the C-N, MX-N, OR and CO zone districts. This left a total of four properties within the search ring where a facility could not be prohibited. Aside from this project, the other three were owned by the City. They were ultimately not suitable for the following reasons:

- No Street Address, APN 007-350-028-000: This parcel was considered but ruled out for construction reasons. The property is a retention pond, with earthen retaining walls surrounding a lower lying area that takes up most of the parcel. AT&T would be unable to building within the retention pond itself, and most of the perimeter is given over to recreational paths. The remaining space is insufficient for a wireless facility.
- No Street Address, APN 007-360-014-000: This parcel contains a City of Hanford owned water tank and associated facilities. Per the City, the land was unbailable for a wireless facility.
- No Street Address, APN 008-360-014-000; This parcel consists entirely of a City of Hanford owned retention pond. Per the City, there is no available space for a wireless facility.

AT&T therefore determined that there were no other properties that would fulfill the coverage needs for this facility."

The applicant further provides a Statement of Commitment to Allow Collocation, "The proposed facility has been designed in a manner that will structurally accommodate additional antennas and future collocation. AT&T welcomes other carriers to collocate on their facility whenever possible. Additional ground space is available within AT&T's lease area for al least one future carrier."

As a condition of approval of the Site Plan Review, the City will require:

1. That where feasible, the proposed facility shall be made available for collocation.

17.68.080 Exemptions.

- A. The following wireless communications facilities (WCF) shall be exempt from the requirements of Section 17.68.090, and a building permit is not required.
 1. Construction of an antenna under a permit issued by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) or a permit issued in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that specifically allows for the construction of the antenna.

2. Direct broadcast satellite (DBS) antennas, multipoint distribution services (MDS) antennas and television broadcast service (TVBS) antennas that are one (1) meter (3', 3-3/8") or less in diameter or in diagonal measurement, are located entirely on-site, and are not located within the front setback area of the lot on which they are located. This locational requirement is necessary to ensure that such antenna installations do not become attractive nuisances and/or result in safety hazards.
- B. The following wireless communications facilities (WCF) shall be exempt from the requirements of Section [17.68.090](#) of this chapter, however a building permit is required.
1. Satellite Earth Station (SES) antennas that are two (2) meters (6', 6-3/4") or less in diameter or in diagonal measurement, are located in a C-S, I-L, or I-H zone district, and are located on the top of buildings as far away as possible from the edges of rooftops so that they cannot be viewed from a public right-of-way.
 2. Amateur radio antenna structures that are twenty-four (24) inches or less in diameter or in diagonal measurement, and where no portion of the antenna overhangs any property line.
 3. Installation of buildings or other support equipment used with a previously approved WCF antenna/tower that meets all requirements of the zone district in which the building or other support equipment is to be located, and that does not result in an increase in size of the overall WCF site.

Analysis: The proposal does not qualify for any of the above exemptions.

[17.68.090 Permitted and conditional uses.](#)

Wireless communications facilities that are not exempt per Section [17.68.080](#) shall be either permitted or conditional uses in accordance with this section. If this section appears to conflict with the table in Section [17.08.030](#) then this section shall apply.

- A. The following wireless communications facilities (WCF) shall be permitted uses. A site plan review permit issued in accordance with Chapter [17.72](#) and a building permit is required.
1. Installation of a monopole on an existing structure, located in a PF zone district, or in any commercial, mixed use, or industrial zone district, where the height of the monopole is not higher than twenty (20) feet above the height of the existing structure.
 2. Installation of a concealed or disguised antenna located on the ground and/or attached to a building in the PF zone district, or any commercial, mixed use, office, or industrial zone district where the antenna does not exceed the maximum height limits of the zone district in which it is located.
 3. Modification to an existing, approved antenna/tower where the modification does not result in an increase in the height of the existing, approved antenna/tower and does not provide for more than three (3) communication providers on a single antenna/tower.

4. Installation of buildings or other support equipment used with a previously approved WCF antenna/tower that meets all requirements of the zone district in which the building or other support equipment is to be located, and that results in less than a thirty percent (30%) increase in size of the overall WCF site.
 5. Installation of a new freestanding antenna/tower with no guy wires in the C-S, C-H, or PF zone districts that does not exceed fifty (50) feet in height, where the height of the WCF is less than the distance of the antenna/tower to the nearest lot line.
 6. Installation of a new freestanding antenna/tower with no guy wires in the I-L or I-H zone districts that does not exceed seventy-five (75) feet in height.
- B. The following wireless communications facilities (WCF) shall obtain a conditional use permit prior to construction or installation in accordance with Chapter [17.80](#). A site plan review permit issued in accordance with Chapter [17.72](#) and a building permit is also required:
1. Installation of a new freestanding antenna/tower with no guy wires in the C-R, MX-C, MX-D, O, PF, or AP zone districts that does not exceed seventy-five (75) feet in height. The Planning Commission may approve a WCF with a height higher than seventy-five (75) feet if the height of the WCF is less than twice the distance of the antenna/tower to the nearest lot line.
 2. **Installation of a new freestanding antenna/tower with no guy wires in the C-S or C-H, I-L, or I-H zone districts that does not exceed one hundred (100) feet in height. The Planning Commission may approve a WCF with a height higher than one hundred (100) feet if the height of the WCF is less than twice the distance of the antenna/tower to the nearest lot line.**
 3. Modification to an existing, approved antenna/tower where the modification results in an increase in the height of the existing, approved antenna/tower or provides for more than three (3) communication providers on a single antenna/tower.
- C. Wireless communication facilities that are not exempt per Section [17.62.060](#) are prohibited in any residential zone district and in the C-N, MX-N, OR, and CO zone districts.
- D. Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, a WCF shall obtain a conditional use permit prior to construction or installation in accordance with Chapter [17.80](#) if it is located in the Airport Overlay District, and shall meet all requirements of Chapter [17.44](#).

Analysis: The proposed project satisfies subsection B. 2., installation of a new freestanding antenna/tower with no guy wires in the C-S zone district that does not exceed 100 feet in height. Therefore, a conditional use permit, site plan review, and building permit are required.

[17.68.100 Application process.](#)

Review of any application for construction, installation and operation of a WCF identified in Section [17.68.090](#) as requiring a permit shall comply with the following provisions in addition to the applicable provisions of Chapter [17.72](#) and [17.80](#):

- A. Each applicant shall submit a site plan and elevation view drawn to scale and other supporting drawings, calculations, and documents, signed by appropriate licensed professionals, showing the location and dimension of all improvements, including information concerning topography, radio frequency coverage, tower height, setbacks, drives, parking, fencing, landscaping, adjacent uses, and other information deemed by the Community Development Director to be necessary to determine compliance with the provisions of this chapter.

Analysis: As required, the applicant has provided:

1. A site plan drawn to scale, with information pertaining to the location and dimension of all improvements, topography, tower height, setbacks, drives, parking, fencing, landscaping, and adjacent uses – **Attachment 1.**
 2. Elevation view – **Attachment 4.**
 3. Radio Frequency – Electromagnetic Energy Compliance Report – **Attachment 5.**
 4. Zoning Propagation Map – **Attachment 6.**
 5. Environmental Noise Assessment – **Attachment 7.**
- B. Each applicant shall provide the Community Development Director an inventory of its existing towers and those towers it intends to construct within ten (10) years of the date of its application that are located or will be located within the City limits or within one-quarter mile thereof. The Community Development Director may share information with other applicants applying for permits to construct antennas/towers for the purpose of encouraging co-location, provided however, that the Community Development Director is not, by sharing such information, in any way representing or warranting that such sites are available or suitable for co-location.
- C. The information requested in this subsection B of this section shall not be made available to the general public except as may be required by federal, state or local law, ordinances or rules and regulations related thereto.

Analysis: This information has been provided to the City, but has not been made available to the general public.

- D.** The Community Development Director may retain a consultant at the applicant's expense to review the application and make determinations and recommendations on issues including, but not limited to:
1. Compliance with radio frequency emission standards;
 2. Possible granting of exceptions to the development standards in this chapter;
 3. The identification of alternative solutions when the Community Development Director believes that the proposed facilities may create a significant impact to the surrounding area; or
 4. Proposals for the installation of new towers or antennas.

Analysis: A consultant was not retained for this application.

17.68.110 Appeals.

An appeal of the decision of the Community Development Director or of the Planning Commission on a WCF application shall be processed in accordance with the appeal provisions of Chapter 17.70.

17.68.120 Review criteria.

Every application must comply with the following development standards:

- A. Radio Frequency. The applicant must submit documentation demonstrating that use of the WCF will not result in levels of radio frequency emissions which will exceed Federal Communication Commission (FCC) standards. Such documentation shall not be required for amateur radio antenna structures or for antennas installed for home entertainment purposes.

Analysis: A radio frequency compliance report has been submitted for the project and is attached as **Attachment 5**. The report concludes that the proposed AT&T installation will follow FCC regulations, upon property installation of recommended signage.

Federal law precludes the City from denying an application for a wireless facility based on radio frequency emissions, Section 332 of Title 47 of the United States Code state, "No State or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environment effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Commission's regulations concerning such emissions." In addition, any denial of an application must be in writing and supported by substantial evidence in the written record.

Section 332(c)(7) of the Communications Act

<http://wireless.fcc.gov/cgibin/wtbbye.pl?http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgibin/getdoc.cgi?dbna me=browse usc&docid=Cite:%2B47USC332>> preserves state and local authority over zoning and land use decisions for personal wireless service facilities, but sets forth specific limitations on that authority. Specifically, a state or local government may not unreasonably discriminate among providers of functionally equivalent services, may not regulate in a manner that prohibits or has the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services, must act on applications within a reasonable period of time, and must make any denial of an application in writing supported by substantial evidence in a written record. The statute also preempts local decisions premised directly or indirectly on the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) emissions, assuming that the provider is in compliance with the Commission's RF rules.

- B. Structural Integrity. WCF with support structures must be constructed to Electronic Industries Association/Telecommunications Industries Association (EIA/TIA) 222 Revision F Standard titled "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures" (or equivalent), as it may be updated or amended. Antenna/towers supported by guy wires are prohibited within the City.

Analysis: As stated on the site plan, the subcontractor shall comply with the latest edition of the following standards:

- Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA) 222-G, Structural Standards for Structural Antenna Tower and Antenna Supporting Structures
- TIA 607 Commercial Building Grounding and Bonding Requirements for Telecommunications Telcordia GR-63 Network

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. That the wireless communication facility must be constructed to Electronic Industries Association/Telecommunications Industries Association (EIA/TIA) 222 Revision F Standard titled "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures" (or equivalent), as it may be updated or amended. Antenna/towers supported by guy wires are prohibited within the City.
- C. Lighting. WCF shall not be artificially lighted, except for:
1. Security and safety lighting of equipment buildings if such lighting is appropriately shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site; and
 2. Lighting of the tower or antenna may be required by the Federal Aviation Administration or other applicable authority. Said lighting is to be installed in a manner to minimize impacts on adjacent properties.

Analysis: The only lighting proposed is down-tilted LED security lighting at the front and back of the concrete, walk-in cabinet.

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. That the wireless communication facility shall not be artificially lighted, except for:
 - a. Security and safety lighting of equipment if buildings in such lighting appropriately shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site; and
 - b. Lighting of the tower or antenna may be required by the Federal Aviation Administration or other applicable authority. Said lighting to be installed in a manner to minimize impacts on adjacent properties.
- D. Security. WCF with tower/antenna structures shall be enclosed by a security fence not less than six (6) feet in height and the structure shall be equipped with an appropriate anti-climbing device.

Analysis: There is a six-foot tall chain link fence with barbed wire and a 12-foot access gate surrounding the lease area. Fencing does not exceed the seven-foot fencing height maximum.

- E. Noise. A description of all audible noise generating equipment, including the times and decibel levels of the noise which will be produced shall be submitted with the application for the project. No equipment shall be operated at a WCF which produces noise in excess of the applicable noise standards stated in the Hanford General Plan. In

emergency situations requiring the use of a backup generator, the noise standards may be exceeded on a temporary basis as determined by the Community Development Department.

Analysis: An environmental noise assessment was provided for the project – **Attachment 7**. The noise assessment concludes, “Based on the equipment noise level data and analysis presented above [in the study], project-related equipment noise exposure is expected to satisfy the applicable City of Hanford General Plan exterior and interior noise level limits at the closest residential uses. As a result, no further consideration of project equipment noise mitigation measures would be warranted for this project.

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. Noise: That no equipment shall be operated at a wireless communication facility which produces noise in excess of the use of a backup generator.
- F. Landscaping. The following requirements shall govern the landscaping surrounding WCF sites:
 1. The site shall be landscaped with a buffer of plant materials that effectively screens the view of the tower compound from adjacent property. The standard buffer shall consist of a landscaped strip at least five (5) feet wide outside the perimeter of the compound’s fence. In locations where the visual impact of the tower would be minimal, the landscaping requirement may be reduced or waived altogether in the discretion of the Community Development Director and where applicable, the Planning Commission.
 2. Existing mature tree growth and natural land forms on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible, as determined by the Community Development Director; provided, however, vegetation causing interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed.

Analysis: The applicant seeks to waive the landscape buffer requirement for the property, since the visual impact of the tower will be minimal, due to how far setback the tower is, in relation to public view from Fargo Avenue.

A full analysis appears under the Project Evaluation – Variance Section.

Staff is supportive of waiving the landscaping buffer requirement around the tower, as requested.

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. Existing mature tree growth and natural land forms on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible, as determined by the Community Development Director; provided, however, vegetation causing interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed.

- G. Painting. All equipment, antennas, poles or towers shall have a non-reflective finish and shall be painted or otherwise treated to minimize visual impacts. Antennas which will be viewed primarily against the skyline shall be painted light gray or light blue or other sky blending color. WCF with tower antenna structures shall be designed and painted to blend in with existing surroundings to the extent feasible as determined by the Community Development Department, including the use of compatible colors. Equipment facilities shall, to the extent practicable, use materials, colors and textures that will blend with the natural setting and existing building environment.

Analysis: Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. Painting: That all equipment, antennas, poles, or towers shall have a non-reflective finish and shall be painted or otherwise treated to minimize visual impacts. Antennas which will be viewed primarily against the skylight shall be designed and painted to blend in with existing surroundings to the extent feasible, including the sure of compatible colors. Equipment facilities, shall, to the extent practicable, use materials, colors, and textures that will blend with the natural setting and existing building environment.
- H. Visual Aesthetics. To minimize overall visual impact, new wireless communication facilities shall be co-located with existing facilities and with other planned new facilities whenever possible. In addition, service providers shall co-locate antennas with other facilities such as water tanks, light standards, and other utility structures where the co-location is found to minimize the overall visual impact. Conditions of approval shall require all applicants to cooperate in the placement of equipment and antennas to accommodate the maximum number of providers at a single site and require an applicant to allow for future co-location of additional antennas at its site. Antenna/towers with guy wires are prohibited. Cables leading from the antenna to the ground base equipment be neatly bundled and securely fastened to the antenna/tower and be underground from the antenna/tower to the equipment room.

Analysis: Co-location is addressed in the analysis of Section 17.68.070, above.

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

Visual Aesthetics:

1. That in order to accommodate the maximum number of providers at a single site, the applicant shall allow for future co-location of additional antennas at its site.
2. That antenna and towers with guy wires are prohibited.
3. That cables leading from the antennas to the ground base equipment shall be neatly bundled and securely fastened to the antenna/tower and be underground from the antenna/tower to the equipment room.

17.68.130 Federal and state regulations.

- A. All towers/antenna structures must meet or exceed current standards and regulations of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the

California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and any other agency of the federal or state government with the authority to regulate towers and antennas.

- B. If said standards and regulations are modified or amended and require pre-existing WCFs to comply with the new or amended standards or regulations, the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations within six (6) months of the effective date of the new standards and regulations, unless the new standards or regulations or the applicable federal or state agency identify a different and specific compliance period, in which case the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance within that specific compliance period.
- C. Failure to bring towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations shall constitute a violation of this chapter and the City may require removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense.

Analysis: The project complies with all standards and regulations of the FAA, FCC, and CPUC.

Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

Federal and State Regulations:

- 1. That all tower/antenna structures must meet or exceed current standards and regulations of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Federal Communication Commission (FCC), the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and any other agency of the federal or state government with authority to regulate towers and antennas.
- 2. If said standards and regulations are modified or amended and require pre-existing wireless communication facilities to comply with the new or amended standards or regulations, the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations within six months of the effective date of the new standards and regulations, unless the new standards or regulations or the applicable federal or state agency identify a different and specific compliance period, in which case the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance within that specific compliance period
- 3. Failure to bring towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations shall constitute a violation of Section 17.68 and the city may require removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense.

17.68.140 Removal of abandoned antennas, towers, supporting equipment and structures.

- A. Any antenna, tower, supporting equipment and structure that is not operated for a continuous period of twelve (12) months shall be considered abandoned, and the owner of such antenna tower, or owner of the land which it is located on shall remove said antenna, tower or supporting equipment within ninety (90) days of receipt of notice from the Community Development Director notifying the owner of such abandonment. If such antenna or tower is not removed within said ninety (90) days, the City may remove such

antenna or tower at the owner's expense. If there are two (2) or more users of a single tower, then this provision shall not become effective until all users cease using the tower.

- B. If the cost of removal of the antenna or tower are not paid within thirty (30) days after the date on which the notice of request for payment is mailed to the owner of the property, the City Council may direct the County Tax Assessor to place the unpaid costs, including administrative fees associated with the removal on the county tax roll, as a special assessment against the property pursuant to Section 25845 of the [Government Code](#) of the State of California. The assessment shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary county taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure and sale in case of delinquency as provided for ordinary county taxes. All laws applicable to the levy, collection and enforcement of county taxes shall be applicable to such special assessment.

Analysis: Conditions of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, which are also conditions of approval for the conditional use permit, require:

1. That any antenna, tower, supporting equipment and structure that is not operated for a continuous period of twelve (12) months shall be considered abandoned, and the owner of such antenna tower, or owner of the land which it is located on shall remove said antenna, tower or supporting equipment within ninety (90) days of receipt of notice from the Community Development Director notifying the owner of such abandonment.
 - a. If such antenna or tower is not removed within said ninety (90) days, the City may remove such antenna or tower at the owner's expense. If there are two (2) or more users of a single tower, then this provision shall not become effective until all users cease using the tower.
 - b. If the cost of removal of the antenna or tower are not paid within thirty (30) days after the date on which the notice of request for payment is mailed to the owner of the property, the City Council may direct the County Tax Assessor to place the unpaid costs, including administrative fees associated with the removal on the county tax roll, as a special assessment against the property pursuant to Section 25845 of the [Government Code](#) of the State of California. The assessment shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary county taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure and sale in case of delinquency as provided for ordinary county taxes. All laws applicable to the levy, collection and enforcement of county taxes shall be applicable to such special assessment.

Conclusion: As demonstrated by the analysis above, the proposed tower conforms with all Sections of 17.68, with the exception of Section 17.68.120 F – Landscaping, for which staff can support a deviation.

PROJECT EVALUATION – SECTION 17.20 SERVICE COMMERCIAL ZONE DISTRICT

Conformance with the Standards of the C-S Service Commercial Zone District

17.20.030 Site Area

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, the minimum site area shall be 5,000 square feet, unless a smaller site is approved with a conditional use permit, in accordance with Chapter 17.80

Analysis: The parcel is 85,378 square feet (1.96 acres) and exceeds the minimum site area of the C-S Service Commercial zone district.

17.20.040 Lot Dimensions

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, the minimum lot frontage shall be 40 feet, unless a reciprocal use agreement for shared common access and parking areas is recorded with the Kings County recorder's office.

Analysis: The parcel has approximately 170 feet of street frontage along Fargo Avenue, which meets the standard of the C-S Service Commercial zone district.

17.20.050 Coverage

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, the maximum coverage of the lot shall be determined by the combined building setback area requirements, open space requirements, and off-street parking and loading requirements.

Analysis: The project meets all building setback area requirements, open space requirements, and off-street parking and loading requirements; therefore, the maximum lot coverage is not exceeded.

17.20.060 Building Setback Area

In accordance with Section 17.20.060 Building Setback Areas for the C-S Service Commercial zone district, structures shall be setback, as follows:

1. Front setback: 15 feet
2. Rear setback: zero, except where the rear lot line abuts a public street or R-L, R-M, R-H, OR, O, PF, AP, or CO zone district then the rear building setback shall be fifteen (15) feet. The rear property line abuts a PF zone district; therefore, the required setback shall be 15 feet.
3. Side setbacks: The side building setback area shall be zero (0) feet, except that where the side lot line abuts a public street or an R-L, R-M, R-H, OR, O, PF, AP, or CO zone district the side building setback area shall be fifteen (15) feet. The western property line abuts an R-L-5 zone district; therefore, the required side-yard setback shall be 15 feet. The eastern property line abuts the railroad; therefore, no setback is required.

Analysis: The proposed lease area for the monopole is setback approximately 466 feet from the front property line, 20 feet from the rear property line, 74 feet and three inches from the western side-property line, and 59 feet and 10 inches from the eastern property line. All required setbacks are satisfied.

17.20.070 Distances Between Structures

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, the minimum distance between a structure used solely for residential purposes and another structure shall be 10 feet, except as provided by the building code.

Analysis: There are no residential structures on site; therefore, distance requirements for separation of structures does not apply.

17.20.080 Height of Structures

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, the maximum height of structures in the C-S Service Commercial zone district shall be 50 feet.

Analysis: In accordance with the Wireless Communication Facilities and Towers Standards, Section 17.68.090 B. 2. towers that do not exceed 100 feet shall be permitted in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, with approval of a conditional use permit.

17.20.090 Driveways

whenever possible, developments in the C-S Service Commercial zone district shall share driveways to minimize the number of driveways on public streets. New driveways near street corners shall be located a minimum 100 feet from the radius of the curb, unless otherwise specifically approved by the City Engineer. New parking areas should be located behind or to the side of buildings, not between the buildings and the street.

Analysis: There is a single driveway on the site along Fargo Avenue. Additional driveway entrances are not proposed. The facility will be unmanned; therefore, new parking areas are not required.

17.20.100 Off-Street Parking

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, off-street parking and off-street loading facilities shall be provided on the site for each use, as prescribed in Chapter 17.54. Parking areas shall not be closer than five feet from any front or street-side lot line. The area between the lot line and parking area shall be landscaped. New parking areas should be located behind or to the side of buildings, not between the buildings and street.

Analysis: The wireless communication facility will be an unmanned facility and will not add usable square footage to the site. Therefore, additional parking is not required for this proposal.

17.20.110 Usable Open Space

There is no minimum requirement for usable open space.

17.20.120 Landscaping

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, landscaping shall be provided for each use, as prescribed in Chapter 17.52, Landscape Standards. Landscaping shall be provided and permanently maintained in a setback area, not less than 15 feet from a lot line adjoining a street, except where the setback area is covered by structures, parking, or driveways.


Analysis: Staff requested that the 15-foot setback area abutting Fargo Avenue be landscaped, where there was not a building or driveway, which would be an approximately 30-foot-wide and 20-foot-wide portion of the property. The applicant has requested a variance, in order to waive the landscape requirement along the property frontage.

In the Project Support Statement, the applicant provides:

“Landscaping Strip Along Property Frontage – Fargo Avenue

In addition to the landscaping perimeter around the compound, the City also requested a landscaping strip be permanently maintained in a setback area “not less than 15’ from the lot line adjoining Fargo Avenue.”

This cannot be done without demolishing existing buildings and fencing. The property’s main building is extremely close to the property boundary, and the City is also requesting the installation of City standard sidewalks, curbs, and gutters along the entire frontage of the property, as well as upgrading the existing nonconforming commercial drive approach. Once these public safety improvements are made, there will not be space for a landscaped strip along the Fargo Avenue frontage. In addition, under the 17.20.120 of the zoning code, landscaping is not required where the setback area is covered by “structures, parking, or driveways.”



The applicant’s variance request to eliminate the landscaping along Fargo Avenue is evaluated under the Variance Evaluation. Staff is not supportive of the request to waive the landscape requirement along Fargo Avenue, where the setback is not covered by structures, driveways, or parking.

17.20.130 Screening, Fences, and Walls necessary

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, a block wall with a minimum height of seven feet shall be provided along any side or rear lot line that abuts an R-L, R-M, R-H, OR, PF, AP, or CO zone district.

No fence or wall shall be placed in front of or within any landscaped area located next to a street. No fence or wall is proposed to be located within any landscaped area.

The open storage of materials and equipment attendant to a use shall be permitted only within an area surrounded or screened by a solid wall or fence seven feet minimum in height, except as may be modified under site plan review. Such storage shall not be visible above the wall or fence. Open storage of materials and equipment is not proposed for the site.

Analysis: The parcel does abut an R-L-5 Low-Density Residential zone district to the west and a PF Public Facility zone district to the south. There is an existing block wall to the west, separating the C-S Service Commercial property from the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential zone district.

The applicant proposes to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.130 by waiving the block wall requirement along the rear of the property where the property line abuts a PF Public Facility zone district.

The applicant's variance request to eliminate the block wall along the rear of the property is evaluated under Project Evaluation.

17.20.140 Signs

Signs placed in the C-S Service Commercial zone district shall be subject to the requirements and standards prescribed in Section 17.56 of the Hanford Municipal Code.

Analysis: Signage is not proposed for the wireless communication facility.

17.20.150 General Provisions and Standards

In the C-S Service Commercial zone district, no business, service, or process that is not a part of the main use of the site shall be conducted outside a completely enclosed permanently fixed structure, except where specifically permitted in Title 17.

Analysis: The wireless communication facility will be operated outside of a completely enclosed structure, however, the installation and use of a wireless communication facility is a permitted use with a conditional use permit in the C-S Service Commercial zone district.

Conclusion

All requirements, provisions, and standards of the C-S Service Commercial zone district have been satisfied for the proposed project, with the exception of the two items for which a variance is being sought – 1) to eliminate the landscape requirement along Fargo Avenue and 2) to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF Public Facility zone district.

PROJECT EVALUATION – VARIANCE REQUEST

Variance No. 2021-04 is a request to:

- a) **Block Wall Waiver:** Deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.130 B, in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF Public Facility Zone district; and
- b) **Landscape Buffer Waiver:** Deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the five-foot landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter for the tower's lease area; and
- c) **Street-front Landscaping Waiver:** Deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the 15-foot landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue.

The applicant's Variance Support Statement is attached – **Attachment 8.**

Block Wall Waiver

The purpose of Variance No. 2021-04 a) is to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.130, in order to remove the block wall requirement and allow the applicant to retain the existing six-foot, chain link fence with barbed wire along the rear property line.

Block walls are required wherever C-S Service Commercial zone district abuts a residential zone district, P-F Public Facility zone district, AP Airport Protection zone district, or CO Conservation zone district. In this case, the block wall abuts a PF Public Facility zone district to the rear. The use of the PF-zoned property is for a drainage basin.

Block walls are required for a variety of reasons, including to provide a buffer between uses, to provide privacy between uses, and to reduce noise impacts between noise-generating uses and uses which do not generate excessive noise.

Buffer

Block walls provide a buffer between uses by physically separating the uses. For example, block walls are provided between commercial and residential uses in order to provide a clear, physical separation of the differing uses. Block walls are often required when abutting P-F Public Facility zone districts to provide a separation between commercial, industrial, and office uses and school facilities, parks, and basins.

In this instance, the P-F Public Facility zone district is occupied by a City storm-drainage retention basin. The use is not occupied by persons, except occasionally to provide maintenance to the basin. Similarly, the wireless communication facility is unmanned and will only be provided with limited maintenance. The chain link fence provides a sufficient barrier between the wireless communication facility use and the adjoining retention basin.

Privacy

Block walls can provide privacy between uses, by reducing the visual impact of uses. Block walls are often required between commercial and residential uses in order to provide privacy to residents, so that residents cannot be seen from the commercial use. Similarly, block walls are required when commercial, office, or industrial uses abut a public facility use such as a school or park, so that patrons/employees are not infringing on the privacy of students/park-goers.

In this instance, the P-F Public Facility zone district is occupied by a City storm-drainage retention basin. The use is not occupied by persons, except occasionally to provide maintenance to the basin. Similarly, the wireless communication facility is unmanned and will only be provided with limited maintenance. The chain link fence provides a sufficient privacy screen between the wireless communication facility use and the adjoining retention basin.

Noise Impacts

Block walls provide a noise buffer between uses. According to the Department of Transportation (DOT), "block walls can create a noise barrier, which is an obstacle placed between a noise source and a receiver which interrupts the path of the noise. Noise barriers reduce noise by blocking the direct travel of soundwaves from a source to adjacent homes or businesses, forcing the waves over the top or around the barrier. It is important to note that barriers are not designed to eliminate or block all noise."

In this instance, the P-F Public Facility zone district is occupied by a City storm-drainage retention basin. The use is not occupied by persons, except occasionally to provide maintenance to the basin. If this P-F Public Facility zone was occupied by a school or park, the block wall requirement would be necessary to provide a noise barrier between the uses. Similarly, the wireless communication facility is unmanned and will only be provided with limited maintenance; therefore, noise impacts are not anticipated to occur. Noise impacts of the generator have been evaluated and no mitigation is required in order to reduce sound impacts. A block wall is existing between the side-property line and the neighboring residential properties.

The goals to provide privacy and separation between uses can be achieved by the existing, six-foot, chain-link fence.

Noise reduction between the wireless communication facility and retention basin is not necessary, since the retention basin and wireless communication facility will not be noise-generating uses.

Five-Foot Landscape Buffer Waiver

The purpose of Variance No. 2021-04 b) is to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the five-foot landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter for the tower's lease area.

Per the applicant's Project Support Statement,

"Five-Foot-Wide Landscaping Perimeter Around Compound

Staff requested a landscaping strip five feet wide be placed around the perimeter of the fenced compound. The stated purpose of this code requirement is to "effectively screen the view of the tower compound from adjacent properties," but the nature of the existing property ensures that any such landscaping buffer would not serve this purpose, or any purpose all.

The most sensitive view and the nearest offsite property owners may be found in the residential development to the west. Even these property owners, however, would not benefit from the landscaping perimeter. There is already a row of seventeen mature trees along the western property boundary, as well as a 6' tall chain link fence on the edge of the property. On the opposite of the property boundary, meanwhile, is an approximately 15' tall masonry wall that runs the entire length of the property.

To the west the property abuts railway tracks. The nearest residential properties are over 150' away. They are separated from the tracks (and the proposed facility) by a row of trees and a 15' masonry wall. As a result, neither the landscaping perimeter nor the compound would be visible to an observer on these properties.



To the south, the property borders a City owned ponding basin. The basin is an approximately 160' wide "V" shaped trough about 15' to 20' deep at along the bottom of the "V". It is not open to the general public and is already separated from the subject property by a 6' tall chain link fence with vinyl privacy slats and topped with barbed wire. An observer standing in the basin would not benefit from the landscaping perimeter.



The most sensitive view and the nearest offsite property owners may be found in the residential development to the west. Even these property owners, however, would not benefit from the landscaping perimeter. There is already a row of seventeen mature trees along the western property boundary, as well as a 6' tall chain link fence on the edge of the property. On the opposite of the property boundary, meanwhile, is an approximately 15' tall masonry wall that runs the entire length of the property.



Lastly, the northern boundary of the property, abutting Fargo avenue, is more than 400' away, and separated from the lease area by existing buildings and fencing along the frontage of the property. The facility has been purposely placed to minimize the views from the public right of way. At a distance of 400', the visual impact of the eight foot by eight-foot walk-in equipment cabinet will be minimal. Given the presence of existing sheds and outbuildings on the property, it will not appear out of place.



Despite the lack of any material benefit, the landscaping perimeter would have a significant cost associated with it and be a considerable burden on the property. Permanent irrigation would need to be installed and maintained, with significant water usage necessary to establish and maintain the required vegetation. It would also require a major increase in the size of the compound. The existing compound, designed with space for future colocators, currently stands at 900 square feet as designed. The five-foot-wide landscaping perimeter would add 700 square feet of landscaping to the project, increasing the project's footprint by 78%.

Although the burden (and cost) of irrigating the project location could be somewhat lessened by relocating the facility to be closer to the public right of way, this would on the balance greatly increase the visual impact of the monopole itself, as well as the ground equipment. The water usage would remain unchanged.

Because it is extensive, the burden on the property owner is significant, and the installation of the five-foot-wide landscaping perimeter would not fulfill the stated goals of the landscaping policy, AT&T respectfully requests this requirement be waived."

Due to the reasoning provided by the applicant, staff is supportive of waiving the landscaping buffer requirement around the tower, as requested.

As a condition of approval of Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, the City requires that:


1. Existing mature tree growth and natural land forms on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible, as determined by the Community Development Director; provided, however, vegetation causing interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed.

Street-Front Landscape Waiver

The purpose of Variance No. 2021-04 c) is to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the 15-foot landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue.

Where there is not a building, driveway, or parking, the 15-foot setback area adjoining the street shall be landscaped.

Staff requested that the 15-foot setback area abutting Fargo Avenue be landscaped, where there was not a building or driveway, which would be an approximately 40-foot-wide and 20-foot-wide portion of the property. The applicant has requested a variance, in order to waive the landscape requirement along the property frontage.




In the Project Support Statement, the applicant provides:

“Landscaping Strip Along Property Frontage – Fargo Avenue

In addition to the landscaping perimeter around the compound, the City also requested a landscaping strip be permanently maintained in a setback area “not less than 15’ from the lot line adjoining Fargo Avenue.”

This cannot be done without demolishing existing buildings and fencing. The property’s main building is extremely close to the property boundary, and the City is also requesting the installation of City standard sidewalks, curbs, and gutters along the entire frontage of the property, as well as upgrading the existing nonconforming commercial drive approach. Once these public safety improvements are made, there will not be space for a landscaped strip along the Fargo Avenue frontage. In addition, under the 17.20.120 of the zoning code, landscaping is not required where the setback area is covered by “structures, parking, or driveways.”




There are examples of the landscape requirement being upheld on other C-S Service Commercial properties.


Example 1: 1200 W Grangeville Boulevard; the setback area between the property line and parking area is landscaped.




Example 2: 426 W. Lacey Boulevard; the area between the property line and parking area is landscaped.



Example 3: 1990 Glendale Avenue; the area between the property line and parking area is landscaped.



Example 4: 2164 Glendale Avenue; the setback area and area between the street and parking area is landscaped.



Example 5: 520 and 550 S. 10th Avenue. The setback area is landscaped where there is not an access drive.



There are also examples of Service Commercial properties that do not provide a 15-foot landscape between the property line and front setback; however, in these cases, the setback area is covered by driveways, parking, or buildings, as allowed.

The portion of the property where there is a driveway, building, or parking are not required to be landscaped.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01

In taking action on a Conditional Use Permit, the Planning Commission must make the appropriate findings, in accordance with Section 17.80.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

1. The proposed use would not impair the integrity and character of the zoning district in which it is to be located;

Analysis: That the proposed wireless communication facility will not impair the integrity and character of the C-S Service Commercial zone district. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20 for C-S Service Commercial zone district and Section 17.68, regulating wireless communication facilities, except for those

areas where a variance is sought. The use of a wireless communication facility not exceeding 100 feet in height is classified as a permitted use with a conditional use permit in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, in accordance with Section 17.68.090 B. 2.

2. The proposed use would be compatible with existing land uses and future permitted land uses within the zoning district in which the proposed use is to be located;

Analysis: That the addition of wireless communication facility would be compatible with the existing land uses and future permitted land uses in the C-S Service Commercial zone district. In accordance with Section 17.68.030 A of the Hanford Municipal Code, antennas and towers may be considered either principle or accessory uses. The wireless communication facility is considered ancillary to the land use existing on the site, but would not conflict with the existing and planned uses in the Service Commercial designation. A different existing use on an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot. Additionally, the wireless communication facility will only occupy approximately 900 feet on the C-S Service Commercial site. The structure is set to the rear of the property and will not interfere from future permitted land uses from locating on the property.

3. The proposed use is consistent with the General Plan;

Analysis: The General Plan designates the property as Service Commercial. The property is zoned C-S Service Commercial, which corresponds with the General Plan Designation. According to General Plan Policy L51, the purpose of the Service Commercial Land Use Designation is to provide for establishments that engage in servicing equipment, materials, products and related sales and travel conveniences, but which do not require the manufacturing, assembly, packaging, or processing of articles or merchandise for distribution. According to General Plan Policy L52, typical uses in the Service Commercial land use designation include a broad range of commercial activities, such as businesses which have both retail and service components. Among these are uses such as vehicle sales and services; auto rental and equipment rental; motels; restaurants (including fast food); service stations; car washes; building material supply, warehousing; wholesale trade; contractors, suppliers, small equipment yards; and other similar uses.

The wireless communication facility is considered ancillary to the land use existing on the site, but would not conflict with the existing and planned uses in the Service Commercial designation. In accordance with Section 17.68.030 A. of the Hanford Municipal Code, antennas and towers may be considered either principle or accessory uses. A different existing use on an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot.

4. There will not be significant effects upon the quality of the environment and natural resources;

Analysis: In accordance with Section 15303 New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, the project is categorically exempt from future environmental review. None of the exceptions under CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 apply to this project. The proposed project will not result in cumulative impacts of successive projects of the same type in the same place, is not located within or near a scenic highway, road, or corridor, is not located on a hazardous waste site, and does not involve any change to a historical resource.

5. The proposed location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use would not be detrimental to the public interests, health, safety, convenience or welfare of the city and that any incompatible impacts of the proposed use are mitigated by conditions of approval.

Analysis: That this application has been reviewed by the various City departments and evaluated for conformity with the requirements of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20 for the C-S Service Commercial zone district and 17.68, pertaining to wireless communication facilities. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20 and 17.68, except for those areas for which a variance is sought. Any other improvements or mitigations required for public health, safety, and welfare have been applied to the project as conditions of approval in Site Plan Review No. 2020-03 and the resolution.

Variance No. 2021-04

In taking action on a variance, the Planning Commission must make the appropriate findings, in accordance with Section 17.84.050 of the Hanford Municipal Code:


1. That there are special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, are such that the strict application deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity that are in the same zone district;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That the special circumstance applicable to the property is its surroundings. The property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin. There is one other example of a C-S Service Commercial zone district abutting a basin, along South 10th Avenue.

The two C-S Service Commercial properties do not have a block wall separating the property from the basin; there is only a slatted, chain-link fence along the rear of the property.



There is also precedent of the block wall requirement being waived between a commercial use (urgent care facility) and basin, previously – Variance No. 2019-03.

b) – landscape buffer:

That the special circumstance applicable to the property is the location of the proposed wireless communication facility. The wireless communication facility is setback a significant distance from the public view; therefore, screening with a landscape buffer around the exterior of the tower would not be necessary to reduce visual impacts. Section 17.68.120 F. 1 permits the waiving of the landscape buffer at the discretion of the Community Development Director or Planning Commission, where applicable, where the visual impact of the tower would be minimal.

The site shall be landscaped with a buffer of plant materials that effectively screens the view of the tower compound from adjacent property. The standard buffer shall consist of a landscaped strip at least five (5) feet wide outside the perimeter of the compound's fence. In locations where the visual impact of the tower would be minimal, the landscaping requirement may be reduced or waived altogether in the discretion of the Community Development Director and where applicable, the Planning Commission.

c) – street-front landscaping:

Unable to make finding – there are not special circumstances applicable to the lot such that strict application deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity that are in the same zone district. There are various instances of other C-S Service Commercial properties providing the required landscaping along the public street, where the setback area is not covered by buildings, driveways, or parking area.


2. That the variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district and denied to the property for which the variance is sought;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

The property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin. There is one other example of a C-S Service Commercial zone district abutting a basin, along South 10th Avenue.

The two C-S Service Commercial properties do not have a block wall separating the property from the basin; there is only a slatted, chain-link fence along the rear of the property.



There is also precedent of the block wall requirement being waived between a commercial use (urgent care facility) and basin, previously – Variance No. 2019-03.

b) – landscape buffer:

Though there is not an example of a recent wireless communication facility installed in the C-S Service Commercial zone district without a landscape buffer, there is a precedent of another commercial property without a landscape buffer surrounding the tower in the C-H Highway Commercial zone district at 1550 W. Glendale Avenue. The tower was constructed in 1998, at the time, Glendale Avenue dead-ended at the property's eastern boundary. The visual impact of the tower is reduced since the tower is not visible from the public street, due to setback and screening from a gated exterior. The proposed tower has a similar configuration, since it is set to the rear of the property, a significant distance from the public view.



c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding – The variance is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other properties in the vicinity, there are various instances of other C-S Service Commercial properties providing the required landscaping along the public street, where the setback area is not covered by buildings, driveways, or parking area.

3. That the variance will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district in which the property is located;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That allowance of the variance to remove the requirement of the block wall on the rear lot line will not create a safety hazard. There is a fence existing between the rear property line and the neighboring property line, which provides a physical barrier between the site and the basin. The allowance of the variance is not materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district in which the property is located. The goals of providing a block wall, to provide privacy and physical separation, can be achieved by maintaining the existing six-foot, chain-link fence along the rear property line.

b) – landscape buffer; c) – street-front landscape:

That allowance of the variance to remove landscaping around the tower and along the public street will not create a safety hazard. The landscaping requirements are to reduce visual impacts and create an aesthetically, pleasing property. The reduction of landscaping will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

4. That the variance does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and that are in the same land use district in which such property is located;


Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That the variance does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitation of other properties, since the property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin.

The property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin. There is one other example of a C-S Service Commercial zone district abutting a basin, along South 10th Avenue.

The two C-S Service Commercial properties do not have a block wall separating the property from the basin; there is only a slatted, chain-link fence along the rear of the property.



There is also precedent of the block wall requirement being waived between a commercial use (urgent care facility) and basin, previously – Variance No. 2019-03.

b) – landscape buffer:

Though there is not an example of a recent wireless communication facility installed in the C-S Service Commercial zone district without a landscape buffer, there is a precedent of another commercial property without a landscape buffer surrounding the tower in the C-H Highway Commercial zone district at 1550 W. Glendale Avenue. The tower was constructed in 1998, at the time, Glendale Avenue dead-ended at the property's eastern boundary. The visual impact of the tower is reduced since the tower is not visible from the public street, due to setback and screening from a gated exterior. The proposed tower has a similar configuration, since it is set to the rear of the property, a significant distance from the public view.



The waiver to eliminate the landscape buffer along the property does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties.

c) – street-front landscape:

unable to make finding: That granting the variance would constitute a special privilege inconsistent with limitation upon other properties in the vicinity, since there are various examples of requiring landscaping where the setback area is not covered by buildings, driveways, or parking areas.

5. That the variance does not allow a use or activity which is prohibited in the zone district where the property is located;

Analysis:

a) – block wall; b) – landscape buffer; c) 15-foot landscape requirement:

That the proposed use of a wireless communication facility is listed as a permitted use with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, in accordance with Section 17.68.090 B. 2. The appropriate findings have been made in order to support approval of the conditional use permit, in accordance with Section 17.80.030 of the Municipal Code. The variance request will not allow a use or activity which is prohibited in the zone district.

6. That the variance is consistent with the purposes of this title;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That in accordance with Section 17.84.010, the purpose of a variance is to allow deviations from the development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including location, shape, size, surroundings, topography, or other physical conditions, the strict application of the standards denies the property owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and in the same zoning district. The surroundings of the property are unique in this situation, since the C-S Service Commercial zone district abuts a PF Public Facility zone district for a basin. There are other examples of a block wall not being provided between a C-S Service Commercial use and a basin.

b) – landscape buffer:

That in accordance with Section 17.84.010, the purpose of a variance is to allow deviations from the development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including location, shape, size, surroundings, topography, or other physical conditions, the strict application of the standards denies the property owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and in the same zoning district. In this instance, the special circumstance applicable to the property is the configuration of the wireless communication facility. The WCF is setback a significant distance from the street, where visual impacts would not be an issue.

c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding: That in accordance with Section 17.84.010, the purpose of a variance is to allow deviations from the development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including location, shape, size, surroundings, topography, or other physical conditions, the strict application of the standards denies the property owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and in the same zoning district. Granting the variance for the waiver of landscaping along the front property line would be inconsistent with the purpose of Section 17.84, since it would be waiving a requirement provided by other properties in the same zone district.

7. That the variance will be consistent with the General Plan.

Analysis:**a) – block wall; b) – landscape buffer:**

That the General Plan has been reviewed, and the granting of this variance would be consistent with the goals, policies, and objectives of the General Plan.

c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding: That granting of the variance to remove the landscaping requirement along the front property line would be inconsistent with the General Plan Policy L54. Waiving landscaping along the front property line would not be conducive to the goal to have the site appear aesthetically pleasing from the public street.

Policy L54 Design of the Service Commercial Land Use Designation

Require that new development projects and major site reconfigurations in the Service Commercial land use designation provide site layouts, landscaping, and screening so that the site appears aesthetically pleasing from the public street.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

A Notice of Public Hearing for a Conditional Use Permit/Variance was noticed in the Hanford Sentinel on October 1, 2021 and mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on September 30, 2021. No comments have been received, as of the date of preparation of this report.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

In accordance with Section 15303 New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, the project is categorically exempt from future environmental review. None of the exceptions under CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 apply to this project. The proposed project will not result in cumulative impacts of successive projects of the same type in the same place, is not located within or near a scenic highway, road, or corridor, is not located on a hazardous waste site, and does not involve any change to a historical resource. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project and is attached as **Attachment 9**.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-18, approving Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01.
2. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-22, approving Variance No. 2021-04, Parts a and b.
3. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-23, denying Variance No. 2021-04, Part c.

Applicant:

Complete Wireless Consulting
2009 V Street
Sacramento, CA 95818

Owner:

Heriberto Camacho
1154 W. Quail Rock Way
Hanford, CA 93230

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-18

**A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD
PERTAINING TO CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2020-01, A REQUEST BY COMPLETE
WIRELESS CONSULTING TO CONSTRUCT A 99-FOOT-TALL MONOPOLE FOR AT&T
WITH ASSOCIATED ANTENNAS AND GROUND AND TOWER EQUIPMENT IN THE C-S
SERVICE COMMERCIAL ZONE DISTRICT. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT 11555 FARGO
AVENUE (APN 008-360-032).**

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021 on motion of Commissioner , seconded by Commissioner , and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01, a request by Complete Wireless Consulting to construct a 99-foot-tall monopole for AT&T with associated antennas and ground and tower equipment in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, as shown in **Exhibit A**, has been reviewed by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford in accordance with Title 17.80 of the Hanford Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032); and

WHEREAS, staff, the various governmental departments, and the Planning Commission have given careful consideration to this conditional use permit and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the project is exempt from environmental review, per Section 15303 New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared; and

WHEREAS, on the basis of the facts and evidence provided in the staff report and the written and oral evidence presented at the public hearing, the Planning Commission hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section 17.80.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

1. The proposed use would not impair the integrity and character of the zoning district in which it is to be located;

Analysis: That the proposed wireless communication facility will not impair the integrity and character of the C-S Service Commercial zone district. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20 for C-S Service Commercial zone district and Section 17.68, regulating wireless communication facilities, except for those areas where a variance is sought. The use of a wireless communication facility not exceeding 100 feet in height is classified as a permitted use with a conditional use permit in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, in accordance with Section 17.68.090 B. 2.

2. The proposed use would be compatible with existing land uses and future permitted land uses within the zoning district in which the proposed use is to be located;

Analysis: That the addition of wireless communication facility would be compatible with the existing land uses and future permitted land uses in the C-S Service Commercial zone district. In accordance with Section 17.68.030 A of the Hanford Municipal Code, antennas and towers may be considered either principle or accessory uses. The wireless communication facility is

considered ancillary to the land use existing on the site, but would not conflict with the existing and planned uses in the Service Commercial designation. A different existing use on an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot. Additionally, the wireless communication facility will only occupy approximately 900 feet on the C-S Service Commercial site. The structure is set to the rear of the property and will not interfere from future permitted land uses from locating on the property.

3. The proposed use is consistent with the General Plan;

Analysis: The General Plan designates the property as Service Commercial. The property is zoned C-S Service Commercial, which corresponds with the General Plan Designation. According to General Plan Policy L51, the purpose of the Service Commercial Land Use Designation is to provide for establishments that engage in servicing equipment, materials, products and related sales and travel conveniences, but which do not require the manufacturing, assembly, packaging, or processing of articles or merchandise for distribution. According to General Plan Policy L52, typical uses in the Service Commercial land use designation include a broad range of commercial activities, such as businesses which have both retail and service components. Among these are uses such as vehicle sales and services; auto rental and equipment rental; motels; restaurants (including fast food); service stations; car washes; building material supply, warehousing; wholesale trade; contractors, suppliers, small equipment yards; and other similar uses.

The wireless communication facility is considered ancillary to the land use existing on the site, but would not conflict with the existing and planned uses in the Service Commercial designation. In accordance with Section 17.68.030 A. of the Hanford Municipal Code, antennas and towers may be considered either principle or accessory uses. A different existing use on an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot.

4. There will not be significant effects upon the quality of the environment and natural resources;

Analysis: In accordance with Section 15303 New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, the project is categorically exempt from future environmental review. None of the exceptions under CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 apply to this project. The proposed project will not result in cumulative impacts of successive projects of the same type in the same place, is not located within or near a scenic highway, road, or corridor, is not located on a hazardous waste site, and does not involve any change to a historical resource.

5. The proposed location, size, design, and operating characteristics of the proposed use would not be detrimental to the public interests, health, safety, convenience or welfare of the city and that any incompatible impacts of the proposed use are mitigated by conditions of approval.

Analysis: That this application has been reviewed by the various City departments and evaluated for conformity with the requirements of the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20 for the C-S Service Commercial zone district and 17.68, pertaining to wireless communication facilities. The use is consistent with the regulations set forth in the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.20 and 17.68, except for those areas for which a variance is sought. Any other improvements or mitigations required for public health, safety, and welfare have been applied to the project as conditions of approval in Site Plan Review No. 2020-03 and the resolution.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01 be approved, subject to the conditions of approval for Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, attached as **Exhibit B**.

EXPIRATION

That this Conditional Use Application Permit become null and void two (2) years after the effective date of approval, unless, prior to the expiration, the use for which the permit was approved has commenced. Prior to expiration of a permit, a person having authority to file an application may file a written request with the Community Development Director for extension of a permit that may otherwise expire. The request for extension will be taken to the Planning Commission, who may extend the expiration of the permit by one year.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Hanford City Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES:	Commissioners
NOES:	Commissioners
ABSTAIN:	Commissioners
ABSENT:	Commissioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the City of Hanford Planning Commission, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers, Acting Secretary

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Exhibit A
Site Plan

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

[illegible]

SITE NUMBER: CVL01788

SITE NAME: FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE

11555 FARGO AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230
JURISDICTION: CITY OF HANFORD
APN: 008-360-032

APN: 008-360-032

**SITE TYPE: PREMANUFACTURED WALK-IN
CABINET / MONOPOLE**



at&t

FA CODE: 14881321
USID#: 273633

FA CODE: 14881321
USID#: 273633

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT INFORMATION	PROJECT TEAM	SHEET INDEX	REV
NEW SITE BUILD UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY 1. BRING POWER / TELCO / WET TO SITE LOCATION 2. INSTALL ALL APPROVED PERMANENTLY MOUNTED WALL-MOUNTED CABLES AND ASSOCIATED WIRELESS EQUIPMENT 3. ADD STATION GENERATOR WITH FUEL TANK 4. PROPOSED ALL MONOPOL WITH ANTENNAS & ASSOCIATED 5. TOPOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT 6. PROPOSED ALL GPS ANTENNA	PROPERTY INFORMATION: SITE NAME: FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE, HANFORD, CA 92320 SITE NUMBER: CVD0786 SITE ADDRESS: 1500 FARGO AVENUE, HANFORD, CA 92320 APN NUMBER: 008-340-021 CURRENT ZONING: C-1 (OFFICE COMMERCIAL) ADJACENT ZONING: CITY OF HANFORD ADJACENT: MAP 21 20' 16" HAD 83 ADJACENT: W 11TH ST 51' 16" HAD 83 ADJACENT: 227 8TH AVE ADJACENT: GROUND ELEVATION ADJACENT: (LATITUDE) ADJACENT: (LONGITUDE)	APPLICANT / LESSEE: AT&T 1500 RIVER PARK DRIVE 2000 CAMINO RAMON SAN RAMON, CA 94583 EMAIL: mcmahoney@att.com PHONE: (916) 347-7420 SITE ACQUISITION: COMPLETE WIRELESS CONSULTING, INC. 2000 Y STREET SAN RAMON, CA 94518 CONTACT: KASIE TOL EMAIL: ktol@completewireless.net PHONE: (916) 217-2309 ZONING MANAGER: COMPLETE WIRELESS CONSULTING, INC. 2000 Y STREET SAN RAMON, CA 94518 CONTACT: KEVIN GALLAGHER EMAIL: kgallagher@completewireless.net PHONE: (916) 217-2322 RF ENGINEER: 5555 E. OLIVE AVENUE SAN RAMON, CA 94518 CONTACT: JAKE BAUTIER EMAIL: jbautier@att.com PHONE: (916) 434-3474 SURVEYOR: GEL ENGINEERING 1225 HIGH STREET SAN RAMON, CA 94518 CONTACT: DAN GEL PHONE: (916) 855-0025	TITLE SHEET GENERAL NOTES, ABBREVIATIONS, & LEGEND PLOT PLAN AND SITE TOPOGRAPHY OVERALL SITE PLAN EQUIPMENT AREA PLAN ANTENNA SCHEDULE & DETAILS RIN DETAILS PROPOSED ELEVATIONS PROPOSED ELEVATIONS POWER DESIGN 1. T-1 2. GN-1 3. C-1 4. A-1.1 5. A-2.1 6. A-3.1 7. A-3.2 8. A-4.1 9. A-4.2 10. E-Q	
CODE COMPLIANCE ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING CODES AND STANDARDS AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AGENCIES. THE FOLLOWING CODES ARE ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AGENCIES: 1. 2015 CALIFORNIA COMMERCIAL CODE 2. 2015 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE 3. 2015 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE 4. 2015 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE 5. 2015 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE 6. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 7. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 8. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 9. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 10. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 11. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 12. 2015 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE	VICINITY MAP	DIRECTIONS FROM AT&T DIRECTIONS FROM AT&T: OFFICE AT 2000 CAMINO RAMON, SAN RAMON, CA 1. MERGE ONTO 1400 SOUTH 2. TAKE EXIT 30A ONTO 1400 EAST 3. CONTINUE ON 1400 EAST 4. CONTINUE ON 1400 SOUTH 5. CONTINUE ON 1400 SOUTH 6. TAKE EXIT 33A ONTO CA 198 EAST 7. TAKE EXIT 84 ONTO HANFORD AVENUE ROAD AND TURN LEFT 8. TURN RIGHT ON 12TH AVENUE 9. TURN RIGHT ON 12TH AVENUE 10. TURN RIGHT ON 12TH AVENUE SITE WILL BE STRAIGHT AHEAD	APPROVALS DATE: 1/18 DESIGNER: [Signature] CHECKED: [Signature] APPROVED: [Signature] DATE: 1/18 DESIGNER: [Signature] CHECKED: [Signature] APPROVED: [Signature]	GENERAL CONTRACTOR NOTES DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR THE USE OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING CONDITIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. THE MATERIAL ORDERED OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAME.

PLANS ARE INTENDED TO BE DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE ONLY, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, SUBMITTANCES AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.

2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN, IN WRITING, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEM NOT CLEARLY DEFINED OR IDENTIFIED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTAH UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT! AT (800) 227-2600, FOR UTILITY LOCATIONS, 48 HOURS BEFORE BEGINNING ANY ANCHORING, UNDERGROUND, SIDEWALK OR CONSTRUCTION.

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE, OR WHERE LOCAL CODES OF REGULATION TAKE PRECEDENCE.
- ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CIRC / UBC'S REQUIREMENTS REGARDING SEISMOTYPE RESISTANCE. FOR MIT NOT LIMITED TO, PILING, LIGHT TIEUPS, BRACING, INTERIOR PARTITIONS, AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. ALL WORK MUST COMPLY WITH LOCAL, NATIONAL & CODE REQUIREMENTS.
- REPRESENTATIONS OF TRUE NORTH ARE SHOWN ON THE SET OF SURVEY DRAWINGS. SHALL NOT BE USED TO IDENTIFY OR SUPERSEDE THE TRUE NORTH LINE. THIS INFORMATION SHALL REST SOLELY ON THE PLAT OF SURVEY DRAFTING AND ANY SUPPORTING MARKINGS AT THE SITE FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TRUE NORTH, AND SHALL NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. IF ANY DISCREPANCY IS FOUND BETWEEN THE VARIOUS ELEMENTS OF THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADVISE SOONEST POSSIBLE TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER.
- THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ISSUING THE PERMIT SHALL BE NOTIFIED AT LEAST TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK, OR AS OTHERWISE STIPULATED BY THE CLUTE EMPLOYMENT OFFICIAL HAVING JURISDICTION.
- DO NOT EVACUATE OR DISTURB BEYOND THE PROPERTY LINES OR LEASE LINES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, FACILITIES, CONDITIONS, AND THEIR DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN HAVE BEEN NOTED FROM AVAILABLE RECORDS, THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AND / OR ON THE PLANS, OR THROUGH INVESTIGATION, AND / OR TO THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING THE LOCATION, DEPTH, AND CHARACTERISTICS OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND FACILITIES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL ALSO OBTAIN FROM EACH UTILITY COMPANY DETAILED INFORMATION RELATIVE TO WORKING SCHEDULED AND METHODS OF REMOVING OR ADJUSTING EXISTING UTILITIES.
- CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, BOTH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL, PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION FOR DISCREPANCIES OR CONDITIONS THAT MAY AFFECT THE PROJECT. ANY SUCH DISCREPANCIES SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER FOR CORRECTIONS TO THE PLANS, AND NO FURTHER WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED UNTIL THE DISCREPANCY IS CHECKED AND CORRECTED BY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER. FAILURE TO SECURE SUCH INSTRUCTIONS MEANS CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE WORKED AT HIS / HER OWN RISK AND EXPENSE.
- ALL NEW AND EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES ON SITE AND IN AREA TO BE DELIVERED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO FINISH ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION OF WORK.
- ANY DRAIN AND / OR FIELD TILE ENCOUNTERED OR DISCOVERED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RETURNED TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION AND LOCATED TO MATCH THE EXISTING UTILITY SIZE, LOCATION, AND TYPE OF ANY UNDERGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE ACCURATELY NOTED AND PLACED ON "AS BUILT" DRAWINGS BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR, AND ISSUED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AT COMPLETION OF PROJECT.
- ALL TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FOUNDATIONS, UTILITIES, ETC., SHALL BE PROPERLY LAID BACK, OR BRACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORRECT OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) REQUIREMENTS.
- NOTES: USC: 106A.PRB.A1.SPECIFICATIONS

SUBCONTRACTORS WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL

... STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN

THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS

- [illegible]


... AND THE GEOGRAPHICAL STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

FOR ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS A CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.


[illegible]

BLOG SECTION	WALL SECTION	DETAIL	ELEVATION	DOORS THICK	WINDOW SYMBOL	TRIANGLE MARK	PROPERTY LINE	PROPERTY LINE	SEWER LINE	SEWER DRAIN	GAS COLUMNS	TELEPHONE	TELEPHONE

Titled For CVL01788 FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE	11555 FARGO AVENUE HANFORD, CA 93230	PREPARED FOR 2400 Camino Real San Ramon, California 94583	 COMPLETE Wireless Consulting, Inc.	DRAWN BY: TLS CHECKED BY: SV DATE: 06/20/2007	PROJECT NO: 16220577 DRAWING NO: 1006-1D REV 5 03/01/06 1006-1D REV 3 02/24/06 1006-1D REV 4 01/29/06 1006-1D REV 1 01/17/06 1006-1D 10/17/05 1006-1D 10/17/05 1006-1D	SCALE: 1" = 40'-0" DATE: 07-24-06 LOCATION:	4/20/2007 MST ARCHITECTS 1500 Arroyo Drive Sacramento, California 95811	SHEET TITLE GENERAL NOTES, ABBREVIATIONS, & LEGEND	SHEET NUMBER GN-1
--	---	---	--	--	---	---	---	--	-----------------------------



[illegible][illegible]



[illegible]

[illegible]

1 NORTH ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0"

TOP OF PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE
99' 0" A.G.L.
CENTRELINE OF PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS
93' 0" A.G.L.

PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS W/ ASSOCIATED TOWER-MOUNTED EQUIPMENT
FUTURE AT&T MICROWAVE DSH. SIZE LOCATION AND ALIGNMENT TO BE DETERMINED


PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE
PROPOSED AT&T 30KW DIESEL GENERATOR WITH A 120 GALLON FUEL TANK MOUNTED ON A 5'-0" X 10'-0" CONCRETE SLAB
PROPOSED AT&T SITE SIGNAGE
PROPOSED AT&T 6'-0" TALL CHAIN LINK FENCE W/ BARBED WIRE & 12'-0" WIDE ACCESS GATE
PROPOSED AT&T UTILITY H-FRAME
PROPOSED AT&T KNOX BOX
DRAINING GRADE
0' 0" A.G.L. (23' 6" A.M.S.L.)

2 EAST ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0"

TOP OF PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE
99' 0" A.G.L.
CENTRELINE OF PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS
93' 0" A.G.L.

PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS W/ ASSOCIATED TOWER-MOUNTED EQUIPMENT
FUTURE AT&T MICROWAVE DSH. SIZE LOCATION AND ALIGNMENT TO BE DETERMINED

PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE
PROPOSED AT&T 30KW DIESEL GENERATOR WITH A 120 GALLON FUEL TANK MOUNTED ON A 5'-0" X 10'-0" CONCRETE SLAB
PROPOSED AT&T 6'-0" TALL CHAIN LINK FENCE W/ BARBED WIRE & 12'-0" WIDE ACCESS GATE
DRAINING GRADE
0' 0" A.G.L. (23' 6" A.M.S.L.)



PROJECT NO CVL01788 FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE 11555 FARGO AVENUE HANFORD, CA 92320	PREPARED FOR  2340 Camino Fomento San Ramon, California 94583	 COMPLETE Virtual Consulting, Inc.	PROJECT NO CVL01788	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 1	
			PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 2	
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 3	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 4
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 5	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 6
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 7	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 8
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 9	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 10
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 11	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 12
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 13	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 14
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 15	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 16
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 17	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 18
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 19	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 20
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 21	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 22
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 23	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 24
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 25	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 26
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 27	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 28
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 29	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 30
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 31	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 32
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 33	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 34
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 35	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 36
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 37	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 38
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 39	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 40
PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 41	PROJECT NO 102-2327	DATE 01/19/01	BY J. L. L.	REV 42




Exhibit B

Site Plan Review Approval Letter – Conditions of Approval

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

City of HANFORD

CALIFORNIA 93230
CITY OFFICES 317 NORTH DOUTY STREET



MAYOR
FRANCISCO RAMIREZ
VICE MAYOR
DIANE SHARP
COUNCIL MEMBERS
ART BRIENO
KALISH MORROW
AMANDA SALTRAY
CITY MANAGER
MARIO CIFUENTEZ II

DATE: October 8, 2021

PROJECT: Site Plan Review 2020-03 (502-1103)

APPLICANT: Complete Wireless Consulting

LOCATION: 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032)

PROPOSAL: 99' monopole and antennas (AT&T)

ZONING: C-S Service Commercial

SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE REVIEW DATE: February 5, 2020

Enclosed for your review are the comments and decisions of the Site Plan Review Committee. Please review all comments, since they may impact your project:

- ☐ **RESUBMIT:** Changes to your plans are required prior to accepting construction drawings for a building permit. A meeting with the Site Plan Review Committee is not required.
- ☐ **MEETING REQUIRED:** During site plan review, concerns were identified, schedule a meeting with the following prior to resubmittal:
- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| ☐ Planning | ☐ Building |
| ☐ Fire | ☐ Engineering |
| ☐ Solid Waste | ☐ Police |
| ☐ Wastewater | ☐ Parks and Recreation |

☒ **REVISE AND PROCEED**

- ☒ Approved subject to the following and the attached conditions of approval.
☒ Submit plans for a building permit between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.
☒ Your Plans must be reviewed by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☐ City Council | ☒ Planning Commission VAR; CUP |
| ☐ Parking and Traffic Commission | ☐ Parks and Recreation Commission |
| ☐ Other: _____ | |

Signed,

Gabrielle Myers
 Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner
 Community Development Department

October 8, 2021
 DATE

EXPIRATION

A site plan approval shall expire one (1) year from its approval date, unless a building permit application has been submitted or the use has commenced. Prior to expiration, the Community Development Director may extend the permit expiration date by one (1) year if there is substantial evidence that the applicant is diligently pursuing building permit approval or commencement of the use (Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.72.070).

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

Site Plan Review is a ministerial action, therefore not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (CEQA Guidelines 15268 and MC 17.70.070). **Exemption No. 2020-10**

COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS ARE ATTACHED:

- ☒ PLANNING
- ☒ BUILDING
- ☒ ENGINEERING
- ☐ SOLID WASTE
- ☐ WASTEWATER
- ☐ POLICE
- ☒ FIRE
- ☐ PARKS AND RECREATION

DEFENSE AND INDEMNIFICATION PROVISION

That the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Hanford ("City"), its officials, officers, employees, representatives, agents and attorneys, from and against all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liabilities, expenses and other costs, including litigation costs and attorney's fees, arising out of, resulting from, or in connection with, the City's act or acts leading up to and including approval of any environmental document and/or granting of any approvals relating to the Project. Applicant's obligation to defend, indemnify and hold the City harmless specifically includes, but is not limited to, any suit or challenge by any third party against the City which challenges or seeks to set aside, void or annul the legality or adequacy of any environmental document approved by the City or any approval related to the Project

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT (Must be signed prior to issuance of a building permit)

I have reviewed a copy of the Site Plan Review Approval No. 2020-03 and I understand that the proposed construction and/or land use is dependent upon the fulfillment of the conditions of approval and construction of all required public improvements prior to use, inauguration or occupancy, unless other arrangements are approved.

Applicant or Authorized Representative

PLANNING DIVISION
SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS

MEETING DATE: February 5, 2020

SITE PLAN NUMBER: 2020 -03(502-1103); CUP 2020-01 (508-0218); VAR 2021-04 (511-0205)

CONTACT: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner (559)585-2578

General Plan Designation: Service Commercial

Zoning: C-S Service Commercial

Other Special Districts: N/A

Planning Division Recommendation ☒ Revise and Proceed ☐ Resubmit

The project does meet the requirements of the Hanford Municipal Code, development standards and other codes and policies.

Corrections:

1. Landscaping:

- ☒ That landscaping shall be provided and permanently maintained in a setback area not less than 15 feet from the lot line adjoining Fargo Avenue, except where the setback area is covered by buildings, parking, or driveways.

General Requirements:

1. That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, fees, or other City Ordinances.
2. That all approved proposals of the applicant be conditions of development, if not mentioned herein.
3. That the site be developed according to the approved site plan, titled Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, with minor modifications to be approved by the Community Development Department.

Site Plan Review No. 2020-03 (along with Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01) approves a new-site build, unmanned telecommunication facility, including:

1. Bringing power/telecommunication/fiber to the site.
2. Installation of a new 99-foot AT&T monopole with antennas and associated tower-mounted equipment.
3. Installation of a standby generator with fuel tank.

4. Installation of an AT&T-approved pre-manufactured walk-in cabinet and associated interior equipment.
4. That no expansion of the use which would tend to increase the projected scale of operations beyond the scope and nature described in this Site Plan Review shall be permitted except upon application for, and approval of, modification of this application according to all procedures and requirements thereof.
5. That if cultural resources are discovered during construction or related activities, all work shall be halted and a qualified archeologist and the City of Hanford shall be notified. The find shall be properly investigated and appropriate measures are to be taken before construction may continue.
6. That where feasible, the proposed facility shall be made available for collocation.

C-S Service Commercial Zone Requirements (all checked shall apply)

- ☒ That modification to an approved tower, where the modification results in an increase in the height of the existing, approved tower, or provides for more than three communication providers on a single antenna/tower, shall constitute an amendment to the conditional use permit.
- ☒ That the following requirements and standards shall apply to the construction and installation of all new wireless communication facilities:
 - ☒ All standards set forth in Section 17.68;
 - ☒ Any applicable Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and ordinances;
 - ☒ All applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, Hanford Zoning Ordinance, public works improvements standards, and all applicable fees that are not in conflict with the provisions of Section 17.68;
 - ☒ The Uniform Building Code, National Electrical Code, Uniform Plumbing Code, Uniform Mechanical Code, and Uniform Fire Code, where applicable; and
 - ☒ All FCC rules, regulations, and standards.

Federal and State Regulations (Section 17.68.130)

- ☒ That all tower/antenna structures must meet or exceed current standards and regulations of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Federal Communication Commission (FCC), the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and any other agency of the federal or state government with authority to regulate towers and antennas.
 - ☒ If said standards and regulations are modified or amended and require pre-existing wireless communication facilities to comply with the new or amended standards or regulations, the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations within six months of the effective date of the new standards and regulations, unless the new standards or regulations or the applicable federal or state agency identify

a different and specific compliance period, in which case the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance within that specific compliance period

- ☒ Failure to bring towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations shall constitute a violation of Section 17.68 and the city may require removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense.

Review Criteria (Section 17.68.120)

- ☒ Radio Frequency: That the use of the wireless communication facility will not result in levels of radio frequency emissions which will exceed Federal Communication Commission (FCC) standards.
- ☒ Structural Integrity: That wireless communication facilities with support structures must be constructed to Electronic Industries Association (EIA/TIA) 222 Revision F Standard titled, "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures" (or equivalent), as it may be updated or amended.
 - ☒ Antenna/towers supported by guy wires are prohibited within the City.
- ☒ Lighting: That the wireless communication facility shall not be artificially lighted, except for:
 - ☒ Security and safety lighting of equipment if buildings in such lighting appropriately shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site; and
 - ☒ Lighting of the tower or antenna may be required by the Federal Aviation Administration or other applicable authority. Said lighting to be installed in a manner to minimize impacts on adjacent properties.
- ☒ Security: That the wireless communication facility with tower/antenna structures shall be enclosed by a security fence not less than six feet in height and the structure shall be equipped with an appropriate anti-climbing device.
- ☒ Noise: That no equipment shall be operated at a wireless communication facility which produces noise in excess of the applicable noise standards stated in the Hanford General Plan. In emergency situations requiring the use of a backup generator, the noise standards may be exceeded on a temporary basis, as determined by the Community Development Department.
- ☒ Landscaping: That the following requirements shall govern the landscaping surrounding wireless communication facility sites.
 - ☒ That the site shall be landscaped with a buffer of plant materials that effectively screens the view of the tower compound from adjacent property. The standard buffer shall consist of a landscaped strip at least five feet wide outside the perimeter of the compound's fence – **waived by Variance No. 2021-04 part b**
 - ☒ That existing mature tree growth and natural land forms on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible, provided, however, vegetation causing

interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed.

- ☒ Painting: That all equipment, antennas, poles, or towers shall have a non-reflective finish and shall be painted or otherwise treated to minimize visual impacts. Antennas which will be viewed primarily against the skylight shall be designed and painted to blend in with existing surroundings to the extent feasible, including the sure of compatible colors. Equipment facilities, shall, to the extent practicable, use materials, colors, and textures that will blend with the natural setting and existing building environment.
- ☒ Visual Aesthetics:
 - ☒ That in order to accommodate the maximum number of providers at a single site, the applicant shall allow for future co-location of additional antennas at its site.
 - ☒ That antenna and towers with guy wires are prohibited.
 - ☒ That cables leading from the antennas to the ground base equipment shall be neatly bundled and securely fastened to the antenna/tower and be underground from the antenna/tower to the equipment room.

Removal of Abandoned Antennas, Towers, Supporting Equipment, and Structures:

- ☒ That any antenna, tower, supporting equipment and structure that is not operated for a continuous period of 12 months shall be considered abandoned, and the owner of such antenna or tower, or owner of the land which it is located on, shall remove said antenna, tower, or supporting equipment within 90 days of receipt of notice from the Community Development Director notifying the owner of such abandonment. If such antenna or tower is not removed within said 90 days, the City may remove such antenna or tower at the owner's expense. If there are two or more users of a single tower, then this provision shall not become effective until all users cease using the tower.
- ☒ That if the cost of removal of the antenna or tower are not paid within 30 days after the date on which the notice of request for payment is mailed to the owner of the property, the City Council may direct the county tax assessor to place the unpaid costs, including administrative fees associated with the removal on the county tax roll, as a special assessment against the property, pursuant to Section 25845 of the Government Code of the State of California. The assessment shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary county taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure and sale in case of delinquency, as provided for ordinary county taxes. All laws applicable to the levy, collection and enforcement of county taxes shall be applicable to such special assessment.

Dust Control:

- ☒ That the appropriate dust-control practices of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District shall be implemented.
- ☒ That the any necessary permits be obtained through the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

BUILDING DIVISION
SPR 2020-003 (502-1103) New Cell Tower
11-20-19
11555 Fargo Ave

Contact Building Official: Tom Webb (559) 585-2584
 Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

1. That building permits must be obtained from the City Building Division for each structure to include but not limited to: buildings, pools, fences, trash enclosures, signs and carports for any structural, plumbing, electrical or mechanical work being done.
 2. That no building or structure shall be used or occupied, and no change in the existing occupancy classification of building or structure or portion thereof shall be made until the building official has approved the change and issued a Certificate of Occupancy.
 3. That detailed dimensioned plans be provided to the Building Division for each structure prior to obtaining construction permits. Each structure will require a separate submittal and permit.
 4. That each structure will require plans and calculations signed by an architect or engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. Submittal shall consist of as applicable:
 - 4.1 1 copy of the City of Hanford Permit Application form
 - 4.2 6 complete sets of Drawings, unless noted, including:
 - 4.2.1 Grading Plan (Civil Drawings, for reference)
 - 4.2.2 Site Plan
 - 4.2.3 Architectural Drawings
 - 4.2.4 Structural Drawings
 - 4.2.5 Electrical Drawings
 - 4.2.6 Mechanical Drawings
 - 4.2.7 Plumbing Drawings
 - 4.2.8 Landscape Plan (for reference)
 - 4.2.9 Planning Dept. "Conditions of Approval" or "Resolution" printed on the drawings and part of the drawing submittal
 - 4.2.10 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
- **** Each structure will be considered a separate submittal. Grading, Landscaping, Signage and all Fire permits are separate submittals as well and require separate applications and submittals as applicable. ******
- 4.3 2 complete sets of documentation, unless noted, including:
 - 4.3.1 Architectural Specifications
 - 4.3.2 Structural Calculations
 - 4.3.3 Electrical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.4 Mechanical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.5 Plumbing Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.6 Energy Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.7 CalGreen Compliance including C & D Recycle plan
 - 4.3.8 Compliance with the City of Hanford Landscape Ordinance
 - 4.3.9 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
 5. That the site, as well as the buildings, shall be made accessible and usable by the disabled in accordance with the California Building Code, Chapter 11B.

7. That all special inspection reports be submitted to the Building Division prior to final inspection.
8. That all construction shall conform to **the Most Current Edition** (2019) of the California Building Code, California Electrical Code, California Mechanical Code, California Plumbing Code, California Fire Code, California Energy Code and CalGreen.
9. That Kings County Impact Fees shall apply at current rates.
10. That the tenant, lessee, and/or owner are responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. By federal law your facility must be made accessible to the highest degree possible.
11. That the applicant provides a complete set of AS-BUILT drawings on CD, in "tif" format, to the Building Division prior to Final Inspection.
12. That block walls and trash enclosures require separate submittals/permits through the Building Dept.
14. That a preliminary soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Divisions prior to approval of site improvement plans. The soils report shall comply with the 2016 (2019) California Building Code, Section 1803.
15. That a final soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Divisions before issuance of building permits.

Fire Department

Christy Whittington – Fire Marshall
(559)585-2594; cwhittington@cityofhanfordca.com

1. Provide minimum 2A10BC type fire extinguisher if structure is to be installed.



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Utilities Division Comments

PROJECT: SPR 2020-03 (502-1103) – ATT Monopole -11555 Fargo Avenue

General:

1. That all work within the public street right-of-way shall be under the control of a General Engineering Contractor, licensed for that purpose. Contractor shall verify all conditions in the field prior to commencing construction.
2. That locations of existing water, sanitary sewer and storm drain mains in the vicinity of the project site will be marked by Utilities and Engineering Department staff based upon the city's best available records. Contractor shall provide city with a minimum of 48 hours prior notice when requesting location of existing utilities at (559) 585-2564. The City of Hanford is not a member of Underground Service Alert (U.S.A.).
3. That all sewer and water utility work and materials shall conform to City of Hanford Standards and Specifications, latest edition. All Utility connections located within N Irwin Street or Eighth Street shall be bored.

Water:

1. That the developer shall provide an accurate fixture unit count and size water services in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Plumbing Code, latest edition.
2. That the developer shall furnish and install any new water service assemblies required for the project including water meters and meter boxes for both domestic and landscape uses. If existing services are utilized, fixture counts to ensure adequate size of service is required. All new services are to come off of Fargo Avenue and shall be bored.
3. That the developer shall furnish and install appropriate cross connection / backflow prevention assemblies for all required water services, including fire service lines.
4. That all backflow prevention assemblies required for the development shall be tested and approved by a certified technician prior to occupancy. Copies of all backflow test results shall be provided to the City of Hanford Utilities Division.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Engineering Division Comments

PROJECT: SPR 2020-03 (502-1103) – ATT Monopole -11555 Fargo Avenue

General Requirements:

1. That the development shall comply with all applicable City of Hanford Standard and Specification requirements. Any deviation from said Standards and Specifications must be approved by the City Engineer prior to construction.
2. That an Encroachment Permit for all work located within the public street right-of-way shall be obtained from the Public Works Department prior to start of work. For additional information regarding Encroachment Permit requirements, contact the City Engineering Division at (559) 585-2558.
3. That the development is subject to a Grading Plan Review fee of \$4200.00 in accordance with City Resolution No. 10-31 R, or any revisions thereof.
4. That the development is subject to Engineering Plan Review and Inspection fee based on the cost of improvements required to be constructed within the public street right of way, in accordance with City Resolution No. 92-58-R, or any revisions thereof. Subject fees shall be payable prior to approval of improvement plans.
5. That all financial and other arrangements for planning, engineering, scheduling, installation of and/or relocation/ undergrounding of electric, gas, telecommunications, or other privately owned utilities are the sole responsibility of the developer. The developer is advised that significant project delays may result if utility issues are not resolved prior to start of construction.

Map and Plan Requirements:

1. That the developer shall submit to the City Engineer a set of construction plans on 24" X 36" size sheets for all required improvements. The plans shall be prepared by a licensed civil engineer, and shall include a site plan showing all on-site and off-site improvements, including but not limited to, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, and water system infrastructure, refuse enclosure locations, public street & parking lot improvements, landscape & irrigation systems and all other improvements as required by the project conditions of approval and the City of Hanford Standards and Specifications. Plans must be approved by the City of Hanford and any other affected agencies before issuance of building permits.
2. That prior to beginning any construction, or within twenty (20) calendar days after the approved plans are released by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division four (4) bond copies of the approved set of construction plans, and two (2) bound sets of the approved construction specifications, if any.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

3. That within twenty (20) calendar days after all improvements have been constructed and accepted by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and one (1) bond copy of the approved set of improvement construction plans revised to reflect all field revisions and marked "RECORD DRAWING".

Drainage Requirements:

1. That the developer shall comply with all applicable State of California requirements pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). If applicable to the project, a Notice of Intent for the development shall be electronically filed by the developer and accepted by the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB) prior to any disturbance of soils onsite. Documentation of SWRCB approval of the development shall be required by the City of Hanford prior to start of construction, and the developer shall comply with all SWRCB General Construction Permit requirements during construction. Contact the SWRCB at www.swrcb.ca.gov for further information.
2. That track-out of soil, gravel, or other construction-related materials on to public streets is prohibited.
3. That a drainage/site improvement plan for development be prepared by a license civil engineer for review and approval by the Public Works Department prior to the issuance of building permits. All construction shall be certified by a civil engineer as being constructed to approved plan.
4. That site grading and drainage shall comply with the approved grading and improvement plans for the development. Upon completion of construction, the developer's engineer shall provide a written statement that site grading and drainage has been completed in accordance with approved plans.

Curb, Gutter, and Sidewalk Requirements:

1. That the existing concrete curb and gutters located along the Fargo Avenue project frontage may remain in place provided they are found to be in functional condition and adequately sloped for proper drainage. Sections damaged during construction, or otherwise found to be in disrepair, shall be removed and replaced with new curbs & gutters installed in conformance with City Standard CO-11 and CO-15. The locations of any such curb and gutter required to be reconstructed shall be shown on the engineered site improvement plans.
2. That new 7.5 foot wide commercial sidewalk located along the entire project frontage shall be installed in conformance with City Standard CO-15.
3. That the project entrance on Fargo Avenue may be located as shown on the site plan. A new Commercial drive approach shall be constructed in accordance with City Standard CO-41.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

4. All driveway approaches shall be provided with a minimum of 4' ADA access around and in the back of each driveway approach. Drive approaches equipped with easy access curb returns shall be provided with ADA-compliant curb ramps as shown on proposed site plan.

DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES:

1. That development is NOT subject to impact fees.

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-18 CUP [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-22

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD APPROVING VARIANCE NO. 2021-04 PARTS A AND B, A REQUEST TO DEVIATE FROM THE STANDARDS OF THE HANFORD MUNICIPAL CODE:

**A. SECTION 17.20.130 B., IN ORDER TO WAIVE THE BLOCK WALL REQUIREMENT
ALONG THE REAR PROPERTY LINE, ABUTTING A PF ZONE; AND**

**B. SECTION 17.68.120, IN ORDER TO WAIVE THE LANDSCAPE BUFFER
REQUIREMENT OUTSIDE THE PERIMETER OF THE LEASE AREA.**

THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT 11555 FARGO AVENUE (APN 008-360-032).

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021 on motion of Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Variance No. 2021-04 Part a) and b), a request to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code: a) Section 17.20.130 B., in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF zone; b) Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter of the lease area, as shown in **Exhibit A**, has been reviewed by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford in accordance with Title 17.84 of the Hanford Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032); and

WHEREAS, staff, the various governmental departments, and the Planning Commission have given careful consideration to this variance application and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the project is exempt from environmental review, per Section 15303 New Construction and Conversion of Small Structures of the California Environmental Quality Act of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared; and

WHEREAS, on the basis of the facts and evidence provided in the staff report and the written and oral evidence presented at the public hearing, the Planning Commission hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section 17.84.050 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

1. That there are special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, are such that the strict application deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity that are in the same zone district;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That the special circumstance applicable to the property is its surroundings. The property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin. There is one other example of a C-S Service Commercial zone district abutting a basin, along South 10th Avenue.

The two C-S Service Commercial properties do not have a block wall separating the property from the basin; there is only a slatted, chain-link fence along the rear of the property.



There is also precedent of the block wall requirement being waived between a commercial use (urgent care facility) and basin, previously – Variance No. 2019-03.

b) – landscape buffer:

That the special circumstance applicable to the property is the location of the proposed wireless communication facility. The wireless communication facility is setback a significant distance from the public view; therefore, screening with a landscape buffer around the exterior of the tower would not be necessary to reduce visual impacts. Section 17.68.120 F. 1 permits the waiving of the landscape buffer at the discretion of the Community Development Director or Planning Commission, where applicable, where the visual impact of the tower would be minimal.

The site shall be landscaped with a buffer of plant materials that effectively screens the view of the tower compound from adjacent property. The standard buffer shall consist of a landscaped strip at least five (5) feet wide outside the perimeter of the compound's fence. In locations where the visual impact of the tower would be minimal, the landscaping requirement may be reduced or waived altogether in the discretion of the Community Development Director and where applicable, the Planning Commission.

2. That the variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district and denied to the property for which the variance is sought;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

The property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin. There is one other example of a C-S Service Commercial zone district abutting a basin, along South 10th Avenue.

The two C-S Service Commercial properties do not have a block wall separating the property from the basin; there is only a slatted, chain-link fence along the rear of the property.



There is also precedent of the block wall requirement being waived between a commercial use (urgent care facility) and basin, previously – Variance No. 2019-03.

b) – landscape buffer:

Though there is not an example of a recent wireless communication facility installed in the C-S Service Commercial zone district without a landscape buffer, there is a precedent of another commercial property without a landscape buffer surrounding the tower in the C-H Highway Commercial zone district at 1550 W. Glendale Avenue. The tower was constructed in 1998, at the time, Glendale Avenue dead-ended at the property's eastern boundary. The visual impact of the tower is reduced since the tower is not visible from the public street, due to setback and screening from a gated exterior. The proposed tower has a similar configuration, since it is set to the rear of the property, a significant distance from the public view.



3. That the variance will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district in which the property is located;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That allowance of the variance to remove the requirement of the block wall on the rear lot line will not create a safety hazard. There is a fence existing between the rear property line and the neighboring property line, which provides a physical barrier between the site and the basin. The allowance of the variance is not materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district in which the property is located. The goals of providing a block wall, to provide privacy and physical separation, can be achieved by maintaining the existing six-foot, chain-link fence along the rear property line.

b) – landscape buffer

That allowance of the variance to remove landscaping around the tower will not create a safety hazard. The landscaping requirements are to reduce visual impacts and create an aesthetically, pleasing property. The reduction of landscaping will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

4. That the variance does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and that are in the same land use district in which such property is located;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That the variance does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitation of other properties, since the property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin.

The property abuts a P-F Public Facility zone with a basin. There is one other example of a C-S Service Commercial zone district abutting a basin, along South 10th Avenue.

The two C-S Service Commercial properties do not have a block wall separating the property from the basin; there is only a slatted, chain-link fence along the rear of the property.



There is also precedent of the block wall requirement being waived between a commercial use (urgent care facility) and basin, previously – Variance No. 2019-03.

b) – landscape buffer:

Though there is not an example of a recent wireless communication facility installed in the C-S Service Commercial zone district without a landscape buffer, there is a precedent of another commercial property without a landscape buffer surrounding the tower in the C-H Highway Commercial zone district at 1550 W. Glendale Avenue. The tower was constructed in 1998, at the time, Glendale Avenue dead-ended at the property's eastern boundary. The visual impact of the tower is reduced since the tower is not visible from the public street, due to setback and screening from a gated exterior. The proposed tower has a similar configuration, since it is set to the rear of the property, a significant distance from the public view.



The waiver to eliminate the landscape buffer along the property does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties.

5. That the variance does not allow a use or activity which is prohibited in the zone district where the property is located;

Analysis:

a) – block wall; b) – landscape buffer

That the proposed use of a wireless communication facility is listed as a permitted use with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, in accordance with Section 17.68.090 B. 2. The appropriate findings have been made in order to support approval of the conditional use permit, in accordance with Section 17.80.030 of the Municipal Code. The variance request will not allow a use or activity which is prohibited in the zone district.

6. That the variance is consistent with the purposes of this title;

Analysis:

a) – block wall:

That in accordance with Section 17.84.010, the purpose of a variance is to allow deviations from the development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including location, shape, size, surroundings, topography, or other physical conditions, the strict application of the standards denies the property owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and in the same zoning district. The surroundings of the property are unique in this situation, since the C-S Service Commercial zone district abuts a PF Public Facility zone district for a basin. There are other examples of a block wall not being provided between a C-S Service Commercial use and a basin.

b) – landscape buffer:

That in accordance with Section 17.84.010, the purpose of a variance is to allow deviations from the development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including location, shape, size, surroundings, topography, or other physical conditions, the strict application of the standards denies the property owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and in the same zoning district. In this instance, the special circumstance applicable to the property is the configuration of the wireless communication facility. The WCF is setback a significant distance from the street, where visual impacts would not be an issue.

7. That the variance will be consistent with the General Plan.

Analysis:

a) – block wall; b) – landscape buffer:

That the General Plan has been reviewed, and the granting of this variance would be consistent with the goals, policies, and objectives of the General Plan.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Variance No. 2021-04 a) and b) be approved, subject to the conditions of approval for Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, attached as **Exhibit B**.

EXPIRATION

That this Variance become null and void two (2) years after the effective date of approval, unless, prior to the expiration, the use for which the permit was approved has commenced. Prior to expiration of a permit, a person having authority to file an application may file a written request with the Community Development Director for extension of a permit that may otherwise expire. The request for extension will be taken to the Planning Commission, who may extend the expiration of the permit by one year.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Hanford City Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES:	Commissioners
NOES:	Commissioners
ABSTAIN:	Commissioners
ABSENT:	Commissioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the City of Hanford Planning Commission, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers, Acting Secretary

Exhibit A
Site Plan

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-22 VAR A and B [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



11555 FARGO AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230
JURISDICTION: CITY OF HANFORD
APN: 008-360-032

**SITE TYPE: PREMANUFACTURED WALK-IN
CABINET / MONOPOLE**

FA CODE: 14881321
USID#: 273633

CVL01788
FARGO AVE &
12TH AVE
1555 FARGO AVENUE



MAILING SHEET NO	CY01786
PROJECT NO	1A2-2577
DRAWN BY	TLS
CHECKED BY	SV

Licencee

SHEET TITLE
TITLE SHEET

SHEET NUMBER
T-1

[illegible]

PLANS ARE INTENDED TO BE DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE ONLY. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN, IN WRITING, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEM NOT CLEARLY DERNED OR IDENTIFIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

1. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTA UNDERGROUND SERVICE AREA AT (800) 271-3400, FOR UTILITY LOCATIONS, 48 HOURS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH ANY EXCAVATION, SITE WORK OR CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURERS' RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE, OR WHERE LOCAL CODE OR REGULATION TAKE PRECEDENCE.
3. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CEC / UBCS REQUIREMENTS REGARDING EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE, FOR BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SUCH FACTORS AS: FOUNDATION, INTERIOR PARTITIONS, AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. ALL WORK MUST COMPLY WITH LOCAL EARTHQUAKE CODES AND REGULATIONS.
4. REPRESENTATIONS OF TRUE NORTH, OTHER THAN THOSE FOUND ON THE PLOT OF SURVEY DRAWINGS, SHALL NOT BE USED TO IDENTIFY OR ESTABLISH BEARING OF TRUE NORTH AT THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REY SOLELY ON THE PLOT OF SURVEY DRAWING AND ANY SURVEYORS MARKINGS AT THE SITE FOR TRUE NORTH. ANY DISCREPANCY IS FOUND BETWEEN THE VARIOUS ELEMENTS OF THE WORKING DRAWINGS AND THE TRUE NORTH ORIENTATION AS DEPICTED ON THE CIVIL SURVEY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME SOLE LIABILITY FOR ANY FAILURE TO NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER.
5. THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ISSUING THE PERMITS SHALL BE NOTIFIED AT LEAST TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK, OR AS OTHERWISE SITUATED BY THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL HAVING JURISDICTION.
6. DO NOT EXCAVATE OR DISBUR BEYOND THE PROPERTY LINES OR LEASE LINES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
7. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, FACILITIES, CONDITIONS, AND THEIR DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN HAVE BEEN NOTED FROM AVAILABLE RECORDS. THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE PLANS, OR THE MANNER OF THEIR REMOVAL OR ADJUSTMENT, CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND FACILITIES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL ALSO OBTAIN FROM EACH UTILITY COMPANY DETAILED INFORMATION RELATIVE TO WORKING SCHEDULES AND METHODS OF REMOVING OR ADJUSTING UTILITIES, BOTH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICALLY. PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, ANY DISCREPANCIES OR DOUBT AS TO THE INTERPRETATION OF PLANS SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION AND CLARIFICATION, AND NO FURTHER WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED UNTIL THE DISCREPANCY IS CHECKED AND CORRECTED BY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER. FAILURE TO SECURE SUCH INSTRUCTION MEANS CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE WORKED AT HIGHER OWN RISK, AND ENFORCE.
8. ALL NEW AND EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES, ON-LINE AND IN AREA TO BE DISBURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO FINISH ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION OF WORK.
9. ANY DRINK AND/OR FELD THE ENCOUNTERED / DISBURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RETURNED TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF WORK. SIZE, LOCATION AND TYPE OF EXCAVATION SHALL BE IDENTIFIED AND RECORDED IN THE PERMITS OF PROJECT.
10. ALL TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FOUNDATIONS, UTILITIES, ETC., SHALL BE PROPERLY DAD BACK OR BRACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORRECT OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) REQUIREMENTS.
11. INCLUDE MSK, ITEMS PER A1A1 SPECIFICATIONS

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (A.H.J.) FOR THE LOCATION.

THE EDITION OF THE AIA ADOPTED CODES AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC), MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, NINTH EDITION

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) Z229, STRUCTURAL STANDARD FOR STRUCTURAL ANTENNA TOWERS AND SUPPORTS
 INTRODUCING STRUCTURES

INSTITUTE FOR ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS (IEEE) 81, GUIDE FOR MEASURING EARTH RESISTIVITY, GROUND IMPEDANCE, AND

EARTH SURFACE POTENTIALS OF A GROUND SYSTEM IEEE 1100 (1999) RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR POWERING AND GROUNDING OF

ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT.

SEE 602.41, RECOMMENDED PRACTICES ON SURGE VOLTAGES IN LOW VOLTAGE (1000 V AND LOWER) SYSTEMS AND HIGH SYSTEM EXPOSURE¹⁾

TELECOMUNICATIONS CORPORATION OF CANADA

IA 607 COMMERCIAL BUILDING GROUNDING AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND DATA EQUIPMENT-BUILDING SYSTEM (NFRS): PHYSICAL PROTECTION

ELCORDIA GR-347 CENTRAL OFFICE POWER WIRING

ELCORDIA GR-1275 GENERAL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

ELCORDIA GR-1503 COAXIAL CABLE CONNECTIONS

ANY AND ALL OTHER LOCAL & STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

OR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR

OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GOVERNING REQUIREMENT AND ANOTHER REQUIREMENT, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE SHALL GOVERN.

[illegible][illegible]

1. **Introduction**
 2. **Background**
 3. **Methodology**
 4. **Results**
 5. **Discussion**
 6. **Conclusion**
 7. **References**
 8. **Appendix**
 9. **Figure 1**
 10. **Figure 2**
 11. **Figure 3**
 12. **Figure 4**
 13. **Figure 5**
 14. **Figure 6**
 15. **Figure 7**
 16. **Figure 8**
 17. **Figure 9**
 18. **Figure 10**
 19. **Figure 11**
 20. **Figure 12**
 21. **Figure 13**
 22. **Figure 14**
 23. **Figure 15**
 24. **Figure 16**
 25. **Figure 17**
 26. **Figure 18**
 27. **Figure 19**
 28. **Figure 20**
 29. **Figure 21**
 30. **Figure 22**
 31. **Figure 23**
 32. **Figure 24**
 33. **Figure 25**
 34. **Figure 26**
 35. **Figure 27**
 36. **Figure 28**
 37. **Figure 29**
 38. **Figure 30**
 39. **Figure 31**
 40. **Figure 32**
 41. **Figure 33**
 42. **Figure 34**
 43. **Figure 35**
 44. **Figure 36**
 45. **Figure 37**
 46. **Figure 38**
 47. **Figure 39**
 48. **Figure 40**
 49. **Figure 41**
 50. **Figure 42**
 51. **Figure 43**
 52. **Figure 44**
 53. **Figure 45**
 54. **Figure 46**
 55. **Figure 47**
 56. **Figure 48**
 57. **Figure 49**
 58. **Figure 50**
 59. **Figure 51**
 60. **Figure 52**
 61. **Figure 53**
 62. **Figure 54**
 63. **Figure 55**
 64. **Figure 56**
 65. **Figure 57**
 66. **Figure 58**
 67. **Figure 59**
 68. **Figure 60**
 69. **Figure 61**
 70. **Figure 62**
 71. **Figure 63**
 72. **Figure 64**
 73. **Figure 65**
 74. **Figure 66**
 75. **Figure 67**
 76. **Figure 68**
 77. **Figure 69**
 78. **Figure 70**
 79. **Figure 71**
 80. **Figure 72**
 81. **Figure 73**
 82. **Figure 74**
 83. **Figure 75**
 84. **Figure 76**
 85. **Figure 77**
 86. **Figure 78**
 87. **Figure 79**
 88. **Figure 80**
 89. **Figure 81**
 90. **Figure 82**
 91. **Figure 83**
 92. **Figure 84**
 93. **Figure 85**
 94. **Figure 86**
 95. **Figure 87**
 96. **Figure 88**
 97. **Figure 89**
 98. **Figure 90**
 99. **Figure 91**
 100. **Figure 92**
 101. **Figure 93**
 102. **Figure 94**
 103. **Figure 95**
 104. **Figure 96**
 105. **Figure 97**
 106. **Figure 98**
 107. **Figure 99**
 108. **Figure 100**
 109. **Figure 101**
 110. **Figure 102**
 111. **Figure 103**
 112. **Figure 104**
 113. **Figure 105**
 114. **Figure 106**
 115. **Figure 107**
 116. **Figure 108**
 117. **Figure 109**
 118. **Figure 110**
 119. **Figure 111**
 120. **Figure 112**
 121. **Figure 113**
 122. **Figure 114**
 123. **Figure 115**
 124. **Figure 116**
 125. **Figure 117**
 126. **Figure 118**
 127. **Figure 119**
 128. **Figure 120**
 129. **Figure 121**
 130. **Figure 122**
 131. **Figure 123**
 132. **Figure 124**
 133. **Figure 125**
 134. **Figure 126**
 135. **Figure 127**
 136. **Figure 128**
 137. **Figure 129**
 138. **Figure 130**
 139. **Figure 131**
 140. **Figure 132**
 141. **Figure 133**
 142. **Figure 134**
 143. **Figure 135**
 144. **Figure 136**
 145. **Figure 137**
 146. **Figure 138**
 147. **Figure 139**
 148. **Figure 140**
 149. **Figure 141**
 150. **Figure 142**
 151. **Figure 143**
 152. **Figure 144**
 153. **Figure 145**
 154. **Figure 146**
 155. **Figure 147**
 156. **Figure 148**
 157. **Figure 149**
 158. **Figure 150**
 159. **Figure 151**
 160. **Figure 152**
 161. **Figure 153**
 162. **Figure 154**
 163. **Figure 155**
 164. **Figure 156**
 165. **Figure 157**
 166. **Figure 158**
 167. **Figure 159**
 168. **Figure 160**
 169. **Figure 161**
 170. **Figure 162**
 171. **Figure 163**
 172. **Figure 164**
 173. **Figure 165**
 174. **Figure 166**
 175. **Figure 167**
 176. **Figure 168**
 177. **Figure 169**
 178. **Figure 170**
 179. **Figure 171**
 180. **Figure 172**
 181. **Figure 173**
 182. **Figure 174**
 183. **Figure 175**
 184. **Figure 176**
 185. **Figure 177**
 186. **Figure 178**
 187. **Figure 179**
 188. **Figure 180**
 189. **Figure 181**
 190. **Figure 182**
 191. **Figure 183**
 192. **Figure 184**
 193. **Figure 185**
 194. **Figure 186**
 195. **Figure 187**
 196. **Figure 188**
 197. **Figure 189**
 198. **Figure 190**
 199. **Figure 191**
 200. **Figure 192**
 201. **Figure 193**
 202. **Figure 194**
 203. **Figure 195**
 204. **Figure 196**
 205. **Figure 197**
 206. **Figure 198**
 207. **Figure 199**
 208. **Figure 200**
 209. **Figure 201**
 210. **Figure 202**
 211. **Figure 203**
 212. **Figure 204**
 213. **Figure 205**
 214. **Figure 206**
 215. **Figure 207**
 216. **Figure 208**
 217. **Figure 209**

Attachment: Resolution No. 20

.....

[illegible]

	BELG SECTION		GROUP OF PLASTER
	WALL SECTION		BRICK
	ROOF SECTION		MASONRY
	SIGNAL		CONCRETE
	ELEVATION		GRAVEL
	DOOR SYMBOL		PLYWOOD
	WINDOW SYMBOL		SAND
	TIE UP SYMBOL		STEEL
	PROFILE LINE		MATCH LINE
	CENTER LINE		GROUND CONDITION
	ELEVATION DATUM		OVERHEAD SERVICE CONDUCTOR
	GRID COLUMN LINE		TELEPHONE CONDUCTOR
	LEAVING DIMENSION LINE		POWER CONDUCTOR
	LEAVING CONSTRUCTION ITEM		COAXIAL CABLE
	LEAVING NOTE		CHAIN LINE FENCE
	WALL TYPE MARK		WOOD FENCE
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		IRON
	OFFICE ROOM NUMBER		

TYPED FOR CVL01798 FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE	11555 FARGO AVENUE HAINFORD, CA 93330	 PREPARED FOR 7600 Camino Arroyo San Ramon, California 94583	 COMPLETE Wireless Consulting, Inc. TYPED BY	ALTA LINE NO.: CVL01798	PROJECT NO.: 142-257	DRAWN BY: TLS	CHECKED BY: SV	☐ 01/19/21 100% TO REV 5 ☒ 03/01/20 100% TO REV 3 ☐ 02/24/20 100% TO REV 3 ☐ 01/29/20 100% TO REV 2 ☐ 01/17/20 100% TO REV 1 ☐ 11/11/19 100% TO ☐ 10/17/19 100% TO DATE: 11-01-2019	(LICENSEE) THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF COMPLETE WIRELESS CONSULTING, INC. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR COPIED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF COMPLETE WIRELESS CONSULTING, INC.	ARCHITECT MST ARCHITECTS Sacramento, California 95815	SHEET TITLE GENERAL NOTES, ABBREVIATIONS, & LEGEND	SHEET NUMBER GN-1
---	--	---	--	-------------------------	----------------------	---------------	----------------	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------




THIS IS NOT A SITE SURVEY
ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, CORRELATIONS TO THE PROPERTY AND ALL UTILITIES SHOWN ARE BASED ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT AND THE DESIGNER'S VISUAL INSPECTION. THE DESIGNER DOES NOT WARRANT THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT OR THE RESULTS OF THE VISUAL INSPECTION. THE DESIGNER'S RESPONSIBILITY IS LIMITED TO THE PREPARED WORK AND DOES NOT INCLUDE THE VERIFICATION OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT OR THE RESULTS OF THE VISUAL INSPECTION.

NOTE:
1. NO CHANGES TO THE PROPERTY BOUNDARIES OR UTILITIES SHOWN ARE TO BE MADE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE DESIGNER.
2. FIELD TO CONDUCT THE SURVEY AND CORRELATE THE PROPERTY BOUNDARIES AND UTILITIES WITH THE TYPE OF CONTACTS, CHANGES TO THE CONTACT TYPE.


























NOTE:
1. DRIVEWAY APPROACH, SIDEWALK, CURB, GUTTER, ACCESS ROAD, AND LEASE AREA TO BE PREPARED BY A LICENSED CIVIL ENGINEER DESIGN IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.
2. DRIVEWAY APPROACH, SIDEWALK, CURB, GUTTER, ACCESS ROAD, AND LEASE AREA TO BE PREPARED BY A LICENSED CIVIL ENGINEER DESIGN IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PROJECT INFORMATION:
PROJECT NO: CVL01788
PROJECT NAME: FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE
CLIENT: [REDACTED]
DATE: 10/11/2020
SCALE: 1" = 30'-0"

OVERALL SITE PLAN



Packet Pg. 137

Specifications: Material: HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED STEEL Dimensions: HEIGHT: 13.4 IN. WIDTH: 16.4 IN. LENGTH: 18.2 IN. Weight: 39 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)     	Ericsson Radio 4449 B5/B12 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 13.4" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)    	Ericsson Radio 4415 B25 Remote Radio Unit 11/27" x 14" Color: WHITE Dimensions: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) Weight: 46 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)  
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2 EAST ELEVATION

TOP OF PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE
99.2' A.G.L.
CENTRELINE OF PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS
95.0' A.G.L.

PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS W/ ASSOCIATED TOWER-MOUNTED EQUIPMENT

FUTURE AT&T MICROWAVE DISH, SITE LOCATION, AND AZIMUTH TO BE DETERMINED

PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE

PROPOSED AT&T 30KW DIESEL GENERATOR WITH A 190 GALLON FUEL TANK MOUNTED ON A 5'-0" X 10'-0" CONCRETE SLAB

PROPOSED AT&T WALK-IN CABINET ON AN 8'-0" X 8'-0" CONCRETE SLAB

PROPOSED AT&T 6'-0" TALL CHAIN LINK FENCE W/ BARBED WIRE & 12'-0" WIDE ACCESS GATE

PROPOSED AT&T UTILITY H-FRAME

EXISTING GRADE
0.0' A.G.L. (23.2' & A.A.S.L.)

1 NORTH ELEVATION

TOP OF PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE
99.2' A.G.L.
CENTRELINE OF PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS
95.0' A.G.L.

PROPOSED AT&T ANTENNAS W/ ASSOCIATED TOWER-MOUNTED EQUIPMENT

FUTURE AT&T MICROWAVE DISH, SITE LOCATION, AND AZIMUTH TO BE DETERMINED

PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE

PROPOSED AT&T 30KW DIESEL GENERATOR WITH A 190 GALLON FUEL TANK MOUNTED ON A 5'-0" X 10'-0" CONCRETE SLAB

PROPOSED AT&T WALK-IN CABINET ON AN 8'-0" X 8'-0" CONCRETE SLAB

PROPOSED AT&T 6'-0" TALL CHAIN LINK FENCE W/ BARBED WIRE & 12'-0" WIDE ACCESS GATE

PROPOSED AT&T UTILITY H-FRAME

EXISTING GRADE
0.0' A.G.L. (23.2' & A.A.S.L.)

[illegible]

Exhibit B

Site Plan Review Approval Letter – Conditions of Approval

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-22 VAR A and B [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

City of HANFORD

CALIFORNIA 93230
CITY OFFICES 317 NORTH DOUTY STREET



MAYOR
FRANCISCO RAMIREZ
VICE MAYOR
DIANE SHARP
COUNCIL MEMBERS
ART BRIENO
KALISH MORROW
AMANDA SALTRAY
CITY MANAGER
MARIO CIFUENTEZ II

DATE: October 8, 2021

PROJECT: Site Plan Review 2020-03 (502-1103)

APPLICANT: Complete Wireless Consulting

LOCATION: 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032)

PROPOSAL: 99' monopole and antennas (AT&T)

ZONING: C-S Service Commercial

SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE REVIEW DATE: February 5, 2020

Enclosed for your review are the comments and decisions of the Site Plan Review Committee. Please review all comments, since they may impact your project:

- ☐ **RESUBMIT:** Changes to your plans are required prior to accepting construction drawings for a building permit. A meeting with the Site Plan Review Committee is not required.
- ☐ **MEETING REQUIRED:** During site plan review, concerns were identified, schedule a meeting with the following prior to resubmittal:
- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| ☐ Planning | ☐ Building |
| ☐ Fire | ☐ Engineering |
| ☐ Solid Waste | ☐ Police |
| ☐ Wastewater | ☐ Parks and Recreation |

☒ **REVISE AND PROCEED**

- ☒ Approved subject to the following and the attached conditions of approval.
☒ Submit plans for a building permit between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.
☒ Your Plans must be reviewed by:

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☐ City Council | ☒ Planning Commission VAR; CUP |
| ☐ Parking and Traffic Commission | ☐ Parks and Recreation Commission |
| ☐ Other: _____ | |

Signed,

Gabrielle Myers

 Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner
 Community Development Department

October 8, 2021
 DATE

EXPIRATION

A site plan approval shall expire one (1) year from its approval date, unless a building permit application has been submitted or the use has commenced. Prior to expiration, the Community Development Director may extend the permit expiration date by one (1) year if there is substantial evidence that the applicant is diligently pursuing building permit approval or commencement of the use (Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.72.070).

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

Site Plan Review is a ministerial action, therefore not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (CEQA Guidelines 15268 and MC 17.70.070). **Exemption No. 2020-10**

COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS ARE ATTACHED:

- ☒ PLANNING
- ☒ BUILDING
- ☒ ENGINEERING
- ☐ SOLID WASTE
- ☐ WASTEWATER
- ☐ POLICE
- ☒ FIRE
- ☐ PARKS AND RECREATION

DEFENSE AND INDEMNIFICATION PROVISION

That the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Hanford ("City"), its officials, officers, employees, representatives, agents and attorneys, from and against all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liabilities, expenses and other costs, including litigation costs and attorney's fees, arising out of, resulting from, or in connection with, the City's act or acts leading up to and including approval of any environmental document and/or granting of any approvals relating to the Project. Applicant's obligation to defend, indemnify and hold the City harmless specifically includes, but is not limited to, any suit or challenge by any third party against the City which challenges or seeks to set aside, void or annul the legality or adequacy of any environmental document approved by the City or any approval related to the Project

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT (Must be signed prior to issuance of a building permit)

I have reviewed a copy of the Site Plan Review Approval No. 2020-03 and I understand that the proposed construction and/or land use is dependent upon the fulfillment of the conditions of approval and construction of all required public improvements prior to use, inauguration or occupancy, unless other arrangements are approved.

Applicant or Authorized Representative

PLANNING DIVISION
SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS

MEETING DATE: February 5, 2020

SITE PLAN NUMBER: 2020 -03(502-1103); CUP 2020-01 (508-0218); VAR 2021-04 (511-0205)

CONTACT: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner (559)585-2578

General Plan Designation: Service Commercial

Zoning: C-S Service Commercial

Other Special Districts: N/A

Planning Division Recommendation ☒ Revise and Proceed ☐ Resubmit

The project does meet the requirements of the Hanford Municipal Code, development standards and other codes and policies.

Corrections:

1. Landscaping:

- ☒ That landscaping shall be provided and permanently maintained in a setback area not less than 15 feet from the lot line adjoining Fargo Avenue, except where the setback area is covered by buildings, parking, or driveways.

General Requirements:

1. That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, fees, or other City Ordinances.
2. That all approved proposals of the applicant be conditions of development, if not mentioned herein.
3. That the site be developed according to the approved site plan, titled Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, with minor modifications to be approved by the Community Development Department.

Site Plan Review No. 2020-03 (along with Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01) approves a new-site build, unmanned telecommunication facility, including:

1. Bringing power/telecommunication/fiber to the site.
2. Installation of a new 99-foot AT&T monopole with antennas and associated tower-mounted equipment.
3. Installation of a standby generator with fuel tank.

4. Installation of an AT&T-approved pre-manufactured walk-in cabinet and associated interior equipment.
4. That no expansion of the use which would tend to increase the projected scale of operations beyond the scope and nature described in this Site Plan Review shall be permitted except upon application for, and approval of, modification of this application according to all procedures and requirements thereof.
5. That if cultural resources are discovered during construction or related activities, all work shall be halted and a qualified archeologist and the City of Hanford shall be notified. The find shall be properly investigated and appropriate measures are to be taken before construction may continue.
6. That where feasible, the proposed facility shall be made available for collocation.

C-S Service Commercial Zone Requirements (all checked shall apply)

- ☒ That modification to an approved tower, where the modification results in an increase in the height of the existing, approved tower, or provides for more than three communication providers on a single antenna/tower, shall constitute an amendment to the conditional use permit.
- ☒ That the following requirements and standards shall apply to the construction and installation of all new wireless communication facilities:
 - ☒ All standards set forth in Section 17.68;
 - ☒ Any applicable Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and ordinances;
 - ☒ All applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, Hanford Zoning Ordinance, public works improvements standards, and all applicable fees that are not in conflict with the provisions of Section 17.68;
 - ☒ The Uniform Building Code, National Electrical Code, Uniform Plumbing Code, Uniform Mechanical Code, and Uniform Fire Code, where applicable; and
 - ☒ All FCC rules, regulations, and standards.

Federal and State Regulations (Section 17.68.130)

- ☒ That all tower/antenna structures must meet or exceed current standards and regulations of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Federal Communication Commission (FCC), the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and any other agency of the federal or state government with authority to regulate towers and antennas.
 - ☒ If said standards and regulations are modified or amended and require pre-existing wireless communication facilities to comply with the new or amended standards or regulations, the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations within six months of the effective date of the new standards and regulations, unless the new standards or regulations or the applicable federal or state agency identify

a different and specific compliance period, in which case the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance within that specific compliance period

- ☒ Failure to bring towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations shall constitute a violation of Section 17.68 and the city may require removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense.

Review Criteria (Section 17.68.120)

- ☒ Radio Frequency: That the use of the wireless communication facility will not result in levels of radio frequency emissions which will exceed Federal Communication Commission (FCC) standards.
- ☒ Structural Integrity: That wireless communication facilities with support structures must be constructed to Electronic Industries Association (EIA/TIA) 222 Revision F Standard titled, "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures" (or equivalent), as it may be updated or amended.
 - ☒ Antenna/towers supported by guy wires are prohibited within the City.
- ☒ Lighting: That the wireless communication facility shall not be artificially lighted, except for:
 - ☒ Security and safety lighting of equipment if buildings in such lighting appropriately shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site; and
 - ☒ Lighting of the tower or antenna may be required by the Federal Aviation Administration or other applicable authority. Said lighting to be installed in a manner to minimize impacts on adjacent properties.
- ☒ Security: That the wireless communication facility with tower/antenna structures shall be enclosed by a security fence not less than six feet in height and the structure shall be equipped with an appropriate anti-climbing device.
- ☒ Noise: That no equipment shall be operated at a wireless communication facility which produces noise in excess of the applicable noise standards stated in the Hanford General Plan. In emergency situations requiring the use of a backup generator, the noise standards may be exceeded on a temporary basis, as determined by the Community Development Department.
- ☒ Landscaping: That the following requirements shall govern the landscaping surrounding wireless communication facility sites.
 - ☒ That the site shall be landscaped with a buffer of plant materials that effectively screens the view of the tower compound from adjacent property. The standard buffer shall consist of a landscaped strip at least five feet wide outside the perimeter of the compound's fence – **waived by Variance No. 2021-04 part b**
 - ☒ That existing mature tree growth and natural land forms on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible, provided, however, vegetation causing

interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed.

- ☒ Painting: That all equipment, antennas, poles, or towers shall have a non-reflective finish and shall be painted or otherwise treated to minimize visual impacts. Antennas which will be viewed primarily against the skylight shall be designed and painted to blend in with existing surroundings to the extent feasible, including the sure of compatible colors. Equipment facilities, shall, to the extent practicable, use materials, colors, and textures that will blend with the natural setting and existing building environment.

☒ Visual Aesthetics:

- ☒ That in order to accommodate the maximum number of providers at a single site, the applicant shall allow for future co-location of additional antennas at its site.
- ☒ That antenna and towers with guy wires are prohibited.
- ☒ That cables leading from the antennas to the ground base equipment shall be neatly bundled and securely fastened to the antenna/tower and be underground from the antenna/tower to the equipment room.

Removal of Abandoned Antennas, Towers, Supporting Equipment, and Structures:

- ☒ That any antenna, tower, supporting equipment and structure that is not operated for a continuous period of 12 months shall be considered abandoned, and the owner of such antenna or tower, or owner of the land which it is located on, shall remove said antenna, tower, or supporting equipment within 90 days of receipt of notice from the Community Development Director notifying the owner of such abandonment. If such antenna or tower is not removed within said 90 days, the City may remove such antenna or tower at the owner's expense. If there are two or more users of a single tower, then this provision shall not become effective until all users cease using the tower.
- ☒ That if the cost of removal of the antenna or tower are not paid within 30 days after the date on which the notice of request for payment is mailed to the owner of the property, the City Council may direct the county tax assessor to place the unpaid costs, including administrative fees associated with the removal on the county tax roll, as a special assessment against the property, pursuant to Section 25845 of the Government Code of the State of California. The assessment shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary county taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure and sale in case of delinquency, as provided for ordinary county taxes. All laws applicable to the levy, collection and enforcement of county taxes shall be applicable to such special assessment.

Dust Control:

- ☒ That the appropriate dust-control practices of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District shall be implemented.
- ☒ That the any necessary permits be obtained through the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

BUILDING DIVISION
SPR 2020-003 (502-1103) New Cell Tower
11-20-19
11555 Fargo Ave

Contact Building Official: Tom Webb (559) 585-2584
 Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

1. That building permits must be obtained from the City Building Division for each structure to include but not limited to: buildings, pools, fences, trash enclosures, signs and carports for any structural, plumbing, electrical or mechanical work being done.
 2. That no building or structure shall be used or occupied, and no change in the existing occupancy classification of building or structure or portion thereof shall be made until the building official has approved the change and issued a Certificate of Occupancy.
 3. That detailed dimensioned plans be provided to the Building Division for each structure prior to obtaining construction permits. Each structure will require a separate submittal and permit.
 4. That each structure will require plans and calculations signed by an architect or engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. Submittal shall consist of as applicable:
 - 4.1 1 copy of the City of Hanford Permit Application form
 - 4.2 6 complete sets of Drawings, unless noted, including:
 - 4.2.1 Grading Plan (Civil Drawings, for reference)
 - 4.2.2 Site Plan
 - 4.2.3 Architectural Drawings
 - 4.2.4 Structural Drawings
 - 4.2.5 Electrical Drawings
 - 4.2.6 Mechanical Drawings
 - 4.2.7 Plumbing Drawings
 - 4.2.8 Landscape Plan (for reference)
 - 4.2.9 Planning Dept. "Conditions of Approval" or "Resolution" printed on the drawings and part of the drawing submittal
 - 4.2.10 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
- **** Each structure will be considered a separate submittal. Grading, Landscaping, Signage and all Fire permits are separate submittals as well and require separate applications and submittals as applicable. ******
- 4.3 2 complete sets of documentation, unless noted, including:
 - 4.3.1 Architectural Specifications
 - 4.3.2 Structural Calculations
 - 4.3.3 Electrical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.4 Mechanical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.5 Plumbing Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.6 Energy Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.7 CalGreen Compliance including C & D Recycle plan
 - 4.3.8 Compliance with the City of Hanford Landscape Ordinance
 - 4.3.9 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
 5. That the site, as well as the buildings, shall be made accessible and usable by the disabled in accordance with the California Building Code, Chapter 11B.

7. That all special inspection reports be submitted to the Building Division prior to final inspection.
8. That all construction shall conform to **the Most Current Edition** (2019) of the California Building Code, California Electrical Code, California Mechanical Code, California Plumbing Code, California Fire Code, California Energy Code and CalGreen.
9. That Kings County Impact Fees shall apply at current rates.
10. That the tenant, lessee, and/or owner are responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. By federal law your facility must be made accessible to the highest degree possible.
11. That the applicant provides a complete set of AS-BUILT drawings on CD, in "tif" format, to the Building Division prior to Final Inspection.
12. That block walls and trash enclosures require separate submittals/permits through the Building Dept.
14. That a preliminary soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Divisions prior to approval of site improvement plans. The soils report shall comply with the 2016 (2019) California Building Code, Section 1803.
15. That a final soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Divisions before issuance of building permits.

Fire Department

Christy Whittington – Fire Marshall
(559)585-2594; cwhittington@cityofhanfordca.com

1. Provide minimum 2A10BC type fire extinguisher if structure is to be installed.



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Utilities Division Comments

PROJECT: SPR 2020-03 (502-1103) – ATT Monopole -11555 Fargo Avenue

General:

1. That all work within the public street right-of-way shall be under the control of a General Engineering Contractor, licensed for that purpose. Contractor shall verify all conditions in the field prior to commencing construction.
2. That locations of existing water, sanitary sewer and storm drain mains in the vicinity of the project site will be marked by Utilities and Engineering Department staff based upon the city's best available records. Contractor shall provide city with a minimum of 48 hours prior notice when requesting location of existing utilities at (559) 585-2564. The City of Hanford is not a member of Underground Service Alert (U.S.A.).
3. That all sewer and water utility work and materials shall conform to City of Hanford Standards and Specifications, latest edition. All Utility connections located within N Irwin Street or Eighth Street shall be bored.

Water:

1. That the developer shall provide an accurate fixture unit count and size water services in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Plumbing Code, latest edition.
2. That the developer shall furnish and install any new water service assemblies required for the project including water meters and meter boxes for both domestic and landscape uses. If existing services are utilized, fixture counts to ensure adequate size of service is required. All new services are to come off of Fargo Avenue and shall be bored.
3. That the developer shall furnish and install appropriate cross connection / backflow prevention assemblies for all required water services, including fire service lines.
4. That all backflow prevention assemblies required for the development shall be tested and approved by a certified technician prior to occupancy. Copies of all backflow test results shall be provided to the City of Hanford Utilities Division.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-22 VAR A and B [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Engineering Division Comments

PROJECT: SPR 2020-03 (502-1103) – ATT Monopole -11555 Fargo Avenue

General Requirements:

1. That the development shall comply with all applicable City of Hanford Standard and Specification requirements. Any deviation from said Standards and Specifications must be approved by the City Engineer prior to construction.
2. That an Encroachment Permit for all work located within the public street right-of-way shall be obtained from the Public Works Department prior to start of work. For additional information regarding Encroachment Permit requirements, contact the City Engineering Division at (559) 585-2558.
3. That the development is subject to a Grading Plan Review fee of \$4200.00 in accordance with City Resolution No. 10-31 R, or any revisions thereof.
4. That the development is subject to Engineering Plan Review and Inspection fee based on the cost of improvements required to be constructed within the public street right of way, in accordance with City Resolution No. 92-58-R, or any revisions thereof. Subject fees shall be payable prior to approval of improvement plans.
5. That all financial and other arrangements for planning, engineering, scheduling, installation of and/or relocation/ undergrounding of electric, gas, telecommunications, or other privately owned utilities are the sole responsibility of the developer. The developer is advised that significant project delays may result if utility issues are not resolved prior to start of construction.

Map and Plan Requirements:

1. That the developer shall submit to the City Engineer a set of construction plans on 24" X 36" size sheets for all required improvements. The plans shall be prepared by a licensed civil engineer, and shall include a site plan showing all on-site and off-site improvements, including but not limited to, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, and water system infrastructure, refuse enclosure locations, public street & parking lot improvements, landscape & irrigation systems and all other improvements as required by the project conditions of approval and the City of Hanford Standards and Specifications. Plans must be approved by the City of Hanford and any other affected agencies before issuance of building permits.
2. That prior to beginning any construction, or within twenty (20) calendar days after the approved plans are released by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division four (4) bond copies of the approved set of construction plans, and two (2) bound sets of the approved construction specifications, if any.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-22 VAR A and B [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

3. That within twenty (20) calendar days after all improvements have been constructed and accepted by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and one (1) bond copy of the approved set of improvement construction plans revised to reflect all field revisions and marked "RECORD DRAWING".

Drainage Requirements:

1. That the developer shall comply with all applicable State of California requirements pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). If applicable to the project, a Notice of Intent for the development shall be electronically filed by the developer and accepted by the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB) prior to any disturbance of soils onsite. Documentation of SWRCB approval of the development shall be required by the City of Hanford prior to start of construction, and the developer shall comply with all SWRCB General Construction Permit requirements during construction. Contact the SWRCB at www.swrcb.ca.gov for further information.
2. That track-out of soil, gravel, or other construction-related materials on to public streets is prohibited.
3. That a drainage/site improvement plan for development be prepared by a license civil engineer for review and approval by the Public Works Department prior to the issuance of building permits. All construction shall be certified by a civil engineer as being constructed to approved plan.
4. That site grading and drainage shall comply with the approved grading and improvement plans for the development. Upon completion of construction, the developer's engineer shall provide a written statement that site grading and drainage has been completed in accordance with approved plans.

Curb, Gutter, and Sidewalk Requirements:

1. That the existing concrete curb and gutters located along the Fargo Avenue project frontage may remain in place provided they are found to be in functional condition and adequately sloped for proper drainage. Sections damaged during construction, or otherwise found to be in disrepair, shall be removed and replaced with new curbs & gutters installed in conformance with City Standard CO-11 and CO-15. The locations of any such curb and gutter required to be reconstructed shall be shown on the engineered site improvement plans.
2. That new 7.5 foot wide commercial sidewalk located along the entire project frontage shall be installed in conformance with City Standard CO-15.
3. That the project entrance on Fargo Avenue may be located as shown on the site plan. A new Commercial drive approach shall be constructed in accordance with City Standard CO-41.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-22 VAR A and B [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

4. All driveway approaches shall be provided with a minimum of 4' ADA access around and in the back of each driveway approach. Drive approaches equipped with easy access curb returns shall be provided with ADA-compliant curb ramps as shown on proposed site plan.

DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES:

1. That development is NOT subject to impact fees.

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-22 VAR A and B [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-23

**A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD DENYING
VARIANCE NO. 2021-04 PART C, A REQUEST TO DEVIATE FROM THE STANDARDS OF
THE HANFORD MUNICIPAL CODE:**

**C) SECTION 17.20.120, IN ORDER TO WAIVE THE LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENT
ALONG FARGO AVENUE.**

THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT 11555 FARGO AVENUE (APN 008-360-032).

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021 on motion of Commissioner , seconded by Commissioner , and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Variance No. 2021-04 Part c), a request to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code: Section 17.20.120, in order to waive the landscaping requirement along Fargo Avenue, as shown in **Exhibit A**, has been reviewed by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford in accordance with Title 17.84 of the Hanford Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032); and

WHEREAS, staff, the various governmental departments, and the Planning Commission have given careful consideration to this variance application and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the project is exempt from environmental review, per Section 15303 New Construction and Conversion of Small Structures of the California Environmental Quality Act of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared; and

WHEREAS, on the basis of the facts and evidence provided in the staff report and the written and oral evidence presented at the public hearing, the Planning Commission hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section 17.84.050 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

1. That there are special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, are such that the strict application deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity that are in the same zone district;

Analysis:

c) – street-front landscaping:

Unable to make finding – there are not special circumstances applicable to the lot such that strict application deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity that are in the same zone district. There are various instances of other C-S Service Commercial properties providing the required landscaping along the public street, where the setback area is not covered by buildings, driveways, or parking area.

2. That the variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district and denied to the property for which the variance is sought;

Analysis:

c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding: The variance is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other properties in the vicinity, there are various instances of other C-S Service Commercial properties providing the required landscaping along the public street, where the setback area is not covered by buildings, driveways, or parking area.

3. That the variance will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and that are in the same zone district in which the property is located;

Analysis:

c) – street-front landscape:

That allowance of the variance to remove landscaping along the public street will not create a safety hazard. The landscaping requirements are to reduce visual impacts and create an aesthetically, pleasing property. The reduction of landscaping will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

4. That the variance does not constitute a special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and that are in the same land use district in which such property is located;

Analysis:

c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding: That granting the variance would constitute a special privilege inconsistent with limitation upon other properties in the vicinity, since there are various examples of requiring landscaping where the setback area is not covered by buildings, driveways, or parking areas.

5. That the variance does not allow a use or activity which is prohibited in the zone district where the property is located;

Analysis:

c) 15-foot landscape requirement:

That the proposed use of a wireless communication facility is listed as a permitted use with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit in the C-S Service Commercial zone district, in accordance with Section 17.68.090 B. 2. The appropriate findings have been made in order

to support approval of the conditional use permit, in accordance with Section 17.80.030 of the Municipal Code. The variance request will not allow a use or activity which is prohibited in the zone district.

6. That the variance is consistent with the purposes of this title;

Analysis:

c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding: That in accordance with Section 17.84.010, the purpose of a variance is to allow deviations from the development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including location, shape, size, surroundings, topography, or other physical conditions, the strict application of the standards denies the property owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and in the same zoning district. Granting the variance for the waiver of landscaping along the front property line would be inconsistent with the purpose of Section 17.84, since it would be waiving a requirement provided by other properties in the same zone district.

7. That the variance will be consistent with the General Plan.

c) – street-front landscape:

Unable to make finding: That granting of the variance to remove the landscaping requirement along the front property line would be inconsistent with the General Plan Policy L54. Waiving landscaping along the front property line would not be conducive to the goal to have the site appear aesthetically pleasing from the public street.

Policy L54 Design of the Service Commercial Land Use Designation

Require that new development projects and major site reconfigurations in the Service Commercial land use designation provide site layouts, landscaping, and screening so that the site appears aesthetically pleasing from the public street.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Variance No. 2021-04 c) be denied, due to an inability to make the required findings.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Hanford City Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES:	Commissioners
NOES:	Commissioners
ABSTAIN:	Commissioners
ABSENT:	Commissioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the City of Hanford Planning Commission, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers, Acting Secretary

Exhibit A
Site Plan

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

PLANS ARE INTENDED TO BE DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE ONLY, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN IN WRITING, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEM NOT CLEARLY DEFINED OR AUTHORIZED BY THE CONTACT DOCUMENTS.

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ALL WORKING - AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEM NOT CLEARLY DEFINED OR IDENTIFIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
2. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTA (UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT) AT (800) 277-2400, FOR UTILITY LOCATIONS, 48 HOURS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH ANY EXCAVATION, SITE WORK OR CONSTRUCTION.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE, OR WHERE LOCAL CODES OR REGULATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE.
4. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CMC / UBC'S REQUIREMENTS REGARDING EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE, FOR BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PILING, LIGHT FIXTURES, CEILING GRID, INTERIOR PARTITIONS, AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. ALL WORK MUST COMPLY WITH LOCAL EARTHQUAKE CODES AND REGULATIONS.
5. REPRESENTATIONS OF TRUE NORTH, OTHER THAN THOSE FOUND ON THE LOT OF SURVEY DRAWINGS, SHALL NOT BE USED TO ORIENT OR IDENTIFY BEARING OF TRUE NORTH AT THE SITE. THE CENTER OF TRUE NORTH, AND SHALL NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER PRIOR TO STARTING WORK WITH THE WORK. IF ANY DISCREPANCY IS FOUND BETWEEN THE VARIOUS ELEMENTS OF THE WORKING DRAWINGS AND THE TRUE NORTH ORIENTATION AS SPECIFIED ON THE CIVIL SURVEY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME SOLE LIABILITY FOR ANY FAILURE TO NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER.
6. THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ISSUING THE PERMITS SHALL BE NOTIFIED AT LEAST TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK, OR AS OTHERWISE DICTATED BY THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL HAVING JURISDICTION.
7. DO NOT EXCAVATE OR DISTURB BEYOND THE PROPERTY LINES OR LEASE LINES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
8. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, FACILITIES, CONDITIONS, AND THEIR DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN HAVE BEEN PORTED FROM AVAILABLE RECORDS, THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AND THE OWNER ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OR SUFFICIENCY OF THE ACCURACY OF THE EXISTING RECORDS OR THE ACCURACY OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THEIR REMOVAL OR ADJUSTMENT. CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING THE LOCATION AND DEPTH OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND FACILITIES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL ALSO OBTAIN FROM EACH UTILITY COMPANY DETAILED INFORMATION RELATIVE TO WORKING SCHEDULES AND METHODS OF REMOVING OR ADJUSTING EXISTING UTILITIES.
9. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DISTINGUISHING UTILITIES, BOTH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL, PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES OR DOUBTS AS TO THE LOCATION AND DEPTH OF ANY UTILITIES IMMEDIATELY REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER FOR CORRECTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOCATION AND DEPTH OF ANY UTILITIES WHICH ARE CHECKED AND CORRECTED BY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOCATION AND DEPTH OF ANY UTILITIES WHICH ARE NOT CHECKED AND CORRECTED BY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER. FAILURE TO SECURE SUCH INSTRUCTION MEANS CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE MOVED AT HIS/HER OWN RISK AND AT HIS/HER OWN EXPENSE.
10. ALL NEW AND EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES ON SITE AND IN AREA TO BE DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO FINISH ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION OF WORK.
11. ANY DRAM AND/OR FIELD RE-EXCAVATED, DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RETURNED TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION AND SHALL BE RE-CONSTRUCTED TO MEET THE SAME DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS AS THE ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION. ANY DRAM AND/OR FIELD RE-EXCAVATED, DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RETURNED TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION AND SHALL BE RE-CONSTRUCTED TO MEET THE SAME DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS AS THE ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION.
12. ALL TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FOUNDATIONS, UTILITIES ETC. SHALL BE PROPERLY LABELED, BACKFILLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORRECT OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) REQUIREMENTS.
13. INCLUDE MISC. ITEMS PER AIA SPECIFICATIONS.

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF THE JURISDICTION (AKA) FOR THE LOCATION OF THE PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF THE JURISDICTION (AKA) FOR THE LOCATION OF THE PROJECT.

STANDARD CONDITIONS AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN.

STANDARD CONDITIONS AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN.

WE AGREE TO COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

- AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 118, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC), MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, 4TH, NINTH EDITION
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-G, STANDARD FOR STRUCTURAL, ANTENNA AND
SUPPORTING STRUCTURES
INSTITUTE FOR ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS (IEEE) 81, GUIDE FOR MEASURING EARTH RESISTIVITY, GROUND IMPEDANCE, AND
GROUNDING OF A GROUND SYSTEM IEEE 1100 (1999) RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR POWERING AND GROUNDING OF
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT
IEEE C62.41, RECOMMENDED PRACTICES ON SURGE VOLTAGES IN LOW VOLTAGE AC POWER CIRCUITS FOR LOCATION CATEGORY 1
AND 2 (TYPE 1 AND 2 EQUIPMENT)
TIA 607 COMMERCIAL BUILDING GROUNDING AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TELECORDIA GR-63, NETWORK
EQUIPMENT BUILDING SYSTEM (NEBS) PHYSICAL PROTECTION
TELECORDIA GR-37 CENTRAL OFFICE POWER WIRING
TELECORDIA GR-325 CENTRAL OFFICE POWER AND GROUNDING REQUIREMENTS FOR CABLE CONNECTIONS

... AND OTHER LOCAL, STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS


FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS SHALL GOVERN.


[illegible]

	BLANK SECTION		DOOR SYMBOL		WINDOW SYMBOL		BLANK PLAN MARK		PROPERTY LINE		ELEVATION		GRAVEL		ROAD		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN		GRAVEL		WOOD		LAWN		CONCRETE		LAWN
--	---------------	--	-------------	--	---------------	--	-----------------	--	---------------	--	-----------	--	--------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	--------	--	------	--	------	--	----------	--	------

Packet Pg. 162








SECTOR	ANTENNA MODEL NO	CERTIFICATE	PRN	TIME	TIME	LENGTH	COAX LENGTH	AMPERE TYPE	PRN NO
A1	KATHEN 800-10992	30"	± 95.0"	(1) 4449 B5/012 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
A2	KATHEN 800-10992	30"	± 95.0"	(1) 4415 330 / (1) PR05-62 S29	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
A3	KATHEN 800-10992	30"	± 95.0"	(1) 4478 B14 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
A4	KATHEN 800-10992	270"	± 95.0"	(1) 4449 B5/012 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
B1	KATHEN 800-10992	270"	± 95.0"	(1) 4415 330 / (1) PR05-62 S29	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
B3	KATHEN 800-10992	270"	± 95.0"	(1) 4478 B14 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
B4	KATHEN 800-10992	50"	± 95.0"	(1) 4449 B5/012 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
C1	KATHEN 800-10992	150"	± 95.0"	(1) 4415 330 / (1) PR05-62 S29	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
C3	KATHEN 800-10992	150"	± 95.0"	(1) 4478 B14 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)
C4	KATHEN 800-10992	150"	± 95.0"	(1) 4449 B5/012 / (1) 8843 S2/B6AA	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	± 120.0"	LD4	(2)

DATA SHEET 200.0 DATED 10/14/20

NOTE ANTENNA POSITIONS ARE LEFT TO RIGHT FROM FRONT OF ANTENNA


EQUIPMENT IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

RF SCHEDULE




ANTENNA LAYOUT PLAN

PROPOSED ANTENNA SPEC




PROCESS ANTENNA SPEC




NOTE: VFA 0-MD34NP TO BE INSTALLED WITH 131 ANTENNA MOUNTING PPES PER SECTOR


STEPRO SECTOR FRAME VFA 10-HD3L4NP



DC SURGE SUPPRESSION (SQUID)

Drawn For CVL01788 FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE 11555 FARGO AVENUE HANFORD, CA 93230 PREPARED FOR at&t 1400 Contra River San Ramon, California 94583 VENOCO COMPLETE Wireless Consulting, Inc. 4141 SHE HO: CVL01788 PROJECT NO: 622577 DRAWN BY: MMS CHECKED BY: JV	ERICSSON RADIO 4415 B25 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 14.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 44.185 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) 4415 B25 WITH SUNSHIELD 2. 3/16" DIA. GALV. STL. BOLTS, NUTS & LOCK WASHERS 2. 3/8" DIA. GALV. STL. PIPE (LENGTH AS REQUIRED) ERICSSON GALV. BRACKET PART B 5X6 (20 0245/) 3. 3/16" DIA. GALV. STL. BOLTS, NUTS & LOCK WASHERS	ERICSSON RADIO 8843 B2/B66A REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 15" TALL X 13.7" WIDE X 10.2" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 75.185 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS	ERICSSON RADIO 4478 B14 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 14.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 7.7" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 41.999 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET
ERICSSON RADIO 4449 B5/B12 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 15" TALL X 13.7" WIDE X 10.2" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 75.185 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS	ERICSSON RADIO 4415 B25/B30 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 19.7" TALL X 17" WIDE X 7.2" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 41.50 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD P1000 UNDERBUILT ALTERNATE ATTACHMENT	ERICSSON RADIO 8843 B2/B66A REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 14.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 7.7" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 41.999 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET	ERICSSON RADIO 4478 B14 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 14.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 7.7" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 41.999 (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MFP'S STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET



[illegible]

SITE NUMBER: CVL01788

SITE NAME: FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE



at&t

11555 FARGO AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230
APN: 008-360-032

FA CODE: 14881321
USID#: 273633

SITE TYPE: PREMANUFACTURED WALK-IN CABINET / MONOPOLE

REV

SHEET INDEX

PROJECT TEAM

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

AT&T SITE NO. CVL01788
PROJECT NO. 142297
DRAWN BY: TJS
CHECKED BY: JV

TITLE SHEET
GENERAL NOTES, ABBREVIATIONS, & LEGEND
PLOT PLAN AND SITE TOPOGRAPHY
OVERALL SITE PLAN
EQUIPMENT AREA PLAN
ANTENNA PLAN, SCHEDULE & DETAILS
PROPOSED ELEVATIONS
PROPOSED ELEVATIONS
POWER DESIGN

APPLICANT / LESSEE:
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS
3400 CAMINO RAMON
SAN RAMON, CA 94583
CONTACT: MICHAEL SMITH
EMAIL: MICHAEL.SMITH@ATT.COM
PH: (925) 915-7114

ARCHITECT / ENGINEER:
MST ARCHITECTS
1500 RIVER PARK DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
CONTACT: MICHAEL SMITH
EMAIL: MICHAEL.SMITH@MSTARCHITECTS.COM
PH: (916) 367-7300

CONSTRUCTION COORDINATOR:
RECHERCHER INFRASTRUCTURE AND POWER CORP.
10000 WILSON AVENUE
SAN RAMON, CA 94583
CONTACT: MICHAEL SMITH
EMAIL: MICHAEL.SMITH@RECHERCHER.COM
PH: (925) 915-7114

RE ENGINEER:
AT&T
5555 E OLIVE AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230
CONTACT: JAMES KALUT
EMAIL: BK771@att.com
PH: (509) 454-5494

ZONING MANAGER:
COMPLETE WIRELESS CONSULTING, INC.
2009 V STREET
HANFORD, CA 93230
CONTACT: KEVIN CALLAGHER
EMAIL: kevin@completewireless.net
PH: (509) 744-7401

SURVEYOR:
GEE ENGINEERING
1224 HIGH STREET
HANFORD, CA 93230
CONTACT: DANIEL GEE
PH: (509) 855-0425

PROPERTY OWNER:
HERBERT & ADRIANA CAMACHO
11555 FARGO AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230

PROPERTY INFORMATION:
SITE NAME: CVL01788
SITE ADDRESS: 11555 FARGO AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230
APN NUMBER: 008-360-032
CURRENT ZONING: C-5 (SERVICE COMMERCIAL)
JURISDICTION: CITY OF HANFORD
LATITUDE: N 34° 21' 20" W - MAG B3
LONGITUDE: W 111° 39' 51" W - MAG B3
GROUND ELEVATION: 237.6 FT. AMSL


NEW SITE BUILD UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY:
1. BRING POWER / TELCO / FIBER TO SITE LOCATION
2. INSTALL AT&T APPROVED PREMANUFACTURED WALK-IN CABINET AND ASSOCIATED RETEPO EQUIPMENT
3. ADD STANDBY GENERATOR WITH FUEL TANK
4. PROPOSED AT&T MONOPOLE WITH ANTENNAS & ASSOCIATED TOWER-ACQUIRED EQUIPMENT
5. PROPOSED AT&T COPS ANTENNA

CODE COMPLIANCE

ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL BE REFERENCED AND IDENTIFIED BY THE CONSTRUCTION WITH THE PROJECT NUMBER AND SHEET NUMBER. ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING JURISDICTION. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE CONSIDERED TO PREVENT FROM NOT CONFORMING TO THESE CODES:

- 1) 2019 CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
- 2) 2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE
- 3) 2019 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE
- 4) 2019 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE
- 5) 2019 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE
- 6) 2019 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE
- 7) 2019 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE
- 8) 2019 CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE
- 9) 2019 CALIFORNIA REFERENCE STANDARDS CODE

VICINITY MAP



DIRECTIONS FROM AT&T

1. MERGE ONTO 480 SOUTH
 2. TAKE EXIT 30A ONTO 1500 EAST
 3. CONTINUE ON 1500 EAST
 4. CONTINUE ON 1500 SOUTH
 5. CONTINUE ON 1500 SOUTH
 6. TAKE EXIT 34A ONTO CA 198 EAST
 7. TAKE EXIT 34A ONTO HANFORD ARMONA ROAD AND TURN LEFT
 8. TURN RIGHT (RIGHT) 13TH AVENUE
 9. TURN RIGHT (RIGHT) 13TH AVENUE
 10. TURN RIGHT (RIGHT) 13TH AVENUE
- SITE WILL BE STRAIGHT AHEAD

APPROVALS

DATE	SIGNATURE	TITLE
		CLIENT
		PROPERTY
		REPRESENTATIVE
		LEASING / LANDLORD
		CONSTRUCTION
		POWER / TELCO
		PCILE

GENERAL CONTRACTOR NOTES

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS
ALL WORK SHALL BE IDENTIFIED TO BE IN PLACE AT 1" = 30' CONSTRUCTION SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND LISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB. THE OWNER AND MATERIAL SUPPLIER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE WORK OF MATERIAL. ORDERS OF BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE 1/4" SCALE.

DIGITAL

800-227-2600
CUP 1.1 UP WORKS ONLY - PROJECT

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN, IN WRITING, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEM NOT CLEARLY DEFINED OR IDENTIFIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTA (UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT) AT (800) 227-2600. FOR UTILITY LOCATIONS, 48 HOURS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH ANY EXCAVATION, SITE WORK OR CONSTRUCTION.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE, OR WHERE LOCAL CODES OR REGULATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE.
4. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CMC / UBCS REQUIREMENTS REGARDING EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE, FOR ALL CONCRETE, STEEL, PILING, LIGHT FIXTURES, CEILING GRID, INTERIOR PARTITIONS, AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. ALL WORK MUST COMPLY WITH ALL LOCAL EARTHQUAKE CODES AND REGULATIONS.
5. REPRESENTATIONS OF TRUE NORTH, OTHER THAN THOSE FOUND ON THE PLOT OF SURVEY DRAWINGS, SHALL NOT BE USED TO IDENTIFY OR ESTABLISH BEARING OF TRUE NORTH AT THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPLY TO ALL QUESTIONS FROM THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER PRIOR TO THE SURVEYOR'S MARKINGS AT THE SITE FOR THE BEARING OF TRUE NORTH. THE BEARING OF TRUE NORTH OF THE WORKING DRAWINGS AND THE PLOT OF SURVEY DRAWINGS SHALL BE THE SAME. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME SOLE LIABILITY FOR ANY FAILURE TO HONOR THE TRUE NORTH ORIENTATION AS DEPENDED ON THE CIVIL SURVEY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME SOLE LIABILITY FOR ANY FAILURE TO HONOR THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER.
6. THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ISSUING THE PERMITS SHALL BE NOTIFIED AT LEAST TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK, OR AS OTHERWISE STIPULATED BY THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL, HAVING JURISDICTION.
7. DO NOT EXCAVATE OR DISTURB BEYOND THE PROPERTY LINES OR LEASE LINES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
8. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, FACILITIES, CONDITIONS, AND THEIR DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM AVAILABLE RECORDS. THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AND THE OWNER ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY WHATSOEVER AS TO THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE PLAN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND FACILITIES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION.
9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING FROM EACH UTILITY COMPANY DETAILED INFORMATION RELATIVE TO WORKING SCHEDULES AND METHODS OF REMOVING OR ADJUSTING EXISTING UTILITIES.
10. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, BOTH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL, PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES OR DOUBT AS TO THE INTERPRETATION OF PLANS AND FIELDWORK, UNTIL THE DISCREPANCY IS CHECKED AND CORRECTED BY RESOLUTION AND MUTUAL AGREEMENT OF THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AND THE CONTRACTOR, SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY. THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO SECURE SUCH INSTRUCTION MEANS CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE WORKED AT HIS/HER OWN RISK AND EXPENSE.
11. ALL NEW AND EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES ON SITE AND IN APPEAL TO BE DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO FINAL ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION OF WORK.
12. ANY DRAIN AND/OR FIELD TILE ENCOUNTERED / DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RETURNED TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. ANY DISTURBANCE TO ANY OF THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE ACCURATELY RECONSTRUCTED AND RELOCATED ON AS-BUILT DRAWINGS BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR, AND ISSUED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AT COMPLETION OF PROJECT.
13. ALL TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FOUNDATIONS, UTILITIES, ETC., SHALL BE PROPERLY LAD BACK, OR BRACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORRECT OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) REQUIREMENTS.
14. INCLUDE MISC. ITEMS PER A11 SPECIFICATIONS.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:


AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC) 360, SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222, STRUCTURAL STANDARD FOR STRUCTURAL ANTENNA TOWER AND ANTENNA
SUPPORT STRUCTURES
INSTITUTE FOR ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS (IEEE) B1, GUIDE FOR MEASURING EARTH RESISTIVITY, GROUND IMPEDANCE, AND
EARTH SURFACE POTENTIALS OF A GROUND SYSTEM IEEE 1100 (1991) RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR POWERING AND GROUNDING OF
ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT
IEEE C62.41, STANDARD PRACTICE ON SURGE VOLTAGES IN LOW VOLTAGE AC POWER CIRCUITS (FOR LOCATION CATEGORY "C3"
AND HIGH VOLTAGE EQUIPMENT)
IAI 407, COMMERCIAL BUILDING GROUNDING AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS TELECORD GR-63 NETWORK
SYSTEMS (FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDING SYSTEMS) PHYSICAL PROTECTION
TELECORD GR-347 CENTRAL OFFICE POWER WIRING
TELECORD GR-1275 GENERAL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS
TELECORD GR-1303 COAXIAL CABLE CONNECTIONS
STATE AND ALL OTHER LOCAL & STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS
FOR ANY OTHER CONFLICTS BETWEEN ANY OF THE LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR
OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SPECIFICATION SHALL GOVERN. THERE SHALL BE NO CONTACT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A
SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.


[illegible]

	BULB SECTION		RUG BRCEL
	WALL SECTION		BRICK MASONRY
	GRAVEL		CONCRETE
	DOOR		EARTH
	ELEVATION		GRAVEL
	DOUBLE WINDOW		PLUMWOOD
	WINDOW		PLUMWOOD
	RAMP PANEL MARK		MATCH LINE
	PROPERTY LINE		GROUND CONDUCTOR
	CENTERLINE		OVERHEAD SERVICE CONDUCTOR
	ELEVATION DATUM		TELEPHONE CONDUIT
	GRID COLUMN LINE		POWER CONDUIT
	KEYNOTE		COARSE CABLE
	DIMENSION ITEM		CHANGE PLACE
	CONSTRUCTION ITEM		WOOD FENCE
	WALL TYPE MARK		PI ANTENNA
	ROOM NAME		ISU
	FENCE		ISU
			ISU
			ISU
			ISU
			ISU
			ISU
			ISU

Packet Pg. 172







Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)


Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)


Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)




Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-23 VAR C [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

DESIGNED FOR CV101788 FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE 11555 FARGO AVENUE HANFORD, CA 93230 PREPARED FOR at&t at&t Consulting Services San Antonio, California 78243 VENUE COMPLETE Wireless Consulting, Inc. ALIST SHEET NO. CV101788 PROJECT NO. 62-2571 DRAWN BY: HWS CHECKED BY: SV	DATE: 05/19/21 100% TO REV 5 03/10/20 100% TO REV 4 02/26/20 100% TO REV 3 07/29/20 100% TO REV 2 07/11/19 100% TO REV 1 10/17/19 100% TO 10/17/19 100% TO 10/17/19 100% TO DATE: 05/19/21 100% TO REV 5 03/10/20 100% TO REV 4 02/26/20 100% TO REV 3 07/29/20 100% TO REV 2 07/11/19 100% TO REV 1 10/17/19 100% TO 10/17/19 100% TO 10/17/19 100% TO	LOCATION 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.	PROJECT 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.	1ST ARCHITECTS 1000 University Avenue San Francisco, California 94103 SHEET TITLE RRH DETAILS SHEET NUMBER A-3.2																														
SPECIFICATIONS: MATERIAL: HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED STEEL DIMENSIONS: HEIGHT: 13.4 IN. WIDTH: 8.4 IN. LENGTH: 8.2 IN. WEIGHT: 29.185 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE)					ERICSSON RADIO 4415 B25 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 5.9" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 44.185 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) 4415 B25 WITH SUNSHIELD TOP VIEW FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW 10 3/8" DIA. GALV. STL BOLTS, NUTS & LOCK WASHERS 2 3/8" DIA. GALV. STL PIPE (LENGTH AS REQUIRED) ERICSSON GALV. SUNSHIELD BRACKETS PART # 55K172-02AS/1 10 3/8" DIA. GALV. STL BOLTS, NUTS & LOCK WASHERS					ERICSSON RADIO 4415 B25/B30 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 19.7" TALL X 17" WIDE X 7.2" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 41.50 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MPFS STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RRUS-E2 B29 WITH SUNSHIELD P1000 UNISTRUT AS ALTERNATE ATTACHMENT TOP VIEW FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW MPFS STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS P1000 UNISTRUT AS ALTERNATE ATTACHMENT					ERICSSON RADIO 8843 B2/B66A REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 7.7" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 47.599 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MPFS STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RRH 4478 B14 WITH SUNSHIELD FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET					ERICSSON RADIO 4478 B14 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 7.7" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 47.599 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MPFS STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RRH 4478 B14 WITH SUNSHIELD FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET					ERICSSON RADIO 4449 B5/B12 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 15" TALL X 13.7" WIDE X 10.2" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 75.185 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MPFS STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RADIO 4449 WITH SUNSHIELD FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET					ERICSSON RADIO 4478 B14 REMOTE RADIO UNIT COLOR: WHITE DIMENSIONS: 16.5" TALL X 13.4" WIDE X 7.7" DEEP (INCLUDING SUNSHIELD) WEIGHT: 47.599 LBS. (INCLUDING MOUNTING HARDWARE) MPFS STANDARD MOUNTING BRACKETS RRH 4478 B14 WITH SUNSHIELD FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED MOUNTING BRACKET				









SITE NUMBER: CVL01788

SITE NAME: FARGO AVE & 12TH AVE

SITE TYPE: PREMANUFACTURED WALK-IN CABINET / MONOPOLE

T-1

Packet Pg. 181

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

1.

PLANS ARE INTENDED TO BE DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE ONLY, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
2.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN, IN WRITING, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEM NOT CLEARLY DEFINED OR IDENTIFIED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
3.

CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT USA (UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT) AT (800) 227-2600, FOR UTILITY LOCATIONS, 48 HOURS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH ANY EXCAVATION, SITE WORK OR CONSTRUCTION.
4.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE, OR WHERE LOCAL CODES OR REGULATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE.
5.

ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CBC / UBC'S REQUIREMENTS REGARDING EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE, FOR, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PIPING, LIGHT FIXTURES, CEILING GRID, INTERIOR PARTITIONS, AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT. ALL WORK MUST COMPLY WITH LOCAL EARTHQUAKE CODES AND REGULATIONS.
6.

REPRESENTATIONS OF TRUE NORTH, OTHER THAN THOSE FOUND ON THE PLOT OF SURVEY DRAWINGS, SHALL NOT BE USED TO IDENTIFY OR ESTABLISH BEARING OF TRUE NORTH AT THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RELY SOLELY ON THE PLOT OF SURVEY DRAWING AND ANY SURVEYOR'S MARKINGS AT THE SITE FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TRUE NORTH, AND SHALL NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK IF ANY DISCREPANCY IS FOUND BETWEEN THE VARIOUS ELEMENTS OF THE WORKING DRAWINGS AND THE TRUE NORTH ORIENTATION AS DEPICTED ON THE CIVIL SURVEY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME SOLE LIABILITY FOR ANY FAILURE TO NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER.
7.

THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ISSUING THE PERMITS SHALL BE NOTIFIED AT LEAST TWO WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK, OR AS OTHERWISE STIPULATED BY THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL HAVING JURISDICTION.
8.

DO NOT EXCAVATE OR DISTURB BEYOND THE PROPERTY LINES OR LEASE LINES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
9.

ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, FACILITIES, CONDITIONS, AND THEIR DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM AVAILABLE RECORDS. THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AND THE OWNER ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY WHATSOEVER AS TO THE SUFFICIENCY OR THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE PLANS, OR THE MANNER OF THEIR REMOVAL OR ADJUSTMENT. CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND FACILITIES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL ALSO OBTAIN FROM EACH UTILITY COMPANY DETAILED INFORMATION RELATIVE TO WORKING SCHEDULES AND METHODS OF REMOVING OR ADJUSTING EXISTING UTILITIES.
10.

CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, BOTH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICALLY, PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES OR DOUBTS AS TO THE INTERPRETATION OF PLANS SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION AND INSTRUCTION, AND NO FURTHER WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED UNTIL THE DISCREPANCY IS CHECKED AND CORRECTED BY THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER. FAILURE TO SECURE SUCH INSTRUCTION MEANS CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE WORKED AT HIS/HER OWN RISK AND EXPENSE.
11.

ALL NEW AND EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES ON SITE AND IN AREAS TO BE DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO FINISH ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION OF WORK.
12.

ANY DRAIN AND/OR FIELD TILE ENCOUNTERED / DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RETURNED TO IT'S ORIGINAL CONDITION PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF WORK. SIZE, LOCATION AND TYPE OF ANY UNDERGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE ACCURATELY NOTED AND PLACED ON "AS-BUILT" DRAWINGS BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR, AND ISSUED TO THE ARCHITECT / ENGINEER AT COMPLETION OF PROJECT.
13.

ALL TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FOUNDATIONS, UTILITIES, ETC., SHALL BE PROPERLY LAID BACK OR BRACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORRECT OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) REQUIREMENTS.
14.

INCLUDE MISC. ITEMS PER AT&T SPECIFICATIONS

APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS:

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (AHJ) FOR THE LOCATION.

THE EDITION OF THE AHJ ADOPTED CODES AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN.

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

- AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE
- AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC), MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, NINTH EDITION
- TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-G, STRUCTURAL STANDARD FOR STRUCTURAL ANTENNA TOWER AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES
- INSTITUTE FOR ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS (IEEE) 81, GUIDE FOR MEASURING EARTH RESISTIVITY, GROUND IMPEDANCE, AND EARTH SURFACE POTENTIALS OF A GROUND SYSTEM IEEE 1100 (1999) RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR POWERING AND GROUNDING OF ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT.
- IEEE C62.41, RECOMMENDED PRACTICES ON SURGE VOLTAGES IN LOW VOLTAGE AC POWER CIRCUITS (FOR LOCATION CATEGORY "C3" AND "HIGH SYSTEM EXPOSURE")

TIA 607 COMMERCIAL BUILDING GROUNDING AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS TELCORDIA GR-63 NETWORK EQUIPMENT-BUILDING SYSTEM (NEBS): PHYSICAL PROTECTION
TELCORDIA GR-347 CENTRAL OFFICE POWER WIRING
TELCORDIA GR-1275 GENERAL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS
TELCORDIA GR-1503 COAXIAL CABLE CONNECTIONS

ANY AND ALL OTHER LOCAL & STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.

ABBREVIATIONS

A.B.	ANCHOR BOLT	IN. (")	INCH(ES)
ABV.	ABOVE	INT.	INTERIOR
ACCA	ANTENNA CABLE COVER ASSEMBLY	LB.(#)	POUND(S)
ADD'L	ADDITIONAL	LAG	LAG BOLTS
A.F.F.	ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR	L.F.	LINEAR FEET (FOOT)
A.F.G.	ABOVE FINISHED GRADE	L.	LONG(ITUUDINAL)
ALUM.	ALUMINUM	MAS.	MASONRY
ALT.	ALTERNATE	MAX.	MAXIMUM
ANT.	ANTENNA	M.B.	MACHINE BOLT
APPRX.	APPROXIMATE(LY)	MECH.	MECHANICAL
ARCH.	ARCHITECT(URAL)	MFR.	MANUFACTURER
AWG.	AMERICAN WIRE GAUGE	MIN.	MINIMUM
BLDG.	BUILDING	MISC.	MISCELLANEOUS
BLK.	BLOCK	MTL.	METAL
BLKG.	BLOCKING	(N)	NEW
BM.	BEAM	NO.(#)	NUMBER
B.N.	BOUNDARY NAILING	N.T.S.	NOT TO SCALE
BTCW.	BARE TINNED COPPER WIRE	O.C.	ON CENTER
B.O.F.	BOTTOM OF FOOTING	OPNG.	OPENING
B/U	BACK-UP CABINET	P/C	PRECAST CONCRETE
CAB.	CABINET	PCS	PERSONAL COMMUNICATION SERVICES
CANT.	CANTILEVER(ED)	PLY.	PLYWOOD
C.I.P.	CAST IN PLACE	PPC	POWER PROTECTION CABINET
CLG.	CEILING	PRC	PRIMARY RADIO CABINET
CLR.	CLEAR	P.S.F.	POUNDS PER SQUARE FOOT
COL.	COLUMN	P.S.I.	POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH
CONC.	CONCRETE	P.T.	PRESSURE TREATED
CONN.	CONNECTION(OR)	PWR.	POWER (CABINET)
CONST.	CONSTRUCTION	QTY.	QUANTITY
CONT.	CONTINUOUS	RAD.(R)	RADIUS
d	PENNY (NAILS)	REF.	REFERENCE
DBL.	DOUBLE	REINF.	REINFORCEMENT(ING)
DEPT.	DEPARTMENT	REQ'D/	REQUIRED
D.F.	DOUGLAS FIR	RGS.	RIGID GALVANIZED STEEL
DIA.	DIAMETER	SCH.	SCHEDULE
DIAG.	DIAGONAL	SHT.	SHEET
DIM.	DIMENSION	SIM.	SIMILAR
DWG.	DRAWING(S)	SPEC.	SPECIFICATIONS
DWL.	DOWEL(S)	SQ.	SQUARE
EA.	EACH	S.S.	STAINLESS STEEL
EL.	ELEVATION	STD.	STANDARD
ELEC.	ELECTRICAL	STL.	STEEL
ELEV.	ELEVATOR	STRUC.	STRUCTURAL
EMT.	ELECTRICAL METALLIC TUBING	TEMP.	TEMPORARY
EN.	EDGE NAIL	THK.	THICK(NESS)
ENG.	ENGINEER	T.N.	TOE NAIL
EQ.	EQUAL	T.O.A.	TOP OF ANTENNA
EXP.	EXPANSION	T.O.C.	TOP OF CURB
EXST.(E)	EXISTING	T.O.F.	TOP OF FOUNDATION
EXT.	EXTERIOR	T.O.P.	TOP OF PLATE (PARAPET)
FAB.	FABRICATION(OR)	T.O.S.	TOP OF STEEL
F.F.	FINISH FLOOR	T.O.W.	TOP OF WALL
F.G.	FINISH GRADE	TYP.	TYPICAL
FIN.	FINISH(ED)	U.G.	UNDER GROUND
FLR.	FLOOR	U.L.	UNDERWRITERS LABORATORY
FDN.	FOUNDATION	U.N.O.	UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
F.O.C.	FACE OF CONCRETE	V.I.F.	VERIFY IN FIELD
F.O.M.	FACE OF MASONRY	W	WIDE (WIDTH)
F.O.S.	FACE OF STUD	w/	WITH
F.O.W.	FACE OF WALL	WD.	WOOD
F.S.	FINISH SURFACE	W.P.	WEATHERPROOF
FT.(')	FOOT (FEET)	WT.	WEIGHT
FTG.	FOOTING	℄	CENTERLINE
G.	GROWTH (CABINET)	℄	PLATE, PROPERTY LINE
GA.	GAUGE		
GI.	GALVANIZE(D)		
G.F.I.	GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPTER		
GLB.	GLUE LAMINATED BEAM		
GPS	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM		
GRND.	GROUND		
HDR.	HEADER		
HGR.	HANGER		
HT.	HEIGHT		
ICGB.	ISOLATED COPPER GROUND BUS		

SYMBOLS LEGEND

	BLDG. SECTION		GROUT OR PLASTER
	WALL SECTION		(E) BRICK
	DETAIL		(E) MASONRY
	ELEVATION		CONCRETE
	DOOR SYMBOL		EARTH
	WINDOW SYMBOL		GRAVEL
	TILT-UP PANEL MARK		PLYWOOD
	PROPERTY LINE		SAND
	CENTERLINE		PLYWOOD
	ELEVATION DATUM		SAND
	GRID/COLUMN LINE		(E) STEEL
	KEYNOTE, DIMENSION ITEM		MATCH LINE
	KEYNOTE, CONSTRUCTION ITEM		GROUND CONDUCTOR
	WALL TYPE MARK		OVERHEAD SERVICE CONDUCTORS
	ROOM NAME		TELEPHONE CONDUIT
	ROOM NUMBER		POWER CONDUIT
			COAXIAL CABLE
			CHAIN LINK FENCE
			WOOD FENCE
			(P) ANTENNA
			(P) RRU
			(P) DC SURGE SUPPRESSION
			(F) ANTENNA
			(F) RRU
			(E) EQUIPMENT

Issued For:

CVL01788
FARGO AVE &
12TH AVE

11555 FARGO AVENUE
HANFORD, CA 93230

PREPARED FOR



2600 Camino Ramon
San Ramon, California 94583

Vendor:



AT&T SITE NO: CVL01788

PROJECT NO: 162.2577

DRAWN BY: TLS

CHECKED BY: SV

REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION
	05/19/21	100% ZD REV 5
	03/10/20	100% ZD REV 4
	02/26/20	100% ZD REV 3
	01/29/20	100% ZD REV 2
	01/17/20	100% ZD REV 1
	11/11/19	100% ZD
	10/17/19	90% ZD

Licensee:

IT IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY ARE ACTING UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, TO ALTER THIS DOCUMENT.

Architect:





SHEET TITLE:

GENERAL NOTES,
ABBREVIATIONS, &
LEGEND

SHEET NUMBER:

GN-1



SCALE 1" = 40'

OVERALL PROJECT AREA

DATE OF SURVEY: 10-11-19

SURVEYED BY OR UNDER DIRECTION OF: KENNETH D. GEIL, RCE 14803

LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEARINGS SHOWN ARE BASED UPON MONUMENTS FOUND AND RECORD INFORMATION. THIS IS NOT A BOUNDARY SURVEY.

ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE BASED UPON U.S.G.S. N.A.V.D. 88 DATUM. ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

N.G.V.D. 1929 CORRECTION: SUBTRACT 2.76' FROM ELEVATIONS SHOWN.

FEMA FIRM:FLOOD ZONE X PER FIRM 06031C0185C, DATED 06-16-2009.

CONTOUR INTERVAL: n.a.

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER: 008-360-032

LANDLORD(S): CAMACHO HERIBERTO C
CAMACHO ADRIANA T
1154 QUAIL ROCK WAY
HANFORD, CA 93230

CVL01788 Pitco
Lease Area Description

All that certain lease area being a portion of that certain parcel of land described in Document No. 2017-10971, Official Records of Kings County, California Also being a portion of the Northeast quarter of Section 23, Township 18 South, Range 21 East M.D.M. and being more particularly described as follows:

Equipment Lease Area
Commencing at the North quarter corner of the aforementioned Section 23, thence along the North boundary thereof South 88°55'02" West 50.13 feet; thence leaving said North boundary South 00°04'43" West 523.40 feet and South 89°55'02" West 59.76 feet to the True Point of Beginning; thence from said point of beginning South 89°55'02" West, 30.00 feet; thence North 00°04'58" West, 30.00 feet; thence North 89°55'02" East, 30.00 feet; thence South 00°04'58" East 30.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Together with easement for access and utility purposes, twenty feet in width, the centerline of which is described as follows: Beginning at a point on the North boundary of the above described lease area which bears North 89°55'02" East 15.0 feet from the Northwest corner thereof; thence from said point of beginning North 00°04'58" 33.23 feet; thence North 36°15'10" West 67.18 feet; thence North 00°26'15" East 30.87 feet to a point hereafter referred to as Point A; thence continuing North 00°26'15" East 350.09 feet more or less to the public right of way, and as required for fire safe turn around.

Also together with easement for utility purposes, six feet in width, the centerline of which is described as follows: Beginning at the above described Point A; thence North 89°33'45" west 49.75 feet more or less to an existing public utility service connection location.

Also together with easement for utility purposes, over and across the Northern 6.0 feet of said parcel described in Document No. 2017-10971, Official Records of Kings County, California.

Project Name: CVL01788 Pitco
Project Site Location: 11555 Fargo Avenue
Hanford, CA 93230
Kings County

Date of Observation: 10-11-19

Equipment/Procedure Used to Obtain Coordinates: Trimble Pathfinder
Geo XT post processed with Pathfinder Office software.


Type of Antenna Mount: Proposed Monopole

Coordinates

Latitude: N 36°21'20.96" (NAD83) N 36°21'21.14" (NAD27)
Longitude: W 119°39'51.84" (NAD83) W 119°39'48.36" (NAD27)

Latitude: N 36.355822° (NAD83) N 36.355872° (NAD27)
Longitude: W 119.664400° (NAD83) W 119.663433° (NAD27)

ELEVATION of Ground at Structure (NAVD88) 237.6' AMSL





HANFORD, CA

VICINITY MAP

THESE DRAWINGS AND/OR THE ACCOMPANYING SPECIFICATION AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF GEIL ENGINEERING AND THEIR USE AND PUBLICATION SHALL BE RESTRICTED TO THE ORIGINAL SITE AND CARRIER FOR WHICH THEY ARE PREPARED. REUSE, REPRODUCTION OR PUBLICATION BY ANY METHOD, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IS PROHIBITED EXCEPT BY WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM GEIL ENGINEERING. TITLE TO THESE PLANS AND/OR SPECIFICATIONS SHALL REMAIN WITH GEIL ENGINEERING WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND VISUAL CONTACT WITH THEM SHALL CONSTITUTE PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF ACCEPTANCE OF THESE RESTRICTIONS.

BOUNDARY SHOWN IS BASED ON MONUMENTATION FOUND AND RECORD INFORMATION. THIS IS NOT A BOUNDARY SURVEY. THIS IS A SPECIALIZED TOPOGRAPHIC MAP WITH PROPERTY LINES AND EASEMENTS BEING A GRAPHIC DEPICTION BASED ON INFORMATION GATHERED FROM VARIOUS SOURCES OF RECORD AND AVAILABLE MONUMENTATION FOUND DURING THE FIELD SURVEY. NO EASEMENTS WERE RESEARCHED OR PLOTTED. PROPERTY LINES AND LINES OF TITLE WERE NOT INVESTIGATED NOR SURVEYED. NO PROPERTY MONUMENTS WERE SET.



SCALE 1" = 20'



LEASE AREA DETAIL

3.e

DEPT	APPROVED	DATE
A&C		
RE		
RF		
INT		
EE		
IN		
OPS		

Surveyor
GEIL ENGINEERING
ENGINEERING • SURVEYING • PLANNING
1880 HIGH STREET
ATLANTA, CALIFORNIA 90608
Phone: (650) 885-0450
Fax: (650) 885-1509

at&t
MOBILITY

CVL01788 Pitco
11555 Fargo Avenue
Hanford CA 93230


PLOT PLAN AND


REVISIONS				
10-12-19	Preliminary Drawing	da		
10-18-19	corr. lease area	da		
11-11-19	redlines	da		
02-28-20	redlines	da		
03-10-20	rev. esmt.	da		

Sheet

C-1

Packet Pg. 183








4 PROPOSED ANTENNA SPEC

5) DC SURGE SUPPRESSION (SQUID)
1'-1/2" = 1'-0"

RF DATA SHEET v2.00.0 DATED 10/14/20 NOTE: ANTENNA POSITIONS ARE LEFT TO RIGHT FROM FRONT OF ANTENNA EQUIPMENT IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

1 ANTENNA LAYOUT PLAN



A-3.1



A-4.1

PROJECT SUPPORT STATEMENT AT&T MOBILITY


Site Name: CVL01788 Fargo Ave & 12th Ave
Location: 11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230
APN: 008-360-032

Introduction


AT&T is seeking to improve communication services in the City of Hanford, specifically a currently underserved area between 11th and 13th avenues in northeastern Hanford. Currently, this portion of the AT&T network is suffering from poor coverage due to an insufficient amount of telecommunications facilities and the ever-increasing volume of service. To address this issue, AT&T is proposing a new wireless communications facility on a parcel with existing industrial use in order to improve coverage for both existing and potential customers and to provide capacity relief during peak usage hours to along the area. The increase in wireless services will benefit residents, local businesses, travelers, and, public safety communications systems in and around the City of Yuba, including police, fire, and medical services.

Location/Design

AT&T proposes a new wireless communications facility on a new 99' tall monopole at parcel no. 008-360-032 (11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230) in the City of Hanford. The property is located along Fargo Avenue between 11th and 12th Avenues. The parcel is zoned C-S (Service Commercial). The parcels to the north and south are zoned P-F (Public Facilities) while the parcels to the west are zoned R-L-5 (Low Density Residential) and to the east R-M (Medium Density Residential.)



Project Location




Project Description

The proposed facility consists of nine (9) AT&T panel antennas and associated equipment, to be mounted on a 99' tall monopole on a 95' centerline. The 30' by 30' equipment area will be surrounded by a 6'-tall chain link fence. The lease area will contain an equipment shelter and 30KW standby diesel generator installed on a new concrete pad, along with a diesel fuel storage tank. Power and telecommunications cables will be installed underground within the lease area. The unmanned facility will provide high-speed internet access 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

Aesthetic Impacts

AT&T is proposing a monopole due to the property's existing use. The height of pole and size of lease area will provide other carriers with opportunities for future colocation. AT&T has placed the facility as far back as possible on the parcel to minimize the impact of the tower as much as possible while still serving a large area that currently has poor wireless service.

Photo Simulation of View Looking Southwest from Fargo Avenue




The proposed facility height complies with the City’s development standards for wireless facilities in the Agricultural zoning designation. The proposed facility height of 99’ ensures that the maximum number of residents and commuters in the area are served. The proposed facility has been designed at its minimum functional height to serve the surrounding area.

Ground equipment will be enclosed within an equipment shelter and screened from view by the existing commercial structure on the property, and the lease area will be surrounded by a security fence to minimize visual impacts. The fence will serve as a security barrier and will include a sign indicating the facility owner and a 24-hour emergency telephone number. Unless tower lighting is required by the FAA, the only lighting on the facility will be downward facing work light near the equipment shelter.

Site Selection Criteria & Alternatives Considered

AT&T’s engineer began the site selection process by identifying a search area, called a “search ring” (see image on the following page), and a required centerline height.

Search Ring Issued by AT&T



The search ring represents the area within which a facility can be located to produce the desired coverage objective. The centerline height of 95' represents the required height of the antennas to produce the desired coverage objective.

AT&T strives to minimize visual and noise impacts for each facility and seeks to incorporate ways to preserve the local community character to the greatest extent feasible at all stages of site selection and design process. Part of this involves seeking properties in areas with substandard wireless coverage that provide the ability to meet community needs, zoning standards, and engineering requirements.

In identifying the location of a new wireless telecommunication facility to fulfill the above referenced service objectives a variety of factors are evaluated. These factors include:

- A willing landlord,
- Compliance with local zoning requirements,
- Satisfaction of the radiofrequency coverage need, and
- Constructability, including available utilities and road access.

After evaluating the County’s zoning regulations, the next step is to identify any existing wireless facilities or other structures within the search ring that could allow for collocation. In this search ring, there are no existing wireless facilities on which AT&T would be able to colocate, nor are there any suitable structures – the tallest existing structure within the ring is an approximately 30’ tall water tank, with the rest of the area consisting of single family homes, agricultural land, and assorted other single story structures.

In addition to the lack of suitable collocation candidates, the City of Hanford’s wireless code prohibits non-exempt wireless communication facilities in all residential zones, as well as the C-N, MX-N, OR, and CO zone districts. This left a total of four properties within the search ring where a facility would not be prohibited. Aside from this project, the other three were owned by the city. They were ultimately not suitable for the following reasons:

- No Street Address, APN 007-350-028-000: This parcel was considered but ruled out for construction reasons. The property is a retention pond, with earthen retaining walls surrounding a lower lying area that takes up most of the parcel. AT&T would be unable to build within the retention pond itself, and most of the perimeter is given over to recreational paths. The remaining space is insufficient for a wireless facility.
- No Street Address, APN 007-360-014-000: This parcel contains a City of Hanford owned water tank and associated facilities. Per the City, the land was unavailable for a wireless facility.
- No Street Address, APN 008-360-014-000: This parcel consists entirely of a City of Hanford owned retention pond. Per the City, there is no available space for a wireless facility.


AT&T therefore determined that there were no other properties that would fulfill the coverage needs for this facility.

Project Support Statement – AT&T ‘CVL01788 Fargo Ave & 12th Ave’
11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230 (APN: 008-360-032)

Coverage Maps


Existing Coverage

Existing LTE 700 Coverage



Proposed Coverage

Proposed LTE 700 Coverage – 11555 FARGO AVENUE@ RC = 95 ft



Compliance with FCC Standards

This project will not interfere with any TV, radio, telephone, satellite, or any other signals. Any interference would be against federal law and a violation of AT&T's FCC License.

Statement of Commitment to Allow Collocation

The proposed facility has been designed in a manner that will structurally accommodate additional antennas and future collocation. AT&T welcomes other carriers to collocate on their facilities whenever possible. Additional ground space is available within AT&T's lease area for at least one future carrier.

Maintenance and Standby Generator Testing

AT&T installs a standby generator at all of its cell sites. The generator plays a vital role in AT&T's emergency and disaster preparedness plan. In the event of a power outage, the back-up generator will automatically start and continue to run the site for up to 24 hours. The standby generator will operate for approximately 15 minutes per week for maintenance purposes, during the daytime. Back-up generators allow AT&T's communications sites to continue providing valuable communications services in the event of a power outage, natural disaster or other emergency. Following construction, the security fence will include a small sign indicating the facility owner and a 24-hour emergency telephone number. The lease area will be surrounded by a 6' chain link fence with barbed wire for additional security.

Construction Schedule

The construction of the facility will be in compliance with all local rules and regulations. The crew size will range from two to ten individuals. The construction phase of the project will last approximately two months and will not exceed acceptable noise levels.

Notice of Actions Affecting Development Permit

AT&T requests notice of any proposal to adopt or amend the: general plan, specific plan, zoning ordinance, ordinance(s) affecting building or grading permits that would in any manner affect this development permit. Any such notice may be sent to 2009 V Street, Sacramento, CA 95818.

City of HANFORD

CALIFORNIA 93230
CITY OFFICES 317 NORTH DOUTY STREET



MAYOR
FRANCISCO RAMIREZ
VICE MAYOR
DIANE SHARP
COUNCIL MEMBERS
ART BRIENO
KALISH MORROW
AMANDA SALTRAY
CITY MANAGER
MARIO CIFUENTEZ II

DATE: October 8, 2021

PROJECT: Site Plan Review 2020-03 (502-1103)

APPLICANT: Complete Wireless Consulting

LOCATION: 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032)

PROPOSAL: 99' monopole and antennas (AT&T)

ZONING: C-S Service Commercial

SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE REVIEW DATE: February 5, 2020

Enclosed for your review are the comments and decisions of the Site Plan Review Committee. Please review all comments, since they may impact your project:

☐ **RESUBMIT:** Changes to your plans are required prior to accepting construction drawings for a building permit. A meeting with the Site Plan Review Committee is not required.

☐ **MEETING REQUIRED:** During site plan review, concerns were identified, schedule a meeting with the following prior to resubmittal:

☐ Planning

☐ Fire

☐ Solid Waste

☐ Wastewater

☐ Building

☐ Engineering

☐ Police

☐ Parks and Recreation

☒ **REVISE AND PROCEED**

☒ Approved subject to the following and the attached conditions of approval.
☒ Submit plans for a building permit between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.
☒ Your Plans must be reviewed by:

☐ City Council

☐ Parking and Traffic Commission

☐ Other: _____

☒ Planning Commission **VAR; CUP**

☐ Parks and Recreation Commission

Signed,

Gabrielle Myers

Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner
Community Development Department

October 8, 2021
DATE

EXPIRATION

A site plan approval shall expire one (1) year from its approval date, unless a building permit application has been submitted or the use has commenced. Prior to expiration, the Community Development Director may extend the permit expiration date by one (1) year if there is substantial evidence that the applicant is diligently pursuing building permit approval or commencement of the use (Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.72.070).

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

Site Plan Review is a ministerial action, therefore not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (CEQA Guidelines 15268 and MC 17.70.070). **Exemption No. 2020-10**

COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS AND CONDITIONS ARE ATTACHED:

- ☒ PLANNING
- ☒ BUILDING
- ☒ ENGINEERING
- ☐ SOLID WASTE
- ☐ WASTEWATER
- ☐ POLICE
- ☒ FIRE
- ☐ PARKS AND RECREATION

DEFENSE AND INDEMNIFICATION PROVISION

That the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Hanford ("City"), its officials, officers, employees, representatives, agents and attorneys, from and against all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liabilities, expenses and other costs, including litigation costs and attorney's fees, arising out of, resulting from, or in connection with, the City's act or acts leading up to and including approval of any environmental document and/or granting of any approvals relating to the Project. Applicant's obligation to defend, indemnify and hold the City harmless specifically includes, but is not limited to, any suit or challenge by any third party against the City which challenges or seeks to set aside, void or annul the legality or adequacy of any environmental document approved by the City or any approval related to the Project

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT (Must be signed prior to issuance of a building permit)

I have reviewed a copy of the Site Plan Review Approval No. 2020-03 and I understand that the proposed construction and/or land use is dependent upon the fulfillment of the conditions of approval and construction of all required public improvements prior to use, inauguration or occupancy, unless other arrangements are approved.

Applicant or Authorized Representative

PLANNING DIVISION
SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS

MEETING DATE: February 5, 2020

SITE PLAN NUMBER: 2020 -03(502-1103); CUP 2020-01 (508-0218); VAR 2021-04 (511-0205)

CONTACT: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner (559)585-2578

General Plan Designation: Service Commercial

Zoning: C-S Service Commercial

Other Special Districts: N/A

Planning Division Recommendation ☒ Revise and Proceed ☐ Resubmit

The project does meet the requirements of the Hanford Municipal Code, development standards and other codes and policies.

Corrections:

1. Landscaping:

- ☒ That landscaping shall be provided and permanently maintained in a setback area not less than 15 feet from the lot line adjoining Fargo Avenue, except where the setback area is covered by buildings, parking, or driveways.

General Requirements:

1. That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, fees, or other City Ordinances.
2. That all approved proposals of the applicant be conditions of development, if not mentioned herein.
3. That the site be developed according to the approved site plan, titled Site Plan Review No. 2020-03, with minor modifications to be approved by the Community Development Department.

Site Plan Review No. 2020-03 (along with Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01) approves a new-site build, unmanned telecommunication facility, including:

1. Bringing power/telecommunication/fiber to the site.
2. Installation of a new 99-foot AT&T monopole with antennas and associated tower-mounted equipment.
3. Installation of a standby generator with fuel tank.

4. Installation of an AT&T-approved pre-manufactured walk-in cabinet and associated interior equipment.
4. That no expansion of the use which would tend to increase the projected scale of operations beyond the scope and nature described in this Site Plan Review shall be permitted except upon application for, and approval of, modification of this application according to all procedures and requirements thereof.
5. That if cultural resources are discovered during construction or related activities, all work shall be halted and a qualified archeologist and the City of Hanford shall be notified. The find shall be properly investigated and appropriate measures are to be taken before construction may continue.
6. That where feasible, the proposed facility shall be made available for collocation.

C-S Service Commercial Zone Requirements (all checked shall apply)

- ☒ That modification to an approved tower, where the modification results in an increase in the height of the existing, approved tower, or provides for more than three communication providers on a single antenna/tower, shall constitute an amendment to the conditional use permit.
- ☒ That the following requirements and standards shall apply to the construction and installation of all new wireless communication facilities:
 - ☒ All standards set forth in Section 17.68;
 - ☒ Any applicable Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and ordinances;
 - ☒ All applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, Hanford Zoning Ordinance, public works improvements standards, and all applicable fees that are not in conflict with the provisions of Section 17.68;
 - ☒ The Uniform Building Code, National Electrical Code, Uniform Plumbing Code, Uniform Mechanical Code, and Uniform Fire Code, where applicable; and
 - ☒ All FCC rules, regulations, and standards.

Federal and State Regulations (Section 17.68.130)

- ☒ That all tower/antenna structures must meet or exceed current standards and regulations of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Federal Communication Commission (FCC), the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and any other agency of the federal or state government with authority to regulate towers and antennas.
 - ☒ If said standards and regulations are modified or amended and require pre-existing wireless communication facilities to comply with the new or amended standards or regulations, the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations within six months of the effective date of the new standards and regulations, unless the new standards or regulations or the applicable federal or state agency identify

a different and specific compliance period, in which case the owners and operators of the towers and antennas shall bring their towers and antennas into compliance within that specific compliance period

- ☒ Failure to bring towers and antennas into compliance with the revised standards and regulations shall constitute a violation of Section 17.68 and the city may require removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense.

Review Criteria (Section 17.68.120)

- ☒ Radio Frequency: That the use of the wireless communication facility will not result in levels of radio frequency emissions which will exceed Federal Communication Commission (FCC) standards.
- ☒ Structural Integrity: That wireless communication facilities with support structures must be constructed to Electronic Industries Association (EIA/TIA) 222 Revision F Standard titled, "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures" (or equivalent), as it may be updated or amended.
 - ☒ Antenna/towers supported by guy wires are prohibited within the City.
- ☒ Lighting: That the wireless communication facility shall not be artificially lighted, except for:
 - ☒ Security and safety lighting of equipment if buildings in such lighting appropriately shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site; and
 - ☒ Lighting of the tower or antenna may be required by the Federal Aviation Administration or other applicable authority. Said lighting to be installed in a manner to minimize impacts on adjacent properties.
- ☒ Security: That the wireless communication facility with tower/antenna structures shall be enclosed by a security fence not less than six feet in height and the structure shall be equipped with an appropriate anti-climbing device.
- ☒ Noise: That no equipment shall be operated at a wireless communication facility which produces noise in excess of the applicable noise standards stated in the Hanford General Plan. In emergency situations requiring the use of a backup generator, the noise standards may be exceeded on a temporary basis, as determined by the Community Development Department.
- ☒ Landscaping: That the following requirements shall govern the landscaping surrounding wireless communication facility sites.
 - ☒ That the site shall be landscaped with a buffer of plant materials that effectively screens the view of the tower compound from adjacent property. The standard buffer shall consist of a landscaped strip at least five feet wide outside the perimeter of the compound's fence – **waived by Variance No. 2021-04 part b**
 - ☒ That existing mature tree growth and natural land forms on the site shall be preserved to the extent feasible, provided, however, vegetation causing

interference with the antennas or inhibits access to the equipment facility may be trimmed.

- ☒ **Painting:** That all equipment, antennas, poles, or towers shall have a non-reflective finish and shall be painted or otherwise treated to minimize visual impacts. Antennas which will be viewed primarily against the skylight shall be designed and painted to blend in with existing surroundings to the extent feasible, including the use of compatible colors. Equipment facilities, shall, to the extent practicable, use materials, colors, and textures that will blend with the natural setting and existing building environment.

☒ **Visual Aesthetics:**

- ☒ That in order to accommodate the maximum number of providers at a single site, the applicant shall allow for future co-location of additional antennas at its site.
- ☒ That antenna and towers with guy wires are prohibited.
- ☒ That cables leading from the antennas to the ground base equipment shall be neatly bundled and securely fastened to the antenna/tower and be underground from the antenna/tower to the equipment room.

Removal of Abandoned Antennas, Towers, Supporting Equipment, and Structures:

- ☒ That any antenna, tower, supporting equipment and structure that is not operated for a continuous period of 12 months shall be considered abandoned, and the owner of such antenna or tower, or owner of the land which it is located on, shall remove said antenna, tower, or supporting equipment within 90 days of receipt of notice from the Community Development Director notifying the owner of such abandonment. If such antenna or tower is not removed within said 90 days, the City may remove such antenna or tower at the owner's expense. If there are two or more users of a single tower, then this provision shall not become effective until all users cease using the tower.
- ☒ That if the cost of removal of the antenna or tower are not paid within 30 days after the date on which the notice of request for payment is mailed to the owner of the property, the City Council may direct the county tax assessor to place the unpaid costs, including administrative fees associated with the removal on the county tax roll, as a special assessment against the property, pursuant to Section 25845 of the Government Code of the State of California. The assessment shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary county taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure and sale in case of delinquency, as provided for ordinary county taxes. All laws applicable to the levy, collection and enforcement of county taxes shall be applicable to such special assessment.

Dust Control:

- ☒ That the appropriate dust-control practices of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District shall be implemented.
- ☒ That the any necessary permits be obtained through the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

BUILDING DIVISION
SPR 2020-003 (502-1103) New Cell Tower
11-20-19
11555 Fargo Ave

Contact Building Official: Tom Webb (559) 585-2584
 Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

1. That building permits must be obtained from the City Building Division for each structure to include but not limited to: buildings, pools, fences, trash enclosures, signs and carports for any structural, plumbing, electrical or mechanical work being done.
 2. That no building or structure shall be used or occupied, and no change in the existing occupancy classification of building or structure or portion thereof shall be made until the building official has approved the change and issued a Certificate of Occupancy.
 3. That detailed dimensioned plans be provided to the Building Division for each structure prior to obtaining construction permits. Each structure will require a separate submittal and permit.
 4. That each structure will require plans and calculations signed by an architect or engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. Submittal shall consist of as applicable:
 - 4.1 1 copy of the City of Hanford Permit Application form
 - 4.2 6 complete sets of Drawings, unless noted, including:
 - 4.2.1 Grading Plan (Civil Drawings, for reference)
 - 4.2.2 Site Plan
 - 4.2.3 Architectural Drawings
 - 4.2.4 Structural Drawings
 - 4.2.5 Electrical Drawings
 - 4.2.6 Mechanical Drawings
 - 4.2.7 Plumbing Drawings
 - 4.2.8 Landscape Plan (for reference)
 - 4.2.9 Planning Dept. "Conditions of Approval" or "Resolution" printed on the drawings and part of the drawing submittal
 - 4.2.10 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
- **** Each structure will be considered a separate submittal. Grading, Landscaping, Signage and all Fire permits are separate submittals as well and require separate applications and submittals as applicable. ******
- 4.3 2 complete sets of documentation, unless noted, including:
 - 4.3.1 Architectural Specifications
 - 4.3.2 Structural Calculations
 - 4.3.3 Electrical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.4 Mechanical Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.5 Plumbing Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.6 Energy Calculations, if not included on the drawings
 - 4.3.7 CalGreen Compliance including C & D Recycle plan
 - 4.3.8 Compliance with the City of Hanford Landscape Ordinance
 - 4.3.9 A minimum of 2 sets shall be stamped and wet signed by an Architect or Engineer licensed in the State of California.
 5. That the site, as well as the buildings, shall be made accessible and usable by the disabled in accordance with the California Building Code, Chapter 11B.

7. That all special inspection reports be submitted to the Building Division prior to final inspection.
8. That all construction shall conform to **the Most Current Edition** (2019) of the California Building Code, California Electrical Code, California Mechanical Code, California Plumbing Code, California Fire Code, California Energy Code and CalGreen.
9. That Kings County Impact Fees shall apply at current rates.
10. That the tenant, lessee, and/or owner are responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. By federal law your facility must be made accessible to the highest degree possible.
11. That the applicant provides a complete set of AS-BUILT drawings on CD, in "tif" format, to the Building Division prior to Final Inspection.
12. That block walls and trash enclosures require separate submittals/permits through the Building Dept.
14. That a preliminary soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Divisions prior to approval of site improvement plans. The soils report shall comply with the 2016 (2019) California Building Code, Section 1803.
15. That a final soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Divisions before issuance of building permits.

Fire Department

Christy Whittington – Fire Marshall
(559)585-2594; cwhittington@cityofhanfordca.com

1. Provide minimum 2A10BC type fire extinguisher if structure is to be installed.



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

3.g

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Utilities Division Comments

PROJECT: SPR 2020-03 (502-1103) – ATT Monopole -11555 Fargo Avenue

General:

1. That all work within the public street right-of-way shall be under the control of a General Engineering Contractor, licensed for that purpose. Contractor shall verify all conditions in the field prior to commencing construction.
2. That locations of existing water, sanitary sewer and storm drain mains in the vicinity of the project site will be marked by Utilities and Engineering Department staff based upon the city's best available records. Contractor shall provide city with a minimum of 48 hours prior notice when requesting location of existing utilities at (559) 585-2564. The City of Hanford is not a member of Underground Service Alert (U.S.A.).
3. That all sewer and water utility work and materials shall conform to City of Hanford Standards and Specifications, latest edition. All Utility connections located within N Irwin Street or Eighth Street shall be bored.

Water:

1. That the developer shall provide an accurate fixture unit count and size water services in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Plumbing Code, latest edition.
2. That the developer shall furnish and install any new water service assemblies required for the project including water meters and meter boxes for both domestic and landscape uses. If existing services are utilized, fixture counts to ensure adequate size of service is required. All new services are to come off of Fargo Avenue and shall be bored.
3. That the developer shall furnish and install appropriate cross connection / backflow prevention assemblies for all required water services, including fire service lines.
4. That all backflow prevention assemblies required for the development shall be tested and approved by a certified technician prior to occupancy. Copies of all backflow test results shall be provided to the City of Hanford Utilities Division.

Attachment: Attachment 3 - Site Plan Review Conditions of Approval [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Engineering Division Comments

PROJECT: SPR 2020-03 (502-1103) – ATT Monopole -11555 Fargo Avenue

General Requirements:

1. That the development shall comply with all applicable City of Hanford Standard and Specification requirements. Any deviation from said Standards and Specifications must be approved by the City Engineer prior to construction.
2. That an Encroachment Permit for all work located within the public street right-of-way shall be obtained from the Public Works Department prior to start of work. For additional information regarding Encroachment Permit requirements, contact the City Engineering Division at (559) 585-2558.
3. That the development is subject to a Grading Plan Review fee of \$4200.00 in accordance with City Resolution No. 10-31 R, or any revisions thereof.
4. That the development is subject to Engineering Plan Review and Inspection fee based on the cost of improvements required to be constructed within the public street right of way, in accordance with City Resolution No. 92-58-R, or any revisions thereof. Subject fees shall be payable prior to approval of improvement plans.
5. That all financial and other arrangements for planning, engineering, scheduling, installation of and/or relocation/ undergrounding of electric, gas, telecommunications, or other privately owned utilities are the sole responsibility of the developer. The developer is advised that significant project delays may result if utility issues are not resolved prior to start of construction.

Map and Plan Requirements:

1. That the developer shall submit to the City Engineer a set of construction plans on 24" X 36" size sheets for all required improvements. The plans shall be prepared by a licensed civil engineer, and shall include a site plan showing all on-site and off-site improvements, including but not limited to, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, and water system infrastructure, refuse enclosure locations, public street & parking lot improvements, landscape & irrigation systems and all other improvements as required by the project conditions of approval and the City of Hanford Standards and Specifications. Plans must be approved by the City of Hanford and any other affected agencies before issuance of building permits.
2. That prior to beginning any construction, or within twenty (20) calendar days after the approved plans are released by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division four (4) bond copies of the approved set of construction plans, and two (2) bound sets of the approved construction specifications, if any.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Attachment 3 - Site Plan Review Conditions of Approval [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

3. That within twenty (20) calendar days after all improvements have been constructed and accepted by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and one (1) bond copy of the approved set of improvement construction plans revised to reflect all field revisions and marked "RECORD DRAWING".

Drainage Requirements:

1. That the developer shall comply with all applicable State of California requirements pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). If applicable to the project, a Notice of Intent for the development shall be electronically filed by the developer and accepted by the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB) prior to any disturbance of soils onsite. Documentation of SWRCB approval of the development shall be required by the City of Hanford prior to start of construction, and the developer shall comply with all SWRCB General Construction Permit requirements during construction. Contact the SWRCB at www.swrcb.ca.gov for further information.
2. That track-out of soil, gravel, or other construction-related materials on to public streets is prohibited.
3. That a drainage/site improvement plan for development be prepared by a license civil engineer for review and approval by the Public Works Department prior to the issuance of building permits. All construction shall be certified by a civil engineer as being constructed to approved plan.
4. That site grading and drainage shall comply with the approved grading and improvement plans for the development. Upon completion of construction, the developer's engineer shall provide a written statement that site grading and drainage has been completed in accordance with approved plans.

Curb, Gutter, and Sidewalk Requirements:

1. That the existing concrete curb and gutters located along the Fargo Avenue project frontage may remain in place provided they are found to be in functional condition and adequately sloped for proper drainage. Sections damaged during construction, or otherwise found to be in disrepair, shall be removed and replaced with new curbs & gutters installed in conformance with City Standard CO-11 and CO-15. The locations of any such curb and gutter required to be reconstructed shall be shown on the engineered site improvement plans.
2. That new 7.5 foot wide commercial sidewalk located along the entire project frontage shall be installed in conformance with City Standard CO-15.
3. That the project entrance on Fargo Avenue may be located as shown on the site plan. A new Commercial drive approach shall be constructed in accordance with City Standard CO-41.

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE

Attachment: Attachment 3 - Site Plan Review Conditions of Approval [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

4. All driveway approaches shall be provided with a minimum of 4' ADA access around and in the back of each driveway approach. Drive approaches equipped with easy access curb returns shall be provided with ADA-compliant curb ramps as shown on proposed site plan.



DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES:

1. That development is NOT subject to impact fees.

Attachment: Attachment 3 - Site Plan Review Conditions of Approval [Revision 1] (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

John Doyel
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (559) 585-2571

10/08/2021
DATE



Existing**Proposed**

view from Claret Avenue looking northeast at site

AdvanceSim
Photo Simulation Solutions
Contact (925) 202-8507

 **AT&T Wireless**

CVL01788 Pitco
11555 Fargo Avenue, Hanford, CA
Photosims Produced on 11-5-2019

Existing*Proposed*

view from W. Canyon Way looking northwest at site

AdvanceSim
Photo Simulation Solutions
Contact (925) 202-8507


 **AT&T Wireless**

CVL01788 Pitco
11555 Fargo Avenue, Hanford, CA
Photosims Produced on 11-5-2019

Existing



Proposed



view from Fargo Avenue looking southwest at site

AdvanceSim
Photo Simulation Solutions
Contact (925) 202-8507

 **AT&T Wireless**

CVL01788 Pitco
11555 Fargo Avenue, Hanford, CA
Photosims Produced on 11-5-2019

Existing



Proposed




view from W. Burgandy Court looking east at site

AdvanceSim
Photo Simulation Solutions
Contact (925) 202-8507

 **AT&T Wireless**

CVL01788 Pitco
11555 Fargo Avenue, Hanford, CA
Photosims Produced on 11-5-2019

CVL01788 Pitco
11555 Fargo Avenue, Hanford, CA
Photosims Produced on 11-5-2019



AdvanceSims
Photo Simulation Solutions
Contact (925) 202-8507

Shot Point Map

Attachment: Attachment 4 - Elevations (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Radio Frequency – Electromagnetic Energy (RF-EME) Compliance Report

Site No. CVL01788
MRSFR061761
PITCO
11555 Fargo Avenue
Hanford, California 93230
Kings County
36.355822; -119.664400 NAD83
Monopole

The proposed AT&T installation will be in compliance with FCC regulations upon proper installation of recommended signage.

EBI Project No. 6219006247
December 13, 2019



Prepared for:
AT&T Mobility, LLC
c/o Complete Wireless Consulting Inc
2009 V Street
Sacramento, CA 95818

Prepared by:
 **EBI Consulting**
environmental | engineering | due diligence

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
1.0 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC) REQUIREMENTS	3
2.0 AT&T RF EXPOSURE POLICY REQUIREMENTS	5
3.0 WORST-CASE PREDICTIVE MODELING.....	5
4.0 RECOMMENDED SIGNAGE/COMPLIANCE PLAN	7
5.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	8
6.0 LIMITATIONS	8

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Personnel Certifications**
Appendix B Compliance/Signage Plan

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose of Report

EnviroBusiness Inc. (dba EBI Consulting) has been contracted by AT&T Mobility, LLC to conduct radio frequency electromagnetic (RF-EME) modeling for AT&T Site CVL01788 located at 11555 Fargo Avenue in Hanford, California to determine RF-EME exposure levels from proposed AT&T wireless communications equipment at this site. As described in greater detail in Section 1.0 of this report, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has developed Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Limits for general public exposures and occupational exposures. This report summarizes the results of RF-EME modeling in relation to relevant FCC RF-EME compliance standards for limiting human exposure to RF-EME fields.

This report contains a detailed summary of the RF EME analysis for the site, including the following:

- Site Plan with antenna locations
- Graphical representation of theoretical MPE fields based on modeling
- Graphical representation of recommended signage and/or barriers

This document addresses the compliance of AT&T's transmitting facilities independently and in relation to all collocated facilities at the site.

Statement of Compliance

A site is considered out of compliance with FCC regulations if there are areas that exceed the FCC exposure limits and there are no RF hazard mitigation measures in place. Any carrier which has an installation that contributes more than 5% of the applicable MPE must participate in mitigating these RF hazards.

As presented in the sections below, based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no modeled exposures on any accessible rooftop or ground walking/working surface related to ATT's proposed antennas that exceed the FCC's occupational and/or general public exposure limits at this site. Additionally, there are areas where elevated workers may be exposed to power densities greater than the occupational limits. The worst-case emitted power density may exceed the FCC's occupational limit within approximately 22 feet of AT&T's proposed antennas at the antenna face level. Workers and the general public should be informed about the presence and locations of antennas and their associated fields.

As such, the proposed AT&T installation is in compliance with FCC regulations upon proper installation of recommended signage and/or barriers.

AT&T Recommended Signage/Compliance Plan

AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014, requires that:

1. All sites must be analyzed for RF exposure compliance;
2. All sites must have that analysis documented; and
3. All sites must have any necessary signage and barriers installed.

Site compliance recommendations have been developed based upon protocols presented in AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014, additional guidance provided by AT&T, EBI's understanding of FCC and OSHA requirements, and common

industry practice. Barrier locations have been identified (when required) based on guidance presented in AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014.

The following signage is recommended at this site:

- Yellow CAUTION 2B sign posted at the base of the monopole near the climbing ladder.

The signage proposed for installation at this site complies with AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document and therefore complies with FCC and OSHA requirements. Barriers are not recommended on this site. More detailed information concerning site compliance recommendations is presented in Section 4.0 and Appendix B of this report.

1.0 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC) REQUIREMENTS

The FCC has established Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for human exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic (RF-EME) energy fields, based on exposure limits recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) and, over a wide range of frequencies, the exposure limits developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE) and adopted by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) to replace the 1982 ANSI guidelines. Limits for localized absorption are based on recommendations of both ANSI/IEEE and NCRP.

The FCC guidelines incorporate two separate tiers of exposure limits that are based upon occupational/controlled exposure limits (for workers) and general public/uncontrolled exposure limits for members of the general public.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general public/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

General public/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment-related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Table I and Figure I (below), which are included within the FCC's OET Bulletin 65, summarize the MPE limits for RF emissions. These limits are designed to provide a substantial margin of safety. They vary by frequency to take into account the different types of equipment that may be in operation at a particular facility and are "time-averaged" limits to reflect different durations resulting from controlled and uncontrolled exposures.


The FCC's MPEs are measured in terms of power (mW) over a unit surface area (cm²). Known as the power density, the FCC has established an occupational MPE of 5 milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm²) and an uncontrolled MPE of 1 mW/cm² for equipment operating in the 1900 MHz frequency range. For the AT&T equipment operating at 850 MHz, the FCC's occupational MPE is 2.83 mW/cm² and an uncontrolled MPE of 0.57 mW/cm². For the AT&T equipment operating at 700 MHz, the FCC's occupational MPE is 2.33 mW/cm² and an uncontrolled MPE of 0.47 mW/cm². These limits are considered protective of these populations.

Table I: Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)				
(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure				
Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time [E]², [H]², or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f ²)*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1,500	--	--	f/300	6
1,500-100,000	--	--	5	6

(B) Limits for General Public/Uncontrolled Exposure				
Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time [E]², [H]², or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	(180/f ²)*	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1,500	--	--	f/1,500	30
1,500-100,000	--	--	1.0	30

f = Frequency in (MHz)

* Plane-wave equivalent power density

Figure 1. FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

Based on the above, the most restrictive thresholds for exposures of unlimited duration to RF energy for several personal wireless services are summarized below:

Personal Wireless Service	Approximate Frequency	Occupational MPE	Public MPE
Microwave (Point-to-Point)	5,000 - 80,000 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Broadband Radio (BRS)	2,600 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Wireless Communication (WCS)	2,300 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Advanced Wireless (AWS)	2,100 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Personal Communication (PCS)	1,950 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Cellular Telephone	870 MHz	2.90 mW/cm ²	0.58 mW/cm ²
Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR)	855 MHz	2.85 mW/cm ²	0.57 mW/cm ²
Long Term Evolution (LTE)	700 MHz	2.33 mW/cm ²	0.47 mW/cm ²
Most Restrictive Frequency Range	30-300 MHz	1.00 mW/cm ²	0.20 mW/cm ²

MPE limits are designed to provide a substantial margin of safety. These limits apply for continuous exposures and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health.

Personal Communication (PCS) facilities used by AT&T in this area operate within a frequency range of 700-1900 MHz. Facilities typically consist of: 1) electronic transceivers (the radios or cabinets) connected to wired telephone lines; and 2) antennas that send the wireless signals created by the transceivers to be received by individual subscriber units (PCS telephones). Transceivers are typically connected to antennas by coaxial cables.

Because of the short wavelength of PCS services, the antennas require line-of-sight paths for good propagation, and are typically installed above ground level. Antennas are constructed to concentrate energy towards the horizon, with as little energy as possible scattered towards the ground or the sky. This design, combined with the low power of PCS facilities, generally results in no possibility for exposure to approach Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) levels, with the exception of areas directly in front of the antennas.

2.0 AT&T RF EXPOSURE POLICY REQUIREMENTS

AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014, requires that:

1. All sites must be analyzed for RF exposure compliance;
2. All sites must have that analysis documented; and
3. All sites must have any necessary signage and barriers installed.

Pursuant to this guidance, worst-case predictive modeling was performed for the site. This modeling is described below in Section 3.0. Lastly, based on the modeling and survey data, EBI has produced a Compliance Plan for this site that outlines the recommended signage and barriers. The recommended Compliance Plan for this site is described in Section 4.0.

3.0 WORST-CASE PREDICTIVE MODELING

In accordance with AT&T's RF Exposure policy, EBI performed theoretical modeling using RoofMaster™ software to estimate the worst-case power density at the site rooftop and ground-level and/or nearby rooftops resulting from operation of the antennas. RoofMaster™ is a widely-used predictive modeling program that has been developed to predict RF power density values for rooftop and tower telecommunications sites produced by vertical collinear antennas that are typically used in the cellular, PCS, paging and other communications services. Using the computational methods set forth in Federal Communications (FCC) Office of Engineering & Technology (OET) Bulletin 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields" (OET-65), RoofMaster™ calculates predicted power density in a scalable grid based on the contributions of all RF sources characterized in the study scenario. At each grid location, the cumulative power density is expressed as a percentage of the FCC limits. Manufacturer antenna pattern data is utilized in these calculations. RoofMaster™ models consist of the Far Field model as specified in OET-65 and an implementation of the OET-65 Cylindrical Model (Sula9). The models utilize several operational specifications for different types of antennas to produce a plot of spatially-averaged power densities that can be expressed as a percentage of the applicable exposure limit.

For this report, EBI utilized antenna and power data provided by AT&T and compared the resultant worst-case MPE levels to the FCC's occupational/controlled exposure limits outlined in OET Bulletin 65.

The assumptions used in the modeling are based upon information provided by AT&T and information gathered from other sources. There are no other wireless carriers with equipment installed at this site.

Based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no modeled exposures on any accessible rooftop or ground walking/working surface related to ATT's proposed antennas that exceed the FCC's occupational and/or general public exposure limits at this site. Additionally, there are areas where

elevated workers may be exposed to power densities greater than the occupational limits. The worst-case emitted power density may exceed the FCC's occupational limit within approximately 22 feet of AT&T's proposed antennas at the antenna face level. Workers and the general public should be informed about the presence and locations of antennas and their associated fields.

At the nearest walking/working surfaces to the AT&T antennas on the equipment shelter roof, the maximum power density generated by the AT&T antennas is approximately 0.17 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.03 percent of the FCC's occupational limit). The composite exposure level from all carriers on this site is approximately 0.17 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.03 percent of the FCC's occupational limit) at the nearest walking/working surface to each antenna. Based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no areas at ground/street level related to the proposed AT&T antennas that exceed the FCC's occupational or general public exposure limits at this site. At ground/street level, the maximum power density generated by the antennas is approximately 0.14 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.028 percent of the FCC's occupational limit).




A graphical representation of the RoofMaster™ modeling results is presented in Appendix B. It should be noted that RoofMaster™ is not suitable for modeling microwave dish antennas; however, these units are designed for point-to-point operations at the elevations of the installed equipment rather than ground-level coverage. Based on AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014, microwave antennas are considered compliant if they are higher than 20 feet above any accessible walking/working surface. All microwaves on site are considered compliant with AT&T's guidance and were not included in the modeling analysis.

4.0 RECOMMENDED SIGNAGE/COMPLIANCE PLAN

Signs are the primary means for control of access to areas where RF exposure levels may potentially exceed the MPE. As presented in the AT&T guidance document, the signs must:

- Be posted at a conspicuous point;
- Be posted at the appropriate locations;
- Be readily visible; and
- Make the reader aware of the potential risks prior to entering the affected area.

The table below presents the signs that may be used for AT&T installations.

CRAN / HETNET Small Cell Decals / Signs		Alerting Signs	
 	NOTICE DECALS	 TRILINGUAL NOTICE	 NOTICE 2
		 CAUTION 2 - ROOFTOP	 CAUTION 2A
	CAUTION DECAL	 CAUTION 2B - TOWER	 CAUTION 2C - PARAPETS
		 WARNING 1B	 WARNING 2A

Based upon protocols presented in AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014, and additional guidance provided by AT&T, the following signage is recommended on the site:

- Yellow CAUTION 2B sign posted at the base of the monopole near the climbing ladder.

No barriers are required for this site. Barriers should be constructed of weather-resistant plastic or wood fencing. Barriers may consist of railing, rope, chain, or weather-resistant plastic if no other types are permitted or are feasible. Painted stripes should only be used as a last resort and only in regions where there is little chance of snowfall. If painted stripes are selected as barriers, it is recommended that the stripes and signage be illuminated. The signage and any barriers are graphically represented in the Signage Plan presented in Appendix B.

5.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

EBI has prepared this Radiofrequency Emissions Compliance Report for the proposed AT&T telecommunications equipment at the site located at 11555 Fargo Avenue in Hanford, California.

EBI has conducted theoretical modeling to estimate the worst-case power density from AT&T antennas to document potential MPE levels at this location and ensure that site control measures are adequate to meet FCC and OSHA requirements, as well as AT&T's corporate RF safety policies. As presented in the preceding sections, based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no modeled exposures on any accessible rooftop or ground walking/working surface related to AT&T's proposed antennas that exceed the FCC's occupational and/or general public exposure limits at this site. Additionally, there are areas where elevated workers may be exposed to power densities greater than the occupational limits. The worst-case emitted power density may exceed the FCC's occupational limit within approximately 22 feet of AT&T's proposed antennas at the antenna face level. Workers and the general public should be informed about the presence and locations of antennas and their associated fields.

Signage is recommended at the site as presented in Section 4.0 and Appendix B. Posting of the signage brings the site into compliance with FCC rules and regulations and AT&T's corporate RF safety policies.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for the use of AT&T Mobility, LLC to meet requirements outlined in AT&T's corporate RF safety guidelines. It was performed in accordance with generally accepted practices of other consultants undertaking similar studies at the same time and in the same locale under like circumstances. The conclusions provided by EBI are based solely on the information provided by the client. The observations in this report are valid on the date of the investigation. Any additional information that becomes available concerning the site should be provided to EBI so that our conclusions may be revised and modified, if necessary. This report has been prepared in accordance with Standard Conditions for Engagement and authorized proposal, both of which are integral parts of this report. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Appendix A

Personnel Certifications

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Reviewed and Approved by:



sealed 14dec2019

Michael A McGuire PE
Electrical Engineer
mike@h2dc.com

Note that EBI's scope of work is limited to an evaluation of the Radio Frequency – Electromagnetic Energy (RF-EME) field generated by the antennas and broadcast equipment noted in this report. The engineering and design of the structure, as well as the impact of the antennas and broadcast equipment on the structural integrity of the structure, are specifically excluded from EBI's scope of work.


EBI Consulting

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Preparer Certification

I, David Keirstead, state that:


- I am an employee of EnviroBusiness Inc. (d/b/a EBI Consulting), which provides RF-EME safety and compliance services to the wireless communications industry.
- I have successfully completed RF-EME safety training, and I am aware of the potential hazards from RF-EME and would be classified "occupational" under the FCC regulations.
- I am familiar with the FCC rules and regulations as well as OSHA regulations both in general and as they apply to RF-EME exposure.
- I have been trained in on the procedures outlined in AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document (dated October 28, 2014) and on RF-EME modeling using RoofMaster™ modeling software.
- I have reviewed the data provided by the client and incorporated it into this Site Compliance Report such that the information contained in this report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.



Appendix B

Compliance/Signage Plan

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Equipment Shelter Roof Level Simulation (10 Feet AGL)


	Existing Sign
	Proposed Sign
	Installed Sign

SIGN IDENTIFICATION LEGEND			
	AT&T NOTICE 2 Sign		AT&T CAUTION 2 - Rooftop Sign
	AT&T WARNING 1B and 2A Signs		AT&T CAUTION 2B - Tower Sign
	AT&T NOTICE Small Cell Signs		AT&T CAUTION 2C - Parapet Sign
	AT&T CAUTION Small Cell Signs		AT&T TRILINGUAL NOTICE Sign

AT&T Radio Frequency Safety Survey Report Prediction (RFSSRP)

Site Name: PITCO
FA#: 14881321
USID: 273633
Site ID: CVL01788
Address: 11555 Fargo Avenue
 Hanford, California 93230
County: Kings
Latitude: 36.355822
Longitude: -119.664400
EBI Project Number: 6219006247

M-RFSC Name: Casey Chan
Site Structure Type: Monopole
PACE#: MRSFR061761
Prepared For: AT&T Mobility, LLC
 c/o Complete Wireless Consulting Inc
 2009 V Street
 Sacramento, CA 95818



Report Information:

Report Writer: David Keirstead
Report Date: December 13, 2019

CDs: Approved_CVL01788 Pitco 100 ZD
RFDS: RFDS Prelim 9-24-19 - CVL01788

Compliance Statement:

AT&T Mobility Compliance Statement: Based on the information collected, AT&T Mobility will be Compliant with FCC Rules and Regulations at the nearest walking surface if recommendations in the Compliance Summary are implemented.



AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
2.0 SIGNAGE AND MITIGATION PLAN	3
3.0 ANTENNA INVENTORY.....	4
4.0 WORST-CASE PREDICTIVE MODELING.....	7
5.0 COMPLIANCE SUMMARY	12
6.0 APPENDICES.....	13
APPENDIX A: FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC) REQUIREMENTS.....	14
APPENDIX B: AT&T RF EXPOSURE POLICY REQUIREMENTS.....	17
APPENDIX C: AT&T SIGNAGE AND MITIGATION.....	18
APPENDIX D: LIMITATIONS	19
APPENDIX E: RoofMaster™	20
APPENDIX F: CERTIFICATIONS	21

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EnviroBusiness Inc. (dba EBI Consulting) has been contracted by AT&T Mobility, LLC to conduct radio frequency electromagnetic (RF-EME) modeling for AT&T Site CVL01788 located at 11555 Fargo Avenue in Hanford, California to determine RF-EME exposure levels from proposed AT&T wireless communications equipment at this site. As described in greater detail in Appendix A of this report, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has developed Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Limits for general public exposures and occupational exposures. This report summarizes the results of RF-EME modeling in relation to relevant FCC RF-EME compliance standards for limiting human exposure to RF-EME fields.

This document addresses the compliance of AT&T's transmitting facilities independently and in relation to all collocated facilities at the site.

1.1 SITE SUMMARY

Recommended Mitigation at the Site:

- Access Point(s):
 - To reduce the risk of exposure and/or injury, EBI recommends that access to the monopole or areas associated with the active antenna installation be restricted and secured where possible.
 - Yellow CAUTION 2B sign posted at the base of the monopole.
- Signage at AT&T Mobility Sectors:
 - A: No signage required.
 - B: No signage required.
 - C: No signage required.
- Barriers at AT&T Mobility Sectors:
 - A: N/A
 - B: N/A
 - C: N/A

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
2


Predictive Modeling Results:

The maximum predictive power density generated by the antennas is approximately 0.17 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.03 percent of the FCC's occupational limit) at the equipment shelter roof.

At the antenna face level, the maximum predictive power density generated by the antennas is approximately 3050.65 percent of the FCC's general public limit (610.13 percent of the FCC's occupational limit). At ground level, the maximum predictive power density generated by the antennas is approximately 0.14 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.028 percent of the FCC's occupational limit).

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

2.0 SIGNAGE AND MITIGATION PLAN



AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

3.0 ANTENNA INVENTORY

Ant #	Operator	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Frequency (MHz)	Azimuth (deg.)	Mechanical Downtilt (deg.)	Horizontal Beamwidth (Degrees)	Aperture (feet)	Power Input (Watts)	Transmitter Count	Antenna Gain (dBi)	Total ERP (Watts)	Total EIRP (Watts)
1	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	30	0	66	8.8	40	4	13.25	2898.14	4752.96
1	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 850	850	30	0	65.9	8.8	40	4	14.05	3428.62	5622.94
1	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 1900	1900	30	0	60.2	8.8	40	4	15.15	4416.92	7243.76
1	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2100	2100	30	0	58.2	8.8	40	4	15.75	5071.31	8316.95
2	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	30	0	66	8.8	40	2	13.25	1449.07	2376.48
2	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2300	2300	30	0	61.4	8.8	40	4	15.05	4316.38	7078.87
3	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	30	0	66	8.8	40	4	13.25	2898.14	4752.96
3	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 1900	1900	30	0	60.2	8.8	40	4	15.15	4416.92	7243.76
3	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2100	2100	30	0	58.2	8.8	40	4	15.75	5071.31	8316.95
4	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	270	0	66	8.8	40	4	13.25	2898.14	4752.96
4	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 850	850	270	0	65.9	8.8	40	4	14.05	3428.62	5622.94
4	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 1900	1900	270	0	60.2	8.8	40	4	15.15	4416.92	7243.76
4	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2100	2100	270	0	58.2	8.8	40	4	15.75	5071.31	8316.95
5	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	270	0	66	8.8	40	2	13.25	1449.07	2376.48
5	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2300	2300	270	0	61.4	8.8	40	4	15.05	4316.38	7078.87
6	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	270	0	66	8.8	40	4	13.25	2898.14	4752.96
6	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 1900	1900	270	0	60.2	8.8	40	4	15.15	4416.92	7243.76
6	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2100	2100	270	0	58.2	8.8	40	4	15.75	5071.31	8316.95
7	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	150	0	66	8.8	40	4	13.25	2898.14	4752.96
7	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 850	850	150	0	65.9	8.8	40	4	14.05	3428.62	5622.94
7	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 1900	1900	150	0	60.2	8.8	40	4	15.15	4416.92	7243.76
7	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2100	2100	150	0	58.2	8.8	40	4	15.75	5071.31	8316.95
8	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	150	0	66	8.8	40	2	13.25	1449.07	2376.48
8	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2300	2300	150	0	61.4	8.8	40	4	15.05	4316.38	7078.87
9	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 04DT 700	700	150	0	66	8.8	40	4	13.25	2898.14	4752.96
9	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 1900	1900	150	0	60.2	8.8	40	4	15.15	4416.92	7243.76
9	ATT	KATHREIN	80010992 2.5DT 2100	2100	150	0	58.2	8.8	40	4	15.75	5071.31	8316.95

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
5

- Note there are 3 AT&T antennas per sector at this site. For clarity, the different frequencies for each antenna are entered on separate lines.
- Note that the microwaves were not included in the predictive modeling analysis because microwaves onsite are considered compliant. RoofMaster™ is not suitable for modeling microwave dish antennas because these units are designed for point-to-point operations at the elevations of the installed equipment rather than ground-level coverage.

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement.
©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Ant #	NAME	X	Y	Antenna Radiation Centerline	Z-Height Equipment Shelter Roof	Z-Height Ground
1	ATT	12.2	5.3	95.0	80.6	90.6
2	ATT	8.8	7.2	95.0	80.6	90.6
3	ATT	5.3	9.2	95.0	80.6	90.6
4	ATT	0.2	6.3	95.0	80.6	90.6
5	ATT	0.3	2.4	95.0	80.6	90.6
6	ATT	0.3	1.6	95.0	80.6	90.6
7	ATT	5.3	4.5	95.0	80.6	90.6
8	ATT	8.7	2.5	95.0	80.6	90.6
9	ATT	12.2	0.5	95.0	80.6	90.6

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement.
©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

4.0 WORST-CASE PREDICTIVE MODELING

In accordance with AT&T's RF Exposure policy, EBI performed theoretical modeling using RoofMaster™ software to estimate the worst-case power density at the site rooftop and ground-level resulting from operation of the antennas.

For this report, EBI utilized antenna and power data provided by AT&T and compared the resultant worst-case MPE levels to the FCC's occupational/controlled exposure limits outlined in OET Bulletin 65.

The assumptions used in the modeling are based upon information provided by AT&T and information gathered from other sources. There are no other wireless carriers with equipment installed at this site.

Based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no modeled exposures on any accessible rooftop or ground walking/working surface related to ATT's proposed antennas that exceed the FCC's occupational and/or general public exposure limits at this site. Additionally, there are areas where elevated workers may be exposed to power densities greater than the occupational limits. The worst-case emitted power density may exceed the FCC's occupational limit within approximately 22 feet of AT&T's proposed antennas at the antenna face level. Workers and the general public should be informed about the presence and locations of antennas and their associated fields.


At the nearest walking/working surfaces to the AT&T antennas on the rooftop and ground, the maximum power density generated by the AT&T antennas is approximately 0.17 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.03 percent of the FCC's occupational limit). The composite exposure level from all carriers on this site is approximately 0.17 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.03 percent of the FCC's occupational limit) at the nearest walking/working surface to each antenna. Based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no areas at ground/street level related to the proposed AT&T antennas that exceed the FCC's occupational or general public exposure limits at this site. At ground/street level, the maximum power density generated by the antennas is approximately 0.14 percent of the FCC's general public limit (0.028 percent of the FCC's occupational limit).

It should be noted that RoofMaster™ is not suitable for modeling microwave dish antennas; however, these units are designed for point-to-point operations at the elevations of the installed equipment rather than ground-level coverage. Based on AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated October 28, 2014, microwave antennas are considered compliant if they are higher than 20 feet above any accessible walking/working surface. All microwaves on site are considered compliant with AT&T's guidance and were not included in the modeling analysis.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
8

Equipment Shelter Roof Level (10 feet AGL)




Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
9



Max MPE: 3,050.65%
General Population
MPE at Antenna Face
Level


AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
10

Ground Level (0 feet AGL)



Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)


AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633

11

AT&T Contribution of More Than 5% of the FCC's General Exposure RF Limit



Note that the areas shown in purple are where AT&T antennas contribute more than 5% of the FCC's general exposure RF limit. These do not overlap any areas in front of other carrier antennas exceeding the FCC's general exposure RF limit because there are no other carriers as shown in Figure I.

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
12

5.0 COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

Based on the information collected, AT&T Mobility will be Compliant with FCC Rules and Regulations at the nearest walking surface if recommendations in the Compliance Summary are implemented.

The following mitigation measures are recommended for this site.

- **Access Point(s):**

- To reduce the risk of exposure and/or injury, EBI recommends that access to the monopole or areas associated with the active antenna installation be restricted and secured where possible.
- Yellow CAUTION 2B sign posted at the base of the monopole.

- **AT&T Mobility Sectors:**

- **Sector A:**
 - No Action Required
- **Sector B:**
 - No Action Required
- **Sector C:**
 - No Action Required

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
13

6.0 APPENDICES

Appendix A: FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC) REQUIREMENTS

The FCC has established Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for human exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic (RF-EME) energy fields, based on exposure limits recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) and, over a wide range of frequencies, the exposure limits developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE) and adopted by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) to replace the 1982 ANSI guidelines. Limits for localized absorption are based on recommendations of both ANSI/IEEE and NCRP.

The FCC guidelines incorporate two separate tiers of exposure limits that are based upon occupational/controlled exposure limits (for workers) and general public/uncontrolled exposure limits for members of the general public.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general public/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

General public/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment-related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Table I and Figure I (below), which are included within the FCC's OET Bulletin 65, summarize the MPE limits for RF emissions. These limits are designed to provide a substantial margin of safety. They vary by frequency to take into account the different types of equipment that may be in operation at a particular facility and are "time-averaged" limits to reflect different durations resulting from controlled and uncontrolled exposures.

The FCC's MPEs are measured in terms of power (mW) over a unit surface area (cm²). Known as the power density, the FCC has established an occupational MPE of 5 milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm²) and an uncontrolled MPE of 1 mW/cm² for equipment operating in the 1900 MHz frequency range. For the AT&T equipment operating at 700 MHz, the FCC's occupational MPE limit is 2.33 mW/cm² and an uncontrolled MPE limit of 0.47 mW/cm². For the AT&T equipment operating at 1900 MHz, the FCC's occupational MPE is 5.0 mW/cm² and an uncontrolled MPE limit of 1.0 mW/cm². These limits are considered protective of these populations.


Table 1: Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)				
(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure				
Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm ²)	Averaging Time [E] ² , [H] ² , or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f ²)*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1,500	--	--	f/300	6
1,500-100,000	--	--	5	6
(B) Limits for General Public/Uncontrolled Exposure				
Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm ²)	Averaging Time [E] ² , [H] ² , or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	(180/f ²)*	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1,500	--	--	f/1,500	30
1,500-100,000	--	--	1.0	30

f = Frequency in (MHz)

* Plane-wave equivalent power density

Figure 1. FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

Plane-wave Equivalent Power Density



Based on the above, the most restrictive thresholds for exposures of unlimited duration to RF energy for several personal wireless services are summarized below:

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
16

Personal Wireless Service	Approximate Frequency	Occupational MPE	Public MPE
Microwave (Point-to-Point)	5,000 - 80,000 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Broadband Radio (BRS)	2,600 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Wireless Communication (WCS)	2,300 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Advanced Wireless (AWS)	2,100 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Personal Communication (PCS)	1,950 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
Cellular Telephone	870 MHz	2.90 mW/cm ²	0.58 mW/cm ²
Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR)	855 MHz	2.85 mW/cm ²	0.57 mW/cm ²
Long Term Evolution (LTE)	700 MHz	2.33 mW/cm ²	0.47 mW/cm ²
Most Restrictive Frequency Range	30-300 MHz	1.00 mW/cm ²	0.20 mW/cm ²

MPE limits are designed to provide a substantial margin of safety. These limits apply for continuous exposures and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health.

Personal Communication (PCS) facilities used by AT&T in this area operate within a frequency range of 700-1900 MHz. Facilities typically consist of: 1) electronic transceivers (the radios or cabinets) connected to wired telephone lines; and 2) antennas that send the wireless signals created by the transceivers to be received by individual subscriber units (PCS telephones). Transceivers are typically connected to antennas by coaxial cables.

Because of the short wavelength of PCS services, the antennas require line-of-site paths for good propagation, and are typically installed above ground level. Antennas are constructed to concentrate energy towards the horizon, with as little energy as possible scattered towards the ground or the sky. This design, combined with the low power of PCS facilities, generally results in no possibility for exposure to approach Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) levels, with the exception of areas directly in front of the antennas.

FCC Compliance Requirement

A site is considered out of compliance with FCC regulations if there are areas that exceed the FCC exposure limits and there are no RF hazard mitigation measures in place. Any carrier which has an installation that contributes more than 5% of the applicable MPE must participate in mitigating these RF hazards.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: I488I32I

Site USID: 273633
17

Appendix B: AT&T RF EXPOSURE POLICY REQUIREMENTS

AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document, dated May 27, 2015, requires that:

1. All sites must be analyzed for RF exposure compliance;
2. All sites must have that analysis documented; and
3. All sites must have any necessary signage and barriers installed.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633





18

Appendix C: AT&T SIGNAGE AND MITIGATION

Signs are the primary means for control of access to areas where RF exposure levels may potentially exceed the MPE. As presented in the AT&T guidance document, the signs must:

- Be posted at a conspicuous point;
- Be posted at the appropriate locations;
- Be readily visible; and
- Make the reader aware of the potential risks prior to entering the affected area.

The table below presents the signs that may be used for AT&T installations.

CRAN / HETNET Small Cell Decals / Signs		Alerting Signs	
 	NOTICE DECALS	 TRILINGUAL NOTICE	 NOTICE 2
	NOTICE SIGN	 CAUTION 2 - ROOFTOP	 CAUTION 2A
	CAUTION DECAL	 CAUTION 2B - TOWER	 CAUTION 2C - PARAPETS
	CAUTION SIGN	 WARNING 1B	 WARNING 2A

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
19

Appendix D: LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for the use of AT&T Mobility, LLC to meet requirements outlined in AT&T's corporate RF safety guidelines. It was performed in accordance with generally accepted practices of other consultants undertaking similar studies at the same time and in the same locale under like circumstances. The conclusions provided by EBI are based solely on the information provided by the client. The observations in this report are valid on the date of the investigation. Any additional information that becomes available concerning the site should be provided to EBI so that our conclusions may be revised and modified, if necessary. This report has been prepared in accordance with Standard Conditions for Engagement and authorized proposal, both of which are integral parts of this report. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: I488I32I

Site USID: 273633
20

Appendix E: RoofMaster™

RoofMaster™ is a widely-used predictive modeling program that has been developed to predict RF power density values for rooftop and tower telecommunications sites produced by vertical collinear antennas that are typically used in the cellular, PCS, paging and other communications services. Using the computational methods set forth in Federal Communications (FCC) Office of Engineering & Technology (OET) Bulletin 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields" (OET-65), RoofMaster™ calculates predicted power density in a scalable grid based on the contributions of all RF sources characterized in the study scenario. At each grid location, the cumulative power density is expressed as a percentage of the FCC limits. Manufacturer antenna pattern data is utilized in these calculations. RoofMaster™ models consist of the Far Field model as specified in OET-65 and an implementation of the OET-65 Cylindrical Model (Sula9). The models utilize several operational specifications for different types of antennas to produce a plot of spatially-averaged power densities that can be expressed as a percentage of the applicable exposure limit.

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
21

Appendix F: CERTIFICATIONS

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

AT&T Proprietary (Internal use only). Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies, except under written agreement. ©2019 AT&T Intellectual property. All rights reserved.

Site Name: PITCO
Site FA: 14881321

Site USID: 273633
22

Preparer Certification


I, David Keirstead, state that:

- I am an employee of EnviroBusiness Inc. (d/b/a EBI Consulting), which provides RF-EME safety and compliance services to the wireless communications industry.
- I have successfully completed RF-EME safety training, and I am aware of the potential hazards from RF-EME and would be classified "occupational" under the FCC regulations.
- I am familiar with the FCC rules and regulations as well as OSHA regulations both in general and as they apply to RF-EME exposure.
- I have been trained in on the procedures outlined in AT&T's RF Exposure: Responsibilities, Procedures & Guidelines document (dated October 28, 2014) and on RF-EME modeling using RoofMaster™ modeling software.
- I have reviewed the data provided by the client and incorporated it into this Site Compliance Report such that the information contained in this report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

David Keirstead

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)

Reviewed and Approved by:



sealed 14dec2019

Michael A McGuire PE
Electrical Engineer
mike@h2dc.com

Note that EBI's scope of work is limited to an evaluation of the Radio Frequency – Electromagnetic Energy (RF-EME) field generated by the antennas and broadcast equipment noted in this report. The engineering and design of the structure, as well as the impact of the antennas and broadcast equipment on the structural integrity of the structure, are specifically excluded from EBI's scope of work.


EBI Consulting

Attachment: Attachment 5 - Radio Frequency Study (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)


CVL01788 Zoning Propagation Map

January 16, 2020

Existing LTE 700 Coverage



Proposed LTE 700 Coverage - 11555 FARGO AVENUE@ RC = 95 ft



Environmental Noise Assessment

CVL01788 Pitco AT&T Cellular Facility

Hanford, California

BAC Job # 2019-224


Prepared For:

Complete Wireless Consulting

Attn: Steve Proo
2009 V Street
Sacramento, CA 95818

Prepared By:

Bollard Acoustical Consultants, Inc.



Dario Gotchet, Consultant

November 11, 2019



Introduction

The CVL01788 Pitco AT&T Wireless Unmanned Telecommunications Facility Project (project) proposes the installation of cellular equipment within a lease area located at 11555 Fargo Avenue in Hanford, California (APN: 008-360-032). The externally mounted HVAC unit of a pre-manufactured concrete walk-in cabinet and an emergency diesel standby generator have been identified as the primary noise sources associated with the project. Please see Figure 1 for the project overall site plan. The studied site drawings are dated October 17, 2019.

Bollard Acoustical Consultants, Inc. (BAC) has been contracted by Complete Wireless Consulting, Inc. to complete an environmental noise assessment regarding the proposed project cellular equipment operations. Specifically, the following assessment addresses daily noise production and exposure associated with operation of the project emergency generator and HVAC equipment.

Please refer to Appendix A for definitions of acoustical terminology used in this report. Appendix B illustrates common noise levels associated with various sources.

Criteria for Acceptable Noise Exposure

City of Hanford General Plan

The Hazards Management Element of the City of Hanford General Plan establishes performance noise level standards for non-transportation (stationary) noise sources affecting various land uses. For this project, the adjacent land uses are residential. The non-transportation noise level limits applicable to residential land uses contained in the General Plan have been reproduced and are provided below in Table 1.

Table 1
Noise Level Performance Standards for Non-Transportation Sources – Residential Land Uses

Noise Level Descriptor	Exterior Noise Level Standard		Interior Noise Level Standard	
	Daytime	Nighttime	Daytime	Nighttime
Hourly Average, L_{eq}	50	45	40	35
Maximum Level, L_{max}	70	65	60	55
Notes: -Each of the noise level standards specified in Table 1 shall be reduced by five (5) dBA for pure tone noises, noise consisting primarily of speech or music, or for recurring impulsive noises. -The standards in Table 1 shall be applied at the property line of the receiving land use. -The noise level standards do not apply to residential units established in conjunction with industrial or commercial uses (e.g., caretaker dwellings). <i>Source: City of Hanford General Plan, Hazards Management Element, Table HZ-3.</i>				



Proposed AT&T Cellular Facility Lease Area

Parcel Boundaries

AT&T Cellular Facility

Hanford, California

Proposed Cellular Facility Lease Area & Nearest Residential Land Uses

Figure 1

Overall Site Plan – Sheet A-1.1 (MST Architects, dated 10/17/19)

Project Noise Generation

As discussed previously, there are two project noise sources which are considered in this evaluation; the externally mounted HVAC unit of the pre-manufactured concrete walk-in cabinet and the emergency diesel generator. The evaluation of potential noise impacts associated with the operation of each noise source is evaluated separately as follows:

HVAC Equipment Noise Source and Reference Noise Level

The project proposes the installation of a pre-manufactured concrete walk-in cabinet equipped with one (1) externally mounted HVAC unit within the equipment lease area illustrated on Figure 1. According to the project applicant, the HVAC unit proposed for the project is a Marvair Airxcel, Inc. Model ECUA18ACA. Based on reference noise level data obtained from the manufacturer (Marvair Airxcel, Inc.), this specific HVAC unit model has a reference noise level of 62 dB at a distance of 5 feet. The manufacturer's noise level data specification sheet for the proposed HVAC equipment is provided as Appendix C.

Generator Noise Source and Reference Noise Level

The project also proposes the installation of an emergency standby diesel generator within the lease area to maintain cellular service during emergency power outages. Based on the project site plans, a Generac Industrial Power Systems Model SD030 is proposed at this site. It is assumed that the proposed generator will be equipped with the Level 2 Acoustic Enclosure resulting in a reference noise level of 68 dB at a distance of 23 feet. The manufacturer's noise level data specification sheet for the proposed generator and acoustical enclosure is provided as Appendix D.

The generator which is proposed at this site would only operate during emergencies (power outages) and brief daytime periods for periodic maintenance/lubrication. According to the project applicant, testing of the generator would occur twice per month, during daytime hours, for a duration of approximately 15 minutes. The emergency generator would not operate at night, except during power outages. It is expected that nighttime operation of the project emergency generator would be exempt from the City's exterior noise exposure criteria due to the need for continuous cellular service provided by the project equipment.

Predicted Facility Noise Levels at the Nearest Residential Property Lines

The proposed cellular equipment maintains various distances from the adjacent residential property lines. Those distances were scaled using the provided site plans dated October 17, 2019. Assuming standard spherical spreading loss (-6 dB per doubling of distance), project-equipment noise exposure at the nearest residential property lines was calculated and the results of those calculations are presented in Table 2.

According to the project site drawings, the externally mounted HVAC unit is proposed to be located on the east side of the pre-manufactured concrete walk-in cabinet. At this location, the HVAC equipment would be screened from view of the adjacent residential parcels to the west by the intervening proposed cabinet structure. To account for this screening, an offset of -5 dB was applied to predicted HVAC unit noise levels at the nearest residential parcels to the west.

Table 2
Summary of Project-Related Noise Exposure at the Nearest Residential Property Lines

APN ¹	Distance from Cellular Equipment (feet) ²		Predicted Equipment Noise Levels, dBA	
	HVAC	Generator	HVAC, L _{eq} ³	Generator, L _{max}
008-680-054	88	78	32	57
008-680-055	92	80	32	57

¹ Parcel boundaries are shown on Figure 1.
² Distances scaled from project equipment to the adjacent property lines using the provided site plans.
³ An offset of -5 dB was applied to predicted HVAC unit noise levels to account for the screening provided by the proposed intervening pre-manufactured walk-in cabinet structure, as discussed in this report.

Because the proposed HVAC unit could potentially be in continuous operation during nighttime hours, the operation of the HVAC unit would be subject to the City of Hanford General Plan *nighttime* exterior noise level standard of 45 dB L_{eq} (Table 1). As indicated in Table 2, the predicted HVAC equipment noise level of 32 dB L_{eq} at the nearest residential property lines would satisfy the General Plan 45 dB L_{eq} nighttime noise level limit by a wide margin. As a result, no further consideration of HVAC equipment noise mitigation measures would be warranted for the project relative to the General Plan exterior noise level criteria.

Because the project generator would only operate during daytime hours for brief periods required for testing and maintenance, and because generator noise is assumed to be exempt during emergency operations, noise from the generator would be subject to the City of Hanford General Plan *daytime* exterior noise level standard of 70 dB L_{max} (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, the predicted generator noise level of 57 dB L_{max} at the nearest residential property lines would satisfy the General Plan 70 dB L_{max} daytime exterior noise level standard by a wide margin. As a result, no further consideration of emergency generator noise mitigation measures would be warranted for the project relative to the General Plan exterior noise level criteria.

Standard residential construction (stucco siding, STC-27 windows, door weather-stripping, exterior wall insulation, composition plywood roof), results in an exterior to interior noise reduction of at least 25 dB with windows closed and approximately 15 dB with windows open. Based on this information, project equipment noise levels are expected to satisfy the strictest City of Hanford General Plan interior noise level limits within the nearest existing residences by a wide margin. As a result, no further consideration of project equipment noise mitigation measures would be warranted for the project relative to the General Plan interior noise level criteria.

Conclusions

Based on the equipment noise level data and analyses presented above, project-related equipment noise exposure is expected to satisfy the applicable City of Hanford General Plan exterior and interior noise level limits at the closest residential uses. As a result, no further consideration of project equipment noise mitigation measures would be warranted for this project.

This concludes our environmental noise assessment for the proposed CVL01788 Pitco AT&T Cellular Facility in Hanford, California. Please contact BAC at (916) 663-0500 or dariog@bacnoise.com with any questions or requests for additional information.

Appendix A Acoustical Terminology


Acoustics	The science of sound.
Ambient Noise	The distinctive acoustical characteristics of a given space consisting of all noise sources audible at that location. In many cases, the term ambient is used to describe an existing or pre-project condition such as the setting in an environmental noise study.
Attenuation	The reduction of an acoustic signal.
A-Weighting	A frequency-response adjustment of a sound level meter that conditions the output signal to approximate human response.
Decibel or dB	Fundamental unit of sound, A Bell is defined as the logarithm of the ratio of the sound pressure squared over the reference pressure squared. A Decibel is one-tenth of a Bell.
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level. Defined as the 24-hour average noise level with noise occurring during evening hours (7 - 10 p.m.) weighted by a factor of three and nighttime hours weighted by a factor of 10 prior to averaging.
Frequency	The measure of the rapidity of alterations of a periodic signal, expressed in cycles per second or hertz.
L_{dn}	Day/Night Average Sound Level. Similar to CNEL but with no evening weighting.
Leq	Equivalent or energy-averaged sound level.
L_{max}	The highest root-mean-square (RMS) sound level measured over a given period of time.
Loudness	A subjective term for the sensation of the magnitude of sound.
Masking	The amount (or the process) by which the threshold of audibility is for one sound is raised by the presence of another (masking) sound.
Noise	Unwanted sound.
Peak Noise	The level corresponding to the highest (not RMS) sound pressure measured over a given period of time. This term is often confused with the Maximum level, which is the highest RMS level.
RT₆₀	The time it takes reverberant sound to decay by 60 dB once the source has been removed.
Sabin	The unit of sound absorption. One square foot of material absorbing 100% of incident sound has an absorption of 1 sabin.
SEL	A rating, in decibels, of a discrete event, such as an aircraft flyover or train passby, that compresses the total sound energy of the event into a 1-s time period.
Threshold of Hearing	The lowest sound that can be perceived by the human auditory system, generally considered to be 0 dB for persons with perfect hearing.
Threshold of Pain	Approximately 120 dB above the threshold of hearing.



Appendix B

Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels of Common Noise Sources

Decibel Scale (dBA)*



Appendix C

Marvair

155 Seedling Drive
Cordele, Georgia 31015
229-273-0753

Sound Pressure Level for the Industrial Product Air Conditioners (dBA)						
Distance From Unit (Feet)	Model Number					
	ECUA06ACA	ECUA08ACA	ECUA012ACA	ECUA018ACA		
5			51.5	62		
10			50.7	58		
20			47.8	55		
30			46.5	51		
40			45.6			
50			45.6			
60						
70						
80						

Notes: (1) Date: July 1, 2019
(2) Background Sound Pressure Level: 41 dBA
(3) Sound Level Meter 1 Meter Above Ground Directly in Line with Outdoor Coil
(4) All units - 410A Refrigerant

Appendix D



GENERAC[®] INDUSTRIAL
POWER

SD030

dimensions, weights and sound levels



30 kW Diesel

5 of 5





OPEN SET

RUN TIME HOURS	USABLE CAPACITY (GAL)	L	W	H	WT	dBa*
NO TANK	-	76	38	46	2060	82
20	54	76	38	59	2540	
48	132	76	38	71	2770	
77	211	76	38	83	2979	
109	300	93	38	87	3042	





STANDARD ENCLOSURE

RUN TIME HOURS	USABLE CAPACITY (GAL)	L	W	H	WT	dBa*
NO TANK	-	95	38	50	2362	77
20	54	95	38	63	2842	
48	132	95	38	75	3072	
77	211	95	38	87	3281	
109	300	95	38	91	3344	



LEVEL 1 ACOUSTIC ENCLOSURE

RUN TIME HOURS	USABLE CAPACITY (GAL)	L	W	H	WT	dBa*
NO TANK	-	113	38	50	2515	70
20	54	113	38	63	2995	
48	132	113	38	75	3225	
77	211	113	38	87	3434	
109	300	113	38	91	3497	



LEVEL 2 ACOUSTIC ENCLOSURE

RUN TIME HOURS	USABLE CAPACITY (GAL)	L	W	H	WT	dBa*
NO TANK	-	95	38	62	2520	68
20	54	95	38	75	3000	
48	132	95	38	87	3230	
77	211	95	38	99	3439	
109	300	95	38	103	3502	

*All measurements are approximate and for estimation purposes only. Weights are without fuel in tank. Sound levels measured at 23ft (7m) and does not account for ambient site conditions.

Tank Options

- | | |
|---|------|
| ☐ MDEQ | OPT |
| ☐ Florida DERM/DEP | OPT |
| ☐ Chicago Fire Code | OPT |
| ☐ IFC Certification | CALL |
| ☐ ULC | CALL |

Other Custom Options Available from your
Generac Industrial Power Dealer

YOUR FACTORY RECOGNIZED GENERAC INDUSTRIAL DEALER

Specification characteristics may change without notice. Dimensions and weights are for preliminary purposes only. Please consult a Generac Power Systems Industrial Dealer for detailed installation drawings.

Generac Power Systems, Inc. • S45 W29290 HWY. 59, Waukesha, WI 53189 • generac.com

©2012 Generac Power Systems, Inc. All rights reserved. All specifications are subject to change without notice. Bulletin 0195010SBY-B / Printed in U.S.A. 02/15/12

VARIANCE SUPPORT STATEMENT AT&T MOBILITY

Site Name: CVL01788 Fargo Ave & 12th Ave
Location: 11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230
APN: 008-360-032

Introduction

As part of its application for a Conditional Use Permit for a freestanding wireless telecommunications facility, AT&T is requesting the City waive certain zoning code requirements. AT&T is specifically requesting the City waive the requirement for replacing an existing fence along the southern parcel boundary between the subject property and a City owned ponding basin with a seven foot tall masonry wall, the requirement for a five foot wide landscaped buffer area surrounding the facility, and the requirement for a landscaping buffer along the northern property boundary on Fargo Avenue, on the grounds that the unique nature of the property make these specific requirements unduly burdensome while offering no tangible benefits to the City or neighboring property owners.

Significantly, this is the only viable parcel in the area for a wireless telecommunications facility. The surrounding area is almost entirely residential districts where wireless telecommunications facilities are barred by the municipal code. The only other potentially viable locations are three City-owned Public Facilities zoned parcel that the City has informed AT&T are not available for lease. (They are, respectively, two ponding basins and a utility yard containing a water tank and other infrastructure.)



Uniqueness of the Subject Parcel

The subject property is unique in the area is a large, narrow Service Commercial zoned parcel bordering train tracks to the east, a City owned ponding basin to the south, residential properties to the east, and the public right of way to the north. There are no other Commercial Service Parcels in the area – the nearest is 0.8 miles to the south, along West Grangeville Boulevard.

Furthermore, the subject parcel has existed in its current form, including its current buildings, for decades, significantly predating the property's annexation by Hanford. The result is that the layout of the existing buildings makes some of the landscaping requirements impossible without demolishing existing structures or compromising the entry and use of the property.

Project Support Statement – AT&T ‘CVL01788 Fargo Ave & 12th Ave’
 11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230 (APN: 008-360-032)

Detail from Hanford Zoning Map. Service Commercial Parcels in Red



Landscaping Requirements

The Site Plan Review Committee requested two separate landscaping measures be implemented, a landscaping perimeter around the compound and a landscaped strip along the public right of way.

Five Foot Wide Landscaping Perimeter Around Compound


Staff requested a landscaping strip five feet wide be placed around the perimeter of the fenced compound. The stated purpose of this code requirement is to “effectively screen the view of the tower compound from adjacent properties,” but the nature of the existing property ensures that any such landscaping buffer would not serve this purpose, or any purpose all.

To the west the property abuts railway tracks. The nearest residential properties are over 150’ away. They are separated from the tracks (and the proposed facility) by a row of trees and a 15’ masonry wall. As a result, neither the landscaping perimeter nor the compound would be visible to an observer on these properties.



Project Support Statement – AT&T ‘CVL01788 Fargo Ave & 12th Ave’
11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230 (APN: 008-360-032)

To the south, the property borders a City owned ponding basin. The basin is an approximately 160’ wide “V” shaped trough about 15’ to 20’ deep at along the bottom of the “V”. It is not open to the general public and is already separated from the subject property by a 6’ tall chain link fence with vinyl privacy slats and topped with barbed wire. An observer standing in the basin would not benefit from the landscaping perimeter.



Project Support Statement – AT&T ‘CVL01788 Fargo Ave & 12th Ave’
11555 Fargo Ave, Hanford, CA 93230 (APN: 008-360-032)

The most sensitive view and the nearest offsite property owners may be found in the residential development to the west. Even these property owners, however, would not benefit from the landscaping perimeter. There is already a row of seventeen mature trees along the western property boundary, as well as a 6’ tall chain link fence on the edge of the property. On the opposite of the property boundary, meanwhile, is an approximately 15’ tall masonry wall that runs the entire length of the property.



Lastly, the northern boundary of the property, abutting Fargo avenue, is more than 400’ away, and separated from the lease area by existing buildings and fencing along the frontage of the property. The facility has been purposely placed to minimize the views from the public right of way. At a distance of 400’, the visual impact of the eight foot by eight foot walk-in equipment cabinet will be minimal. Given the presence of existing sheds and outbuildings on the property, it will not appear out of place.



Despite the lack of any material benefit, the landscaping perimeter would have a significant cost associated with it and be a considerable burden on the property. Permanent irrigation would need to be installed and maintained, with significant water usage necessary to establish and maintain the required vegetation. It would also require a major increase in the size of the compound. The existing compound, designed with space for future colocators, currently stands at 900 square feet as designed. The five foot wide landscaping perimeter would add 700 square feet of landscaping to the project, increasing the project’s footprint by 78%.


Although the burden (and cost) of irrigating the project location could be somewhat lessened by relocating the facility to be closer to the public right of way, this would on the balance greatly increase the visual impact of the monopole itself, as well as the ground equipment. The water usage would remain unchanged.

Because it is extensive, the burden on the property owner is significant, and the installation of the five foot wide landscaping perimeter would not fulfill the stated goals of the landscaping policy, AT&T respectfully requests this requirement be waived.

Landscaping Strip Along Property Frontage – Fargo Avenue

In addition to the landscaping perimeter around the compound, the City also requested a landscaping strip be permanently maintained in a setback area “not less than 15’ from the lot line adjoining Fargo Avenue.”

This cannot be done without demolishing existing buildings and fencing. The property’s main building is extremely close to the property boundary, and the City is also requesting the installation of City standard sidewalks, curbs, and gutters along the entire frontage of the property, as well as upgrading the existing nonconforming commercial drive approach. Once these public safety improvements are made, there will not be space for a landscaped strip along the Fargo Avenue frontage. In addition, under the 17.20.120 of the zoning code, landscaping is not required where the setback area is covered by “structures, parking, or driveways.”



Masonry Wall

Lastly, the City requested the existing 6’ tall, barbed wired topped chain link fence with vinyl privacy slats be replaced with a 7’ masonry wall because the adjoining property is a public facilities zoned parcel. In this case, as stated above, the neighboring City owned parcel is a 15’ to 20’ deep ponding basin. The existing fencing is adequate to prevent unauthorized access to the property. Beyond that, the ponding basin is not a school or a public park – there are no sensitive views within the ponding basin that a solid masonry wall would serve to protect.

AT&T therefore requests any requirement for a masonry wall be waived. It is AT&T’s understanding that the City has previously waived requirements for masonry walls abutting public facilities zoned parcels that are ponding basins.

Notice of Exemption 2021-86

To: ☐ Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 212
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

☒ County Clerk
County of Kings
Kings County Government Center
Hanford, CA 93230

From: City of Hanford
317 North Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230

Project Title: Site Plan Review No. 2020-03; Conditional Use Permit
2020-01; Variance No. 2021-04

Project Location – 11555 Fargo Avenue (APN 008-360-032).

Project Location – City: Hanford **Project Location – County:** Kings County

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project: Site Plan Review No. 2020-03/Conditional Use Permit No. 2020-01: A request by Complete Wireless Consulting to construct a 99-foot-tall monopole for AT&T with associated antennas and ground and tower equipment in the C-S Service Commercial zone district. **Variance No. 2021-04:** A request to deviate from the standards of the Hanford Municipal Code: a) Section 17.20.130 B., in order to waive the block wall requirement along the rear property line, abutting a PF zone; b) Section 17.68.120, in order to waive the landscape buffer requirement outside the perimeter of the lease area.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: City of Hanford, Planning Division

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Complete Wireless Consulting

Exempt Status: **(check one)**

- ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 152698);
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269 (a));
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
☒ Categorical Exemption: State type and section number: 15303 New Construction and Conversion of Small Structures
☐ Statutory Exemption. State code number:

Reasons why project is exempt:

The City of Hanford determined the project to be exempt from environmental review pursuant to 15303 New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, the project is categorically exempt from future environmental review. None of the exceptions under CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 apply to this project. The proposed project will not result in cumulative impacts of successive projects of the same type in the same place, is not located within or near a scenic highway, road, or corridor, is not located on a hazardous waste site, and does not involve any change to a historical resource.

Lead Agency Contact Person: Gabrielle Myers Area Code/ Telephone: (559) 585-2578

Signature: _____ Date: October 12, 2021 Title: Senior Planner

☒ Signed by Lead Agency Date received for filing at OPR: _____
☐ Signed by Applicant

Attachment: Attachment 9 - Notice of Exemption (WCF AT&T CUP 2020-01, VAR 2021-04)



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 10/12/2021	AGENDA SECTION: 4
---------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Annexation No. 160, Part 7: A proposal to annex approximately 20.50+/- acres into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction. Prezone No. 2021-07: A proposal to prezone Annexation 160 Area 7 as R-L-12 Low-Density Residential, 12,000 sq. ft. minimum, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area – Low-Density Residential. The project is generally located along Kings Road, north of Grangeville Boulevard, between 11th and 12th Avenues (APN 008-510-001 through-018, and 008-520-001 through -018).

See staff report, attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Planning Commission Staff Report - Annexation 160 Island 7
 Resolution No. 2021-15 (PRZ 2021-07)
 Resolution No. 2021-20
 Attachment 1 - Annexation 160, Part 7
 Attachment 2 - Municipal Service Review
 Attachment 3 - FAQ Island #7
 Attachment 4 - NOE Island 7

**CITY OF HANFORD PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT
Tuesday, October 12, 2021**

PROJECT: **Annexation No. 160, Part 7:** A proposal to annex approximately 20.50+/- acres into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction.

Prezone No. 2021-07: A proposal to prezone Annexation 160 Area 7 as R-L-12 Low-Density Residential, 12,000 sq. ft. minimum, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area – Low-Density Residential.

CEQA Determination: The project has been determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Categorical Exemption Class 19 (Annexation of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current rezoning) per CEQA Guidelines Section 15319(a), and because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3). A Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project in accordance with CEQA

LOCATION: The project is generally located along Kings Road, north of Grangeville Boulevard, between 11th and 12th Avenues (APN 008-510-001 through -018, and 008-520-001 through -018).

PLANNER: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Recommend adoption of the Notice of Exemption, in accordance with CEQA.
2. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-15, recommending approval of Prezone No. 2021-07 to the City Council.
3. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-20, finding the proposed Annexation No. 160, Part 7 consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17 and forward Annexation No. 160, Part 7 to the City Council, with a recommendation that an application be made to the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move to:


1. Recommend adoption of the Notice of Exemption, in accordance with CEQA.
2. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-15, recommending approval of Prezone No. 2021-07 to the City Council.

3. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-20, finding the proposed Annexation No. 160, Part 7 consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17 and forward Annexation No. 160, Part 7 to the City Council, with a recommendation that an application be made to the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

There are currently eight separate county islands comprised of a combined total of 372.10 acres. On September 14, 2021, the Planning Commission acted to find Annexation 160 Parts 1-6 and 8 consistent with the General Plan, Policies L15, 16, and 17, and recommended that an application be made to the Local Area Formation Commission (LAFCo) of Kings County for the annexation of the islands.


Figure 1: County Islands (all 8)



Annexation No. 160, Part 7

Annexation No. 160, Part 7 is a proposal to annex approximately 20.50+/- acres into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction.

Figure 2: Propose Annexation Area #7 Windsor Estates, 20.50+/- acres




A map of the proposed Annexation 160 area, Part 7 is attached – **Attachment 1**.

Prezone No. 2021-07

Prezone No. 2021-07 is a request to prezone Island #7, as R-L-12 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan land use designation for the island, Low Density Residential.

Figure 3: Proposed Prezone Area #7 Windsor Estates
General Plan Designation – Low Density Residential
Proposed Prezoning to Low Density Residential, 12,000 sq. ft. min. (R-L-12)



Project Location #7 Windsor Estates

County Island #7 includes 36 parcels located along Kings Road, north of Grangeville Boulevard, between 11th and 12th Avenues. The assessor's parcel numbers (APNs) are 008-510-001 through-018, and 008-520-001 through -018.

Existing Land Use #7 Windsor Estates

The existing land uses is urban residential within the County boundary. All 36 parcels are within City Urban Development and the Sphere of Influence. The City considers this area as Low Density Residential per City of Hanford 2035 General Plan. There is a total of 36 lots with 36 residential structures, and average parcel sizes range from approximately 0.20 to 0.72 acres.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

General Plan Land Use Designations

The General Plan designates Island #7 as Low-Density Residential. The following policies apply to the Low-Density Residential designation.

3.4.1 Low Density Residential

The expected density range for Low Density Residential is 2 to 10 units per gross acre with an expected average of 4 units per gross acre.

Policy L31 Purpose of the Low Density Residential Land Use Designation

Establish the Low Density Residential land use designation to provide mainly single-family development on lot sizes typically found in urban settings.

Policy L32 Typical Uses in the Low Density Residential Land Use Designation

Define the uses allowed in the Low Density Residential land use designation to include residential uses in a variety of single-family lot types. Duplexes, second dwelling units, and home occupations can also be allowed when made compatible with the residential nature of the neighborhood.

Policy L33 Size of Lots in the Low Density Residential Land Use Designation

While it is recognized that existing lot sizes of 10,000 to 40,000 square feet are included in this designation, new individual lot sizes shall range from 5,000 to 10,000 square feet in size. Under Planned Unit Development provisions, smaller lot sizes at higher densities may be permitted when clustered around shared open space amenities or through density bonus policies.

Annexation Policies

The General Plan also has policies regarding annexation of land into the city limits. The Planning Commission is charged with reviewing proposed annexations and making a finding that the proposed annexation is consistent with the policies of the General Plan, and then forward that finding to the City Council. The Planning Commission will need to find that Annexation 160, Part 7 is consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17.

Policy L15 Initiation of Annexations

Consider initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford only when the following criteria are met:

1. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.
2. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed or will be adequate at the time that development occurs.
3. Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs.
4. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas.

Policy L16 County Islands

Initiate annexation of County islands when it is found that urban services are needed and can be provided or made available in the near future.

Policy L17 Plan for Services

Prepare and make publicly available a written Plan for Services that describes how urban services will be provided prior to initiating an annexation.

State Law

To facilitate the annexation of county islands to contiguous cities, the state legislature has adopted specific provisions in the Government Code that require LAFCo annexation approval and waiver of protest proceedings if LAFCo makes certain findings and determinations. Those determinations are found in Government Codes 56375(a)(4) and 56375.3.

Government Code Section 56375

(a)(4) A commission shall not disapprove an annexation to a city, initiated by resolution, of contiguous territory that the commission finds any of the following:

(A) Surrounded or substantially surrounded by the city to which the annexation is proposed or by that city and a county boundary or the Pacific Ocean if the territory to be annexed is substantially developed or developing, is not prime agricultural land as defined in Section 56064, is designated for urban growth by the general plan of the annexing city and is not within the sphere of influence of another city.

(B) Located within an urban service area that has been delineated and adopted by a commission, which is not prime agricultural land, as defined by Section 56064, and is designated for urban growth by the general plan of the annexing city.

(C) An annexation or reorganization of unincorporated islands meeting the requirements of Section 56375.3.

Government Code Section 56375.3

(a) In addition to those powers enumerated in Section 56375, a commission shall approve, after notice and hearing, the change of organization or reorganization of a city, and waive protest proceedings pursuant to Part 4 (commencing with Section 57000) entirely, if all of the following are true:

(1) The change of organization or reorganization is initiated on or after January 1, 2000.

(2) The change of organization or reorganization is proposed by resolution adopted by the affected city.

(3) The commission finds that the territory contained in the change of organization or reorganization proposal meets all the requirements set forth in subdivision (b).

(b) Subdivision (a) applies to territory that meets all the following requirements:

- (1) It does not exceed 150 acres in area, and that area constitutes the entire island.
- (2) The territory constitutes an entire unincorporated island located within the limits of a city or constitutes a reorganization containing several individual unincorporated islands.
- (3) It is surrounded in either of the following ways:
 - (A) Surrounded, or substantially surrounded, by the city to which annexation is proposed or by the city and a county boundary or the Pacific Ocean.
 - (B) Surrounded by the city to which annexation is proposed and adjacent cities.
- (4) It is substantially developed or developing. The finding required by this paragraph shall be based upon one or more factors, including, but not limited to, any of the following factors:
 - (A) The availability of public utility services.
 - (B) The presence of public improvements.
 - (C) The presence of physical improvements upon the parcel or parcels within the area.
- (5) It is not prime agricultural land, as defined by Section 56064.
- (6) It will benefit from the change of organization or reorganization or is receiving benefits from the annexing city.
- (7) This subdivision does not apply to any unincorporated island within a city that is a gated community where services are currently provided by a community services district.

While the final determination will be made by LAFCo, City staff believes that the proposed island annexation meets all the requirements in these two State codes. This means that, if initiated by the City Council, there is a high likelihood that Kings LAFCo will approve the annexations.

PROJECT EVALUATION

Annexation No. 160, Part 7 – Staff's Recommendation

The project is a request to annex the county island into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction. The premise and guidance for the proposal is given by State law, which finds that county islands should generally be annexed into a city so they may receive more efficient delivery of services, as a city is usually more equipped to provide urban level services than a county or other entity.

Annexation of land into Hanford allows previously undeveloped land to become available for development and allows the City of Hanford to provide the territory that is annexed with its full range of City services. However, in this case, the land within the county island is already developed and receives some of the City's services.

The General Plan also has policies regarding annexation of land into the city limits. The Planning Commission is charged with reviewing proposed annexations and making a finding that the proposed annexation is consistent with the policies of the General Plan, and then forward that

finding to the City Council. The Planning Commission will need to find that Annexation 160, Parts 7 is consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17.

Policy L15 Initiation of Annexations

Consider initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford only when the following criteria are met:

1. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.

Analysis: The island is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.

2. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed or will be adequate at the time that development occurs.

Analysis: A Municipal Services Review was conducted for the Sphere of Influence Expansion and 8-Island Annexations – attached as **Attachment 2**. Table 1 shows which services are currently being provided by the City or the County.

Table 1
Current Island Annexation Service Providers

Municipal Service Type	Island Annexation #							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Law Enforcement	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Fire / Emergency Service	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Water Supply	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Water Distribution	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Wastewater Collection	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Wastewater Disposal	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Solid Waste Collection*	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Flood Control/Drainage	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Street Maintenance	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Street Lighting	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Parks and Recreation	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County

Source: 2017 Water System Master Plan, 2017 Sewer System Master Plan, 2017 Storm Drain Master Plan City of Hanford, and 2035 City of Hanford General Plan

* Solid waste collection in the County islands is currently optional.

Water

The City currently supplies and distributes water to the county island proposed to be annexed.

Sewer

The City currently provides wastewater collection and disposal service to the county island proposed to be annexed.

Fire and Police

The County is currently responsible for police, emergency, and fire protection of the eight County islands proposed to be annexed. The population of these islands is 1,293.

The Police Department's current staffing ratio is 1.09 officers per 1,000 residents. The General Plan Background Report suggests a ratio of 1.1 to 1.2 is appropriate for similar cities of the size of Hanford. Annexation of the County islands will result in an increase in population of 1,293 persons who will need to be served by the City's Police Department. This would increase the total population to 58,203. The ratio will then be 1.06 officers per 1,000 residents. The Police Department would need to hire 3 new officers to reach a ratio of 1.1 officers per 1,000 residents.

According to the Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Hanford and County of Kings established in 2019, The County Sheriff's Office will provide police services to the County islands for a two-year period beginning on the date of annexation of the County islands, after which time, the City will be responsible for providing such services in perpetuity.

The City's current ISO rating is 2 (ISO rating is on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being best). Due to the City's ability to provide such services to its current population, there is no evidence indicating that the addition of 1,293 residents would be too great for the City to adequately serve. According to the Memorandum of Understanding, signed between the City of Hanford and the County of Kings in 2019, the mutual aid agreement between the fire services executed by the City and the County will not be amended. The City continues to be able to use the County's fire services in times of need, therefore the overall fire service capacity will not be affected.

School

There would be no change to school districts because of the island annexation. Since no new development is proposed, the annexation will not affect school enrollment.

Utilities/Public Services

Natural gas, electricity, and communications public services would not change because of annexation of the county islands.

3. Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs.

Analysis: The proposed annexation of the county island is not to accommodate any specific proposed development project. Instead, the purpose of the proposed annexation is to further good local government and make available the full range of City services to both developed and undeveloped county island areas. Therefore, this requirement is not relevant to the proposal.

4. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas.

Analysis: The islands is completely surrounded by developed areas within the city limits of Hanford.

Policy L16 County Islands

Initiate annexation of County islands when it is found that urban services are needed and can be provided or made available in the near future.

Analysis: As shown in Table 1, urban service provision is shared between the City and County. The City has the capacity to provide all of the services currently being provided by the County. Because of their proximity inside the city limits, the provision of service within the county islands can be more efficiently provided by the City.

Policy L17 Plan for Services

Prepare and make publicly available a written Plan for Services that describes how urban services will be provided prior to initiating an annexation.

Analysis: The Plan for Services was described in the letter to property owners dated June 28, 2021 – attached as **Attachment 3**. *Note: the pre-zoning has since been changed from R-L-8 Low-Density Residential to R-I-12 Low-Density Residential.* The letter describes the City's intention to provide services to the eight county islands, when the property has the choice to add City services, and when additional City services will be required.

Prezone No. 2021-07

Prezone No. 2021-07 would be consistent with the land use designations shown on the 2035 General Plan Land Use Map. This will ensure that zoning after annexation is consistent with the General Plan. The Low-Density Residential land use designation found in Annexation Area #7, can be consistent with the R-L-12, R-L-8, and R-L-5 zones. In this case, the specific prezoning is recommended based on the size of the existing parcels in the particular annexation area and the adjacent surrounding zoning.

In accordance with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.86.030, before a zone change may be approved, all of the following findings shall be made by the reviewing authority:

1. That the amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and the Municipal Code.

Analysis: Prezone No. 2021-07 is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan, specifically consistent with Land Use Policies L15, L16, and L17. There is not a plan for development at this time. Most of the parcels proposed for annexation are already developed at the densities consistent with the proposed prezoning.

2. That the amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community.

ANALYSIS: There is no evidence that annexation of the county islands into the city limits would negatively affect health, safety, or welfare. On the contrary, the coordination of services between the City and County will be improved by reducing inefficiencies through annexation.

3. That the amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City.

Analysis: The proposed rezoning is consistent with the land use designations established in the General Plan.

4. That the anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.

Analysis: Most of the sites are already developed, and those uses appear to be consistent with the proposed rezoning.

Favorable Factors for Annexation

Favorable and unfavorable factors for annexation have been adopted by LAFCO. The existence of favorable or unfavorable factors should not decide approval or denial; however, a substantial number of favorable or unfavorable factors may determine approval or denial of the proposal.

1. The proposed area is close to urban development and municipal-type services and would enhance its potential for full development.

Analysis: The island is fully developed and completely surrounded by urban development. City services are available to the island area.

2. The proposed annexation conforms to the adopted General Plan.

Analysis: As discussed above, there is evidence to support a finding that annexation of the eight islands is consistent with Policies L15, L16, and L17 of the General Plan. The island area will be rezoned so that zoning after annexation is consistent with the 2035 General Plan Land Use Designation Map.

3. The proposed area is consistent with the sphere of influence.

Analysis: On April 20, 2021, the City of Hanford City Council initiated a Sphere of Influence Expansion. The island is within the existing Sphere of Influence and the proposed new Sphere of Influence.

4. The proposed annexation comes with 100% consent of all landowners.

Analysis: The county island annexations do not come with 100% consent of the landowners. However, as previously stated, State law encourages the annexation of county islands, and waives the protest hearings at LAFCo that determine landowner consent for island annexations like those being proposed here. The City staff held an open-forum community meeting on August 4, 2021, at the Civic Auditorium to address any questions posed by landowners.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

Based on the information and analysis above, City staff recommends that the following findings be made:

1. All county island is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.
2. Most of the annexation area already receives some services from the City of Hanford.
3. Inclusion of the island in the city limits will not negatively affect the Police or Fire Department's ability to provide their services to the community. There is a memorandum of understanding between the City of Hanford and the County of Kings that allows for a two-year transition from County Sheriff to City Police service in the annexation areas.
4. There would be no change to school districts because of the island annexation.
5. The proposed annexation of the county island is not to accommodate any specific proposed development project. The purpose of the proposed annexation is to further good local government and make available the full range of City services to both developed and undeveloped county island areas.
6. The island is completely surrounded by developed areas within the city limits of Hanford.
7. Because of the proximity inside the city limits, the provision of service within the county island can be more efficiently provided by the City.
8. The rezoning is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and the Municipal Code.
9. The rezoning would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community.
10. The rezoning would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City. Annexation would not change most land uses since the parcels are already developed.
11. The anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.
12. The county island is developed and completely surrounded by urban development. City services are available to the island area.
13. State law encourages the annexation of county islands to further the goal of effective and efficient provision of local government services.
14. Based on the above findings, Annexation No. 160, Parts 7 is consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

In accordance with CEQA Guidelines, Annexation No. 160, Part 7 and Prezone No. 2021-07 were determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Categorical Exemption Class 19 (Annexation of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current rezoning) per CEQA Guidelines Section 15319(a), and because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3). A Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project in accordance with CEQA – **Attachment 4.**

Noticing of the project was published in the newspaper on September 17, 2021. Notices were mailed to property owners within the annexation territory and to property owners within 500 feet of the annexation territory on September 16, 2021.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Recommend adoption of the Notice of Exemption, in accordance with CEQA.
2. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-15, recommending approval of Prezone No. 2021-07 to the City Council.
3. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-20, finding the proposed Annexation No. 160, Part 7 consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17 and forward Annexation No. 160, Part 7 to the City Council, with a recommendation that an application be made to the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County.

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-15

**A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD
RECOMMENDING APPROVAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF PREZONE NO. 2021-07, A
REQUEST TO PREZONE ANNEXATION 160 PART 7 AS R-L-12, LOW-DENSITY
RESIDENTIAL, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION FOR THE
PROJECT SITE, LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED ALONG
KINGS ROAD, NORTH OF GRANGEVILLE BOULEVARD, BETWEEN 11TH AND 12TH
AVENUES (APN 008-510-001 THROUGH -018, AND 008-520-001 THROUGH -018)**

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021, on motion of Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford initiated a proposal to annex eight separate county islands with a combined total of 372.1 acres into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction along with the rezoning of eight county islands consistent with the 2035 Hanford General Plan Land Use Map, including Prezone No. 2021-07, a request to prezone Annexation 160 Part 7 as R-L-12 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan Designation for the project site, Low-Density Residential, as shown in **Exhibit A**; and

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford Planning Commission considered the rezoning, in accordance with Section 17.86.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code, at its regularly scheduled meeting on October 12, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford Planning Commission considered the evidence presented in the staff report and testimony presented during the public hearing; and,

WHEREAS, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines, Annexation No. 160, Part 7 and Prezone No. 2021-07 were determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Categorical Exemption Class 19 (Annexation of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current rezoning) per CEQA Guidelines Section 15319(a), and because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3), and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Hanford Planning Commission hereby recommends that the City Council adopt a Notice of Exemption finding the project to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Categorical Exemption Class 19 (Annexation of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current rezoning) and because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Hanford Planning Commission, in accordance with Section 17.86.030 of the Hanford Municipal code, recommends approval to the City Council of Prezone No. 2021-07, based upon the following findings and on the evidence presented:

1. That the amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and the Municipal Code.

Analysis: Prezone No. 2021-07 is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan, specifically consistent with Land Use Policies L15, L16, and L17. There is not a plan for development at this time. Most of the parcels proposed for annexation are already developed at the densities consistent with the proposed rezoning.

2. That the amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community.

ANALYSIS: There is no evidence that annexation of the county islands into the city limits would negatively affect health, safety, or welfare. On the contrary, the coordination of services between the City and County will be improved by reducing inefficiencies through annexation.

3. That the amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City.

Analysis: The proposed rezoning is consistent with the land use designations established in the General Plan.

4. That the anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.

Analysis: Most of the sites are already developed, and those uses appear to be consistent with the proposed rezoning.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)


I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers

Exhibit A: Prezone No. 2021-07

General Plan Designation – Low Density Residential

Proposed Prezoning to Low Density Residential, 12,000 sq. ft. min. (R-L-12)



Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-15 (PRZ 2021-07) (Annexation 160 - Island 7)

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-20

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD FINDING ANNEXATION 160, PART 7 CONSISTENT WITH THE GENERAL PLAN POLICIES L15, L16, AND L17 AND RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HANFORD MAKE AN APPLICATION TO THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF KINGS COUNTY (LAFCO) FOR ANNEXATION 160, PART 7. THE PROJECT IS GENERALLY LOCATED ALONG KINGS ROAD, NORTH OF GRANGEVILLE BOULEVARD, BETWEEN 11TH AND 12TH AVENUES (APN 008-510-001 THROUGH-018, AND 008-520-001 THROUGH -018).

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on October 12, 2021, on motion of Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford initiated a proposal to annex the county island with a total of 20.5 acres into the City of Hanford from the Kings County jurisdiction, as shown in **Exhibit A**; and

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford Planning Commission considered the evidence presented in the staff report and testimony presented during the public hearing; and,

WHEREAS, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines, Annexation No. 160, Part 7 was determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Categorical Exemption Class 19 (Annexation of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current rezoning) per CEQA Guidelines Section 15319(a), and because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3), and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared for the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Hanford Planning Commission hereby recommends that the City Council adopt a Notice of Exemption finding the project to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Categorical Exemption Class 19 (Annexation of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current rezoning) and because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment per CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Hanford Planning Commission finds Annexation No. 160, Part 7 consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17 and recommends that the City Council file an application with the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) of Kings County for Annexation 160, Part 7, based on the following:

1. All county island is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.
2. Most of the annexation area already receives some services from the City of Hanford.
3. Inclusion of the island in the city limits will not negatively affect the Police or Fire Department's ability to provide their services to the community. There is a memorandum of understanding between the City of Hanford and the County of Kings that allows for a two-year transition from County Sheriff to City Police service in the annexation areas.

4. There would be no change to school districts because of the island annexation.
5. The proposed annexation of the county island is not to accommodate any specific proposed development project. The purpose of the proposed annexation is to further good local government and make available the full range of City services to both developed and undeveloped county island areas.
6. The island is completely surrounded by developed areas within the city limits of Hanford.
7. Because of the proximity inside the city limits, the provision of service within the county island can be more efficiently provided by the City.
8. The anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.
9. The county island is developed and completely surrounded by urban development. City services are available to the island area.
10. State law encourages the annexation of county islands to further the goal of effective and efficient provision of local government services.
11. Based on the above findings, Annexation No. 160, Part 7 is consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15, L16, and L17.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:


ABSENT:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of October 2021.

Gabrielle Myers

Exhibit A: Island #7



LEGEND

- EXISTING CITY LIMITS
- BOUNDARY OF PROPERTIES TO BE ANNEXED
- COURSE SEGMENT NUMBER
- ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER

LEGAL

A PORTION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 21 EAST, MOUNT DIABLO BASE & MERIDIAN IN THE COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. CONTAINING 20.54 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

RECORDED AS DOCUMENT NO. _____
FEE _____
DOCUMENT NO. _____
RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF KINGS COUNTY.
AT _____ MINUTES PAST _____ O'CLOCK ____ M., _____, 20____ IN VOLUME _____ OF LICENSED SURVEYORS' PLATS, PAGE _____, KINGS COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
KRISTINE LEE
COUNTY RECORDER
BY: _____ DEPUTY

CIVIL ENGINEERS
ZUMWALT
HANSEN &
LAND SURVEYORS


609 N. IRWIN ST.

HANFORD, CA. 93230

PH. (559) 582-1056

FILE NO. 0783511


ONE SHEET ONLY



DRAFT

**LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF
KINGS COUNTY**

**HANFORD AREA
MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW AND
SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE**



MARCH 2021



Attachment: Attachment 2 - Municipal Service Review (Annexation 160 - Island 7)

DRAFT

HANFORD AREA MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE

Prepared for:

Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County
1400 West Lacy Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230
Contact Person: Greg Gatzka, Executive Officer
Phone: (559) 675-7821

Consultant:



901 East Main Street
Visalia, CA 93292
Contact: Steve Brandt, Project Manager
Phone: (559) 733-0440

March 2021

Cover Photo Credit: Main Street Hanford's Website

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF KINGS COUNTY

Commissioners

Joe Neves, Chair, Kings County

Doug Verboon, Vice Chair, Kings County

Sid Palmerin, City of Corcoran

Francisco Ramirez, City of Hanford

Dan Chin, Public Member at Large

Richard Valle, County Alternate

vacant -Public Member Alternative

Alvaro Preciado - City Alternate

Staff

Greg Gatzka, Executive Officer

Chuck Kinney, Assistant Executive Officer

Legal Counsel

Diane Freeman, LAFCo Counsel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 - Municipal Service Review Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 - MSR Preparation, Review and Adoption Process	1-5
1.3 - Required Topic Areas of Analysis.....	1-5
1.4 - LAFCo Powers	1-7
1.5 - Key Considerations and Goals.....	1-7
1.6 - Services Provided.....	1-8
SECTION 2 - Growth and Population Projections	2-11
2.1 - Introduction	2-11
2.2 - History of Hanford	2-11
2.3 - Planning and Growth Projections.....	2-12
2.3.1 - County Islands.....	2-14
2.4 - Anticipated Service Needs.....	2-17
2.5 - Determinations	2-18
SECTION 3 - Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities.....	3-1
3.1 - Overview	3-1
3.2 - Determinations	3-3
SECTION 4 - City of Hanford Service Review.....	4-1
4.1 - Present and Planned Capacity of Public Facilities and Adequacy of Public Services, Including Infrastructure Needs or Deficiencies	4-1
4.1.1 - Capital Improvement Plan (Fiscal Years 2020 to 2024)	4-1
4.1.2 - Water.....	4-3
4.1.3 - Wastewater.....	4-10
4.1.4 - Fire Protection.....	4-17
4.1.5 - Law Enforcement	4-20
4.1.6 - Parks and Community Services	4-24
4.1.7 - Road Maintenance	4-30
4.1.8 - Flood Control/Drainage	4-34
4.1.9 - Public Transportation	4-41
4.1.10 - Solid Waste Disposal	4-44
4.1.11 - Plans for Future Services.....	4-47
4.2 - Financial Ability to Provide Services	4-48
4.2.1 - City Budget.....	4-48
4.2.2 - Rates and Fees.....	4-49
4.2.3 - Proposition 218	4-49
4.2.4 - Opportunities for Rate/Fee Restructuring.....	4-50
4.3 - Status of, and Opportunities for, Cost Avoidance and Shared Facilities.....	4-51

4.3.1 - Determinations	4-51
4.4 - Accountability for Community Service Needs, including Governmental Structure and Operation Efficiencies	4-53
4.4.1 - Organizational Structure	4-53
4.4.2 - Practices and Performance.....	4-56
4.4.3 - Determinations	4-57
SECTION 5 - Sphere of Influence Review.....	5-1
5.1 - Sphere of Influence Overview	5-1
5.1.1 - Present and Planned Land Uses	5-1
5.1.2 - Present and Probable Need for Public Facilities and Services	5-7
5.1.3 - Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities	5-7
5.1.4 - Present Capacity of Public Facilities and Adequacy of Public Services.....	5-7
5.1.5 - Existence of Any Social or Economic Communities of Interest.....	5-7
5.1.6 - Sphere of Influence Recommendations.....	5-8
SECTION 6 - Bibliography	6-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Regional Location	1-2
Figure 1-2 2035 Growth Boundary and Island Annexations	1-3
Figure 2-1 General Plan Map	2-13
Figure 2-2 Zoning Map.....	2-16
Figure 3-1 Median Household Income (2018)	3-2
Figure 3-2 Disadvantaged Unincorporated Community Areas.....	3-4
Figure 4-1 Hanford Existing Water System	4-4
Figure 4-2 Hanford Proposed Buildout of Water System.....	4-5
Figure 4-3 Hanford Existing Wastewater System	4-12
Figure 4-4 Hanford Proposed Wastewater System	4-13
Figure 4-5 Hanford Existing Storm Drainage System.....	4-35
Figure 4-6 Hanford Proposed Storm Drainage System.....	4-36
Figure 5-1 Proposed Sphere of Influence City of Hanford	5-2
Figure 5-2 Williamson Act Lands.....	5-4
Figure 5-3 California High-Speed Rail Authority Kings/Tulare Station	5-6

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 Current, Authorized and Latent Powers Matrix	1-9
Table 1-2 Island Annexation Service Providers.....	1-10
Table 2-1 City of Hanford Growth Estimates	2-12
Table 4-1 Capital Improvement Plan Funding Breakdown by Category.....	4-1
Table 4-2 Number of Crimes Known by Hanford Police Department.....	4-21

Table 4-3 Comparison of Crimes per 1,000 Residents (2018).....	4-22
Table 4-4 Parkland within Hanford by Type (City of Hanford, 2020)	4-25

LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 4-1 Water Department Revenues and Expenditures (Maintenance and Operations).....	4-8
Chart 4-2 Sewer Department Revenues and Expenditures	4-15
Chart 4-3 Fire Department Revenues and Expenditures	4-18
Chart 4-4 Police Department Revenues and Expenditures	4-22
Chart 4-5 Parks and Recreation Department Revenues and Expenditures	4-28
Chart 4-6 Street Division Revenues and Expenditures	4-32
Chart 4-7 Storm Drainage Operations Revenues and Expenditures.....	4-39
Chart 4-8 Refuse Operations Revenues and Expenditures.....	4-45

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 - Municipal Service Review Purpose

The Municipal Service Review (MSR) is a comprehensive assessment of the ability of existing local government agencies to effectively and efficiently provide municipal services to residents and users. The form and content of an MSR is specified by requirements in the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (CKH Act) and in the State of California's Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) MSR Guidelines, published in August 2003.


The CKH Act requires all LAFCOs, including the Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (Kings LAFCo), to prepare an MSR for each of its incorporated cities and its special districts. The fundamental role of LAFCo is to implement the CKH Act, which was adopted into State law to encourage the logical, efficient, and most appropriate formation of local municipalities, service areas, and special districts. MSRs are to be completed every five years, and must be completed prior to, or in conjunction with, an update of a city or special district Sphere of Influence (SOI).


This MSR was initiated by Kings LAFCo in the beginning of 2020 and is intended to provide Kings LAFCo with the necessary and relevant information related to the operations and management of the municipal service providers within the City of Hanford's (the City or Hanford) proposed SOI update. The City of Hanford is the County seat of Kings County located in the San Joaquin Valley of California (Figure 1-1).

Kings LAFCo desires to review the local governing landscape of Hanford for service delivery and make recommendations that promote orderly growth and development while preserving surrounding agricultural and open space lands. In addition, the report will review the City of Hanford's request to amend its SOI and determine the feasibility of annexing eight County islands (Figure 1-2).

The City of Hanford last had a Municipal Service Review (MSR) and Sphere of Influence (SOI) Update conducted by Kings LAFCo in 2007. Since that time, the City has adopted a new General Plan. Given that there have been land use policy changes in its new General Plan, the City is requesting that Kings LAFCo adopt a new MSR and amend the SOI consistent with its new General Plan, specifically so that the SOI is coterminous with the City's 2035 Growth Boundary (Figure 1-2).

The City is also looking to annex neighborhood communities located within the unincorporated County areas that are completely surrounded by the City. The premise and guidance given by State law and local rules are that county islands should generally be annexed into a city so they may receive more efficient delivery of services, as a city is usually more equipped to provide urban level services than the county or other entity.





To facilitate the annexation of county islands to contiguous cities, the State legislature has adopted specific provisions in the Government Code that require LAFCo annexation approval and waiver of protest proceedings if LAFCo makes certain findings and determinations. Those determination are as follows:

Government Code Section 56375

(a)(4) A commission shall not disapprove an annexation to a city, initiated by resolution, of contiguous territory that the commission finds any of the following:

(A) Surrounded or substantially surrounded by the city to which the annexation is proposed or by that city and a county boundary or the Pacific Ocean if the territory to be annexed is substantially developed or developing, is not prime agricultural land as defined in Section 56064, is designated for urban growth by the general plan of the annexing city, and is not within the sphere of influence of another city.

(B) Located within an urban service area that has been delineated and adopted by a commission, which is not prime agricultural land, as defined by Section 56064, and is designated for urban growth by the general plan of the annexing city.

(C) An annexation or reorganization of unincorporated islands meeting the requirements of Section 56375.3.

Government Code Section 56375.3

(a) In addition to those powers enumerated in Section 56375, a commission shall approve, after notice and hearing, the change of organization or reorganization of a city, and waive protest proceedings pursuant to Part 4 (commencing with Section 57000) entirely, if all of the following are true:

(1) The change of organization or reorganization is initiated on or after January 1, 2000.

(2) The change of organization or reorganization is proposed by resolution adopted by the affected city.

(3) The commission finds that the territory contained in the change of organization or reorganization proposal meets all the requirements set forth in subdivision (b).

(b) Subdivision (a) applies to territory that meets all the following requirements:

(1) It does not exceed 150 acres in area, and that area constitutes the entire island.

(2) The territory constitutes an entire unincorporated island located within the limits of a city, or constitutes a reorganization containing several individual unincorporated islands.

(3) It is surrounded in either of the following ways:

(A) Surrounded, or substantially surrounded, by the city to which annexation is proposed or by the city and a county boundary or the Pacific Ocean.

(B) Surrounded by the city to which annexation is proposed and adjacent cities.

(4) It is substantially developed or developing. The finding required by this paragraph shall be based upon one or more factors, including, but not limited to, any of the following factors:

(A) The availability of public utility services.

(B) The presence of public improvements.

(C) The presence of physical improvements upon the parcel or parcels within the area.

(5) It is not prime agricultural land, as defined by Section 56064.

(6) It will benefit from the change of organization or reorganization or is receiving benefits from the annexing city.

(7) This subdivision does not apply to any unincorporated island within a city that is a gated community where services are currently provided by a community services district.

1.2 - MSR Preparation, Review and Adoption Process

The process of developing the MSR began with the collection of planning documents, budgetary documents, and other records by QK, a consulting firm hired by the City of Hanford. QK also assisted the City in the preparation of its General Plan Update.

After review by the City, an administrative draft was presented to LAFCo staff for their review. Edits required by LAFCo staff and Counsel were made to LAFCo staff's satisfaction prior to public release of the draft MSR.

A hearing was scheduled by Kings LAFCo on _____, 2021, where comments from the public were heard and adoption of the MSR, including its Determinations and Recommendations, could be considered. After input and comment from the public, the Commission approved the MSR on _____, 2021.

1.3 - Required Topic Areas of Analysis

This MSR contains analysis and conclusions, referred to as Determinations, regarding six topic areas, as set forth in the CKH Act. These areas of analysis focus on the essential

operational and management aspects of the City of Hanford, and together constitute a complete review of Hanford's ability of to meet the service demands of its residents and businesses. The six topic areas used for analysis in this MSR are:

1. Growth and Population Projections
2. Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities
3. Present and Planned Capacity of Public Facilities and Adequacy of Public Services, Including Infrastructure Needs and Deficiencies
4. Financial Ability to Provide Services
5. Status of, and Opportunities for, Shared Facilities
6. Accountability for Community Service Needs, Including Governmental Structure and Operational Efficiencies

An explanation of the specific operational and management aspects considered in each of these topic areas is provided below.

1. Growth and Population Projections

Service efficiency is linked to a service provider's ability to plan for the future needs of a city while also meeting existing service demands. This section reviews projected service demands and needs based upon existing and anticipated growth patterns and population projections. This is found in Section 2 – Growth and Population Projections.

2. The Location and Characteristics of any Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities Within or Contiguous to the Sphere of Influence

Unincorporated disadvantaged communities, as defined by Water Code Section 79505.5, may lack basic infrastructure, such as water, sewer, or fire protection, because they may have been overlooked due to their socioeconomic status. To promote equality and environmental justice in accordance with adopted local policy and Senate Bill 244, adopted in 2011, the proximity of any disadvantaged community to existing service providers is analyzed and discussed in order to determine if the community should be included in the SOI of the City. This is found in Section 3 – Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities.

3. Present and Planned Capacity of Public Facilities and Adequacy of Public Services, Including Infrastructure Needs or Deficiencies

Infrastructure can be evaluated in terms of condition, capacity, availability, quality and relationship to operational, capital improvement, and finance planning. This section assesses the adequacy and quality of the service providers' physical infrastructure and analyzes whether or not sufficient infrastructure and capital are in place (or planned for) to accommodate planned future growth and expansions. This is found in Section 4.

4. Financial Ability to Provide Services

This section analyzes the financial structure and health of the City with respect to the provision of services. Included in this analysis is the consideration of rates, service operations, and the like, as well as other factors affecting the City's financial health and stability, including factors affecting the financing of needed infrastructure improvements and services. Compliance with existing State requirements relative to financial reporting and management is also discussed. This is found in Section 4.

5. Status of, and Opportunities for, Shared Facilities

Practices and opportunities that may help to reduce or eliminate unnecessary costs are examined in this section. Occurrences of facility sharing are listed and assessed for efficiency, and potential sharing opportunities that would serve to better deliver services are discussed. This is found in Section 4.

6. Accountability for Community Service Needs, Including Governmental Structure and Operational Efficiencies

This section addresses the adequacy and appropriateness of the agency's existing boundaries and sphere of influence and evaluates the ability of the City to meet their service demands under their existing government structure. Also included in this section is an evaluation of compliance by the agency with public meeting and records laws. This is found in Section 4.

1.4 - LAFCo Powers

LAFCo has the power to determine the SOI for the City of Hanford. An SOI is a plan for the probable physical boundaries and service area of a local agency. It is represented by a boundary line on a map. The boundary line shows the territory that is expected to eventually be within the agency's boundary, as determined by LAFCo. It is by this method that LAFCo makes policy statements about its intent for the future probable boundaries of a city. If LAFCo chooses to not adopt an SOI for a city or district, meaning that it chooses to adopt a "zero" sphere, then it is making the policy statement that its plan is for that agency to eventually be consolidated into the city or another district. The preparation of an MSR is required prior to the amendment of a city or district's SOI.

1.5 - Key Considerations and Goals

The MSR will use the following goals to evaluate the potential government structure options for the Hanford area:

- 1. Efficient provision of municipal services.** The ultimate goal of the preferred governance structure should be an efficient operating structure and stable fiscal basis required to effectively provide municipal services to the City of Hanford.

2. **Adequate revenue sources.** The ability to provide municipal services at adequate levels hinges upon stable revenue streams linked to the services for which the revenues are being collected.
3. **Proactive approach to governance structure.** Government agency reorganization proposals (e.g., municipal incorporations, major annexations, etc.) are necessarily complex procedures requiring substantial effort on the part of proponents, LAFCo and the affected agencies. These reorganizations are often more complex when contemplated on a reactive basis rather than a proactive basis. An understanding of a long-range approach to reorganization will assist in evaluating specific proposals to determine if they will bring the community closer to the desired result.
4. **Avoidance of intergovernmental conflicts, competition, or issues.** Conflicts between local jurisdictions over control and other impacts across jurisdictions and competition for resources (e.g., fiscal revenue generators) often consume resources and weaken incentives to cooperate on important regional issues like transit service, water quantity and quality, air quality, and habitat conservation.
5. **Local preference.** There is often more than one feasible government structure that can potentially provide local municipal services. The residents and businesses of the community must have the opportunity to participate in choosing the method, especially since a governmental structure change will likely require some sort of election process for it to be implemented. Local preference also may include agreements made between local agencies regarding where and how growth and development may occur within a region. These agreements have been identified within CKH as important for consideration during the MSR and SOI update process. The Commission “shall give great weight to the agreement to the extent that *it is consistent with [LAFCO} commission policies...* (emphasis added)” (Government Code §56425(b)).

1.6 - Services Provided

The City of Hanford is empowered as a general law city, governed by State law and local ordinances, to provide specific municipal services within its boundaries. The City of Hanford has several divisions, covering many municipal services, including Police, Fire, Emergency Response, Water, Wastewater, Flood Control/Drainage, Solid Waste, Street Maintenance, Street Lighting, and Parks and Recreation Maintenance. Some of the aforementioned services are provided to communities outside of the City’s jurisdiction.

There are three communities within a one-mile buffer of the City of Hanford’s Sphere of Influence. Armona Census Designated Place (Armona) is located approximately 0.95 miles west of the City of Hanford’s SOI and contains approximately 3,795 residents. The Armona Community Service District (CSD) provides water, wastewater, solid waste collection, limited flood control services, limited street maintenance, and street lighting services. Armona also receives law enforcement, fire, and emergency services from Kings County (Kings County). Home Garden Census Designated Place (Home Garden) is located approximately 0.35 miles southeast of the City and contains approximately 1,643 residents.

Home Garden CSD only provides water supply and distribution services. Home Garden CSD also receives law enforcement, fire and emergency service, flood control, street maintenance, and street lighting from Kings County. In addition, wastewater collection and disposal services are provided by the City of Hanford (Kings County). According to the Kings County Planning Department, the Census Designated Place of Grangeville (Grangeville) does not have a community service district or a public utilities district to provide municipal services. It is located approximately 0.85 miles west of the City and contains about 586 residents. The majority of services are provided by Kings County and water and wastewater services are provided by private wells and septic systems.

The 2018 American Community Survey estimated approximately 56,910 residents in the City of Hanford. The nearby communities' population is approximately is Home Garden with 1,643 residents, Grangeville with 586 residents, and Armona with 3,795 residents. The total population of these unincorporated residential communities is approximately 5,438 residents (American Community Survey, 2018).

The matrix in Table 1-1 specifies the services that the City provides. The matrix also includes two nearby community service districts that also provide some of the same services.

Table 1-1
Current, Authorized and Latent Powers Matrix

Municipal Service Type	City of Hanford	Armona Community Service District	Home Garden Community Service District
Law Enforcement	City	County	County
Fire and Emergency Service	City	County	County
Water Supply	City	District	District
Water Distribution	City	District	District
Wastewater Collection	City	District	City
Wastewater Disposal	City	District	City
Solid Waste Collection	City	District	District
Flood Control/Drainage	City	District (limited)	County
Street Maintenance	City	District (limited)	County
Street Lighting	City	District	County
Parks and Recreation Maintenance	City	District	N/A

Source: City of Hanford 2035 General Plan, Armona 2035 Community Plan, Home Garden Community Plan

**Table 1-2
Island Annexation Service Providers**

Municipal Service Type	Island Annexation							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Law Enforcement	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Fire and Emergency Service	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Water Supply	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Water Distribution	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Wastewater Collection	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Wastewater Disposal	Private	City	City	City	City	City	City	Private
Solid Waste Collection*	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Flood Control/Drainage	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Street Maintenance	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Street Lighting	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Parks and Recreation Maintenance	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County

Source: 2017 Water System Master Plan, 2017 Sewer System Master Plan, 2017 Storm Drain Master Plan City of Hanford, and 2035 City of Hanford General Plan

* Solid waste collection in the County islands is currently optional.

SECTION 2 - GROWTH AND POPULATION PROJECTIONS

2.1 - Introduction

The purpose of this section is to evaluate service demand based on existing and anticipated growth patterns and population projections. The MSR Guidelines call for LAFCo to determine historic and projected growth and absorption patterns in relationship to a service provider's boundaries and SOI. In addition, LAFCo is tasked with evaluating the impact and compatibility of such growth on and with land use plans, services, local government structures and growth patterns.

2.2 - History of Hanford

The City of Hanford started as a Chinese sheepherder's simple camp along the Southern Pacific Railway line from Goshen to Coalinga. The settlement was named for James Madison Hanford, auditor of the railroad, who also took lively interest in the sale of town lots. The sale of lots began on January 17, 1877. The settlement grew to a town and eventually the trading center of the area.

Through the early years, a series of devastating fires hampered the growth of the town. In 1887, the fire that destroyed most of the downtown spurred talk of incorporation, but the idea of additional taxes prevented any action. Then in June 1891, another fire destroyed the downtown business district once again. An election was held to determine whether the town should incorporate. The vote was 127 to 47, in favor of incorporation. On August 12, 1891, the City of Hanford was born. When Kings County was formed in 1893, Hanford became the County seat.

After the incorporation, Hanford continued to grow into a thriving town. For many years Hanford had the only opera house between the Los Angeles and San Francisco areas. In 1945, the first paid Fire Department was established, and the City Planning Commission was created. In 1950 the City Manager/City Council form of government was established.

Many of the historic buildings in the downtown area came to become underutilized. To combat that, the City Council established a Historic District. As a result of many activities in downtown Hanford, the restoration and rehabilitation program, and the cooperation between private citizens and City officials, in 1985 Hanford won the Helen Putnam Award for Excellence awarded by the League of California Cities. In 1986, American City and County Magazine chose Hanford as one of ten cities in the United States to receive its Award of Merit. Most recently, Rohm and Haas Paint Quality Institute of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania named the City as one of the country's "Prettiest Painted Places in America" (City of Hanford, 2009).

The last Municipal Services Review and Sphere of Influence Update for the City of Hanford was conducted in 2007. Per the Review, the Sphere of Influence was updated. The Sphere of Influence was reduced in three areas and expanded in one area. The current Sphere of Influence is shown in Figure 1-2 above.

2.3 - Planning and Growth Projections

The City of Hanford General Plan was adopted in 2017 by the Hanford City Council to provide land use development decision-making guidance and to provide a planning framework for the development of more detailed implementation plans and measures. A map depicting these planned land use patterns is shown in Figure 2-1.

After reviewing historical growth rates and projected growth trends available in 2014, a Citizens Advisory Committee determined that the General Plan Update would assume Hanford's average annual population growth rate would be 2.1 percent, which would result in an assumed population of 90,000 persons in the year 2035.


Despite the General Plan's projected growth rate of 2.1 percent, the actual annual growth rates from 2010 to 2019 have not been 2.1 percent. This can be seen below in Table 2-1. If the City starts to grow according to the General Plan, at a rate of 2.1 percent, starting in 2019, the estimated population of 2035 will be approximately 80,000.

The population estimates in the General Plan were generated utilizing historic growth rates and projected growth trends. There is currently available information that would allow for these estimates to be updated accordingly. In Table 2-1, population estimates have been updated to account for the more recent population information available.

Based on these updated figures, it is apparent that the infrastructure planning for the City would be able to support population growth beyond the 2035 horizon if current trends remain constant. The infrastructure plans described in the City's Master Plans accounted for a 2.1 percent population increase, per the General Plan. However, anomalies or unforeseen events may occur between now and 2035 that may spike growth in the City. To prepare for this, the population estimates utilized by the City in their Infrastructure Master Plans should be monitored to ensure that they are not being outpaced by the City's population growth.

Table 2-1
City of Hanford Growth Estimates

Forecast Year	Population Estimate	% Annual Growth	Forecast Year	Population Estimate	% Annual Growth
2010 Census	53,967		2019	57,703	1.4%
2011 (ACS)	53,891	-.14%	2020	58,915	2.1%
2012 (ACS)	54,205	.58%	2021	60,152	2.1%
2013 (ACS)	54,461	.47%	2022	61,415	2.1%
2014(ACS)	54,523	.11%	2023	62,705	2.1%
2015 (ACS)	54,790	.49%	2024	64,022	2.1%
2016 (ACS)	54,912	.22%	2025	65,366	2.1%
2017 (ACS)	55,599	1.25%	2026	66,739	2.1%
2018 (ACS)	56,910	2.3%	2027	68,140	2.1%
			2035	80,465	2.1%



Based on the actual data currently available and supplemented using the 2.1 percent annual growth rate, the population in 2035 would be approximately 80,000 residents, which is about 10,000 less than the population forecast within the General Plan. For infrastructure planning purposes, the estimates would be acceptable as the infrastructure would be able to accommodate growth beyond the planning horizon date of 2035.

2.3.1 - COUNTY ISLANDS

As shown in Figure 1-2, there are eight County islands within the bounds of city limits. It is in within the best interest of the City to consider annexation of these island to reduce inefficiencies and deficiencies of services provided. Population estimates were derived for each County island to estimate the demand for service. To calculate the estimated population, an aerial interpretation of residential structures was conducted. Then the amount of residential structures was multiplied by the City of Hanford's estimated person per household (3.04 persons) to produce an estimated population.

County Island #1

This island consists of 12 large residential properties. 11 out of 12 parcels are developed with residential structures. The existing land use for this island is rural residential, with parcels ranging in size from approximately 1.7 to 2.7 acres. The planned land use for these properties is Low Density Residential. The estimated population is 33 people.

County Island #2

The island consists of 48 parcels, one of which has a commercial use and one is vacant. There are 49 residential structures within this island area. The existing land uses are commercial and urban residential. The parcels sizes range from 0.07 to 0.87 acres, with an average size of 0.26 acres. The planned land use for these properties is Medium Density Residential. The estimated population is 149 people.

County Island #3

The island consists of 119 parcels. There are 102 residential structures within the island area. County island #3 is one of the larger annexation areas being proposed at over 100 acres. Parcel size consists of parcels within the range 0.09 to 5.85 acres, with an average of 0.75-acres. The existing land use is primarily urban residential and rural residential. In addition, there are some commercial uses and school facilities. The planned land uses are Low Density Residential, Mixed-Use Corridor, Open Space, and Educational Facilities. The estimated population is 310 people.

County Island #4

This island is one of the three larger islands totaling approximately 90 acres. This island consists of 172 parcels, 122 residential parcels, and 125 residential structures. The approximate average parcel size for this island is 0.46 acres. There are a few large parcels that are several acres large. The largest being 14 acres. The large parcels are primarily

vacant. The existing land use is urban residential with rural residential, commercial, and vacant land. The island is mainly urban with dense development and towards the southeast the parcels are larger with more vacant land. The planned land uses are Low Density, Medium Density Residential, Open Space, and Mixed-Use Corridor. The estimated population is 380 people.

County Island #5

The island is unique for the fact that the City's General Plan designated the entire island as Mixed-Use Corridor. The island consists of 45 parcels, 20 residential parcels, and 22 residential structures. The estimated average parcel size is 1.21 acres. The parcel size ranges from 0.09 to 16.85 acres. There are two vacant parcels that are larger than 10 acres. The existing land use is primarily urban residential, in addition to commercial and vacant parcels. As mentioned previously the entire island is designated as Mixed Use. The estimated population is 69 people.

County Island #6

The island consists of 77 total parcels, 63 residential parcels and 72 residential structures. The estimated average parcel size 0.38 acres. The parcel sizes range from 0.11 to 5.12 acres. The annexation area is split fairly evenly between Medium Density Residential and Low Density Residential. The annexation area is primarily urban with a few parcels that are vacant. The estimated population is 219 people.


County Island #7

This County island is unique in the sense that it only incorporates a single neighborhood. The island is approximately 350 feet wide and 2,650 feet long or roughly 21 acres. The island consists of 36 parcels that are all residential, which contain 36 residential structures. The average estimated parcel size is 0.45 acres; with ranges from 0.20 to 0.72 acres. The entire island has planned land use of Low Density Residential. The estimated population is 109 people.

County Island #8

Similar to County island #7, this island only incorporates one neighborhood. This island consists of eight parcels that consist of eight residential parcels with eight residential structures. The average estimated parcel size is 2.36 acres and ranges from 1.77 to 2.74 acres. The entire island has a planned land use of Low Density Residential. The estimated population is 24 people.

The total population for all the island annexations is approximately 1,293 people.



2.4 - Anticipated Service Needs

The Hanford area requires typical local municipal services, such as water service, sewer service, police and fire protection, storm drainage, street maintenance, parks and recreation, schools, communication infrastructure, and solid waste collection. The City has adopted an updated General Plan and corresponding Master Plans to accommodate future growth in an orderly manner until the year 2035.

This Municipal Service Review will review water service, wastewater service, fire protection, police protection, street maintenance, parks and recreation, public transportation, and solid waste. It will review the level of service in each area and analyze whether the City would have the ability to provide services to the County islands discussed in Section 1. The City conducts infrastructure master planning in the areas of water, sewer, and storm drainage. The County islands were not taken into consideration in those Master Plans, as there does not appear to be discussion about providing service in addition to no planned infrastructure shown on any of the buildout maps of the correspond systems. This MSR will take the level of service needed in those County islands into consideration, along with the predicted growth projections of the City, to conclude ability for the City to annex the islands.

The Water, Sewer, and Storm Drainage Master Plans have evaluated the ability for the City to accommodate both current and future populations. The population projections in these Master Plans is the same as is described in the General Plan. Recommendations in the Master Plans include but are not limited to:

- Addressing drainage basin capacity deficiencies with either the construction of a new drainage basin or an expansion of an existing basin;
- Pipeline improvements within a series of trunk sewer service areas;
- Replace existing pumps in two lift stations in the City;
- Replace one force main that is currently 12 inches in diameter with one that is 18 inches in diameter;
- Development of three new water storage facilities (Northeast, Southeast, and Industrial Park Storage Expansion);
- Development of two new water pump stations and an upgrade of one existing pump station; or
- Monitoring of well efficiencies on a frequent basis to adequately manage the groundwater supply.

The City's General Plan has three policies regarding annexations. Policy L15 describes the criteria that must be met when considering an initiation of an annexation. Policy L16 states that the City should "Initiate annexation of County islands when it is found that urban services are needed and can be provided or made available in the near future". Policy L17 states that future proposal must "Prepare and make publicly available a written Plan for Services that describes how urban services will be provided prior to initiating an annexation".

2.5 - Determinations

Determination 2-1 - U.S. Census data indicates that the City had a 2010 population of 53,967, a 2023 population (five-year increment from last Community Survey prediction) of 63,140, and a population projection of 87,429 by 2047, according to population projections from the General Plan.

Determination 2-2 - Based upon recent historical population trends from 2010 to 2015, the average annual growth rate was 0.3 percent for the City of Hanford. Therefore, the current population is trending below the projections of the General Plan.

Determination 2-3 - The City plans for future growth through the implementation of policies and standards set forth in its General Plan. The City's General Plan was updated in 2017 and is a long-range guide for attaining the City's goals within its ultimate service area and accommodating its population growth. The City's General Plan provides a policy base to guide future growth within the City.

Determination 2-4 - The City contains policies in their General Plan that regulate future annexations.

SECTION 3 - DISADVANTAGED UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES

3.1 - Overview

Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities (DUCs) are defined as inhabited territory (12 or more registered voters) that constitutes all or a portion of a community with an annual median household income of or below \$60,181 which is less than 80 percent of the statewide annual median household income of \$75,227 in 2018 (California Department of Finance, 2018). Communities meeting this financial threshold were specifically identified as an area of concern by Senate Bill 244, adopted into State law in 2011. These communities may also lack essential municipal services such as water, wastewater, or stormwater drainage as they may have been developed prior to infrastructure being installed in proximity to them. Furthermore, structural fire protection may be inconsistent in these areas due to lack of agreements or memorandums of understanding that provide mutual aid from adjacent jurisdictions if there is a need. Kings LAFCo has not adopted a policy or more specific definition of DUCs, therefore, the criteria within State law will be utilized.

Pursuant to State law, LAFCo is required to identify any adjacent DUCs and determine if they should be included within any SOI amendment of an existing city or special district or potentially included during the consideration of any special district formation in the future (California Legislative Information, 2011).


The City of Hanford provides its own potable water service, wastewater, storm drain, and fire and emergency services within its jurisdiction. As it pertains to the previously mentioned services, the County islands primarily rely on the City for those services, with a few exceptions as detailed in Table 1-2. All of the County islands receive services pertaining to water, wastewater, storm drain and fire and emergency services from either private source, the City, or the County.

Outside the city limits, fire protection and emergency service are provided by Kings County. The City of Hanford provides water service to County islands 2 through 7, provides wastewater collection to Islands 2 and 3 through 7. Hanford provides wastewater disposal services to County islands 1 through 6 and 8. Storm drain/Flood Control is provided by the County to all County islands. Furthermore, Home Garden is the only established community receives water and wastewater services from Hanford.

As shown in Figure 3-1, the majority of the area within the bounds of the city limits and SOI has a median household income that is less than 80 percent of the California's median household income or near that threshold. Although these areas are below the median income level, the appropriate services are currently being provided. However, there are several areas outside of the city limits that are below the 80 percent threshold for median household income, including several County islands. All of the County islands are considered inhabited because they have more than 12 registered voters. As identified in Table 1-2, the County islands that do not receive services, shall be determined a disadvantaged unincorporated community. This is one of the reasons why the County islands are proposed for annexation.

Draft

Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities



Attachment: Attachment 2 - Municipal Service Review (Annexation 160 - Island 7)

As shown in Figure 3-2, Home Garden CSD is the only CSD within the City's SOI. The CSD provides its own potable water services to its residents. Home Garden relies on the City of Hanford for wastewater services and Kings County for police and fire and emergency services.

Therefore, most of the land within the SOI, excluding Home Garden, can be considered as a DUC as their median income is below 80 percent of the statewide average. Furthermore, as some of these neighborhoods receive private water services or maintain their own private wastewater system, they are considered disadvantaged as they do not receive the benefit of having municipal level services. Lastly, fire protection is provided to these areas by the County currently, so they are not disadvantaged with respect to lacking structural fire protection. The Kings County Fire Department serves the unincorporated areas of the County including the four communities of Armona, Home Garden, Kettleman City, and Stratford (Kings County General Plan, 2010).

In addition, there are several parcels outside the western extent of the city limits (within the City's SOI) which may become a DUC as shown in Figure 3-2. This area is near the level of income that can establish them as a DUC, outside of the water, wastewater, and fire and emergency service boundary of the City, and is not within a service provider's boundary.

3.2 - Determinations

Determination 3-1 - There are Census Block Groups within the City of Hanford that have a median household income below \$60,181(80 percent of the statewide median household income).

Determination 3-2 - There are areas currently within the City's SOI that can be considered unincorporated disadvantaged communities due to median household income being below 80 percent of the statewide average.

Determination 3-3 – The community of Home Garden is within the City of Hanford's SOI and currently provides potable water service. Wastewater service is provided by the City and fire and emergency protection services from Kings County. These areas would only be considered disadvantaged based on income, not from a service delivery standpoint.

Determination 3-4 – There are areas within the SOI that currently receive water and/or sewer through private facilities, such as wells and septic tanks, while receiving fire and emergency protection services from Kings County and are below the 80 percent threshold of medium household income. These areas would be considered disadvantaged from a service delivery and an income standpoint and should be evaluated on a case by case basis when there is a neighborhood of 12 or more registered voters that could be included in a future annexation, per State law.

Determination 3-5 – Areas designated as having potential to become a DUC should be monitored and reviewed during the next municipal service review for the City.



SECTION 4 - CITY OF HANFORD SERVICE REVIEW

4.1 - Present and Planned Capacity of Public Facilities and Adequacy of Public Services, Including Infrastructure Needs or Deficiencies

The purpose of this section is to evaluate the infrastructure needs and deficiencies of the City of Hanford in terms of availability of resources, capacity to deliver services, condition of facilities, planned improvements, service quality, and levels of service.

LAFCo is responsible for determining that an agency requesting an SOI amendment is reasonably capable of providing needed resources and basic infrastructure to serve areas within the City and its SOI. It is important that these findings of infrastructure and resource availability are made when revisions to the SOI and annexations occur. LAFCo accomplishes this by evaluating whether resources and services are being expanded in line with increasing demands.

4.1.1 - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (FISCAL YEARS 2020 TO 2024)

The City's five-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) involved collaboration by the Engineering and Community Development Departments to evaluate the City's capital improvement needs to accommodate the community both now and in the future. The five-year CIP is reviewed annually and includes projects from nine categories: Airport, Industrial Park, Facilities and General Projects, Parks and Recreation, Transportation, Storm Drainage, Wastewater, Water, and Downtown Projects. The largest contribution of funds for Fiscal Year 2019-2020 goes to water projects (approximately \$3.48 million or 34 percent of total CIP budget)(City of Hanford, 2020).

Table 4-1
Capital Improvement Plan Funding Breakdown by Category

Project Category	Project Funding	Overall Percentage
Facilities and General Projects	\$972,500	9%
Parks & Recreation Projects	\$662,000	6%
Transportation Projects	\$2,965,000	29%
Storm Drainage Projects	\$560,950	6%
Wastewater Projects	\$220,000	2%
Water Projects	\$3,480,000	34%
Downtown Projects	\$140,000	1%
Airport Projects	\$1,160,000	11%
Industrial Park Projects	\$175,000	2%
Total	\$10,335,450	100%

Source: City of Hanford - Capital Improvement Plan - Fiscal Years 2020 to 2024

Current and potential projects are listed by project title and funding source. In the event of budget shortfalls, there is not a guiding policy that indicates how priorities would be derived. The development of clear policies and quantifiable goals for the CIP would aid in its development of clear, justified projects and allow for year to year evaluation to determine the effectiveness of the CIP for staff, elected officials, and the public. The City has adopted some policies for the general budgeting process as well as adopted some visioning principles in the General Plan. The establishment of benchmarks and/or performance indicators would allow for the City to hold itself accountable on its progress and implementation of the adopted CIP.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.1-1 – The City annually adopts a Capital Improvement Plan that identifies key capital projects that are needed to enhance services to residents.

Determination 4.1.1-2 – The Capital Improvement Plan could include milestones, performance indicators and/or specific goals consistent with the visioning principles of the General Plan to benchmark its progress in achieving specific levels of service for its residents.

4.1.2 - WATER

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

The 2007 MSR identified that Hanford relies completely upon groundwater for its domestic use, and the City was operating 19 groundwater wells. The groundwater basin underlying the City is the Tulare Lake Basin, which is part of the Tulare Hydrologic Region within the San Joaquin Valley. The total storage capacity of the subbasin is 17,100,000 acre-feet to a depth of 300 feet and 82,500 acre-feet to the base of fresh groundwater.

At the time of the previous MSR, the City had just updated the Water Master Plan in February 2006. That Master Plan identified the 1995 Level Overdraft for the Tulare Lake Region at 820,000 acre-feet. According to the Master Plan, groundwater overdraft is expected to decline to 670,000 acre-feet during the 2020 average and drought years.


The Federal Arsenic Minimum Containment Level of 0.010 milligrams per liter was established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and went into effect in January of 2006. At that time of the previous MSR, the City of Hanford had received a Notice of Violation from the California Department of Health Services informing the City that five of their wells do not comply with the new Federal Arsenic MCL. The non-compliance notice did not require termination of the use of the identified wells but did require the City to provide quarterly monitoring reports and public notice of non-compliance. When the previous MSR was published, the City's water system and water quality were in compliance with the new Federal Standards. The City implemented a plan for reducing arsenic in its groundwater supply system.

The City's municipal water system pumping capacity was 24,455 gallons per minute or 35.2 million gallons a day according to the 2005 Urban Water Management Plan. The total storage capacity was 2.8 million gallons (Kings County LAFCo, 2007).

Current Conditions

The City completed an updated Water System Master Plan in 2017 that updated much of the information identified in the prior 2007 MSR. Figure 4-1 shows the extent of the existing water system. Figure 4-2 shows the planned system intended to serve the entire area planned for growth in the General Plan.

The City's municipal water system currently consists of 14 active groundwater wells, three storage reservoirs that have a cumulative capacity of 3.5 million gallons, 217 miles of distribution pipelines, and fire hydrants (City of Hanford, 2017). The City's generally flat topography slopes from the northeast to the southwest from approximately 255 feet in the northeast to approximately 225 feet in the southwest. With this generally flat topography, the City operates two pressure zones, with the primary pressure zone covering areas north of the Kings Industrial Park and the remaining pressure zone serving the Kings Industrial Park, located south of Iona Avenue.



Akel
Engineering
Group, Inc.

Figure 4-1
Hanford Existing Water System



The City continues to use groundwater as the sole source of water supply. The City's current total rated supply is 34.9 million gallons per day (mgd). Consistent with the system performance and design criteria the firm capacity was calculated as the capacity with the largest well out of service and is equal to 32 mgd. Each tank is briefly discussed in the following:

- Tank 4 is a 0.5 MG ground level steel storage tank at the intersection of 11th Avenue and Iona Avenue that serves the Industrial Park to satisfy normal domestic demands plus fire flows. The tank is filled from the Main Pressure Zone through an altitude valve connected to a 12-inch pipeline on 11th Avenue. Booster pumps supply the Industrial Park Pressure Zone from the tank, maintaining a downstream pressure of approximately 80 psi. The tank can be bypassed to serve the Industrial Park Pressure Zone in the event of an emergency or for normal tank maintenance.
- Tank 5 is composed of two interconnected 1.0 MG ground level steel storage tanks at the intersection of Grangeville Boulevard and Centennial Drive that serve the Main Pressure Zone to satisfy normal domestic demands. The tanks are directly filled from Wells 40, 42, 43, which are controlled by SCADA to maintain set levels within the tanks. Booster pumps supply the Main Pressure Zone from the tanks and are controlled by SCADA to turn on and off based on specific downstream pressures.
- Tank 6 is a 1.0 MG ground level steel storage tank at the intersection Fargo Avenue and the BNSF railroad that serves the Main Pressure Zone to satisfy normal domestic demands. The tank is filled from wells 41 and 44, which are controlled by SCADA to maintain set levels within the tanks. Booster pumps supply the Main Pressure Zone from the tanks and are controlled by SCADA to turn on and off based on specified downstream pressures.

Future storage requirements were identified based on the City's anticipated development through the horizon of the Master Plan. The Master Plan describes future domestic water demands and identifies operational fire storage requirements for each zone. The total required storage for future domestic water demand is 6.1 million gallons; the total capacity is currently 5.84 million gallons. The Water Master Plan describes three proposed storage reservoirs (Northeast Storage Facility, Southeast Storage Facility, and the Industrial Park Storage Expansion) that are planned to increase storage capacity to meet the future demand.

The 2013 maximum day and peak hour demands at 100 percent occupancy are calculated at 21.1 mgd and 30.2 mgd, respectively. The projected total maximum day demand and peak hour demand for the buildout of the Planned Area Boundary at 100 percent occupancy are 38.5 mgd and 55.0 mgd, respectively. Water demands vary with time of day and by account type according to the land use designation. These fluctuations were accounted for in the modeling effort and evaluation of the water distribution system. Daytime demand patterns affect the water levels in storage reservoirs and amount of flow through distribution mains. A daytime curve was used to model the demand patterns of existing customers. The peaks in the daytime pattern match the peaking factors recommended in the Master Plan.

The costs identified within the Water Master Plan are described in the Capital Improvement Program. In total, the CIP includes approximately 70 miles of pipeline improvements, 11 new wells, five new storage reservoirs, and three new booster stations, with a project cost totaling over \$95.2 million (City of Hanford, 2017).

As described in the last MSR, the City's groundwater supply has one water quality constituent that has historically required mitigation measures to ensure the supply is not limited, which is arsenic. Arsenic is concentrated in the clay strata beneath the City, and hydrogen sulfide, which may cause discoloration, adverse taste, and a smell typically compared to rotten eggs. The City has implemented a chlorination program for the water supply, and hydrogen sulfide is no longer considered a water constituent of concern.

Through the preparation of several studies, the City has determined the best methods for reducing the levels of arsenic in their water supply. The City has considered different methods to reduce arsenic concentrations below the maximum contaminant level. Considerations included:

- Abandon high arsenic wells and drill replacement wells with lower concentrations;
- Blend water from wells with higher concentrations with wells of lower concentrations;
- Install well head treatment; and
- Rehabilitate wells that produce water with high arsenic concentrations to a block of strata with low concentrations, producing water low in arsenic.

A non-treatment-based approach was determined to be the most cost effective for the City and was comprised of the following three improvement projects:

- Abandon six shallow wells with low production and high arsenic concentration. Replace the abandoned wells with two wells of a higher production capacity and lower arsenic concentration;
- Abandon and replace three wells that could not be rehabilitated with new wells with higher production capacities and acceptable arsenic conditions; and
- Rehabilitate three deep wells to ensure they only extract groundwater from a zone with lower arsenic concentrations.

Upon the implementation of these arsenic improvement projects, The City's water supply can reliably produce water below the maximum contaminant level for arsenic. Based on the current arsenic levels the long-term reliability of the City's water supply is not affected.


WATER DEPARTMENT FUNDING

Within Public Works, the Water Department revenues are comprised of enterprise funds collected through user fees. As an enterprise fund, this service typically does not impact the General Fund as it generates revenues that can only be used to provide the identified service, in this case water delivery and supply. Revenue budgeted for water utility related activities

total \$5.61 million in 2018-19, a decrease of approximately 1.3 percent under the prior year's actual revenues.

As shown in Chart 4-1, the revenues of the department often outpace expenses in order to fund capital projects. Since the water utility operates as an enterprise fund, the department is not dependent on General Fund and special revenues (City of Hanford, 2020).

Chart 4-1
Water Department Revenues and Expenditures (Maintenance and Operations)¹



SOURCE: CITY OF HANFORD 2020 **ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION**

The City currently supplies and distributes water to six of the eight County islands proposed to be annexed. The population of the other two islands that currently use private wells is 57. Were the City to annex the islands, it would need to strategize a way to provide water to 57 more residents.

The City's Water System Master Plan sought to plan for the future population of Hanford, with an anticipated 2035 population of 90,000 (City of Hanford, 2017). The predicted 2018 population according to the Master Plan was 60,538, which is 3,628 more than the actual population according to the American Community Survey. Given the difference between planned and actual population, the addition of the 57 residents to the water system is more

¹ The values illustrated in the chart are the gross values from the FY 2019-2020 Budget. These values represent an accurate portrayal of the departments solvency due to the municipality balancing the department's budget, also known as a "zero sum budget". All other charts in this document follow this same format.

than feasible if the City achieves the proposed goals of the Water System Master Plan to account for its anticipated future population.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.2-1 – The City operates a municipal water enterprise that services its residents.

Determination 4.1.2-2 – The City has completed and adopted a Water System Master Plan in September 2017 to better identify and improve operations of the water system and plan for future needs of the City in accordance with population projects.

Determination 4.1.2-3 – The City should monitor the well efficiencies on a frequent basis to adequately manage the groundwater supply.

Determination 4.1.2-4 – The City would be able to adequately serve the increased population of 57 residents, were the City to annex the County islands.

4.1.3 - WASTEWATER

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

The 2007 MSR identified that the City has the ability to discharge up to eight million gallons per day of treated wastewater effluent. The Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) is a two-stage trickling filter and extended aeration facility that was originally constructed in 1948 (Kings County LAFCo, 2007). Five upgrades and expansions have occurred since then, the most recent of which was in 2004.

The City initiated a program to ensure long-term reuse for treated disinfected wastewater for agricultural purposes and recharge of groundwater supplies for agriculture. The City has obtained a "Master Reclamation Permit" from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for this purpose. Approximately 70 to 80 percent of the influent to the wastewater facility is reused for agricultural irrigation as allowed under the Regional Water Quality Control Board's Master Reclamation Permit. Effluent is used to irrigate crops on privately owned land.

Hanford's system includes 8" to 30" pipes with 12" mains laid out on an approximate one-mile grid. Expansion will involve continued looping of lines and expansion of fire flow response facilities. The City has determined that there are few system constraints for future development.

Current Conditions

The City most recently updated their Sewer System Master Plan in 2017. The planning boundary and horizon for the Master Plan were developed in accordance with the City's recently adopted General Plan. The Master Plan takes into consideration the population growth of the city, and documents growth assumptions and known future developments (City of Hanford, 2017).

The City's wastewater treatment plant treats nearly 1.9 billion gallons of sewage each year. The most recent expansion upgrade in 2004 increased the treatment capacity from 5.5 to 8.0 million gallons a day, allowing the plant to serve the equivalent of over 8,000 new single-family dwellings. The expansion included a new influent pump station, head works, grit removal, oxidation ditch, and irrigation pump station, as well as several modifications to existing buildings and structures (City of Hanford, 2017).

The new irrigation pump station allows the City to discharge secondary treated disinfected effluent to Lakeside Ditch Company for crop irrigation of over 10,000 acres through a reclamation permit issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. City staff is currently in the process of developing a new long-term reclamation project agreement with Lakeside Ditch Company.

In an effort to control the high cost of effluent sludge storage and disposal, the City has budgeted to purchase a solid dewatering system, otherwise known as a centrifuge unit. This

facility will reduce the need for additional drying beds in the future. Drying time and processing the sludge will be reduced by removing liquid before final drying in the existing sludge beds. This process will allow the City to produce a Class A sludge for disposal at a licensed composting facility (City of Hanford, 2017).

The City completed an updated Sewer System Master Plan in 2017 that addressed many of the action items identified in the prior 2007 MSR. Figure 4-3 shows the extent of the existing system. Figure 4-4 shows the planned expansion in the Master Plan and will service the growth anticipated in the General Plan.


The City's sewer system services residential and non-residential lands within the service area. This service area includes:

- 6,059 acres of developed lands inside the city limits,
- 2,765 acres of undeveloped lands inside the city limits, and
- 265 acres of underutilized lands inside the city limits that are expected to redevelop.

The capacities of pump stations are evaluated and designed to meet the peak wet weather flows with one standby pump having a capacity equal to the largest operating unit. The standby pump provides a safety factor in case the duty pump malfunctions during operations and allows for maintenance.


Based on the City's topography, the sewer system is divided into six separate dendritic sewer collection basins, each defining the boundaries of a sewer collection trunk system. The following are the six major wastewater collection basins:

- The 10th Avenue Collection Basin encompasses 3,023 acres in the northeast portion of the City. This basin collects flows along 10th Avenue, starting at Encore Drive, where a 10-inch trunk conveys flow south to Lift Station 52, at the Fargo Avenue. Flows are pumped through a 6-inch force main to a 12-inch trunk beginning at Birch Avenue, where flow continues south to Lakewood Drive, where it continues as a 15-inch south to Florinda Street. At Florinda Street, the 15-inch trunk increases in size to an 18-inch trunk and continues along 10th Avenue to Fourth Street, where it turns west and continues on Fourth Street before joining the 30-inch trunk in Irwin Street.
- The 10 ½ Avenue Collection Basin encompasses 2,954 acres in the east-central part of the City. This basin collects flows generally east of 10 ½ Avenue, between Highway 198 and Houston Avenue. The main trunk begins at the intersection of Fourth Street and Irwin Street, where a 30-inch trunk crossing Highway 198 conveys flow south to a 24-inch trunk at Third Street. The pipe continues south along 10 ½ Avenue until reaching Houston Avenue where it connects a 30-inch trunk. This 30-inch trunk then conveys flow west along Houston Avenue, increasing in size to 36 inches. The 36-inch trunk then increases to a 48-inch diameter trunk at the WWTP property prior to discharge at the headworks.



Akel
Engineering
Group, Inc.

Figure 4-3
Hanford Existing Wastewater System



Akel
Engineering
Group, Inc.

Figure 4-4
Hanford Proposed Wastewater System

Attachment: Attachment 2 - Municipal Service Review (Annexation 160 - Island 7)


- The 11th Avenue Collection Basin encompasses 3,243 acres in central and north-central Hanford. This basin collects flow generally between 12th Avenue and 10th Avenue, starting at Flint Avenue and conveying flow south to Houston Avenue. Starting at Flint Avenue, flow is collected along 11th Avenue in 8-inch, 10-inch, and 12-inch pipelines before entering a 15-inch at Pepper Drive. Flow continues south in a 15-inch pipeline before increasing in size to a 24-inch trunk at Corner Street. Flow continues south along 11th Avenue before increasing in size to a 30-inch trunk at Lacey Boulevard, where it continues until joining a 39-inch trunk at Houston Avenue, where it continues to the WWTP.
- The 12th Avenue Collection Basin encompasses 4,218 acres in the western part of the City. This basin flows generally between 13th Avenue and 12th Avenue, starting at Fargo Ave and continuing south until the City WWTP. Starting at Fargo Avenue flow is collected along a 12th Avenue in a 24-inch trunk before increasing in size to a 27-inch trunk at Grangeville Boulevard. Flow continues in a 27-inch trunk south along 12th Avenue until increasing in size to a 30-inch trunk at Lacey Boulevard, where it continues to Lift Station 52 at Glendale Avenue. Flows are pumped through a 14-inch force main to Hayden Avenue, where it transitions to gravity flow in a 30-inch trunk and continues south to Hume Avenue. From Hume Avenue, flows continue south along 12th Avenue in a 33-inch trunk before turning west at Houston Avenue, where it continues to 11th Avenue. At 11th Avenue, the trunk diameter increases in size to a 39-inch, before continuing to the WWTP.
- The Irwin Collection Basin encompasses 670 acres in the central portion of the City. It is bound to the north by Terrace Drive and to the south by Third Street. The basin is generally bound to the east and west by the 10th Avenue and 11th Avenue, respectively. Starting at Terrace Drive flow is collected in a 12-inch trunk before increasing in size to a 15-inch trunk at Grangeville Boulevard. Flow continues south along Irwin Street in a 16-inch trunk at Ivy Street, which continues south to Lacey Boulevard. At Lacey Boulevard, the trunk diameter increases to a 20-inch for a short distance, before once again increasing in size to a 24-inch trunk north of Sixth Street. Flows continue south and combine with the 10 ½ Avenue collection basin at Fourth Street.
- The Industrial Area Collection Basin encompasses 4,131 acres in the southern portion of the City. This basin is bound to the north by Houston Avenue and to the south by Jackson Avenue, respectively. 12th Avenue and 9th Avenue serve as the western and eastern limits of this basin. Flows are generally conveyed by gravity along Idaho Avenue in 8-inch, 10-inch, and 15-inch gravity trunks before being conveyed to Lift Station 65, where they are pumped through a 10-inch force main to Lift Station 41. Additional flows are collected along Industry Avenue and BNSF railway and conveyed by gravity in 10-inch, 12-inch, and 15-inch trunks to Lift Station 41. Flows from tributary to Lift Station 41 and pumped from Lift Station 65 are combined at Lift Station 41, where they are pumped through a 12-inch force main to the WWTP.

The City currently maintains 21 lift stations in the sewer collection system. The oldest lift station was built in 1959, and the most recent station was built in 2004. The lift stations are operated to turn “on” or “off” based on the levels in their wet wells.

SEWER DEPARTMENT FUNDING

Within Public Works, the Sewer Department revenues are comprised of enterprise funds collected through user fees. As an enterprise fund, this service typically does not impact the General Fund as it generates revenues that can only be used to provide the identified service, in this case sewer service. Revenue budgeted for wastewater utility related activities total \$4.41 million in 2018-19, an increase of approximately 41.9 percent over the prior year’s actual revenues.

Chart 4-2
Sewer Department Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020

As shown in Chart 4-2, the department revenues have outpaced expenses in the past. The department is aware of the decline in revenue, however, the department is expecting to have sufficient funds to meet their expenditures going forward, which is supported by a solvent rate structure. Since the wastewater utility operates as an enterprise fund, the department is not dependent on General Fund and/or special revenues (City of Hanford, 2020).

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

The City currently provides wastewater collection service to five of the eight County islands proposed to be annexed and provides wastewater disposal to seven of the eight County islands proposed to be annexed. The population of the three islands that have private wastewater collection is 367, and the population of the one island with private wastewater disposal is 109. If the City were to annex the islands, it would need to strategize a way to provide wastewater collection and disposal for an additional 476 persons.

The City's Sewer System Master Plan sought to plan for the future population of Hanford, with an anticipated 2035 population of 90,000 (City of Hanford, 2017). The predicted 2018 population according to the Plan was 60,538, which is 3,628 more than the predicted population per American Community Survey. Given the difference in estimated population, the addition of 476 residents for wastewater collection and disposal is feasible if the City achieves the proposed goals of the Sewer System Master Plan.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.3-1 – The City operates a municipal sewer enterprise that services its residents.

Determination 4.1.3-2 – The City has completed and adopted a Sewer System Master Plan in September 2017 to better identify and improve operations of the water system and plan for future needs of the City in accordance with population projects.

Determination 4.1.3-3 – The City would be able to adequately serve the increased population of 476 residents who do not already receive either wastewater collection or disposal services from the City were the City to annex the County islands. The level of service will be adequate if the City achieves its proposed goals of the Sewer System Master Plan.

4.1.4 - FIRE PROTECTION

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

Fire suppression services were reviewed by LAFCo in 2007 as part of the comprehensive MSR. The City of Hanford provides fire protection services to all the incorporated area. Since the adoption of the last MSR, a new fire station was built in 2019. The previous MSR described future capital improvements to include the construction of two additional fire stations (Stations 3 and 4 on the western portion of Hanford, and the addition of 18 fire personnel and equipment.

The previous MSR described some benefit in incorporating various departments under one “government center” to facilitate with administrative tasks and coordination. The City coordinates very closely between law enforcement and fire protection.

Current Conditions

The Hanford Fire Department provides emergency and fire protection services for residents and buildings within the city limits. Emergency services provided by the Fire Department include technical rescue, hazardous materials response, emergency medical services, and emergency disaster management.

The mission statement of the Hanford Fire Department is “to protect residents and visitors of Hanford from conditions that would pose a threat of life, environment, and property by utilizing aggressive prevention techniques and, when needed, respond to all emergencies in a safe, swift, and efficient manner” (City of Hanford, 2020). The total call volume for 2018 was 6,378. This includes medical, fire, mutual aids, and other emergency responses. The Hanford Fire Department has a total of 33 personnel (Hanford, 2020).

The General Plan does not establish a goal for a minimum fire insurance services organization (ISO) rating. The Fire ISO rating appraises cities and counties on their fire protection services (ISO rating is on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being best). The level of fire protection according to Insurance Services Office Inc., is 2 (The Sentinel, 2016).

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The City has three fire stations. Station 1 is located at 350 W. Grangeville Boulevard, Station 2 at 10553 Houston Avenue, and Station 3 at 1070 South 12th Street. Station 3 is the most recent one, built in 2019. Hanford owns an additional two sites designated for future fire stations. The first future station is planned at Centennial Drive and Berkshire Lane in the city’s northwest quadrant. An eastside fire station is also planned at 9 ¼ Avenue and Florinda street.


The current facilities were not identified as having any deficiencies during the last MSR cycle that reviewed the Fire Department infrastructure. In the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-24 Capital

Improvement Plan (CIP) Budget, the CIP did not identify any major projects pertaining to the Fire Department facilities and its needs.

FIRE DEPARTMENT FUNDING

The Fire Department revenues are comprised of the General Fund, Grants, and Fire Department Service Fees, among other miscellaneous things. Ninety-seven percent of the budget of the Fire Department is for Fire Administration, and three percent is for Fire Prevention (City of Hanford, 2020). Revenue budgeted for the Fire Department totals \$530,500 in 2018-19, an increase of approximately 26.5 percent over the prior year's budget.

Chart 4-3
Fire Department Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020

As shown in Chart 4-3, the department is not a revenue generating department and is largely dependent on General Fund and special revenues. Of all expenditures citywide, the Fire Department comprises 7.4 percent of total expenditures (California State Controller's Office, 2018).

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

The County is currently responsible for emergency and fire protection of the eight County islands proposed to be annexed. The population of these islands is 1,293. Were the City to

annex the islands, it would need to strategize a way to provide emergency and fire protection to the 1,293 new residents.

The City's current ISO rating is 2 (ISO rating is on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being best). Due to the City's ability to provide such services to its current population, there is no evidence indicating that the addition of 1,293 residents would be too great for the City to adequately serve. According to the Memorandum of Understanding, signed between the City of Hanford and the County of Kings in 2019, the mutual aid agreement between the fire services executed by the City and the County will not be amended. The City continues to be able to use the County's fire services in times of need, therefore the overall fire service capacity will not be affected.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.4-1 – The Hanford Fire Department provides emergency and fire protection services for residents and buildings within the city limits. Emergency services provided by the Fire Department include technical rescue, hazardous materials response, emergency medical services, and emergency disaster management.

Determination 4.1.4-2 – The City provides fire services through the use of General Fund, service fees, and other miscellaneous funds.

Determination 4.1.4-3 – The City did not have any facility upgrade projects listed in the most recently CIP.

Determination 4.1.4-4 – The City should continue to program repairs to existing facilities and continue plans for the construction of a fourth and fifth fire station in order to meet the needs of staff in order to provide a level of service acceptable to residents.

Determination 4.1.4-5 – The City should establish, maintain, and monitor a set of level-of-service criteria for fire protection services as a tool to assess the ability of the City to service growth.

Determination 4.1.4-6 – The City would be able to adequately serve the increased population of 1,293 residents, were the City to annex the County islands, due to the continued mutual aid agreement with the County.

4.1.5 - LAW ENFORCEMENT

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

Police and law enforcement services were reviewed by LAFCo in 2007 as part of the comprehensive MSR. At the time of the last MSR, the City of Hanford Police Department consisted of 71 full time personnel including forty-nine uniformed officers, and 22 non-sworn personnel. Most crimes in the City are property-oriented (i.e. theft and vandalism). The City standard for police per population is 1.5 officers per 1,000 populations. Indicator of service levels and the need for new personnel and facilities are provided by analysis of the number of service calls, response times, and population growth.

At the time of the last MSR the department provided police services to the City with 22 full time officers patrolling 29.5 square mile area 24 hours a day, seven days a week. In 2002, the department reorganized its command staff, and this change was considered to help facilitate supervision, customer service, and program accountability. At the time of this MSR there were no plans for capital improvements for additional services. The City was planning for a new police station by 2010.

Current Conditions

According to the City's General Plan Background Report, the Hanford Police Department's actual average response times are 6:30 minutes for Priority 1 Incidents with an average of 32 Priority 1 Incidents per day and a response time of 17:19 minutes for all incidents with an average of 144 incidents per day. The department seeks to maintain a response time of less than 2:30 minutes. The Hanford Police Department dispatches both for police and fire services (City of Hanford, 2014).

The new police station that the last MSR described has not yet been built. The current 8,600-square foot police station on Irwin Street was built in 1976, with a projected 20-year life span. It is now in its 44th year. The Hanford Police Department has had to expand its operation into several vacant buildings that required renovations. Buildings added to the City of Hanford's Police Department are the new Records Building, the Specialty Units Building, the Evidence Building, the two-story investigations building, and a new national guard armory that will house special police unit equipment. These expansions have all occurred in that last 10 years, according to the Police Department Chief Parker Sever (Sever, 2020). Considering these expansions, the Police Department does not forecast the acquisition and development of a new police station. Additionally, the department faces increased calls for service caused in part by AB-109 prison realignment and growing problems with gangs and drugs. Hanford's population continues to grow, as does the calls for service. In 2013, despite the growing need, the number of sworn officers was reduced from 57 to 55 (City of Hanford, 2014).

In 2020, the number of sworn officers is 62 which makes for a ratio of 1.09 police officers per 1,000 residents (assuming a total population of 56,910 residents per the American Community Survey of the U.S. Census). According to the City's General Plan, for cities with a

population the size of Hanford that are no surrounded by larger urban areas, a ratio of 1.1 to 1.2 sworn officers per 1,000 residents is typically employed.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The Police Department facility maintains its location at 425 North Irwin Street. This facility is also in close proximity to the Hanford Civic Auditorium and the Veteran's Memorial Building.

As stated before, the Hanford Police Department has identified upgrades to its police station. In the FY 2020-2024 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Budget, the CIP identified a "Police Department Parking Lot Expansion" project, with a budget of \$30,000. The source of the funding is the Police Department impact fee (City of Hanford, 2019).

CRIME STATISTICS

Crime statistics for the City were obtained from the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States database and are shown in Table 4-2 below.

Table 4-2
Number of Crimes Known by Hanford Police Department

Category	2015	2016	2017	2018
Violent Offenses	315	266	284	274
Murder	1	2	2	2
Rape	23	21	28	32
Robbery	58	44	54	41
Aggravated Assault	233	199	200	199
Property Crime	2,012	1,496	1,359	1,264
Burglary	275	222	197	145
Larceny Theft	1,504	1,059	916	945
Motor vehicle Theft	233	215	246	174
Arson	5	7	21	7
Total	4,659	3,531	3,307	3,083

Source: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States,
<https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s>

Despite the growing population of the City, the total number of crimes has been going down in number since 2015. In comparison with California as a whole in 2018, violent crimes are about the same as the State average, and property offenses in Hanford are actually slightly lower than the State average (per 1,000 residents).

Table 4-3
Comparison of Crimes per 1,000 Residents (2018)


Category	Hanford	California
Violent Offenses per 1,000 residents	4.2	4.5
Property Offenses per 1,000 residents	22.2	23.8

Source: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States, <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s>

POLICE DEPARTMENT FUNDING

The Police Department revenues are comprised mostly of General Fund. Some other sources of revenue are various grants, court fines, and “miscellaneous revenue” as defined in the budget (City of Hanford, 2020). Expenses for police programs in 2018-19 was approximately \$13.0 million. There has not been a large increase or decrease in Police Department expenses and revenues for the past few years.

Chart 4-4
Police Department Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020As shown in Chart 4-4, the department is not a revenue generating department and is largely dependent on General Fund. Of all expenditures citywide, the Police Department comprises of approximately 66.2 percent of the public safety

expenditures, and approximately 17.6 percent of total expenditures (California State Controller's Office, 2018).

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

The department's current staffing ratio is just shy of what the General Plan determines as adequate. The current ratio is 1.09 officers per 1,000 residents while the General Plan Background Report suggests a ratio of 1.1 to 1.2 is appropriate for similar cities of the size of Hanford. Annexation of the County islands will result in an increase in population of 1,293 persons who will need to be served by the City's Police Department. This would increase the total population to 58,203. The ratio will then be 1.06 officers per 1,000 residents. The Police Department would need to increase its total officers to 65 (hire 3 new officers) to have ratio of 1.1 officers per 1,000 residents.

According to the Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Hanford and County of Kings established in 2019, The County Sheriff's Office will provide police services to the County islands for a two-year period beginning on the date of annexation of the County islands, after which time, the City will be responsible for providing such services in perpetuity. This two-year period gives the City time to hire additional officers to meet the ratio goal of sworn officers to residents.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.5-1 – The City utilizes a variety of financing sources in order to offset the expenditures utilized by law enforcement.

Determination 4.1.5-2 – The Police Department has identified upgrades to its police station and making additions as funding becomes available.

Determination 4.1.5-3 – The City should monitor crime statistics in years immediately following 2018 to determine if there is a need for additional patrol personnel to curtail the increase in crimes.

Determination 4.1.5-4 – The City's current ratio of sworn officers to residents is slightly below the ratio of 1.1 officers per 1,000 population and would be further below this ratio with the increase in population of the annexed areas. The City may need to hire additional officers or employ other strategies to achieve acceptable levels of service in conjunction with an expansion of its service area with the annexation of the eight County islands within the next two years.

4.1.6 - PARKS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

According to the previous MSR, in 2007 the City of Hanford owned and operated 18 neighborhood parks comprising a total of 36.8 acres. The City's Recreation Department and Parks Division is responsible for operations and maintenance of the City owned parks. Eleven of the City's parks were developed in 2007. The City had three community parks (Centennial Park, Youth Athletic Complex, and Hidden Valley Park). Community parks and sports fields occupy approximately 94.2 acres within the City.

Each of the park sites contained various types of facilities, which are based on the needs of the residents served by the park, park size, and geographic characteristics. Specialized recreational facilities (e.g., tennis courts, swimming pool, ball fields) exist at seven of the City's facilities. The most common specialized facilities are lighted ballfields.

Current Conditions

According to the Human Resources Department in 2020, the Hanford Parks and Recreation Department is comprised of 26 full-time employees and 42 part-time employees. These numbers include Parks and Community Services Department employees. The departmental responsibilities include maintaining the aesthetic and recreational value of over 229.17 acres of property including parks, landscaped street medians, athletic fields, the City's urban forest, and other landscaped areas; constructing streetscape enhancement improvements within the downtown area; coordinating the City's annual Tree City U.S.A. recertification program; administering contracts and inspecting maintenance for 40 landscape assessment districts; and performing playground safety inspections and upgrading existing playgrounds. (City of Hanford, 2020).

According to the Hanford Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Master Plan of 2020, the City has 299.70 acres of parkland. This consists of approximately 154 acres of City owned parkland, 40 acres of sports complex provided at Soc-Com, and 50 percent of the 210 acres of school parks provided by the Hanford Joint Union High School District and the Hanford Elementary School District (105 acres).

The National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA) provides a template of typical park classifications, number of acres a system should have, and recommended service levels based on population. For a public park provider the NRPA guidelines suggest, "A park system, at a minimum, should be comprised of a 'core' system of park lands, with a total of 9.9 acres of developed parkland per 1,000 population" (National Recreation and Park Association, 2020).. According to the 2020 Parks Master Plan, the City has 5.06 park acres per 1,000 residents. If the NRPA guidelines were being met, Hanford should have approximately 563 acres of park land. The park inventory deficiency would be 263 acres. The shortfalls do not take into consideration church properties, private schools, or those outside the boundaries of the City of Hanford.

Table 4-4
Parkland within Hanford by Type (City of Hanford, 2020)

Category	Acres
Mini Parks	15.6
Neighborhood/School Parks	19.2
Sports Complex	57.2
Community Parks	54.9
Special Use Parks	7.1
Indoor Facility	0.52
School Playfield	136.5 ²
Developed Parkland	309.9

Note: This inventory consists of parkland only provided by the City of Hanford

According to the General Plan, the calculation for the parkland ratio has been updated. When determining the parkland ratio of acres per 1,000 population, City policy is to include the acreage of city-owned mini, neighborhood, community, regional, special use, and stormwater basin parks, along with 50 percent of the acreage of school playgrounds and play areas within the Planned Area Boundary (City of Hanford, 2017). All school sites have limited public access since their primary purpose is to support their educational mission. These facilities are sometimes accessible to the public after school hours. According to the General Plan, there are 210 acres of school playfields in the City, of which 105 acres, or 50 percent of 210 acres, is calculated in the total developed parkland of the City. By using this calculation as outlined in the General Plan, the City's current park ratio is 5.06 acres per 1,000 residents. This ratio is still below the NRPA's goal of 9.9 but it does meet the City's goal set in their General Plan, which is 3.5 acres per 1,000 residents.³

According to the California Department of Parks and Recreation, the City currently has approximately 63 percent of its residents living more than a half mile from park facilities (California Department of Parks and Recreation, 2015). A half-mile walking radius is considered the maximum distance for viable walkable access to facilities, according to the American Planning Association. Additionally, the State also states that 75 percent of the City's residents live in areas with less than three acres of parks or open space per 1,000 residents. As a result, it would appear that most of the City may be somewhat inconsistent with the General Plan policy for providing park space at a ratio of 3.5 acres per 1,000 residents within a half mile, however, the State did not take into consideration the 105 acres of school playfield space that the City considers in its parkland ratio calculation. Lastly, the

² School Playfield parkland was calculated by taking 50% of the acreage of school playgrounds and play areas within the Planned Area Boundary. This calculation was originally established in the General Plan

³ According to the General Plan, Goal 09 of Section 5.7 (Parks and Recreation), Parks are to be provided at a combined ratio of 3.5 acres per 1,000 residents.

General Plan policy is applied citywide and is found to be in compliance, but, the City could strive to more evenly distribute park and open space areas to increase access throughout the City to residents, as shown by the California Department of Parks and Recreation statistics.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The City currently operates and maintains the following park facilities (City of Hanford, 2014):

- Mini-Park
 - Airport Park
 - Encore Park
 - Gateway Park
 - Glacier Park
 - Hye Park
 - Lakewood Park
 - Quail Run Estates
 - Quail Park
 - Sherwood Park
 - Vineyard Park
- Neighborhood Parks
 - Coe Park
 - Earl F. Johnson Park
 - Lacey Park
 - Redwood Park
 - Vineyard Park
 - Independence Park
 - Silver Oaks Park
- Community/Special Use Park
 - Bob Hill Youth Athletic Complex
 - Centennial Park
- Civic and Courthouse Grounds
- Freedom Park
- Hidden Valley Park
- Regional/Special Use Park
 - Hanford Joint Use Softball Complex
 - BMX Track
 - Hanford Adult Learning Center/Softball Complex
 - Harris Street Ball Park
 - The Plunge and Ford Hill Skate Park
 - Rotary Field
- Indoor Facility
 - Civic Center
 - Coe Hall
 - Goodwill Senior Center
 - Longfield Center
 - Old Courthouse
 - St. Brigids' Teen Center
 - Veterans-Senior Center

Within these facilities, the City also maintains additional recreational facilities, such as basketball courts, soccer and baseball/softball fields, and tennis and volleyball courts.

In the FY 2020-24 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Budget, the CIP identified 11 projects for the Parks and Recreation Department for five years (City of Hanford, 2020). The total cost of all the projects is \$8,932,000. The three funding sources for the projects are park impact fees, accumulated capital outlay, and refuse capital. Eight projects are planned to be achieved in the 2020/2021 fiscal year. These improvements are described below:


- Park Development Oversizing Requirements (\$150,000 per year for five years)
 - These funds will be used to reimburse developers for costs associated with park construction in excess of their park impact fee assessment.

- ADA Parks/Recreation Modifications (\$35,000 per year for five years)
 - These funds will be used to upgrade the parks and recreation facilities to conform with ADA requirements. Improvements will include modifications to restroom and playground facilities, installation of concrete pathways to various facilities and purchase of handicap accessible picnic equipment and tables.
- Park Refuse Enclosures – Civic Park (\$42,000)
 - Construction of a concrete block trash enclosure at the Old Courthouse Parking Lot, which is currently an old dilapidated wooden enclosure.
- Street Median Landscape Renovation (\$150,000 in 2020 and 2022 - \$300,000 total)
 - Remove the existing landscaping and terminate the irrigation to install stamped concrete in the turn pockets until the width of the landscape area is a minimum of 8 feet in width. Project will upgrade portions of median islands as funding allows with new plant materials and landscape bark.
- New Pocket Park (\$390,000)
 - Design and construct a new small park at the site of the Old Fire Station located at Lacey Boulevard and Kaweah Street.
- Centennial Park Pathway Construction Project (\$230,000)
 - Upgrade and construct an all connecting eight (8') foot wide concrete sidewalk/pathway and address ADA accessibility from Hanford-Armona Road into the park and connect to all amenities to include picnic arbors, splash pad, playgrounds, dog facilities, and restrooms.
- New Playground at Civic Park (\$285,000)
 - Design and construct a new playground adjacent to the Carousel at the Civic Park to increase activity within the Park

PARKS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT FUNDING

The Parks and Recreation Department revenues are comprised of General Fund, various grants, and donations. Total expenditures for each year are based on maintenance of parks, facilities management, youth and adult services, and construction of new parks (City of Hanford, 2020).

Chart 4-5
Parks and Recreation Department Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020

As shown in Chart 4-5, the department generates a small percent of the revenues needed for department and is still dependent on General Fund revenues and grants. Of all expenditures citywide, the Parks and Recreation Department comprised 6.07 percent of total expenditures (California State Controller's Office, 2018).

ABILITY TO SERVICE ANNEXED POPULATION

The County islands proposed to be annexed are not served by the City regarding parkland. Parks are a more difficult service to analyze, as the residents of the County islands already have access to the parks, as they are all public parks. The addition of the 1,293 persons to the City will, however, decrease the parkland ratio of acres per 1,000 population.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.6-1 – The City actively maintains parks and provides recreational services to the residents of Hanford.

Determination 4.1.6-2 – Parks and recreational facilities within the City amount to approximately 309.9 acres of land. This amounts to a ratio of roughly 5.4 acres per 1,000 persons (based on 2020 population estimate of 56,910), which meets the standard identified in the City's Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Determination 4.1.6-3 – The City utilizes the Capital Improvement Plan to maintain and repair its numerous recreational facilities within the city limits to promote an active lifestyle to its residents.

Determination 4.1.6-4 – The City’s General Plan and Parks Master Plan both identified a need for additional park and recreation space to serve residents of the City.

Determination 4.1.6-5 – The City’s current parkland ratio of acres per 1,000 population is below the NRPA’s guidelines and will be even more so with the addition of the population of the eight County islands.

Determination 4.1.6-6 - The City may need to employ strategies such identification of new parks in the Capital Improvement Program or obtaining grant funds for additional facilities to achieve adopted levels of service in conjunction with an expansion of its service area with the annexation of the eight County islands in order to reach the goals of the General Plan.

Determination 4.1.6-7 – The City should strive to improve proximity and distribution of parks and open space throughout the City so that all areas of the City meet the General Plan goal of 3.5 acres per 1,000 residents.

4.1.7 - ROAD MAINTENANCE

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

Road Maintenance was not reviewed by LAFCo in 2007 as part of the Roads and Circulation section of the comprehensive MSR.

Current Conditions

The Hanford Public Works Department is responsible for maintaining the City's roads through its Street Division. The Street Division provides maintenance of more than 207 centerline miles of roadway and all of the curb, gutter, and sidewalk within the City's jurisdiction (City of Hanford, 2020). The Street Maintenance Division performs nearly 500,000 square feet of cape seal treatment, 325,000 square feet of residential slurry seal treatment, 30,000 square feet of deep patching, and applies more than 200,000 pounds of crack seal each fiscal year. In addition to traditional roadway improvements, the Street Maintenance Division installs approximately 1500 linear feet of curb and gutter and 30,000 square feet of sidewalk each year. The division has two more specific programs:

Street Sweeping Program

The City sweeps the residential streets once a week and the downtown area on a five-day-a-week basis. Each year approximately 30,000 centerline miles of streets are swept (City of Hanford, 2020). This program also assists in the implementation of the Stormwater Management Plan by keeping dirt and debris out of the City's basins and canals.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The FY 2020-24 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Budget includes several projects that would provide upgrades to existing road infrastructure and help plan for future projects (City of Hanford, 2020). Funding sources for these projects include: Gas Taxes; Transportation Impact Fees; Storm, Wastewater, and Water Capital; and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality. These improvements are described below:

- Sidewalk and Miscellaneous Concrete Repairs (\$40,000/year for all five years)
 - These funds will be used to repair sidewalks, drive approaches, and other concrete improvements where City crews will be completing street reconstruction projects or in areas where the improvements are damaged by tree roots.
- New Sidewalk and ADA Improvements (\$50,000/year for all five years)
 - These funds will be used to install sidewalks and other concrete improvements in areas currently void of such improvements.


- Street Division Maintenance (\$450,000/year for all five years)
 - Street maintenance is performed by the Public Works Street Maintenance Division through the City's General Fund. This project account is established to record that portion of annual street maintenance which will be allocated to gas tax funds.
- Unscheduled Arterial Upgrades & Traffic Signal Installation (\$200,000/year for all five years)
 - This fund will be used to reimburse developers who are required to construct qualifying arterial street improvements that exceed their project's transportation mitigation impact fee share.
- Survey Monumentation/Mapping (\$15,000/year for all five years)
 - These funds will be used to re-establish survey monumentation on street re-surfacing projects and to update the survey benchmark datum and mapping
- Pavement Resurfacing Treatment (\$1,200,000 in 2020, \$950,000 2021-2024)
 - Pavement Resurfacing Treatment is a surface protection and pavement preservation treatment for City streets. The treatments will extend the useful life of asphalt concrete pavement surfaces thereby reducing street maintenance costs. The project will provide surface treatment for approximately seven miles of roadways.
- East Lacey Boulevard Widening/Reconstruction, 10th Avenue to Sierra Drive (\$9,610,000)
 - This project will involve the widening and reconstruction of East Lacey Boulevard, between 10th Avenue and Sierra Drive, to facilitate two lanes in each direction of travel plus turn lanes. This project will improve traffic flow capacity and safety by providing additional travel lanes and a protected left turn lane and the installation of a traffic signal system at the intersection of East Lacey Boulevard/Ninth Avenue.
- 12th Avenue Widening, Springcrest St. to 500 feet south – West side (\$290,000)
 - This project will involve the installation of curb, gutter, sidewalk, and roadway widening along 12th Avenue (west side), from Springcrest Street to a point approximately 500 feet south of Springcrest Street. This project will improve traffic flow capacity and safety by providing an additional travel lane and installing curb, gutter, sidewalk, and street lighting improvements
- Traffic Signal at 12th Avenue and Hume Avenue (\$453,000)

- This project will consist of the installation of a traffic signal system at the intersection of 12th Avenue and Hume Avenue. This project will increase traffic flow efficiency and reduce intersection congestion by allowing more free flow traffic movements through the intersection.

ROAD MAINTENANCE FUNDING

Road maintenance is generally funded and scheduled through the City's CIP. Street maintenance revenues are comprised of the General Fund and special revenues. The actual revenue budgeted for streets totaled \$54,830 in 2018-19, an increase of approximately 17 percent over the prior year's actual revenue. This increase is the result of planned capital and infrastructure projects.

Chart 4-6
Street Division Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020

As shown in Chart 4-6, the department generates all the revenues needed for street services through special revenues. Of all expenditures citywide, the Street Services Division comprises 2.9 percent of total expenditures (California State Controller's Office, 2018).

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

The County islands proposed to be annexed are currently not served by City street maintenance. The City's Street Division of the Public Works Department has been adequate for many years, with a balanced budget and adequate funding for the Capital Improvement

Programs. Due to the City's ability to provide such services to its current population, there is no evidence indicating that the addition of 1,293 residents would be too great for the City to adequately serve.

Additionally, according to the Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Hanford and County of Kings established in 2019, the County will request that the Kings County Association of Governments (KCAG) annually allocate \$125,000 in regional transportation funding, which would normally be transferred to the County, to the City for a period of two years. The total cumulative amount allocated to the City shall not exceed \$250,000. The City shall use the Annual Payments, if so, allocated by KCAG, for road maintenance costs within the County islands. This will help with any previously unknown costs associated with the addition of such roadways.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.7-1 – The City actively maintains the existing road systems and provides street sweeping within the city limits.

Determination 4.1.7-2 – The City utilizes a Capital Improvement Plan and reimbursements from the Gas Tax to aid in the repair and maintenance of existing roadways within the city limits.

Determination 4.1.7-3 – The City would be able to adequately serve the increased population of 1,293 residents, were the City to annex the County islands.

4.1.8 - FLOOD CONTROL/DRAINAGE

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

The 2007 MSR identified that stormwater drainage is accomplished in the City through a system of curbs and gutters, and a limited number of stormwater collection lines and stormwater drainage basins. Controlled discharge from drainage basins are allowed into designated canals owned and operated by People's Ditch Company irrigation canal. Hanford has relied on surface drainage systems to contain and transport stormwater run-off. During normal storm events drainage systems function at an acceptable level of service. The City's Planning Area lies outside any major flood prone areas, per the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). There are small localized areas within the Planning Area where it is shown within the 100-year flood plain.

Flood inundation from potential dam failure could result from Terminus Dam, Success Lake Dam, and Pine Flat Dam (located in the Sierra Nevada east of the valley floor on the Kaweah, Tule, and Kings River). Additional improvements made to other flood control facilities in the Kings County area, have significantly reduced local natural flood hazards.


According to the Army Corps of Engineers inundation maps for Kings County, a breach by any of the dams listed above will not affect the City of Hanford. Through the City's General Plan, the City Council has adopted runoff/discharge policies that have strict controls to meet the National Pollution Discharge Elimination system for development projects.

Current Conditions

According to the City's website, the storm drainage system consists of 30 pump stations, 56 miles of pipelines ranging in size from six inches to 60 inches, 138 inverted siphons, 974 drainage inlets, and 181 acres of drainage basins and drainage ditches. The storm drainage system removes rainfall from surface streets and disposes the accumulated stormwater in drainage basins (City of Hanford, 2020).

The City completed an updated Storm Drainage Master Plan in 2017 that updated much of the information identified in the prior 2007 MSR. The City's water system services residential and non-residential lands within the city limits. The service area includes 6,059 net acres of developed lands, 2,765 net acres of undeveloped lands, and 265 net acres of underutilized lands inside the city limits (City of Hanford, 2017). The City's General Plan anticipates approximately 16,900 net acres of residential and non-residential development at ultimate buildout of the Planned Area Boundary. A map of the existing storm drainage system is shown in Figure 4-5. The Master Plan to service the area planned for development in the General Plan is shown in Figure 4-6.


The modeled storm drainage system includes approximately 65 miles of stormwater conveyance to local retention systems or ditches. Pipes range from 8 inches to 60 inches in diameter. The storm conveyance system is predominantly composed of 12-, 15-, and 18-inch pipelines (City of Hanford, 2017).



Akel
Engineering
Group, Inc.

Figure 4-5
Hanford Existing Storm Drainage System

Attachment: Attachment 2 - Municipal Service Review (Annexation 160 - Island 7)



Akel
Engineering
Group, Inc.

Figure 4-6
Hanford Proposed Storm Drainage System

Attachment: Attachment 2 - Municipal Service Review (Annexation 160 - Island 7)

The City currently operates approximately 60 detention and retention basins. These facilities include slough remnants. The other basins located within the existing service area are man-made detention and retention facilities, and serve as dedicated stormwater receiving facilities, or dual-purpose park facilities, that can fill with excess stormwater runoff during the wet season. These drainage basins range in size from approximately 3.5 acre-feet (AF) to 94 AF (City of Hanford, 2017).

The City currently owns and operates 30 pump stations within the city limits. The pump stations vary in size and discharge to varying locations, which include canals, pipelines, and other conveyance facilities located throughout the City (City of Hanford, 2017).

Some facilities were identified as needing improvements in the Storm Drainage System Master Plan. After evaluation of the system, 12 basins were identified as needing new pipes, four existing retention basins needed to be expanded, and one lift station needed to be replaced. The future system improvements identified were 14 new pipes and 14 new retention basins (City of Hanford, 2017).

In the FY 2020-2024 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Budget, the CIP identified 11 projects that would provide some upgrades to existing facilities. The funding for these projects will come from the City's storm drainage capital and storm drainage impact fees. Total cost of all the projects for the five-year period is \$2,431,450, which will be entirely funded by the capital and impact fees mentioned above (City of Hanford, 2020).

These improvements are described below:

- Curb and Gutter Installation (\$20,000/year for all five years)
 - Installation of new or replacement of concrete curb & gutter to facilitate proper street drainage. Projects may include replacement of existing dilapidated curbs & gutter or installation of new curb & gutter in existing developed areas currently void of these improvements.
- Increase Flow Capacity of Main Branch of People's Ditch (\$25,000/year for all five years)
 - The City has drainage rights with People's Ditch Company which allows discharge in People's Ditch under certain parameters. Projects would be performed in cooperation with People's Ditch Company and would include culvert repair/enlargements, ditch realignment and piping, turn-out basins, control structure modifications, and additional ditch maintenance.
- Storm Drainage System Oversizing Requirements (\$50,000/year for all five years)
 - The City reimburses developers that are required to upsize their storm drainage improvements to provide additional capacity in compliance with the Storm Drainage Master Plan.


- Gate Crossing Security/Locks (\$18,450)
 - The City is responsible for all crossings and intersections between any City street or other ditch crossing, including fences, bridges, pipelines, or other appurtenances. The proposed tamper proof locks will prevent the cutting of locks along the ditch line and greatly reduce liability for the City of Hanford.
- Bonneyview Basin – Sand Slough Basin (\$262,500)
 - The Bonney View Estates Basin is inter-connected with the Sand Slough Basin to the north and the Live Oak Basin to the south and ultimately to the Houston/Iona Basin. This project is necessary to increase system reliability and increase the amount of storm runoff water that can be captured by both rainfall and diversions from People's Ditch.
- Tree Trim/Removal Program (\$690,000)
 - Many of the ponding basins have trees which prohibit the maintenance of the basin slopes and bottoms. The National Pollution Discharge Elimination System requires certain maintenance and testing. This program, implementing over the next couple of years, will remove trees to allow proper maintenance and prevent future growth.
- Mussel Slough/Laura Ln. Pump Installation (\$187,500)

This project consists of equipping the YMCA Basin with an electrical service, control panel, pump, diversion gates, and connection to existing piping for dewatering the basin to accommodate additional development and routine maintenance. This project will provide for dewatering of the basin and installation of new diversion gates to provide routine maintenance, assist in mosquito abatement activities, and increase storage capacity.

FLOOD CONTROL/DRAINAGE DEPARTMENT FUNDING

The Storm Drainage Operations is a division of the Public Works Department. Revenues are comprised of service fees. Fiscal Year 2016-17 saw a slight increase in expenditures. This is due to a slight increase in Personnel Services, according to the budget (City of Hanford, 2020).

Chart 4-7
Storm Drainage Operations Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020

As shown in Chart 4-7, the department revenues outpace expenses in order to fund capital projects. Since the Flood Control Department does operate as an enterprise fund, the department is not solely dependent on General Fund and special revenues. In the proposed budget for 2018-19, Storm Drainage expenditures comprised approximately 3.4 percent of the City's budget, which is an increase from approximately 1.5 percent in 2017-18 (City of Hanford, 2020). This is likely due to the number of projects in the CIP for the year 2020. The storm drain projects account for 6 percent of the total Capital Improvement Projects in FY 2019-2020.

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

The County is currently responsible for stormwater drainage in the eight County islands proposed to be annexed. Were the City to annex the islands, it would provide storm drainage services to the 1,293 new residents.

According to the City's Storm Drain Master Plan, the Plan anticipates necessary growth of the system due to the growth of the City. One of the tasks of the Plan was to document growth planning assumptions and known existing neighborhoods, such as the islands, and future developments (City of Hanford, 2017). The planning boundary and horizon for the Master Plan were developed in accordance with the City's recently adopted General Plan. Based on General Plan population projections, the addition of the 1,293 residents to the stormwater

residents is feasible as long as the City achieves the proposed goals of the Storm Drainage Master Plan in order to account for its anticipated future population.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.8-1 – The City provides municipal storm drainage services for its residents.

Determination 4.1.8-2 – The City has completed and adopted a Storm Drainage Master Plan in 2017 to better identify and improve operations of the storm drainage system and plan for future needs of the City in accordance with population projections.

Determination 4.1.8-3 – The City would be able to adequately serve the increased population of 1,293 residents, were the City to annex the County islands, as long as the City achieves its proposed goals of the Storm Drainage Master Plan.

4.1.9 - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

Transit services were reviewed by LAFCo in 2007 as part of the Roads and Circulation section of the comprehensive MSR.

According to the previous MSR, the City of Hanford and surrounding areas provide and are served by a number of public, private, and social service transportation organizations. The social service transportation organizations were not discussed in the 2007 MSR.

Kings Area Rural Transit (KART) is the largest provider of public transit services within Kings County. KART serves the transit needs throughout Kings County and parts of adjacent counties. The fixed route provides transit service between the cities of Avenal, Armona, Lemoore, Naval Air Station Lemoore, Visalia, Corcoran, Stratford, Kettleman City, and Hanford, which is the KART hub for the County. At the time of the previous MSR (2007), KART was estimated to serve 47,000 riders per month (Kings County LAFCo, 2007).

KART also provides Dial-A-Ride services for residents traveling more than a half mile from an existing bus route for those riders certified by KART as disabled. Dial-A-Ride (door to door) service is available Monday through Friday between 11:00 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. All rides from home must be scheduled one day in advance.

Private transit Services are provided in Hanford by three taxi-cab services (Hanford taxi, Marathon Cab, and Central Valley Cab). Orange Belt States provide east/west bus services and offers a daily scheduled bus service four times a day to Goshen and Visalia, one bus per day to Paso Robles and Fresno. Greyhound provides the link to the coastal communities and northern and southern destinations.

Current Conditions

The largest single provider of public transportation within Kings County is operated by Kings County Area Public Transit Agency (KCAPTA), a Joint Powers Agency comprised of the County and the cities of Hanford, Lemoore, and Avenal. KCAPTA oversees the operation of the Kings Area Rural Transit (KART) system. KCAPTA establishes the operating policies and defines the services to be provided by KART including service hours and days, fares, and routes (Tulare County Association of Governments, 2018). KART provides transportation services to Armona, Avenal, Corcoran, Grangeville, Hardwick, Hanford, Kettleman City, Laton, Lemoore, Naval Air Station Lemoore, and Stratford. KART Paratransit is available to eligible certified ADA passengers. In addition, KART provides regular transportation service to Fresno and Visalia (Kings Area Rural Transit, 2020).

KART provides Hanford with six interconnected half hours routes, regular service to most other communities in the County and weekday service to Visalia. Dial-A-Ride (demand response) service is available for only those residents of Hanford, Lemoore, Armona, and Avenal traveling more than half of a mile from an existing fixed bus route or for those riders

certified by KART as disabled. There is also a Hanford-Fresno fixed route within fourteen vehicles that runs every Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, with limited service on Saturdays.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The existing KART Transit Station in Hanford is located adjacent to the Hanford Amtrak Station. Approximately 2,000 riders access the station each day (Mott Macdonald, 2018). All but two KART bus routes service the station and are all timed to meet the station in 30-minute loops. There are currently nine fixed routes that circulate throughout Hanford. At least four commuter routes to outlying areas, including intercounty services, also circulate through the KART terminal. The scheduled bus service operates Monday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 9:45 p.m. with partial Saturday service.

Facility and infrastructure were not discussed during the last MSR cycle that reviewed Public Transportation. The Kings County Area Public Transit Agency has considered constructing a new larger transit station in downtown Hanford. An Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project was released in November of 2019.

Hanford is also one city of multiple cities (and the County) that contribute to the operation of KART. KART or public transportation were not included in the FY 2019/2020 budget. The City's General Plan describes two public transit goals and seven policies pertaining to public transit. The two goals are:

1. A citywide and regional transportation system that has the downtown as its hub; and
2. A convenient and efficient transit system that serves as an alternate to automobile travel and meets basic transportation needs of the transit dependent.

The policies in the General Plan pertaining to public transportation are:

- Adequate Transit Service Availability
 - Maintain a proactive working partnership with KART to ensure that adequate public transit service is available.
- KART Expansion
 - Pursue improvements and funding to increase transit ridership, increase transit frequencies on key corridors, and expand regular transit service in portion of Hanford that currently have no public transit.
- Transit Stops
 - Where right-of-way allows, arterial and major collector streets shall be designed to allow transit vehicles to pull out of the travel land when stopping.

- Improve Access to Transit Stops
 - Remove physical barriers to improve access to transit facilities for the elderly, disabled, and other transit-dependent groups.
 - Long Range Transit Plan
 - Coordinate and collaborate with KART and KCAG on development of long-range transit plan that considers special emphasis on new or enhanced transit services and amenities in the downtown core, and service to identified mixed use neighborhoods and corridors.
 - Vanpool Programs
 - Support the KART vanpool program for the area's farmworkers and other commuters.

TRANSIT FUNDING

The City of Hanford's FY 2019/2020 budget did not include funds for public transportation. According to KART's 2019-2020 budget, most of the funding for KART comes from fares, collection of local taxes and federal funds. The City of Hanford is not listed as a source of revenue.

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

The City will not need to serve the newly annexed population with regard to public transportation, as public transportation is currently provided to the City by the County, through the KART system. The County islands will continue to be served by the KART system.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.9-1 – The City, in conjunction with other cities and Kings County, provides fixed route and dial-a-ride service to its residents within the city limits and urban area boundary through the Kings Area Rural Transit (KART) system.

Determination 4.1.9-3 – The City's transit capital and service goals and policies are identified in the City of Hanford General Plan.

Determination 4.1.9-3 – The City will continue to utilize the public transportation system provided by the County through KART and will not have an increased number of persons served with the annexation of the County islands.

4.1.10 - SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

Summary of Prior MSR Findings

Solid waste services were reviewed by LAFCo in 2007 as part of the comprehensive MSR. At the time of the previous MSR (2007), there were no active solid waste disposal facilities within the Planning Area. The Kings Waste Management Authority (KCWMA) was formed in September 1998 by agreement between the cities of Hanford, Lemoore, Corcoran, and the County of Kings in order to provide a regional approach to all waste management activities in Kings County. Solid waste from the City of Hanford is transported to the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority (KWRA) Materials Recovery Facility in Hanford.

The existing KWRA landfill southeast of the City of Hanford was closed in 1998. The KWRA does not operate an active landfill. Waste is hauled by transfer trucks from the Material Recover Facility (MRF) to the State permitted Chemical Waste Management Landfill site in Kettleman Hills (45 miles southwest of the MRF).

The landfill is inspected on a monthly basis. The permitted capacity is 4,200,000 million cubic yards and remaining capacity is 1,901,860 million cubic yards. The permitted throughput tons/day and the estimated closure date of the landfill was 2010. Residential customers pay a flat rate for services, and commercial rates are based on size of pickups per week.

Current Conditions

The City continues to provide refuse collection, along with segregated green waste and recyclable collection within the incorporated limits of the City and in designated County areas. Hanford still participates in the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority. The Authority has a five-member Board, which has one representative from each of the City Council and two representatives of the Kings County Board of Supervisors. The Authority also has seven staff members.


FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The facility that was described in the previous MSR as needing to be closed in 2010 was instead expanded. The facility's permit was modified in 2014 to allow for the construction and operation of Landfill B-18 Phase III. On August 27, 2019 the EPA proposed an approval (permit) for the Kettleman Hill Facility to be able to store, treat for disposal, and dispose of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) waste (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2020).

SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FUNDING

The Refuse Operation Fund is an enterprise fund primarily funded by user fees. Waste Disposal Department budgeted expenditures totaled \$7.51 million in 2018-19, up 7.66 percent from 2017-18.

Chart 4-8
Refuse Operations Revenues and Expenditures



Source: City of Hanford 2020

As shown in Chart 4-8, refuse operations had a surplus in revenue in years 2015 to 2018. Expenditures have been increasing from Year 2015 to 2018 illustrates an increase in expenditures, resulting in the department to add \$335,473 of contributions from its cash reserve in order to zero out the 2017 to 2018 budget. The projected budget increased 15 percent from 2016 to 2017 and then another 15 percent from 2017 to 2018. According to the FY 2019/2020 budget, the increase is likely due to personnel services (City of Hanford, 2019).

ABILITY TO SERVE ANNEXED POPULATION

Solid waste collection in the County island is currently optional. Due to the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority's Joint Power Authority, there will be no change in service for the County or the City.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.10-1 – The City provides residents and commercial properties with solid waste collection and disposal through a JPA with Kings Waste and Recycling Authority.

Determination 4.1.10-2 – The City's Refuse Operations revenues and expenditures have been balanced.

Determination 4.1.10-3 – The City should continue to participate in the joint powers authority and review the rates established to ensure they provide equal levels of service to throughout the service area.

Determination 4.1.10-3 – The City will continue to utilize the Joint Power Authority with the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority, and there will be no change of service by the County or City with the annexation of the County islands.

4.1.11 - PLANS FOR FUTURE SERVICES

The City's General Plan has calculated growth rate projections. The growth rate projection has major implications on the amount of land that will be designated for future growth and the ability for current services to accommodate that growth. The General Plan quantified a few methods to anticipate Hanford's future population.

The first method was the Straight-Line Growth Rate Method, which estimated the population of Hanford to be 107,100 or 102,4000 in the year 2035. The second method was the Proportion of Projected County Growth Method, which estimated the population of Hanford to be 83,500 in 2035 with an annual growth rate of 1.8 percent. As the two methods provided varying population projections, it was decided that the General Plan would plan for a future population of 90,000 people in 2035, which translates roughly into a 2.1 percent average annual growth rate (City of Hanford, 2014).

The Water, Sewer, and Storm Drain Master Plans also utilized these population projections of the General Plan when accounting for the anticipated future level of service. Therefore, implementation of these Master Plans would properly provide the adequate extension of services to the growth areas of the City, namely the additional population of the County islands and the areas in the proposed Sphere of Influence.

One of the policies of the General Plan (Policy L16) is to consider the initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford when the following criteria are met (City of Hanford, 2014):

1. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence;
2. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed or will be adequate at the time that development occurs;
3. Land for development within the city limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs; and
4. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing development areas.

In all, the City has done ample infrastructure planning to accommodate growth projections in the City. The infrastructure documents mentioned above also include improvements and recommendations needed to improve any possible deficits in water, sewer, and storm drainage capacity within the existing systems.

Determinations

Determination 4.1.11-1 – The City's General Plan and subsequent Water, Sewer, and Storm Master Plans have calculated and planned for service accommodation for the future population of the City.

Determination 4.1.11-2 - Present needs for public facilities and services are currently being met. Probable needs for public facilities and services are not currently anticipated to vary from present needs, as future demands are expected to remain relatively the same.

Population increases are not currently anticipated to affect the City's ability to provide of services as growth is anticipated within the General Plan.

Determination 4.1.11-3 – Implementation of Master Plans would properly provide the adequate extension of services to the County islands, were they to be annexed.

4.2 - Financial Ability to Provide Services

This section analyzes the financial structure and health of the City of Hanford with respect to the provision of services. Included in this analysis is the consideration of rates, service operations, and the like, as well as other factors affecting the City's financial health and stability, including factors affecting the financing of needed infrastructure improvements and services.

An examination of financing includes an evaluation of the fiscal impacts of potential development, and probable mechanisms to finance needed improvements and services. Evaluating these issues is important to ensure new development does not excessively burden existing infrastructure and the ability of the City to fund existing improvements and services.

An examination of rate restructuring should identify impacts on rates and fees for services and facilities and recognize opportunities to positively impact rates without decreasing service levels. The focus of this required element of the MSR is whether there are viable options to increase the city's efficiency through rate restructuring prior to any city limit or SOI adjustment.

Annual audit reports and financial statements for the City were reviewed in accordance with the MSR Guidelines. The purpose of this review is to determine fiscal viability, suitability of current funding practices, and potential fiscal impacts resulting from new legislation.

4.2.1 - CITY BUDGET

The FY 2019-2020 Budget reflects the City Council's goals and continues funding sufficiently to maintain basic service levels. The budget is built upon guiding policies and is prepared in stages by fund type, allowing each fund's budget to be presented to City Council and discussed individually. The City's projected revenue for all funds in 2020 is \$70.9M. The projected expenditures in 2020 total \$65.46M (City of Hanford, 2019). The surplus in 2020 is likely to compensate for the deficit of the budget in 2019 (\$68.56 revenues and \$74.07 expenditures). The surplus in 2020 and the deficit in 2019 are quite similar, rounding to \$5.5 million each.

The City did not identify any major factors and obstacles affecting the FY 2019-2020 budget. The City did, however, list a series of budget strategies and fiscal policies, including flexible and cost-effective responses, contingency reserves, appropriation control, debt management, and fees.

The two primary sources of revenue for the City consist of the sales tax and property tax, which combined, total 72 percent of the General Fund revenue for the City (City of Hanford, 2019). Other revenue sources include licenses, permits, and fines. The City also pursues additional sources of funding from outside agencies with grants.

The primary sources of expenses for the City are the Police and Fire Department, when combined, total 62 percent of General Fund expenses for the City (City of Hanford, 2019). Other expenses include the Public Works Department (Admin/Engineering & Street Maintenance), and Parks and Recreation. Within these expenses, the salaries and benefits of all employees are included.

Overall, the City has adopted policies and strategies that drive the development of a sound budgetary structure. The City maintains goals and performance measures to gauge their effectiveness from year to year.

4.2.2 - RATES AND FEES

The City periodically sets rates and fees for various services it provides through the Master Fee Schedule. The most current fee schedule was updated in 2020. The listed fees include:

- Building permit fees for review and inspection,
- Fire inspection fees,
- Planning and environmental review fees,
- Engineering review and inspection fees,
- Code violation fines,
- Business license fees,
- Police service and various permit fees, and
- Development impact fees.

4.2.3 - PROPOSITION 218

Proposition 218 (Prop 218) restricts local government's ability to impose assessment and property related fees and requires elections to approve many local governmental revenue raising methods. This initiative, approved in 1996, applies to nearly 7,000 cities, counties, special districts, schools, community college districts, redevelopment agencies, and regional organizations. It ensures that all new taxes and most charges on property owners are subject to voter approval and especially to the tools of using property related fees to fund governmental services instead of property related services. Of potential concern is the long-term effect the proposition has created in a local government's ability to fill the growing divide between infrastructure needs and the provision of governmental services for the new infrastructure.

In 2014, a city sales tax increase, Measure S, was voted down by Hanford voters. Measure S would have raised Hanford's sales tax rate from 7.5 percent to 8.5 percent, bring about \$159 million of revenue over the measure's 20-year lifespan. It had been proposed that 70 percent

of the proceeds would have been used to bolster police and fire services. The rest was to be spent to improve services including parks maintenance and street repairs (Eiman, 2014).

4.2.4 - OPPORTUNITIES FOR RATE/FEE RESTRUCTURING

The City's Fee Schedule is subject to periodic comprehensive revisions and updates. The fee schedule was last updated in January of 2020 (City of Hanford, 2020). The City's Financial Budget Policies include the following revenue policy to ensure that rates and fees are adequate to cover the costs associated with the operations and infrastructure needs of City services:

- The City Council will annually adopt a schedule of fees and charge. The fees and charges will be set to provide adequate resources for the cost of the program or service provided.

In addition, last year the City reviewed and updated its Development Impact Fees in accordance with the provisions in AB 1600. There is no evidence to suggest that the City would not be able to provide services to the County islands to be annexed in the City, and charge fees consistent with the citywide fees for such services. Further, since the City's common practice is to review these fees periodically, it can be assumed that future years will follow the same review and update procedure to ensure that full cost recovery is obtained for services rendered.

Determination 4.2-1 – The City annually conducts an open, transparent budgeting process aimed at balancing the needs of the City with the financial resources available.

Determination 4.2-2 – The City attempts to utilize other forms of revenue available besides sales/property taxes and fees, such as grants, to supplement its revenue stream.

Determination 4.2-3 – The City levies a series of fees and rates to offset the operations, maintenance, and infrastructure costs of the services it provides.

Determination 4.2-4 – The services provided by the City are subject to Proposition 218.

Determination 4.2-5 – There is no evidence suggesting that the City would be unable to provide services to the County islands to be annexed to the City, and charge fees consistent with citywide fees for services. Since the City's common practice is to review these fees and adopted revised fees on a periodic basis, it can be assumed that future years will follow the same review and update procedure in order to ensure that full cost recovery is obtained for services rendered.

4.3 - Status of, and Opportunities for, Cost Avoidance and Shared Facilities

Practices and opportunities that may help to reduce or eliminate unnecessary costs are examined in this section, along with cost avoidance measures that are already being utilized. Occurrences of facilities sharing are listed and assessed for efficiency. Potential sharing opportunities that could result in better delivery of services is also discussed.

An examination of cost avoidance opportunities should identify practices and opportunities that may help eliminate unnecessary or excessive costs to provide services. Such costs may be derived from a variety of factors including duplication of service efforts and facilities; inefficient budgeting practices; higher than necessary administration and operating cost ratios; inefficient use of outsourcing opportunities; and inefficient service boundaries.

An examination of opportunities for shared facilities should determine if public service costs can be reduced as a result of identification and development of opportunities for sharing facilities and resources. The benefits of sharing costs for facilities are numerous, including pooling of funds to enjoy economies of scale; reduced service duplications; diversion of administrative functions of some facilities; reduced costs; and providing better overall service.

Maximizing opportunities to share facilities allows for a level of service that may not otherwise be possible under normal funding constraints; however, facilities sharing opportunities are not without their challenges. When a municipality enters into a shared agreement, it generally relinquishes a portion of its control of the facility. Additionally, the facility may not be entirely suited to accommodate the municipality's needs.

The City has demonstrated its desire to work with surrounding agencies to provide quality service to residents in a cost-effective manner. The Hanford Fire Department maintains a mutual aid agreement with Kings County. The City also participates in the Kings County Area Public Transit Agency, a Joint Powers Agency comprised of the County and the cities of Hanford, Lemoore, and Avenal, in order to provide public transportation to its residents. Hanford also is a member of the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority, which is a Joint Powers Authority comprised of Hanford, Lemoore, Corcoran, and the unincorporated portion of Kings County, in order to provide refuse disposal to its residents.

Therefore, although there is much collaboration already between the City and other agencies, the City should consider reviewing their agreements annually to determine if further cost savings could be realized beyond the current economies of scale.

4.3.1 - DETERMINATIONS

Determination 4.3-1 – The City participates in a mutual aid agreement with the Kings County Fire Department for additional fire protection service.

Determination 4.3-2 – The City participates in the Kings County Area Public Transit Authority to provide public transportation to its residents.

Determination 4.3-3 – The City is a member of the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority to provide refuse disposal to its residents.

Determination 4.3-4 – The City should annually review the agreements of which the City participates to establish if further cost savings could be realized beyond the current economies of scale.

4.4 - Accountability for Community Service Needs, including Governmental Structure and Operation Efficiencies

This section addresses the adequacy and appropriateness of the City of Hanford's existing boundary and SOI, assesses the management structure and overall managerial practices of the City, and evaluates the ability of the City to meet its service demands under its existing government structure. Also included in this section is an evaluation of compliance by the City with public meeting and records laws.

An examination of government structure should consider the advantages and disadvantages of various government structures that could provide public services. In reviewing potential government structure options, consideration may be given to service delivery quality and cost, regulatory or government frameworks, financial feasibility, operational practicality, and public preference.

An examination of local accountability should evaluate the accessibility to and levels of public participation with the agency's management and decision-making processes. The MSR Guidelines note measures such as legislative and bureaucratic accountability, public participation, and easy accessibility to public documents and information as important in ensuring public participation in the decision-making process.

4.4.1 - ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The City of Hanford operates under the City Manager/City Council form of government. The City Council sets policy for the City and appoints a City Manager to oversee day-to-day operations. Hanford's City Manager is responsible for the overall administrative direction of the City. This includes the quarterly review of all management performance plans to ensure that major goals and objectives of the City are achieved. The City Manager is also responsible for the development and implementation of the annual budget and the development of positive relationships with community organizations, employee groups, and other governmental agencies (City of Hanford, 2020). The current City Manager is Mario Cifuentez.

Council members are the leaders and policy makers elected to represent the community and to develop policies that meet the needs of the City's residents. Members of the Hanford City Council are selected directly by the electorate to serve as the policy making board of the City. The City Council is comprised of five members elected by districts and serve four-year staggered terms. Each year the City Council members select a Mayor and Vice-Mayor from amongst themselves (City of Hanford, 2020). The current members of the Hanford City Council are:

- Mayor Francisco Ramirez (District D)
- Vice-Mayor Diane Sharp (District C)
- Council Member John Draxler (District A)
- Council Member Kalish Morrow (District B)
- Council Member Art Brieno (District E)

The City operates with a budgeted number of employees approximately 275 regular, full-time employees, and 57 part-time/seasonal staff. The City also collaborates with community organizations to supplement staff services through the use of volunteers.

There are three appointed advisory commissions who assist the City Council in making policy decisions:

- Parking and Traffic Commission,
- Parks and Recreation Commission, and
- Planning Commission.

Citizens have an opportunity to participate in the implementation of local policies by serving on a commission. Each commission is comprised of citizens who work to provide services to the community while assisting the Council in achieving goals established by the citizens and elected officials.

A summary of the City's departments and the various services they provide to the residents of Hanford is provided below. The following information about each department was taken directly from the City's website.

City Administration

The Administration Division is responsible for the supervision and administration of the Public Works Department, City Clerk's duties, Human Resources, Risk Management, Health Insurance, Liability Risk.

Finance Department

The Finance Department is comprised of two divisions – Accounting and Utility Billing. The Accounting Division provides financial services to all City departments, including:

- cash management,
- preparation of financial reports,
- budget preparation and control,
- revenue and expenditure control,
- accounts receivable,
- payroll,
- purchasing,
- liability and property insurance,
- business licenses,
- general accounting, and
- financial advice.

The Utility Billing Division provides customer billing services for water, refuse, and sewer. The position of Finance Director and Treasurer for the City of Hanford is currently vacant.

Human Resources Department

The Human Resources Division is responsible for all aspects of the City's human resources system including recruitment, testing, selection, classification, administration, labor relations, benefit administration, workers compensation, safety administration, risk management, and employee development.

Community Development Department

The Community Development Department consists of Planning, Building, and Housing divisions. The City's Community Development Block Grant Consolidated Plan is managed through this department.

The Planning Department is responsible for long range planning within the city, the maintenance and application of the City's Zoning Ordinance and the processing and approval of site-specific development proposals to include rezoning, tentative maps, use permits and site plan reviews.

The main goal of the Building Division and the Code Compliance Section is to ensure compliance with national, State, and local building, and health and safety codes to safeguard life, health, and property for the residents of Hanford and the public in general. The Building Division performs plan reviews, issues building permits, and performs field inspections on all construction projects located within the city limits.

Public Works Department

The Public Works Department is responsible for maintaining and managing operations for the City's public utility systems. Services provided by the department include ensuring sufficient clean fresh water; reliable sewer services; street maintenance; storm drainage systems; street cleaning; and maintenance of street pavement, traffic signals. The department is composed of the following divisions: Fleet Maintenance, Refuse Collection, Street Maintenance, Utilities, Wastewater Treatment, and Engineering. The Engineering Department is also combined with the Public Works Department. John Doyel is the Director of Public Works for Hanford.

Parks and Community Services Department

The Parks and Recreation Department is responsible for coordinating activities for the City's residents, including special classes, youth programs, older adult activities, sports for youth and adults, and community events. The department is responsible for maintaining aesthetic and recreational value of over 208.5 acres of property including parks, landscaped street medians, athletic fields, the City's urban forest, and other landscaped areas including Downtown, Courthouse square, City parking lots, Industrial Park, Airport, and Intermodal Station.

The Department constructs streetscape enhancement improvements within the downtown area; coordinates the City's annual Tree City U.S.A recertification program; administers contracts and inspects maintenance for 37 landscape assessment districts; and performs playground safety inspections and upgrades existing playgrounds to meet ADA requirements. The department is also responsible for administering contracts and inspecting the maintenance of 29 landscape assessment districts.

Police Department

The City of Hanford currently employs a total of 62 sworn police officers. Some specialized divisions of the department include: Records & Fees, Investigations, and Operations.

The Records Unit consists of one Records Supervisor, one Senior Records Clerk, one Police Service Officer, and three records clerks. Records personnel perform a variety of duties that range from administrative and clerical support to Hanford Police staff and officers to assisting the general public at the front counter and on the telephone.

The Investigations Unit is called upon to investigate serious crimes within the community. These crimes cannot typically be handled by patrol officers due to the complexity or seriousness of the crime. The Detectives assigned to the unit receive specialized training in the techniques that the series crimes entail.

The Operation Division consist of those divisions which provide the basic police function. Most of these units are uniformed assignments and are those assignments that typically have regular, routine contact with the public. The Operations Division consists of four demand staff and 39 patrol officers.

Fire Services Department

The Hanford Fire Department provides emergency and fire protection services for residents and buildings within the city limits. Emergency services provided by the Fire Department include technical rescue, hazardous materials response, emergency medical services, and emergency disaster management. The Kings County Fire Department also provides additional services for the unincorporated areas, as well as serving as the Office of Emergency Management for all of Kings County.

4.4.2 - PRACTICES AND PERFORMANCE

The Mayor presides over Council meetings, which are held on the first and third Tuesday of each month at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall located at 400 N. Douty Street. The City follows the open meeting law set forth in the Brown Act (California Government Code Section 54950 et seq.). The intent of this legislation is to ensure that deliberations and actions of a legislative body be conducted openly and that all persons be permitted to attend any meeting except as otherwise provided in the law. Agendas are posted at least 72 hours in advance of a meeting and information made available to the Council is also made available to the public.

There appear to be ample opportunities for public involvement and input at regularly scheduled meetings. The agenda is posted at City Hall and posted on the City's website. Council agendas and packets are posted and available for Final at least 72 hours before each regularly scheduled Council meeting. Public notices (pursuant to the Government Code) are published to advertise certain types of hearings and press releases are issued to inform the public on significant citywide issues and projects. The City also communicates with the public through direct mailed notices, contributed articles in the local newspaper, utility newsletters, and social media.

The City and its departments follow various policies and procedures related to personnel, provision of services, customer relations and relationships with other agencies. Through the annual budget process, the City employs various techniques aimed at improving operational efficiency, such as eliminating duplicate services, personnel and equipment, reducing administrative costs when possible, and prioritizing service delivery needs to facilitate the use of limited resources to meet the highest priority need.

The management structure of the City is relatively simple and is well suited to the type of operations undertaken by the City; the linear management structure ensures an appropriate reporting mechanism and accountability. Furthermore, it allows for clear delineation of duties throughout the City for which the public can easily identify and bring forward their own issues, questions, or projects. The existing structure is considered appropriate for the City.

The City's budget process is a key mechanism used to review efficiencies in the management of City services and programs. The annual budget process includes a review of previous year accomplishments, upcoming year goals and programs, and specific funding to carry out those programs. The budget is adopted through a public hearing process by the City Council.

As a municipality, the City is structured to meet the needs and expectations of urban/suburban levels of development. As a multiple service provider with established service systems, the City efficiently provides a comprehensive range of services. With existing and planned development within the Sphere of Influence, including the annexation of the eight County islands, the extension of infrastructure and services into these areas would be logical and generally more efficient than if provided by other entities. Provision of services and infrastructure by the City into the Sphere of Influence should not overlap or conflict with other service providers. The inclusion of the County islands into the City is not anticipated to require changes to the governmental structure of the City.

4.4.3 - DETERMINATIONS

Determination 4.4-1 – The City operates under the City Manager/City Council form of government. Each year the Council members select a Mayor and Vice-Mayor from amongst themselves.

Determination 4.4-2 – The City conducts open meetings in compliance with the Brown Act that allows for complaints and comments regarding services and potential conflicts or inefficiencies to be identified to the City Council by residents.

Determination 4.4-3 – The City utilizes an organizational structure that obtains efficiency through departments heads who oversee multiple divisions.

Determination 4.4-4 – The City makes Council agendas and other information that details operations and services provided by the City available to the public at City Hall and on its website (Council agendas).

Determination 4.4-5 – The current City structure is efficient, transparent, and meets expectation of its residents with the resources available.

SECTION 5 - SPHERE OF INFLUENCE REVIEW

5.1 - Sphere of Influence Overview

As part of any Sphere of Influence review, LAFCo is required to consider all the information presented in the Municipal Service Review conducted for that agency. Additionally, LAFCo must also make written statement of its determinations for that agency regarding the following:

1. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open-space lands;
2. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area;
3. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide;
4. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency; and
5. The present and probable need for those public facilities and services of any disadvantaged unincorporated communities within the existing Sphere of Influence.

After a written determination has been made with respect to the aforementioned areas of review, LAFCo may adopt a Sphere of Influence (SOI) that is appropriate for the agency's provision of service.

This section meets the requirements of Government Code Section 56425 and allows LAFCo to adopt an SOI that is consistent with the written determinations for the City of Hanford.

5.1.1 - PRESENT AND PLANNED LAND USES

The City has adopted a General Plan that dictates present and future land use policy for City growth. The City's General Plan includes areas for immediate development as well as reserve areas to accommodate growth of the period of the document, at least 20 years and possibly beyond.

The City's General Plan identified a 2035 Growth Boundary to serve as the limits of the area to be developed with urban uses during the 2015 to 2035 planning period. Hanford's Sphere of Influence delineates the City's probable physical boundary and service area. The Primary Sphere of Influence determines the areas to be annexed, and the Secondary Sphere of Influence identifies areas where LAFCo recommends land use coordination between the City and County.

According to Policy L7 of the General Plan, the City will support and pursue an amendment of the City of Hanford's Primary Sphere of Influence to be coterminous to the Planned Area Boundary. The proposed Sphere of Influence is shown in Figure 5-1.



The Williamson Act

The California Land Conservation Act of 1965, commonly referred to as the Williamson Act, enables local governments to restrict the use of specific parcels of land to agricultural to related open space use. In return, landowners receive property tax assessments that are much lower than normal. This is a voluntary program. Landowners enter into contracts with participating cities and counties and agree to restrict their land to agriculture or open space for a minimum of 10 or 20 years.

There are 2,473 acres of land currently subject to a Williamson Act Contract within the City's SOI (see Figure 5-2). Of that amount, there are 292 acres under non-renewal and were scheduled to be removed from the provisions of the Williamson Act between 2014 and 2017.

The City should review the current Williamson Act contracts to make sure that the areas mentioned above were indeed removed from the Williamson Act between the years of 2015 and 2017, particularly the contract within the Primary SOI.

Figure 5-2: Williamson Act Lands




Figure 5-2
Williamson Act Lands

High Speed Rail

The California High Speed Rail Authority has identified a site east of Highway 43 and north of Highway 198 for a future station for the proposed high speed rail system. The City of Hanford has established this area as an Area of Interest in its General Plan. An Area of Interest is a geographic area within the Hanford General Plan that is integral to Hanford's future City planning even though no specific land uses are designated. Policy L105 of the City's General Plan explains it as such:

"Policy L105 Location of Area of Interest Land Use Designation

Locate an Area of Interest land use designation on the square mile bounded by Grangeville Boulevard, Lacey Boulevard, 7th Avenue, and 8th Avenue to reserve the area for future, but currently unknown land uses that may be associated with a high speed rail station."

The City of Hanford's General Plan also includes policies regarding the potential High Speed Rail Station. These policies include:

- *Policy T80* - Consider a station area planning study that considers locating most of High-Speed Rail support services west of 10th Avenue to support downtown Hanford.
- *Policy T81* - Ensure that effective transit linkages are in place between the High-Speed Rail Station and the City's downtown and employment centers.
- *Policy T82* – Ensure that financial, environmental, and agribusiness impacts of the project are mitigated for the citizens of Hanford.
- *Policy T83* – Aggressively seek State and federal funding for improvements and expansion of roads, water lines, sewer lines, storm drainage, public facilities, and utilities associated with High-Speed Rail.
- *Policy T84* – Ensure that the High-Speed Rail alignment through the Planning Area does not disrupt other transportation corridors identified in Hanford's circulation element.

Despite the uncertainty of the High-Speed Rail Station's timing and exact location, the City would like this Area of Interest included in its Sphere of Influence so that the area can be annexed into the City when and if needed to provide municipal services for the station. The No plans have been finalized on the type of surrounding land uses. The City recognizes that prior to considerations of the annexation of this Area of Interest the City will need to conduct a station area planning study that studies whether the City has adequate capacity to serve this area once annexed and a General Plan amendment to adopt specific land use designations and rezoning.




Figure 5-3
California High-Speed Rail Authority Kings/Tulare Station

5.1.2 - PRESENT AND PROBABLE NEED FOR PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES

The City provides a wide range of services to its residents while being supplemented by other agencies within its city limits. The City also coordinates through secondary agreements to collaborate with neighboring agencies, such as the County or special districts, to best provide services in a comprehensive manner.

The City will continue to utilize its agreements with the County for public transportation and solid waste collection and disposal. The City has undertaken significant efforts to implement the Master Plan infrastructure to areas within the City. The current public facilities serving the city limits and SOI will continue as is, with no need for a change of services.

5.1.3 - DISADVANTAGED UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES

As mentioned in Section 3, the City provides water, wastewater, and fire and emergency services within its city limits and within several of the County islands. However, the majority of area within the SOI (outside the city limits), does not have a service provider, with the exception of the Home Garden CSD. As shown in Figure 3-2, the areas depicted as a DUC are below the 80 percent of California's median household income and do not receive water, wastewater, and fire and emergency services from the City of Hanford or another service provider. However, given the fact that the City has extended services beyond its limits within some of these areas, future annexations should be reviewed on a case by case basis to determine if there is a neighborhood needing services that would warrant a subsequent annexation.

5.1.4 - PRESENT CAPACITY OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES

The City currently provides a level of service which appears satisfactory to meet the needs of its current residents. The City will need to determine the ability to provide public services to any areas to be annexed into the City from the Sphere of Influence.

5.1.5 - EXISTENCE OF ANY SOCIAL OR ECONOMIC COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST

As stated in Section 3, there are currently areas of social or economic interest within the existing SOI, denoted as DUCs or potential DUC, most notably the community of Home Garden. These areas have been identified and will need subsequent review for inclusion on future annexations. Areas which already receive water, wastewater, and fire and emergency service may still need to be included in follow-up annexations to the City in order to provide equitable police and fire and emergency services. Police service levels should be reviewed as part of annexation proposals that are in proximity to DUCs.

Additionally, other municipal services that would be extended to an annexation proposal should be compared with the adjacent DUCs area to determine if there would be a benefit or improvement in service levels to the neighborhood with a follow-up annexation. If the proposal would be cost prohibitive, the DUC area should be forwarded to the County to

attempt to bring the services through available funding sources, such as grants or loan interest loan programs, in order to facilitate transition to the City.

5.1.6 - SPHERE OF INFLUENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

As shown in the MSR and throughout the determinations of this document, the City of Hanford is currently providing services at an acceptable level to its citizens. The City is also accountable to its customers through the City Council, which are elected at-large. It has conducted appropriate reviews of the infrastructure systems both through the annual CIP process as well as updating the Water, Sewer and Storm Drainage Master Plans in 2017.

The growth envisioned within the General Plan includes an area northwest of the current Sphere of Influence and another area east of the City. The area east of the City is predicted to eventually be the location of Hanford's High-Speed Rail Station. The City will need to plan for infrastructure to serve this area if and when the City proposes to annex this area.

In conclusion, based on the analysis provided within this report, the SOI for the City of Hanford may be amended to adequately comply with the goals of the General Plan.

Recommendation 8-1 – It is recommended that the City of Hanford's Sphere of Influence be amended as shown in Figure 5-1.

Recommendation 8-2 - The City should prepare a special plan for services, a General Plan amendment to add specific land use designations, and rezoning if and when the City proposes to annex the area planned for Hanford's High-Speed Rail Station.

SECTION 6 - BIBLIOGRAPHY

- American Community Survey. (2018). *United States Census Bureau*. Retrieved from ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates: https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=grangeville,%20ca&g=1600000US0630686&hidePreview=false&table=DP05&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP05&layer=place&cid=D P05_0001E&vintage=2018&lastDisplayedRow=17
- California Department of Finance. (2018). *California Department of Finance MHI*. Retrieved from California Department of Finance: <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Economics/Indicators/Income/>
- California Department of Parks and Recreation. (2015). *Parks for All Californians*. Retrieved from Parks for California: <https://www.parksforcalifornia.org/parkaccess>
- California Legislative Information. (2011, October 7). *SB - 244 Local government: Land use: general plan: disadvantage unincorporated communities*. Retrieved from http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201120120SB244
- California State Controller's Office. (2018). *Hanford*. Retrieved from City Data: https://cities.bythenumbers.sco.ca.gov/#!/year/2018/operating/0/entity_name/Hanford/0/category/General+Government+and+Public+Safety/0/subcategory_1/Public+Safety/0/subcategory_2?vis=pieChart
- California State Controller's Office. (2018). *Hanford Expenditures*. Retrieved from Cities By the Numbers: https://cities.bythenumbers.sco.ca.gov/#!/year/2018/operating/0/entity_name/Hanford/0/line_description?vis=barChart
- City of Hanford. (2009). *City of Hanford*. Retrieved from City of Hanford California: https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/residents/about_hanford/history.php
- City of Hanford. (2009). *Hanford Parks, Recreation and Open Space Master Plan*. Hanford.
- City of Hanford. (2014). *City of Hanford General Plan*. Hanford .
- City of Hanford. (2014, March). *General Plan Background Report*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: http://cms6.revize.com/revize/hanfordca/document_center/Planning/General%20Plan/2034%20General%20Plan%20Background%20Report.pdf
- City of Hanford. (2017). *2035 General Plan Policy Document*. Hanford .
- City of Hanford. (2017). *City of Hanford Water System Master Plan*. Hanford: AKEL Engineering Group.

- City of Hanford. (2017). *Sewer System Master Plan*. Hanford: City of Hanford.
- City of Hanford. (2017). *Storm Drainage System Master Plan*. Akel Engineering Group.
- City of Hanford. (2017). *Wastewater Treatment*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/departments/public_works/wastewater_treatment.php
- City of Hanford. (2018). *Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Annual Budget*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: http://cms6.revize.com/revize/hanfordca/document_center/Finance/Financial%20Documents/FY19%20Annual%20Budget%20Publication1%20080818.pdf
- City of Hanford. (2019). *City of Hanford Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Annual Budget*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: http://cms6.revize.com/revize/hanfordca/document_center/Finance/Financial%20Documents/FY20%20Annual%20Budget%20%20-%20Final_proof.pdf
- City of Hanford. (2020, January 6). *Application, Filing, and Processing Fees*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: [https://cms6.revize.com/revize/hanfordca/document_center/Planning/1-6-2020%20Fee%20Schedule%20\(1-22-2020\).pdf](https://cms6.revize.com/revize/hanfordca/document_center/Planning/1-6-2020%20Fee%20Schedule%20(1-22-2020).pdf)
- City of Hanford. (2020). *City Council*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/government/city_council/index.php
- City of Hanford. (2020). *City Manager*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/government/city_manager/index.php
- City of Hanford. (2020). *City of Hanford FY 2020 Budget*. Hanford.
- City of Hanford. (2020). *Fire*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: <https://www.ci.hanford.ca.us/departments/fire/index.php>
- City of Hanford. (2020). *Parks and Recreation*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/departments/parks_and_recreation/index.php
- City of Hanford. (2020). *Public Works - Street Division*. Retrieved from City of Hanford: https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/departments/public_works/street_division.php
- City of Hanford. (2020). *Storm Drainage Information*. Retrieved from City of Hanford : https://www.cityofhanfordca.com/departments/utilities_and_engineering/utilitiy_division/storm_drainage_information.php
- Eiman, M. (2014, November 5). Hanford Voters Defeat Measure S. *Hanford Sentinel*.
- Hanford, C. o. (2020, April 13). Hanford Personnel. (QK, Interviewer)

- Kings Area Rural Transit. (2020). *About*. Retrieved from Kings Area Rural Transit: <https://www.kartbus.org/about/>
- Kings County. (n.d.). *Armona Community Plan*. Retrieved from Community Development Agency: <https://www.countyofkings.com/home/showdocument?id=13505>
- Kings County General Plan. (2010, January 26). *Community Development Agency*. Retrieved from <https://www.countyofkings.com/home/showdocument?id=13515>
- Kings County. (n.d.). *Home Garden Community Plan*. Retrieved from Community Development Agency: <https://www.countyofkings.com/home/showdocument?id=13505>
- Kings County LAFCo. (2007, October). *Municipal Service Reviews for Cities and Community Districts*. Retrieved from Kings County LAFCo: <http://www.kingslafco.com/Documents/2007%20KC%20MSR%2010-24-07%20plus%20resolution.pdf>
- Mott Macdonald. (2018). *KART Transit Station Site Selection Study*. Kings County Association of Governments .
- National Recreation and Park Association. (2020, January 1). *NRPA park metrics*. Retrieved from <https://www.nrpa.org/publications-research/ParkMetrics/>
- Sever, C. o. (2020, 9 17). Police Chief . (A. P. Trevor Stearns, Interviewer)
- The Sentinel. (2016, August 17). Hanford Fire Rating Could Lower Insurance Bills. Hanford, California.
- Tulare County Association of Governments. (2018). *Cross Valley Corridor Plan*.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2020). *Kettleman Hills*. Retrieved from EPA in California: <https://www.epa.gov/ca/kettleman-hills>



June 28, 2021

To: Property Owners within County Island #7

MAYOR
FRANCISCO RAMIREZ
VICEMAYOR
DIANE SHARP
COUNCIL MEMBERS
AMANDA SALTRAY
KALISH MORROW
ART BRIENO
CITY MANAGER
MARIO CIFUENTEZ

Re: Effect of Annexation on County Islands

This letter serves as notice that the City of Hanford is proposing to initiate the annexation of county-islands, in accordance with the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (CKH Act) and the State of California's Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) guidelines.

There are eight County islands within the bounds of the City of Hanford city limits. The City and County have agreed that it is in both parties' best interest to annex these islands to reduce inefficiencies and deficiencies of services provided.

Prior to initiation of the annexation of the County islands, the City of Hanford wishes to inform property owners within the County islands of the effect of annexation into the City limits. This letter provides answers to some of the most frequently asked questions associated with annexation. Please review the information below.

Should you have any questions not addressed by this letter, or wish to discuss further, please contact the staff-member associated with the topic you wish to discuss.

Any remaining questions will be addressed during an open-forum community meeting, which will be held on **Wednesday, August 4, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. in the Civic Auditorium, 400 N. Douty Street, Hanford, CA 93230.**

PUBLIC COMMENT: All interested parties are invited to attend the meeting and/or send written comments to Natalie Corral, City Clerk, City of Hanford, 319 N. Douty Street, Hanford CA 93230; NCorral@cityofhanfordca.com. To ensure comments will be considered, all comments are requested to be submitted to the City by 12:00 p.m. the day of the meeting. Verbal and written comments may be submitted until the close of the public hearing.

Frequently Asked Questions:

1. What is annexation?

The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 governs annexation of unincorporated areas to a City. Annexation is a reorganization that changes the governmental authority from one jurisdiction (the County) to another (the City). The Kings County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) reviews and approves annexation requests. For more information regarding LAFCO, please visit the website at <http://www.kingslafco.com/>

2. What is an island?

An unincorporated "island" is a relatively small pocket of land currently served by the Kings County, but substantially surrounded by the City of Hanford. Generally, in accordance with Section 56375.3 of the Government Code, LAFCO characterizes an "island" as follows:

Attachment: Attachment 3 - FAQ Island #7 (Annexation 160 - Island 7)

- a. the land is substantially surrounded by a city, is substantially developed or developing, is not prime agricultural land, is designated for urban growth in the city's general plan, and is not within the Sphere of Influence of another city, and
- b. the land is located within an urban service area designated by the LAFCO, is not prime agricultural land, and is designated for urban growth in the city's general plan, and
- c. the land area does not exceed 150 acres.

Although islands are completely or substantially surrounded by a city, they remain under the land use and service authority of the County. The State Legislature determined that the continued existence of these islands created problems for cities, counties, island residents, adjacent city residents, and various agencies and special districts responsible for providing services and facilities. *Consequently, the State modified the procedures to encourage cities to undertake island annexations by limiting the due process otherwise afforded other types of annexations.*

3. What is the streamlined process for island annexation?

In 1999, the California Legislature adopted AB 1555 (codified in Government Code Section 56375.3) that encouraged cities to annex small unincorporated islands. The law allows cities to annex islands without protest proceedings or elections, provided the island meets special criteria. By law, LAFCO must approve an annexation request submitted by a city if all of the criteria mentioned in Question #2 above are satisfied.

All islands proposed to be annexed by the City of Hanford satisfy the specified criteria; therefore, protest proceedings are not required.

Finance Department

Contact Finance, Utility Billing via phone (559)585-2510 or email, finance@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:

1. Will my utility bills increase?

Overall, customers can expect to pay less in water, however the County refuse service is lower than the City's, because street sweeping costs are not applied to County services. On average, the cost to the resident will be less in the City, than in the County.

	Inside the City @ 11 Units of Water	Outside the City @ 11 Units of Water
Water Service	15.41	16.96
Water Consumption	14.74	16.17
Sewer Service	17.86	17.86
Storm Drain Service	5.04	5.04
Trash Service	25.20	23.80
Total	78.25	79.83

2. Will my property taxes increase?

No change will occur. All properties are taxed at the same rates whether annexed to the City or not (approximately one percent of assessed value). That is, City and County property owners pay the same tax rates. Thus, property tax assessments will not be affected by annexation. However, if the property is resold, or if new improvements to the property are constructed, the assessed value of the property will most likely increase. This increase, however, has nothing to do with a property being in the City or the County.

Public Works Department

Contact the Public Works Department via phone (559)585-2550 or email, PublicWorks@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:

1. Must I hook up to the City's sewer system if I already have a septic system?

Not at this time. Neither the County nor the City can require you to have sewer service if you are using a properly operating septic system. However, if your septic system violates State and/or local health and building codes, both County and City ordinances require most homeowners to connect to a sewer system if one is available within 200 feet of the property.

2. Can I replace my septic tank or do substantial work on the leach field once I am in the City?

The city will issue permits for new septic tank work or substantial work on the leach field only if the sewer line is not adjacent to your property. Minor work on the leach field is allowed.

3. Can I keep my existing well and continue to use it after annexation to the City?

Yes. Existing wells can be retained after annexation. The City encourages property owners to hook up the house to city water, but the existing well can be retained long term for watering the property as long as a backflow device is installed on your domestic water service.

4. Is there a time in which I must connect the house to city water?

No. You will only be required to connect to city water when major work on the well is needed. Minor work, such as cleaning or pump replacement, will not require connection.

5. If I already have City water and sewer service, will my water and sewer bills go up after annexation?

No. Actually, the water and sewer portion of the bills will be reduced by 10% upon annexation into the City. The City will begin street sweeping the annexed areas and that charge will now appear on your bill.

6. What about curbs, gutters, sidewalks, street trees, storm drains, or streetlights?

There is no requirement that curbs, gutters, sidewalks, street trees, storm drains, or streetlights be installed as part of the annexation. The City may require a developer to make off-site improvements, which may include improvements along the frontage of individual properties. If a property owner undertakes substantial building remodeling or new building construction on an individual lot basis after annexation, then curbs, gutters, sidewalks, street trees and street lights must be constructed along the frontage of property. If desired by a neighborhood, a special district could be established to install these items at the cost of the property owners. The City may also establish a special district in an existing neighborhood to install such public improvements to be paid for by the property owners over time.

7. I now have trash service from a private contractor, can I keep my existing service and for how long?

You would have 12 months after annexation before you are required to sign up for City trash service. Currently, the city trash service for solid waste, and recycling and green waste is \$26.81 per month (fees subject to change). The City also provides a spring clean-up for a free pickup truck load to the dump. This service is included in the normal service fees.

Fire Department

Contact the Fire Department via phone (559)585-2545 or email, FirePrevention@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:

1. Who will be responsible for Fire protection?

Fire protection service will be provided by the City Fire Department upon annexation. The City has an excellent fire protection rating and fire insurance rates are generally lower in the City than in the County.

Police Department

Contact the Police Department, via phone (559)585-4540 or email, PoliceAdministration@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:

1. Who will be responsible for Police protection?

Police protection service would be provided by the County Sheriff for the first two years after annexation, based upon the agreement between the City and the County. After that, the City Police Department will be responsible for Police protection. The department has a highly trained staff of police officers.

Kings County Elections Office

Contact the Kings County Elections Office via phone (559)852-4401 or email, elections@countyofkings.com, regarding the information below:

1. What changes will annexation have on elections?

The Kings County Elections Department conducts Federal, State, School, County, City and Special District elections. After annexation, residents of the areas affected would become citizens of the City, entitled to vote in City elections, and eligible to hold elective and appointive positions.

Community Development Department – Planning Division

Contact Senior Planner, Gabrielle Myers, via phone (559)585-2578 or email, gmyers@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:


1. What effects will annexation have on zoning?

The county islands will be pre-zoned, based on the General Plan Designation for the area.

The ordinance can be viewed at this address: <http://qcode.us/codes/hanford/>

Island Number	General Location	General Plan Designation	Pre-Zoning
7	Kings County Road, North of Grangeville Boulevard	Low-Density Residential	R-L-8 Low-Density Residential (8,000 sq. ft. minimum lot size)

County Island #7:
 General Plan Designation: Low-Density Residential
 Pre-Zone: R-L-8 Low Density Residential (8,000 sq. ft. minimum lot size)



2. What effects will annexation have on the uses allowed? If a use is allowed in the County, but not allowed in the City, what happens?

A use shall be deemed nonconforming if it was lawfully commenced while under the jurisdiction of Kings County, but which, after annexation of the site into the City, does not conform to the use regulations of this title.

For example: If you are legally operating (have a valid business license and all required permits for operation/structures) a vehicle repair shop in Kings County, currently, but upon annexation the use is not allowed in the zone district, you may still operate. However, if you discontinue the use for a period of six months or more, the non-conforming use shall not be able to reestablish. A nonconforming use may be expanded by up to 10% of its exiting floor area if a conditional use permit is granted. The expansion of a nonconforming use or structure may be allowed only one time per use.

3. What is the permitting process like in the City?

The permitting and entitlement process is a similar process and requirements as Kings County.

4. I have various animals in the County, can I keep them in the City?

An animal raising use that is nonconforming due to annexation or adoption or amendment to this title shall be allowed to continue, provided that the intensity of the animal raising use (i.e., type and number of animals) shall not change or increase. The provisions for abandonment or discontinuance shall not apply. **Please note that you are limited to the allowances approved by Kings County.**

Community Development Department – Building and Code Enforcement

Contact Building Official, Tom Webb, via phone (559)585-2584 or email, twebb@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:

1. Does the City of Hanford have Code Enforcement?

Yes, the City of Hanford does have Code Enforcement officers and will enforce the City ordinances upon annexation on a complaint-basis. Code enforcement issues include:

- a. Abandon vehicles
- b. Junk and debris
- c. Property maintenance
- d. Building without permits

2. Will my address be changed?

It depends, if you currently do not have a City-style address, you will be reassigned one; City-style addressing is typically four numbers verses County-style, which is five.

- a. The City will notify you and the post-office of the change

Community Development Department – Housing Division

Contact Housing Analyst, Sandra Lerma-Martinez, via phone (559)585-4766 or email, slerma@cityofhanfordca.com, regarding the information below:

- 1. Upon annexation, home owners will now be eligible to apply for City assistance programs (formerly, while being located outside of city limits, owners were not eligible for these programs).

Should you have any questions not addressed by this letter, or wish to discuss further, please contact the staff-member associated with the topic you wish to discuss. Thank you!

Sincerely,



Mario Cifuentes
City Manager
319 N. Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230
(559)585-2516
mcifuentes@cityofhanfordca.com

Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

To: Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

County Clerk

County of: Kings

1400 W. Lacey Blvd

Hanford, CA 93230

From: (Public Agency): City of Hanford

317 N. Douty Street

Hanford, CA 93230

(Address)

Project Title: Annexation 160 - County Island Annexation #7; Prezone 2021-07

Project Applicant: City of Hanford

Project Location - Specific:

See Attachment

Project Location - City: Hanford

Project Location - County: Kings

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

See Attachment

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: City of Hanford

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: City of Hanford

Exempt Status: **(check one):**

☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);

☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));

☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));

☒ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Existing Facilities 15319(a)

☒ Statutory Exemptions. State code number: 15061(b)(3)

Reasons why project is exempt:

See Attachment

Lead Agency

Contact Person: Gabrielle Myers

Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 559-585-2578

If filed by applicant:

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.

2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Signature: _____ Date: _____ Title: _____

☐ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.

Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at OPR: _____

Attachment: Attachment 4 - NOE Island 7 (Annexation 160 - Island 7)



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 10/12/2021	AGENDA SECTION: 5
---------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01: a request by the City of Hanford to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding sections in order to: 1. Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 2. Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 3. Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts; and 4. Add “Laboratory; general, medical, or dental” as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 5. Add “Food catering” as a permitted use in C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district.

See staff report, attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Planning Commission Staff Report - ZTA 2021-01
Resolution No. 2021-21 (ZTA 2021-01)
Notice of Exemption

**CITY OF HANFORD PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT
Tuesday, October 12, 2021**

PROJECT: **Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01:** a request by the City of Hanford to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding sections in order to:

1. Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and
2. Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and
3. Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts; and
4. Add “Laboratory; general, medical, or dental” as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and
5. Add “Food catering” as a permitted use in C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district.

LOCATION: Citywide

PLANNER: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-21, recommending approval of Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01 to the City Council.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

1. I move to adopt Resolution No. 2021-01, recommending approval of Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01 to the City Council.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01 is a request by the City of Hanford to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal

Code by adding or deleting sections in order to make the following changes (additions appear in **bold**; deletions appear as ~~strikethrough~~).

1. Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and
2. Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district:

Table 17.08.030

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table															
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed															
Land Uses		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones		
		C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO
E6	Office; medical, dental, or optometry	P		P		P	P	P	P	P					
	Under 2,000 sq. ft.	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P					
	2,000 – 6,000 sq. ft.	P	C	P		P	P	P	P	P					
	Over 6,000 sq. ft.	P		P		P	P	P	P	P					
E7	Office; professional or commercial	P		P		P	P	P	P	P					
	Under 2,000 sq. ft.	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P					
	2,000 – 6,000 sq. ft.	P	C	P		P	P	P	P	P					
	Over 6,000 sq. ft.	P		P		P	P	P	P	P					

3. Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts:

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table														
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed														
Land Uses	Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones		
	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO
I16 Furniture store		P				P	P							
I16 Furniture store with less than 15,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture		P				P	P							
I17 Furniture store with 15,000 to 20,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture		C				C	P							
I18 Furniture store with over 20,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture							P							

4. Add "Laboratory; general, medical, or dental" as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district: and

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table														
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed														
Land Uses	Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones		
	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO
E4 Laboratory; general, medical or dental		C	P	C		P	C		P	C				

5. Add “Food catering” as a permitted use in the C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district.

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table													
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed													
Land Uses		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones
		C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP
													PF
													CO
													Specific Land Use Standards (See identified Section)
J14	Food catering	P	P	P		P	P	P	C				

BACKGROUND

On August 3, 2021, during a study session item, the City Council directed staff to proceed with the following changes, in order to permit additions to the C-R Regional Commercial zone district.

#	Land Use	Staff Recommendation	2021 Council Recommendation
E4	Laboratory; general, medical or dental	C	C
E6	Office; medical, dental, or optometry		
	Less than 2,000 square feet	P	P
	Between 2,000 to 6,000 square feet	C	C
	Over 6,000 square feet	Don't allow	No
E7	Office; professional or commercial		
	Less than 2,000 square feet	P	P
	Between 2,000 to 6,000 square feet	C	C

	Over 6,000 square feet	Don't allow	No
I18	Furniture store (remove size restrictions) allow outright in C-R, MX-C, and MX-D	P	P
J3	Bank or credit union, secondary branch	Don't allow	P – GP amendment required
J14	Food catering	P	P
J21	Payday lenders or check cashing establishment	Don't allow	P – GP amendment required

Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01 includes the requested changes to allow (E4) Laboratory; general, medical, and dental as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; create size breakdowns and allow limited office uses for (E6 and E7) Office; medical, dental, and optometry, and Office; professional or commercial in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; eliminate size restrictions for furniture stores, and allow food catering as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district.

The Council also directed staff to proceed with a Zone Text Amendment to allow Bank or credit union, secondary branch and payday lenders or cash checking establishments as permitted uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. Due to conflicts with the General Plan's policy, a General Plan Amendment is also required for those zone text amendment requests. The General Plan Amendment and Zone Text Amendment to allow for Bank or credit union, secondary branch, and Payday lenders or check cashing establishment as a permitted use in C-R Regional Commercial will be presented to the discretionary bodies at a later date.

PROJECT EVALUATION

Each land use was evaluated individually in accordance with the requirements of Section 17.02.060, 17.08.050 and 17.86.030 of the Zoning Ordinance. An evaluation of the General Plan Policies, the Zoning Ordinance, and the currently allowed uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone was completed, as described fully below.

Laboratory; General, Medical or Dental (E4)

The City Council directed staff to amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 Line E4 to add "Laboratory; general, medical or dental," as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district.

In the C-R Regional Commercial zone district, "Urgent care center(s) or other walk-in clinic(s) are permitted, with a conditional use permit.

Definitions:

"Laboratory; general, medical, or dental," means a facility that conducts scientific or medical experiments, research, or teaching, typically within a completely enclosed building.

“Urgent care center or other walk-in clinic,” means a facility that provides medical treatment of injuries or illnesses that do not require treatment typically provided in an emergency, typically without an appointment. This use includes urgent care centers, free clinics, hospital based health clinics, and community health care clinics, but does not include ancillary retail clinics located within a retail store, food market, or pharmacy.”

The General Plan contains policies that support services in the C-R Regional Commercial zone. The following are excerpts from the General Plan pertaining to the purpose of the Regional Commercial land use designation.

3.5.2 Regional Commercial

Policy L47 Purpose of Regional Commercial Land Use Designation

Establish the Regional Commercial land use designation to provide a variety of commercial goods, entertainment, and services in large format shopping centers for both the Hanford community and the larger region outside of Hanford.

Staff Recommendation: Amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 Line E4 to add “Laboratory; general, medical or dental,” as a conditional use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. The use is similar to an already allowed medical use within the zone district - Urgent care centers or other walk-in clinics - and will not conflict with the purpose of the Regional Commercial land use designation. Staff is supportive of the use with a conditional use permit. Requiring a conditional use permit for the use will allow discretionary review and approval of the use.

Offices: Medical and Professional

This section applies to the medical and professional office land uses - Lines E6 and E7

Currently, office uses, medical or professional are not permitted in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. Since the same policies would apply to both medical and professional, the policies and analysis in the General Plan are described below. Each category will have its own recommendation following the analysis.

Offices, (medical, dental, and optometry) and (professional and commercial) are currently permitted uses in the C-S Service Commercial, C-N Neighborhood Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use, MX-D Downtown Mixed Use, O Office, and O-R Office Residential zone districts.

The General Plan specifies the intent of the Corridor Mixed Use, Downtown Mixed Use, Office, and Office-Residential land use designations to provide for offices.

Staff recommends that offices, of all sizes, continue to be permitted where they are presently allowed and to add limited office use, under 2,000 square feet, as a permitted use in C-R Regional Commercial and between 2,000 – 6,000 square feet as a conditionally permitted use in C-R Regional Commercial.

The following are specific General Plan policies:

3.5.2 Regional Commercial

Policy L47 Purpose of Regional Commercial Land Use Designation

Establish the Regional Commercial land use designation to provide a variety of commercial goods, entertainment, and services in large format shopping centers for both the Hanford community and the larger region outside of Hanford.

3.6 Mixed Land Use

The intent of the mixed-use land use designations is to provide for a mixture of stores, services, restaurants, offices, and homes (dwelling units) in close proximity, thereby allowing residents to work and shop close to home and reduce the length and frequency of trips between home, work, shopping, and services. This contributes to opportunities to increase the use of public transit, reduce auto dependency, provide a wider range of housing choices, and promote activity during more hours of the day. Both vertical and horizontal mixed-use developments are encouraged in the mixed use designations. The Hanford Zoning Ordinance provides the enforcement mechanism to implement the identified policies.

3.6.2 Corridor Mixed Use

Policy L65 Purpose of Corridor Mixed Use Land Use Designation

Establish the Corridor Mixed Use land use designation to promote a mix of commercial, office, and multi-family residential uses along transportation corridors at a scale compatible with adjacent residential neighborhood, with the intent of creating a pedestrian-friendly environment that encourages walking between uses.

Policy L66 Typical Uses in Corridor Mixed Use Land Use Designation

Define the uses allowed in the Corridor Mixed Use land use designation to include small- and medium- scale commercial providing primarily day-to-day goods and services, office, and multi-family dwellings along with horizontal and vertical mixed use developments that include these uses. Typical uses include duplexes, townhomes, apartments, markets, small retail shops, eating establishments, offices, service stations, medical and dental offices, convenience stores, dry cleaning and laundry services, beauty salons, and other similar uses. Both vertical and horizontal mixed-use developments are encouraged.

3.6.3 Downtown Mixed use

Policy L69 Purpose of Downtown Mixed Use Land Use Designation

Establish the Downtown Mixed Use land use designation as a unique pedestrian-oriented, multi-story, concentrated area of shopping, entertainment, eating establishments, high density housing, and offices primarily served by on-street or public parking concentrated in the historic center of Hanford to serve the entire community.

Policy L73 Offices in Downtown

Encourage local-serving offices to locate in the Downtown.

3.7.1 Office

Policy L74 Purpose of Office Land Use Designation

Establish the Office land use designation to support professional, non-retail businesses, along with medical and health care needs in a large campus-style setting as part of a resilient economic base.

Policy L75 Typical Uses in Office Land Use Designation

Define the uses allowed in the Office land use designation to include professional and medical businesses and institutions typically found in an office building or campus environment. Typical uses include professional offices, medical offices, hospitals, and medical clinics.

3.7.2 Office Residential

Surrounding much of the historical downtown are older homes, some of which are in the process of converting from conventional single family units to offices. Many of these structures are large and have stunning architectural features that add significantly to the atmosphere of the downtown.

Policy L79 Purpose of Office Residential Land Use Designation

Establish the Office Residential land use designation to preserve and enhance existing residential structures by allowing them to convert to offices, bed and breakfast inns, or a combination of office and residential units.

Staff reviewed the ordinances for Visalia, Tulare and Clovis. These cities allow offices in the regional or community level commercial, however, the City of Hanford General Plan, as stated above, does not contain provisions for offices in the C-R Regional Commercial zone. Although large scale offices should not be permitted, based on the General Plan, some limited small offices may be appropriate to support the regional uses. Staff proposes to amend the land use table to divide office land uses into size categories:

- Offices less than 2,000 square feet;
- Offices between 2,000 – 6,000 square feet; and
- Offices over 6,000 square feet

Medical office uses in the former DC – Downtown Commercial zone (2002 Municipal Code) were permitted if they were under 6,000 square feet and a conditional use over 6,000 square feet. In June of 2015, the City Council changed the zoning code to allow all medical office regardless of size in the DC zone. This size limitation of 6,000 being considered small is continued in the proposed ordinance recommended by staff.

Staff Recommendation: *Staff recommends small offices, under 2,000 sq. ft. be permitted in the C-R zone district, in addition to all zone districts offices are currently permitted in. Staff also recommends offices between 2,000 sq. ft. and 6,000 sq. ft. be permitted with approval of a conditional use permit. Offices over 6,000 square feet cannot be supported by the policies in the General Plan.*

Size breakdowns will affect the other zone districts, as well, however, offices (of all sizes) will remain as permitted in those zones which already permit office uses, including the C-S Service Commercial, C-N Neighborhood Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use, MX-D Downtown Mixed Use, O Office, and O-R Office Residential zone districts.

Office; Medical, Dental, or Optometry (E6)

The City Council directed staff to amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 Line E6 to create size breakdowns for offices uses. “Office; medical, dental, or optometry,” under 2,000 square feet is recommended to be added as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. “Office; medical, dental, or optometry,” between 2,000 and 6,000 square feet, is recommended to be added as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. Other medical uses are currently allowed in the C-R Regional Commercial zone with a conditional use permit, such as “Urgent care center or other walk in clinics” and “Veterinary clinic and office.”

Definitions:

“Office; medical, dental, or optometry” means an establishment that provides services, typically by appointment, dealing with human health including dental, chiropractic, medical, optometry, physical therapy, psychology, psychiatry, family counseling, or therapy. This use does not include Barbershop, hair salon, nail care, massage therapy, or day spa.

“Urgent care center or other walk-in clinic,” means a facility that provides medical treatment of injuries or illnesses that do not require treatment typically provided in an emergency, typically without an appointment. This use includes urgent care centers, free clinics, hospital based health clinics, and community health care clinics, but does not include ancillary retail clinics located within a retail store, food market, or pharmacy.

“Veterinary clinic or office,” means a facility where animals are examined, diagnosed, or treated, but typically not kept overnight.

Staff Recommendation: *The use is similar to already allowed medical uses within the zone district - Urgent care centers or other walk-in clinics and veterinary clinic or office. Small offices could support a range of regional commercial uses and will not conflict with the purpose of the Regional Commercial land use designation. Allowing large scale offices in the C-R zone district would conflict with the General Plan policies. Amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 E6, as follows:*

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table																
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed																
		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones			
	Land Uses	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO	Specific Land Use Standards (See identified Section)
E6	Office; medical, dental, or optometry	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Under 2,000 sq. ft.	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	2,000 – 6,000 sq. ft.	P	C	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Over 6,000 sq. ft.	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						

Office; Professional or Commercial (E7)

The City Council directed staff to amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 Line E7 to create size breakdowns for offices uses. “Office; Professional or Commercial” under 2,000 square feet is recommended to be added as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. “Office; Commercial or Professional,” between 2,000 and 6,000 square feet, is recommended to be added as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. Other office uses are currently allowed in the C-R Regional Commercial zone with a conditional use permit, such as “Call centers.”

In the C-R Regional Commercial zone districts, “Call centers,” are permitted with a conditional use permit.

Definitions:

“Offices, professional or commercial” means an establishment that provides administrative, clerical, technical, professional or similar business services and typically where products or goods are not provided. Examples include escrow and title, insurance, mortgage, real estate, accounting, architecture, graphic design, finance, consulting, engineering, legal, and similar services.

“Call center” means an office used primarily for receiving or transmitting a large volume of requests by telephone to administer product support or information inquiries from consumers or to conduct telemarketing, solicitation of charitable or political donations, debt collection, or market research.

Staff Recommendation: *The use of a professional or commercial office is similar to a call center, which is a type of professional office, requiring a conditional use permit in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district, Small professional offices could support a range of regional commercial uses and will not conflict with the purpose of the Regional Commercial land use designation. Allowing large scale offices in the C-R zone district would conflict with the General Plan policies. Amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 E7 as follows:*

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table																
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed																
		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones			
	Land Uses	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO	Specific Land Use Standards (See identified Section)
E7	Office; professional or commercial	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Under 2,000 sq. ft.	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	2,000 – 6,000 sq. ft.	P	C	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Over 6,000 sq. ft.	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						

Furniture Store (I16, I17, and I118)

The City Council directed staff to amend the land use table in Section 17.08.030 Line I16, I17, and I18, in order to eliminate size breakdowns for furniture stores and permit furniture stores, of any size, wherever furniture stores are currently permitted or conditionally permitted.

Definition: "Furniture store" means an establishment that provides large and small furniture for living spaces such as homes and offices. Items sold normally include beds, tables, chairs, sofas, desks, and shelves. Home appliances, outdoor/patio furniture, wall cabinets, garage storage units, and home furnishing accessories are items sold that are excluded from the definition of furniture store. (I16, I17, I18)

The General Plan contains policies that support the C-R zone as the major retail commercial designation, including large format stores and shopping centers as follows:

Commercial Land Use Designations Goals

- Goal L10 A wide range of commercial shopping opportunities that serve people's needs for goods and services at the neighborhood, citywide, and regional level, as well as the needs of the traveling public.
- Goal L11 Commercial shopping opportunities that meet the anticipated need for population growth and economic development.
- Goal L12 Compatibility of commercial land uses with adjacent, surrounding land uses.
- Goal L13 Maintenance, enhancement and redevelopment of older commercial districts and shopping centers.

3.5.2 Regional Commercial

Policy L47 Purpose of Regional Commercial Land Use Designation

Establish the Regional Commercial land use designation to provide a variety of commercial goods, entertainment, and services in large format shopping centers for both the Hanford community and the larger region outside of Hanford.

Policy L48 Typical Uses in Regional Commercial Land Use Designation

Define the uses allowed in the Regional Commercial land use designation to include goods, entertainment, and services that benefit from the market synergy that occurs when the uses are located in close proximity to each other. Typical uses include retail sales in big box or large format buildings, shopping malls, eating establishments, and service stations. Prohibit uses that typically provide only day-to-day goods and services.

3.13.1 Corridor Areas

Policy L142 East Lacey Boulevard Regional Center

Provide for a regional center that has an appealing mix of tenants and are designed with site amenities to attract customers from both local neighborhoods and region-wide communities.

Currently, furniture stores are broken down into three different categories, as follows:

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table																
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed																
		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones			
	Land Uses	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO	Specific Land Use Standards (See identified Section)
I16	Furniture store with less than 15,000 <u>sq.ft.</u> of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture		P				P	P								
I17	Furniture store with 15,000 to 20,000 <u>sq.ft.</u> of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture		C				C	P								
I18	Furniture store with over than 20,000 <u>sq.ft.</u> of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture							P								

The General Plan policies support large scale retail in the C-R zone, therefore furniture stores should be an allowed use, without size limitations. Staff recommends the size limitations for furniture stores be eliminated and furniture stores be added as permitted use in the following zone districts: C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use.

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table													
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed													
	Land Uses	Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones
		C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP PF CO
I16	Furniture store		P				P	P					
I16	Furniture store with less than 15,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture		P				P	P					
I17	Furniture store with 15,000 to 20,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture		C				C	P					
I18	Furniture store with over than 20,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture							P					

The eliminations of the size restrictions will result in the requirement to renumber the Retail Use category from I19 through I20, as well as their corresponding definition numbers.

Food Catering (J14)

The City Council directed staff to amend the land use table in Section 17.04.030 Line J14 to add “Food catering” as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district.

Definition: Food catering” means an establishment that prepares and delivers food and beverages for off-site consumption, typically at a special event, without provision for on-site pickup or consumption. (J14)

Staff Analysis: Restaurants (Full service, limited service with drive-thru pick up window, and limited service without drive-thru pickup windows) are permitted in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district. The use is similar to an already allowed use within the zone, allowing food catering to be permitted in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district would not conflict with the General Plan for the Regional Commercial land use designation:

3.5.2 Regional Commercial

Policy L47 Purpose of Regional Commercial Land Use Designation

Establish the Regional Commercial land use designation to provide a variety of commercial goods, entertainment, and services in large format shopping centers for both the Hanford community and the larger region outside of Hanford.

Policy L48 Typical Uses in Regional Commercial Land Use Designation

Define the uses allowed in the Regional Commercial land use designation to include goods, entertainment, and services that benefit from the market synergy that occurs when the uses are located in close proximity to each other. Typical uses include retail sales in big box or large format buildings, shopping malls, eating establishments, and service stations. Prohibit uses that typically provide only day-to-day goods and services.

Staff Recommendation: Amend the land use table in Section 17.04.030 J14 to allow “food catering” as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district.

Similarly, all zone districts which permit restaurants shall also be amended to permit food catering as a permitted use, including the C-N Neighborhood Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone districts.

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table													
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed													
Land Uses	Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones	
	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF
J14 Food catering	P	P	P		P	P	P	C					
Specific Land Use Standards (See identified Section)													

ZONING ORDINANCE EXCERPTS

17.02.060 Relationship to the General Plan.

The zoning ordinance is the primary tool used to implement the goals and policies contained within the General Plan. All matters governed by this title shall substantially conform to the purposes, intent or provisions of the General Plan. Any recommendations for zone changes, if found to be not in conformance with the General Plan and its phrasing, shall not be permitted unless it is also found that the General Plan is in error or in need of change.

17.08.050 Addition of a use to land use tables.

Upon an application or on its own initiative and pursuant to Chapter 17.86, the City Council may add a use to the land use tables established in this chapter if the Council makes all of the following findings:

- That the addition of the use to the land use table will be in accordance with the purposes of this title and of the zone district or districts in which the use will be permitted;
- That the use has similar basic characteristics as the present uses allowed in the district;
- That the use will not generate a noticeably greater amount of odor, dirt, smoke, noise, vibration, illumination, glare, unsightliness or any other objectionable influence or hazard than the amount normally created by any of the other uses permitted in the district or districts in which the use will be permitted;

- D. That the establishment of the use in the zone district or districts in which it will be permitted will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare.

17.86.030 Findings.

- A. Before a zone change or text amendment may be approved, all of the following findings shall be made by the reviewing authority identified in Chapter 17.70:
1. The amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and this title;
 2. The amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community;
 3. The amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City;
 4. The anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.
- B. A zone change or text amendment may be denied if the reviewing authority finds one (1) or more of the findings in this section cannot be made.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

In accordance with Section 17.86.030, before a zone change or text amendment may be approved, all of the following findings shall be made by the reviewing authority:

1. That the amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance;

Analysis: That each amendment request has been individually evaluated for consistency with the General Plan and recommended for approval if deemed consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, specifically Section 17.08.050, as provided in the staff report.

2. That the amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community;

Analysis: That each use proposed is currently permitted within the City of Hanford and would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community. Each use is already a use allowed within the City of Hanford, none of which has been determined to be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the City. Each use was evaluated in accordance with Section 17.08.050 of the Zoning Ordinance, as provided in the staff report.

3. That the amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City; and

Analysis: That jobs-housing balance is achieved, by increasing opportunities for people to work and live in close proximity. The ratio is expressed as the number of jobs divided by the number of housing units. The jobs-housing balance is a general tool for analyzing where people work, where they live, and how effectively they can travel between the two. In the planning area, the existing jobs-housing balance ratio in 2013-2014 was 1.17. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan would increase the jobs-housing balance by 0.45 to 1.62, which would make the planning area a jobs-rich area. The proposed text amendments recommended for approval are all consistent with the General Plan and will not affect the City's ability to maintain an appropriate balance of land uses within the City.

4. That the anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.

Analysis: That each use was evaluated for consistency with the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, in order to ensure the proposed uses would be compatible with existing and future land uses within their prospective districts as provided in the staff report.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed zone text amendment is exempt under Section 15061(b) (3) because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment. The proposed project is a text amendment that is not associated with a physical development, therefore there are no physical impacts that will result from the project.

The zone text amendment, as recommended by staff, is also exempt under Section 15183 (projects consistent with a community plan, general plan, or zoning) since the types of businesses permitted by the ordinance are consistent with those contemplated by the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, such as retail, office, and food service. No use that was not supported by the General Plan, the Zoning Ordinance or other plan was recommended for approval and included in the Ordinance for adoption by the City Council.

There are five exceptions to using a categorical exemption as stated in 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines. 1) That the project is located in a particularly sensitive location; 2) That there may be cumulative impacts resulting from successive projects of the same type in the same place; 3) When there is a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances; 4) That the site is on a hazardous waste site; 5) That the project will result in a change to the significance of a historic resource.

As stated previously, the project is a text amendment, not a physical project; therefore, it is not located on a sensitive location, there are no other projects on the same place or type recently proposed or foreseen, there are no unusual circumstances as all the uses are allowed uses in the City of Hanford, the project is not on a hazardous waste site and will not result in a change to the significance of any historic resource. A categorical exemption under Sections 15061(b)(3) and 15183 is therefore appropriate.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Noticing of the project was published in the newspaper on September 17, 2021. Since the zone text amendments affect the entire city, which would result in a mailout exceeding 1,000 property owners, individual notice was not mailed to property owners, in accordance with Section 17.70.100 Notice Requirements of the Hanford Municipal Code:

- C. In the event that the number of owners of property to whom notice may be sent pursuant to subsection B of this section would result in more than one thousand (1,000) notices, notice may alternatively be given at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing by either of the following procedures:
 - 1. By placing a display advertisement of at least one-fourth (1/4) page in a newspaper having general circulation within the area affected by the proposed ordinance or amendment; or
 - 2. By placing a written notice with any generalized mailing sent by the City to property owners in the area affected by the proposed ordinance or amendment, such as billings for City services.

No comments have been received as of the date of preparation of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

- 1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-21, recommending approval of Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01 to the City Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-01

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF ZONE TEXT AMENDMENT NO. 2021-01, A REQUEST BY THE CITY OF HANFORD TO AMEND TITLE 17, SECTION 17.08.030 – COMMERCIAL, OFFICE, AND INDUSTRIAL ZONE USE TABLE OF THE HANFORD MUNICIPAL CODE BY ADDING SECTIONS IN ORDER TO: 1) CREATE SIZE BREAKDOWNS FOR “OFFICE; MEDICAL, DENTAL, OR OPTOMETRY” AND ALLOW LIMITED OFFICE USES IN THE C-R REGIONAL COMMERCIAL ZONE DISTRICT; AND 2) CREATE SIZE BREAKDOWNS FOR “OFFICE; PROFESSIONAL OR COMMERCIAL” AND ALLOW LIMITED OFFICE USES IN THE C-R REGIONAL COMMERCIAL ZONE DISTRICT; AND 3) ELIMINATE SIZE BREAKDOWNS FOR “FURNITURE STORES” AND ALLOW FURNITURE STORES (ANY SIZE) AS A PERMITTED USE IN THE C-R REGIONAL COMMERCIAL, MX-C CORRIDOR MIXED USE, AND MX-D DOWNTOWN MIXED USE ZONE DISTRICTS; AND 4) ADD “LABORATORY; GENERAL, MEDICAL, OR DENTAL” AS A CONDITIONALLY PERMITTED USE IN THE C-R REGIONAL COMMERCIAL ZONE DISTRICT; AND 5) ADD “FOOD CATERING” AS A PERMITTED USE IN C-N NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL, C-R REGIONAL COMMERCIAL, AND MX-N NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED USE ZONE DISTRICT; TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HANFORD.

At a regular meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford duly called and held on October 12, 2021, on motion of Commissioner _____, seconded by Commissioner _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a proposal to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding sections in order to: 1) Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 2) Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 3) Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts; and 4) Add “Laboratory; general, medical, or dental” as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 5) Add “Food catering” as a permitted use in C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district, **as attached in Exhibit A**, was presented to the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, on October 12, 2021, the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford conducted a public hearing, in accordance with Section 17.70.070 of the Hanford Municipal Code pertaining to Zone Text Amendment 2021-01, and,

WHEREAS, comprehensive zoning regulations on the use of land and property lie within the City’s police powers; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has carefully considered recommendations and testimony presented at the public hearing of October 12, 2021; and,

WHEREAS, Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines categorically exempts the proposed project under the “General Rule”; and

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-21 (ZTA 2021-01) (ZTA 2021-01)

WHEREAS, Section 15183 (projects consistent with a community plan, general plan, or zoning) also categorically exempts the proposed project, since the types of businesses permitted by the ordinance are consistent with those contemplated by the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, such as retail, office, and food service; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on the basis of the facts and evidence provided in the staff report and the written and oral evidence presented at the public hearing on October 12, 2021, the Planning Commission hereby is able to make the following recommendation in accordance with the required findings in Section 17.86.030:

1. That the amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance;

Analysis: That each amendment request has been individually evaluated for consistency with the General Plan and recommended for approval if deemed consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, specifically Section 17.08.050, as provided in the staff report.

2. That the amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community;

Analysis: That each use proposed is currently permitted within the City of Hanford and would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community. Each use is already a use allowed within the City of Hanford, none of which has been determined to be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the City. Each use was evaluated in accordance with Section 17.08.050 of the Zoning Ordinance, as provided in the staff report.

3. That the amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City; and

Analysis: That jobs-housing balance is achieved, by increasing opportunities for people to work and live in close proximity. The ratio is expressed as the number of jobs divided by the number of housing units. The jobs-housing balance is a general tool for analyzing where people work, where they live, and how effectively they can travel between the two. In the planning area, the existing jobs-housing balance ratio in 2013-2014 was 1.17. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan would increase the jobs-housing balance by 0.45 to 1.62, which would make the planning area a jobs-rich area. The proposed text amendments recommended for approval are all consistent with the General Plan and will not affect the City's ability to maintain an appropriate balance of land uses within the City.

4. That the anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.

Analysis: That each use was evaluated for consistency with the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance, in order to ensure the proposed uses would be compatible with existing and future land uses within their prospective districts as provided in the staff report.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that pursuant to Section 17.70 of the City of Hanford Zoning Ordinance, the Planning Commission forward to the City Council a recommendation that Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01, be approved.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford held on the 12th day of October 2021, by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners
NOES: Commissioners
ABSTAIN: Commissioners
ABSENT: Commissioners

I, **Gabrielle Myers**, Acting Secretary of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the **12th day of October 2021**.

Gabrielle Myers, Acting Secretary

Attachment: Resolution No. 2021-21 (ZTA 2021-01) (ZTA 2021-01)

Exhibit A:
Land Use Table and Definitions – amended per MCA 2021-01

Additions appear in **bold**; deletions appear as ~~strikethrough~~

Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01, a request by the City of Hanford to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding or deleting sections in order to make the following changes

1. Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and
2. Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district:

Table 17.08.030

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table																
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed																
Land Uses		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones			Specific Land Use Standards (See identified Section)
		C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO	
E6	Office; medical, dental, or optometry	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Under 2,000 sq. ft.	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	2,000 – 6,000 sq. ft.	P	C	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Over 6,000 sq. ft.	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						
E7	Office; professional or commercial	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Under 2,000 sq. ft.	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	2,000 – 6,000 sq. ft.	P	C	P		P	P	P	P	P						
	Over 6,000 sq. ft.	P		P		P	P	P	P	P						

3. Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts:

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table													
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed													
Land Uses	Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones	
	C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF
I16	Furniture store	P				P	P						
I16	Furniture store with less than 15,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture	P				P	P						
I17	Furniture store with 15,000 to 20,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture	C				C	P						
I18	Furniture store with over 20,000 sq. ft. of floor space devoted to the display and sale of furniture						P						

4. Add "Laboratory; general, medical, or dental" as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district: and

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table															
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed															
Land Uses		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones		
		C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO
E4	Laboratory; general, medical or dental		C	P	C		P	C		P	C				

5. Add "Food catering" as a permitted use in the C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district.

Commercial, Office, and Industrial Use Table															
P = Use is Permitted by Right C = Use Requires Conditional Use Permit A = Use Requires Administrative Use Permit T = Use Requires Temporary Use Permit Blank = Use is Not Allowed															
Land Uses		Commercial Zones				Mixed Use Zones			Industrial and Office Zones				Other Zones		
		C-N	C-R	C-S	C-H	MX-N	MX-C	MX-D	O-R	O	I-L	I-H	AP	PF	CO
J14	Food catering	P	P	P		P	P	P	C						

Notice of Exemption 2021-84

To: ☐ Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 212
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

☒ County Clerk
County of Kings
Kings County Government Center
Hanford, CA 93230

From: City of Hanford
317 North Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230

Project Title: Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01

Project Location – City of Hanford

Project Location – City: Hanford **Project Location – County:** Kings County

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project: Zone Text Amendment No. 2021-01: a request by the City of Hanford to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding sections in order to: 1) Create size breakdowns for “Office; medical, dental, or optometry” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 2) Create size breakdowns for “Office; professional or commercial” and allow limited office uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 3) Eliminate size breakdowns for “Furniture stores” and allow furniture stores (any size) as a permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial, MX-C Corridor Mixed Use, and MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone districts; and 4) Add “Laboratory; general, medical, or dental” as a conditionally permitted use in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district; and 5) Add “Food catering” as a permitted use in C-N Neighborhood Commercial, C-R Regional Commercial, and MX-N Neighborhood Mixed Use zone district.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: City of Hanford

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: City of Hanford

Exempt Status: **(check one)**

- ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 152698);
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269 (a));
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
☐ Categorical Exemption: State type and section number:
☒ Statutory Exemption. State code number: 15061(b)(3) General Rule; 15183 Projects Consistent with a Community Plan, General Plan, or Zoning

Reasons why project is exempt:

The City of Hanford determined the project to be exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 15061(b)(3) states that where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. No significant environmental impacts would occur as a result of the proposed ordinance. The ordinance is also exempt under Section 15183 Projects Consistent with a Community Plan, General Plan, or Zoning, since the types of businesses permitted by the ordinance are consistent with those contemplated by the General Plan and Zoning, such as office, retail, and food service.

Lead Agency

Contact Person: Gabrielle Myers Area Code/ Telephone: (559) 585-2578

Signature: _____ Date: September 28, 2021 Title: Senior Planner

☒ Signed by Lead Agency Date received for filing at OPR: _____
☐ Signed by Applicant

Attachment: Notice of Exemption (ZTA 2021-01)