

The City of Hanford
CALIFORNIA



City Council Meeting Agenda

December 3, 2019
7:00 PM – Regular Meeting
Council Chambers
400 N. Douty St.

5:30 PM CALL TO ORDER CLOSED SESSION:

ROLL CALL :

PUBLIC COMMENT:

*Comments from the public are limited to items listed on the agenda (GC 54954.3a). A maximum of **three (3) minutes** is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and providing your city of residence.*

CLOSED SESSION FOR DISCUSSION OF THE FOLLOWING:

A. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - LABOR NEGOTIATIONS (GC 54957.6)

Agency Designated Representatives: Mario Cifuentez, Sarah Cardoza, Paula Lofgren, and Mario Zamora

Employee Organizations: Hanford Police Officers Association (HPOA); Hanford Firefighters Local 3898 (IAFF); Hanford Genreal Employees Management Association (GEMA); and Service Employees International Union, Local 521 (SEIU)

B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - (GC 54956.9(d)(2))

One potential case.

C. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATIONS (GC 54956.8)

Property: 122 E. Eighth St. and 128 E. Eighth St. (APN 010-276-006, 010-276-008)

Agency Negotiators: Mario Cifuentez, Darlene Mata, and Ty Mizote

Negoitating Party: William and Maryan Grimm

7:00 PM CALL TO ORDER REGULAR SESSION:

ROLL CALL:

INVOCATION:

FLAG SALUTE:

CLOSED SESSION ACTION REPORT:

RECOGNITIONS/PROCLAMATIONS:

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS:

- A. Utilities and Engineering: Status Report for Capital Improvement Projects in Construction

PUBLIC COMMENT:

*This is the time for citizens to comment on subject matters not on the agenda and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hanford City Council. This is also the public's opportunity to request an item from the Consent Calendar be pulled for discussion purposes, or to comment on any item on the agenda. Comments related to Public Hearing items will be heard at the time the item is discussed. A maximum of **three (3) minutes** is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and the city of residence.*

COUNCIL RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT:

CONSENT CALENDAR:

Consent Calendar items are considered routine and will be enacted in one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these matters unless a request is made and then the item will be removed from the Consent Calendar to be discussed and voted upon by a separate motion.

- A. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on September 17, 2019.
- B. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on October 1, 2019.
- C. Administration: Reject notice of government claim.
- D. Public Works: Award a contract to Nation Wide Bird Control of Tracy, CA, in the amount of \$27,250, to install protective netting for the Corporation Yard Fleet Shop.
- E. Notice of Completion of the Hanford Armona Road Sanitary Sewer Main Extension from Foggy Bottom Road to the Hanford Municipal Airport.
- F. Finance: Warrant Register.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

- A. Community Development: Annexation No. 156: A request to annex 40.53 acres of unincorporated Kings County land into the City of Hanford, in accordance with the General Plan. Prezone No. 2019-03: A request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan. Vesting Tentative Tract 929: A request by Woodside Homes to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019- 36: An initial study was prepared and it was determined that the project will not result in significant impacts. The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).

GENERAL BUSINESS:

- A. City Council Reorganization.
- B. Fire: Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Hanford and the Tulare-Kings County Regional Hazardous Materials Response Team.
- C. Community Development: Consideration of an amendment to Resolution 19-08-R by adoption of Resolution 19-45-R to allow additional cannabis business permits for storefront dispensaries.
- D. City Council Representation Selection.

COUNCIL REPORTS/COMMENTS:

- A. Council Comments.

COUNCIL FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

- A. Council Future Agenda Items.

ADJOURNMENT:

Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the City Council after distribution of the agenda packet are available to public inspection in the City Clerk's Office located at 319 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California 93230, during normal business hours. Such agendas are also available at the city's website, www.cityofhanfordca.com subject to staff's ability to post the agenda before the meeting.

If you need a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk's office, 559-585-2515, 319 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California 93230, at least 2 days prior to the meeting {28 CFR 35.102.35.104 ADA Title II}



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: A
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Utilities and Engineering: Status Report for Capital Improvement Projects in Construction

RECOMMENDATION:

This item is being presented to Council as an informational item only and therefore, has no staff recommendation. The attached list shows the current status of the City of Hanford's Capital Improvements Projects that are either in the planning or construction phase.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no financial impact. This is an informational item only.

ATTACHMENTS:

CIP Project Status 12-3-19



AGENDA

STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: December 3, 2019
AGENDA SECTION: Informational Item Only

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Engineering and Utilities Division

DATE: December 3, 2019

SUBJECT: Status Report for Capital Improvement Projects "in Construction"

This report is prepared for the City Council's information.

1. Corporation Yard Wash Bay (Contract Amount \$714,000.00)
 Contractor: Carvalho Construction, Inc.
 Start Work Date: 5/16/19
 Work Completed: **100% Contract work completed. Extra work remaining.**
 Estimated Completion Date: 11/29/19
 Change Orders: Cost decrease -\$68,500.00
2. 13th at Grangeville Traffic Signal (Contract Amount \$2,447,919.00)
 Contractor: Granite Construction
 Start Work Date: 6/17/19
 Work Completed: **99% completed**
 Estimated Completion Date: 11/29/19
 Change Orders: \$6,470.66
3. FY 18/19 Micro Fiber Pavement Treatment (Contract Amount \$1,605,750.00)
 Contractor: Pavement Coatings Co.
 Start Work Date: 6/24/19
 Work Completed: **100% completed**
 Estimated Completion Date: 10/18/19
 Change Orders: Liquidated Damages
4. Hanford Armona Road Sewer Extension (Contract Amount \$206,220.00)
 Contractor: Haydon Construction, Inc.
 Start Work Date: 9/3/19
 Work Completed: **100% completed**
 Completion Date: 10/2/19
 Change Orders: \$2,276.31
5. FY 17/18 Pavement Resurfacing
 12th Ave, HAR-Springcrest and Lacey Blvd, 11th-Irwin (Contract Amount \$1,019,876.55)
 Contractor: Bush Engineering, Inc.
 Start Work Date: 9/3/19
 Work Completed: **65% completed**
 Estimated Completion Date: 12th Avenue: 11/22/19; Irwin on hold until March 2020
 Change Orders: \$31,739.31

- | | | |
|----|--|----------------------------------|
| 6. | Runway 14/32 Pavement Rehabilitation | (Contract Amount \$336,208.70) |
| | Contractor: | American Road Maintenance |
| | Start Work Date: | 10/8/19 |
| | Work Completed: | 95% runway work completed |
| | Estimated Completion Date: | 11/15/19 |
| | Change Orders: | none |
| | | |
| 7. | Hanford Armona at Irwin Traffic Signal | (Contract Amount \$627,975.33) |
| | Contractor: | Bush Engineering, Inc. |
| | Start Work Date: | TBD |
| | Work Completed: | 0% completed |
| | Estimated Completion Date: | TBD |
| | Change Orders: | none |
| | | |
| 8. | FY 15/16 Minor Water Main | (Contract Amount \$627,975.33) |
| | (Alley between 7 th and 8 th from Redington to Harris) | |
| | Contractor: | JT2 Inc., dba Todd Companies |
| | Start Work Date: | TBD |
| | Work Completed: | 0% completed |
| | Estimated Completion Date: | TBD |
| | Change Orders: | none |



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: A
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on September 17, 2019.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on September 17, 2019.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

9.17.19 minutes



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES**

September 17, 2019 7:00 PM

Council Chambers

400 N. Douty St.

5:30 PM CALL TO ORDER STUDY AND CLOSED SESSION:

Mayor Sorensen called the meeting to order at 5:32 p.m.

ROLL CALL BY CITY CLERK:

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
John Draxler	Vice Mayor	Present	5:23 PM
Martin Devine	Council Member	Present	5:15 PM
Sue Sorensen	Mayor	Present	5:30 PM
Art Brieno	Council Member	Present	5:29 PM
Francisco Ramirez	Council Member	Present	5:20 PM

PUBLIC COMMENT:

*Comments from the public are limited to items listed on the agenda (GC 54954.3a). A maximum of **three minutes** is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and providing your city of residence.*

No comments.

STUDY SESSION:

A. Presentation from Machael Smith of the Kings Tulare Alliance on Homelessness

Ms. Machael Smith from the Kings Tulare Homeless Alliance provided the Council with an overview of what KTHA does and its contribution to the local cities within Kings and Tulare Counties. The organization provides the annual Point In Time count of homeless people in the region. The focus of the organization is on Housing First projects, Strengthening Partnerships, System Performance Measurements, and Funding.

CLOSED SESSION FOR DISCUSSION OF THE FOLLOWING:

Mayor Sorensen adjourned to Closed Session at 6:36 p.m.

A. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION (GC 54956.9(d)(2))

Two potential cases.

Attachment: 9.17.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 9/17/19)

B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATIONS (GC 54956.8)

Property: Bastille

Agency Negotiators: Mike Olmos, Darlene Mata, and Ty Mizote

Negotiating Party: Jerry Irons

Property: Carnegie Museum Building

Agency negotiators: Mike Olmos, Darlene Mata, and Ty Mizote

C. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT (GC 54957)

Job Title: City Manager

7:00 PM CALL TO ORDER REGULAR SESSION:

Mayor Sorensen called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Sue Sorensen, John Draxler, Martin Devine, Francisco Ramirez, and Art Brieno.

INVOCATION:

The invocation was led by Dave Clevenger.

FLAG SALUTE:

CLOSED SESSION ACTION REPORT:

No action taken.

RECOGNITIONS/PROCLAMATIONS:

None.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS:

Utilities & Engineering Director, John Doyel - He will be the Interim Parks and Recreation Director.

Police Chief Parker Sever - He stated that HPD Officers participated in a couple of fundraisers to benefit the Special Olympics.

Community Development Director, Darlene Mata - She introduced the newly hired Assistant Planner, Mr. Mark Mana.

Public Works Director, Lou Camara - He communicated the fiberseal project has been delayed as they are waiting for scheduling with CalTrans. There will be a lot of activity at the Airport because of big local events: Surf Ranch and the NAS Lemoore Airshow.

Utilities & Engineering Director, John Doyel - He will be the Interim parks and Recreation Director.

Finance Director, Paula Lofgren - She informed the Council that the 2019/2020 Budget has been posted on the website.

Attachment: 9.17.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 9/17/19)

Interim Fire Chief, Erik Brotemarkle - A fire unit from Hanford went to Butte County to help with a wildfire. He thanked Shelli Barker for her organization of the 9/11 event. He thanked Mike Olmos for his leadership as the Interim City Manager.

Parks Superintendent, Alvin Dias - The Toddler T-Ball participation is maxed out. The department has received a lot of compliments on the new Lacey Park play structure.

A. Utilities and Engineering: Status Report for Capital Improvement Projects in Construction

PUBLIC COMMENT:

*This is the time for citizens to comment on subject matters not on the agenda and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hanford City Council. This is also the public's opportunity to request an item from the Consent Calendar be pulled for discussion purposes, or to comment on any item on the agenda. Comments related Public Hearing items will be heard at the time the item is discussed. A maximum of **three minutes** is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and city of residence.*

Jim Castleman, Hanford - He spoke about the Main Street Hanford's Blues and Roots fest. He stated Michelle Brown does a great job as the Director of Main Street Hanford.

Elizabeth, Kings County - Regarding annexation of the County islands, she asked if information has gone out to those who live in the County islands.

Dave Clevenger, Kings County - He commented on the Study Session topic.

Jamie Rows, Hanford - She wanted to ask questions about the East Precise Plan. She said she would wait until the Public Hearing portion of the meeting.

Paula Massey, Hanford - She spoke about the Coe Park bathrooms.

Bob Ramos, Hanford - He requested to pull Item D from the Consent Calendar.

COUNCIL RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mayor Sorensen thanked those who made Public Comment.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

Consent Calendar items are considered routine and will be enacted in one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these matters unless a request is made and then the item will be removed from the Consent Calendar to be discussed and voted upon by a separate motion.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER:	Martin Devine, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

A. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on June 18, 2019.

B. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on July 2, 2019.

Attachment: 9.17.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 9/17/19)

- C. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the Special Joint City Council and Parks & Recreation Commission Meeting held on July 16, 2019.
- D. Police: Approve Out of State Travel to Reno, Nevada for Dispatch/Records Supervisor, Maribel Stinson to attend the California Law Enforcement Association of Records Supervisors (CLEARs) Conference.
- E. Public Works: Purchase of two 2019 replacement police motorcycles from Long Beach BMW Motorcycles, of Long Beach, California, in the amount of \$57,704.44.
- F. Public Works: Purchase of two 2019 John Deere 1600 series III replacement lawn mowers and two 2019 John Deere utility vehicles (one replacement gator and one new gator-fleet addition) from Lawrence Tractor Company of Hanford, in the amount of \$152,800.50, including tax and delivery.
- G. Public Works: Purchase of one 2019 Toro GM5910 large wing replacement lawn mower from Turf Star Inc., of Los Angeles, Ca., in the amount of \$118,181.08 including tax and delivery.
- H. Finance: Warrant Register

ITEMS PULLED FROM CONSENT:

- A. Police: Axon Body-Worn Camera Purchase.

(Item D)

Chief Sever provided information on the body cameras to be purchased by the Hanford Police Department.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

- A. Specific Plan Amendment No. 2019-01: A request to repeal the Downtown East Precise Plan as a regulatory document, adopt the underlying zoning for the plan area, and adopt the Downtown East Precise Plan by resolution as a guidance document. General Plan Amendment No. 2019-01: a) A request to change certain property within the Downtown East Precise Plan area from Downtown Mixed Use to Service Commercial; and b) A request to delete references to the Downtown East Precise Plan from the General Plan Policy Document. Rezone No. 2019-02: A request to repeal the Downtown East Precise Plan and adopt the underlying zoning and change a portion of the underlying zoning from MX-D Downtown Mixed Use to C-S Service Commercial. Zone Text Amendment No. 2019-04: A request to remove reference to the Downtown East Precise Plan from the Hanford Municipal Code Title 17. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-24 is required.

Senior Planner, Gabrielle Meyers provided the Council with a history and overview of the Downtown East Precise Plan.

Mayor Sorensen opened the Public Hearing for comments from the public.

Comments from those IN FAVOR:

Jerry Irons, Hanford - He used the Public Comment time to ask questions about properties and businesses within the specified zone. He did not make a statement in support or opposition to the Public Hearing topic.

Comments from those OPPOSED:

No comments.

Dir. Mata explained the Downtown East Precise Plan would be used as a guidance document for future reference, but it would no longer be a regulatory document. The Council Members all agreed to extend the boundaries of the CS Zone to include White Street and Visalia Street.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Martin Devine, Council Member
SECONDER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- B. Community Development: Award of Commercial Cannabis Business Permit to allow a Cannabis Dispensary to Nc6 dba Caliva in accordance with Section 5.56.090 and 5.56170 of the Hanford Municipal Code. The proposed dispensary is located at 104 S. Douty, APN 012-054-011.

Chief Sever provided background information and history of the cannabis permits within the City. Caliva completed and passed all the required processes and has earned the opportunity to be awarded a cannabis business permit.

Mayor Sorensen opened the Public Hearing for comments from the public.

Comments from those IN FAVOR:

Rand Martin, Caliva - He stated the selected building will be a great location [space to be vacated by Serrano's Furniture] and there will not be a gap in tenancy. Caliva's goal is to open by first quarter of 2020.

Michelle Brown, Main Street Hanford - She spoke on behalf of the downtown businesses, noting a majority of the business owners are in support of Caliva and their selected location.

Public Comment from those OPPOSED:

Charles McGill, Fresno - He is an attorney for DDP, LLC. He stated Caliva's location would eliminate his client's property from eligibility for a business permit. Chief Sever asked him to clarify

his statement. Ms. McGill said the competing businesses would be within 204 feet of each other. Chief Sever and Dir. Mata both stated that was not a requirement or restriction.

Bob Ramos, Hanford - He stated once again that he was not in favor of recreational marijuana.

Andrew Cromwell, Pastor at Koinonia Church - He voiced his opposition to dispensaries and pointed to studies from the State of Colorado when dispensaries became allowed.

Rick Ellis, Kings County - He says the area is always congested with parking and wants to City to consider creating more parking lots.

George Casarez, Hanford - His business is an applicant for a dispensary permit and he questioned how many permits would be issued. Dir. Mata stated there were two; the Public Hearing is for awarding Caliva one, and there will be one more left.

Dennis Fausone, Hanford - He said no one should have a fast track to getting a cannabis business permit. He says that West Care is a youth facility.

REBUTTAL from those IN FAVOR:

Rand Martin, Caliva - He responded to Pastor Cromwell's statements.

Mayor Sorensen addressed some of the comments and questions.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [4 TO 1]
MOVER:	John Draxler, Vice Mayor
SECONDER:	Sue Sorensen, Mayor
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Ramirez
NAYS:	Brieno

C. Community Development: Public Hearing to approve the Fiscal Year (FY) 2018-2019 submittal of Community Development Block Grant Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Sandra Lerma Martinez provided an overview of the accomplishments of the 2018 Community Development Block Grant action plan.

Mayor Sorensen opened the Public Hearing for comments from the public.

Comments from those IN FAVOR:

No comments.

Comment from those OPPOSED:

No comments.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
SECONDER:	Martin Devine, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

GENERAL BUSINESS:

A. City Clerk: Appointment of a Parking & Traffic Commissioner.

City Clerk, Sarah Martinez provided information on the current and future Commission vacancies. Mayor Sorensen recommended Ori Rubalcava to the Parking and Traffic Commission.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Sue Sorensen, Mayor
SECONDER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

B. Community Development: Waive second reading and adopt Ordinance 19-07, approving Municipal Code Amendment No. 2019-01: a request by 198-43, LLC to amend Title 17, Section 17.08.030 – Commercial, Office, and Industrial Zone Use Table of the Hanford Municipal Code by adding sections in order to permit or permit with a conditional use permit, the following uses in the C-R Regional Commercial zone district: colleges; exercise studios; large health facilities; business support services, and government offices.

Mayor Sorensen recused herself from discussion on this item. Dir. Mata provided background information on the re-zoning amendment.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [3 TO 1]
MOVER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
SECONDER:	John Draxler, Vice Mayor
AYES:	Draxler, Brieno, Ramirez
NAYS:	Devine
ABSTAIN:	Sorensen

C. Finance: Approval of City of Hanford Revised 2019-2020 Investment Policy

Dir. Lofgren presented the revision to the City's Investment Policy. The original policy was created in 1986 and since then there have been many changes. New sections include policies pertaining to Prudence, Delegation of Authority, Ethics and Conflicts, Authorized Financial Dealers, and a Suitable Investment List. Council Member Ramirez expressed his appreciation for Dir. Lofgren's work. He asked for future reports on the type of investments the City is investing. Dir. Lofgren said she would provide a reports for July, August, and an FY 19 year-end report.

Motion to approve.

RESULT: **APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER: Martin Devine, Council Member
SECONDER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- D. Utilities and Engineering: Approve the attached Memorandum of Understanding with the County of Kings for the annexation of eight (8) islands that are fully surrounded by the City of Hanford, approve an agreement with QK, Inc., of Visalia California for professional services in processing the annexations and the sphere of influence update through the Local Agency Formation Commission ("LAFCO"), and approval of an agreement with Zumwalt Hansen and Associates for preparation of the mapping and legal descriptions for the processing of annexations through LAFCO.

Dir. Doyel provided information on the proposed Memorandum of Understanding with Kings County regarding County Island Annexation. Mayor Sorensen expressed her concern with contracting with Quad Knoff Inc., (QK), when there has been major issues with the products they've provided in the past, namely the Downtown East Precise Plan which was just repealed because it wasn't working for the City. Dir. Mata answered usually Staff would complete island annexations, however, since the proposal is for annexation of eight (8) County Islands, QK would be involved to alleviate the Staff workload. Most engineering firms do not handle annexations like this.

Dir. Mata said it is possible to issue a request for proposal. Mayor Sorensen said she prefers to not delay the project further, but wanted to state her disapproval of contracting with QK, Inc.

Motion to approve.

RESULT: **APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER: Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- E. Utilities and Engineering: Award a contract to Bush Construction, Inc. of Hanford, CA in the amount of \$627,975.33 for the Hanford Armona Road at Irwin Street Traffic Signal Installation Project, establish a construction contingency of \$62,800.00, and amend the existing FY 18/19 budget to include an additional \$154,000 in Federal funding from Congestion Mitigated Air Quality (CMAQ) and appropriate additional funding from the Transportation Development Act (TDA) of \$76,300.00 to fully fund the construction of this project.

Dir. Doyel explained the details of the project.

Motion to approve.

RESULT: **APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
SECONDER: Martin Devine, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

COUNCIL REPORTS/COMMENTS:

Council Member Brieno - He said the draft of the groundwater sustainability report has been prepared.

Council Member Ramirez - He said "the squeaky wheel gets the grease" when it comes to getting money from the federal level. He spoke briefly of his trip to Washington, D.C. with the Kings County Association of Governments. He said he will have a presentation online of what he learned and accomplished on his trip for people who want to view it.

Council Member Devine - He attended the City & County Coordinating meeting in Avenal. At a meeting with the Chamber of Commerce, they are looking to scaling back on event planning and the operation of Freddy the Firetruck and the Carousel.

Vice Mayor Draxler - He also attended the meeting in Avenal. He expressed his concern for the County's leadership in addressing the homelessness problem.

Mayor Sorensen - She said there will be an ADA accessible podium in the Council Chambers. She addressed Ms. Massey's earlier comment about the conditions of the bathrooms at Coe Park.

COUNCIL FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

The City Clerk informed the Council of the Public Safety Awards Luncheon on September 27th.

RETURN TO CLOSED SESSION:

Mayor Sorensen adjourned to Closed Session at 9:57 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT:

With no action taken from Closed Session, Mayor Sorensen adjourned the meeting at 10:41 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sarah Martinez
City Clerk

Attachment: 9.17.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 9/17/19)



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: B
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on October 1, 2019.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on October 1, 2019.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

10.1.19 minutes



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES**

**October 1, 2019 7:00 PM
Council Chambers
400 N. Douty St.**

5:30 PM CALL TO ORDER STUDY SESSION AND CLOSED SESSION:

Mayor Sorensen called the Study and Closed Session to order at 5:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL BY CITY CLERK:

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
John Draxler	Vice Mayor	Present	5:00 PM
Martin Devine	Council Member	Present	5:15 PM
Sue Sorensen	Mayor	Present	5:10 PM
Art Brieno	Council Member	Present	5:29 PM
Francisco Ramirez	Council Member	Present	5:00 PM

PUBLIC COMMENT:

*Comments from the public are limited to items listed on the agenda (GC 5454.3a). A maximum of **three minutes** is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and providing your city of residence.*

No comments.

STUDY SESSION:

A. Utilities & Engineering: Sustainable Groundwater Mitigation Act (SGMA) presentation.

Dir. Doyel provided a presentation of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). The Council discussed various aspects on the Central Valley's need for water.

CLOSED SESSION FOR DISCUSSION OF THE FOLLOWING:

A. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION (GC 54956.9(d)(1))

Valdez v. City of Hanford
Eastern District Case No. 1:12-cv-00430

Sara Perez v. City of Hanford
Kings County Case No. 17C0335

Attachment: 10.1.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 10/1/19)

B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION (GC 54956.8)

Two potential items.

C. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATIONS (GC 54956.8)

Property: Parkside Park, (property west side of Parkside Drive, south of Woodland Drive)
Agency Negotiators: Mike Olmos, Darlene Mata, Ty Mizote

Property: 122 E. Eighth St. and 128 E. Eighth St. (APN 010-276-006, 010-276-008)
Agency Negotiators: Mike Olmos, Darlene Mata, and Ty Mizote
Negotiating Party: William and Maryann Grimm

Property: APNs 028-310-005, 028-310-008, 028-240-008, 028-240-016, 028-240-018, 028-240-019, 028-240-020, 028-240-021
Agency Negotiators: Mike Olmos, John Doyel, and Ty Mizote
Negotiating Party: AG Green Farming

D. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT (GC 54957)

Job Title: City Manager

CALL TO ORDER REGULAR SESSION:

Mayor Sorensen called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Sue Sorensen, John Draxler, Martin Devine, Francisco Ramirez, and Art Brieno.

INVOCATION:

Invocation led by Mrs. Diana Black.

FLAG SALUTE:**CLOSED SESSION ACTION REPORT:**

City Attorney, Ty Mizote stated the Council will reconvene to Closed Session after the regular meeting.

RECOGNITIONS/PROCLAMATIONS:

None.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS:

Interim City Manager, Mike Olmos - Council has conducted interviews and has narrowed the list of qualified applicants. The new Fire Chief, Steve Pendergrass will attend the Fire Department's Open House; his first day on the job will be October 21st.

Police Chief Parker Sever - The newly hired Community Enhancement Officer will start the following week.

Community Development Director, Darlene Mata - Interviews for a Code Enforcement Officer were held the prior week and a decision will be reached soon.

Utilities & Engineering Director, John Doyel - Micro-surface treatment will begin on Third and Fourth Streets. Interviews will be held for a Waste Water Treatment Plant Superintendent.

Interim Fire Chief Erik Brotemarkle - The new Fire Chief will attend the department's Open House at Fire Station #1 and tours at Fire Station #3. The new ladder truck will be in service on November 1st.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

This is the time for citizens to comment on subject matters not on the agenda and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hanford City Council. This is also the public's opportunity to request an item from the Consent Calendar be pulled for discussion purposes, or to comment on any item on the agenda. Comments related to Public Hearing items will be heard at the time the item is discussed. A maximum of three minutes is allowed for each speaker. Please begin your comments by stating your name and city of residence.

Student from Sierra Pacific High School - Thanked the Police Chief for writing the resolution to ban smoking in public parks.

Diana, Hanford West High School - Thanked the Police Chief for writing the resolution to ban smoking in public parks.

Samantha, Lemoore Middle College High School - Thanked the Police Chief for writing the resolution to ban smoking in public parks.

Jeanette, Sierra Pacific High School - Thanked the Police Chief for writing the resolution to ban smoking in public parks.

Alissa Rebello, Hanford - Thanked the Police Chief for writing the resolution to ban smoking in public parks.

Michelle Brown, Main Street Hanford - The Blues and Roots Festival was a great success with record-breaking attendance at the concerts. Witches Night Out tickets sold out within hours of going on sale. She feels it shows that people are seeing the value in the events and projects undertaken by Main Street Hanford.

Jennifer Butts, Hanford - She is the artist of the mural proposal to be discussed at the meeting. She spoke in support of the project and its subject matter.

Bob Ramos, Hanford - He stated that smoking marijuana is illegal in public.

Jeff Tackett, Hanford - He spoke in support of the mural project.

COUNCIL RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mayor Sorensen thanked everyone for their public comment. Chief Sever confirmed that it is illegal to smoke marijuana in public.

Attachment: 10.1.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 10/1/19)

CONSENT CALENDAR:

Consent Calendar items are considered routine and will be enacted in one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these matters unless a request is made and then the item will be removed from the Consent Calendar to be discussed and voted upon by a separate motion.

Council Member Brieno requested to pull Item J [Refuse Enclosure] from the Consent Calendar.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER:	Martin Devine, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- A. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Special Meeting held on June 5, 2019.
- B. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Special Meeting held on June 25, 2019.
- C. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Meeting held on July 16, 2019.
- D. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Special Meeting held on August 26, 2019.
- E. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Special Meeting held on September 23, 2019.
- F. City Clerk: Approve minutes from the City Council Special Meeting held on September 24, 2019.
- G. Public Works: Purchase of residential refuse containers (1272 units) from Toter Wastequip, LLC., in the amount of \$65,999.62 (tax and freight included).
- H. Utilities and Engineering: Adopt the attached Resolution adopting Chapter 10 of the Caltrans Local Assistance Procedures Manual, entitled "Consultant Selection", as the process by which the City retains Architectural and Engineering Consultant Services related to Federal and State funded Transportation Projects.
- I. Community Development: Waive the second reading and adopt Ordinance 19-10, an ordinance of the City of Hanford City Council approving Rezone No. 2019-02, a request to repeal the Downtown East Precise Plan and adopt the underlying zoning and change a portion of the underlying zoning from MX-D Downtown Mixed Use to C-S Service Commercial; and Zone Text Amendment No. 2019-04: A request to remove reference to the Downtown East Precise Plan from the Hanford Municipal Code Title 17. The project pertains to the Downtown East Precise Plan, which is located between Harris Street and 10th Avenue, North of the Union Pacific Railroad Tracks, South of the Centerline of the alley between Ninth and Tenth Streets.
- J. Finance: Revised Warrant Register Dated 9/17/19
- K. Finance: Warrant Register.

ITEMS PULLED FROM CONSENT:

- A. Parks & Recreation: Approval of Contract for the Old Courthouse Refuse Enclosure Project in the amount of and not to exceed \$38,240.00.

(Item J)

Dir. Doyel provided information on the project.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER:	Martin Devine, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

- A. Utilities & Engineering: Conduct a public hearing regarding Tract 915 for the formation of Landscape Assessment District No. 19-02 at Aquifer Drive and Millennium Way, and adopt Resolution 19-37-R establishing the formation of the Tract 915 district and confirming the levy and collection of annual assessments.

Dir. Doyel explained that the Landscape Assessment District would provide for block walls, landscaping, and irrigation for sixty-six (66) lots.

Mayor Sorensen opened the Public Hearing for comments from the public.

Comments from those IN FAVOR:

No comments.

Comments from those OPPOSED:

No comments.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
SECONDER:	Art Brieno, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

Attachment: 10.1.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 10/1/19)

GENERAL BUSINESS:

- A. Administration: Authorization for the City of Hanford to enter into a contract for public relations services with DMI Agency \$44,250 over a 12 month period and authorization for the City Manager to sign the contract.

Dir. Mata introduced Ms. Karen Tellalian, president of DMI Agency, Inc. and Ms. Dixie Lobmeyer, Marketing Assistant. One of the goals and objectives set forth by the Council was to establish the hiring of a public relations person for the City, later on it was decided to explore the option of contracting with an agency to gauge the effectiveness and responsibilities of such a position. It was decided that City Clerk, Sarah Martinez would be the point of contact/liaison for DMI Agency.

Ms. Tellalian introduced her company's Webmaster and Social Media Manager, Ms. Kaci Hansen. Mayor Sorensen said the Council set this endeavor as a high priority and want to get interactive with the community. She stated her concern is for the City's website. Ms. Tellalian said after reviewing the website there are some issues with content organization and navigation. One of the first tasks would be to meet with City Staff and create a site-map. Another issues that would be addressed is optimizing the website for mobile devices.

Council Member Ramirez asked what DMI could do for the City on social media. He brought up using hashtags as branding for the City; he noted his idea to include a "#Hanford" at the art structure near the Chicken Shack on Lacey Blvd. Ms. Hansen said they would fully research the hashtags that are being used and are promoting the City.

Ms. Martinez will arrange to meet with interested Council Members to discuss top priorities for the website and social media.

Motion to approve.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	John Draxler, Vice Mayor
SECONDER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- B. Police: Resolution in Support of No Tobacco and Vaping in City Parks.

Police Chief Sever presented the resolution to ban tobacco and vaping products in the public parks. One of the students from REACT stated the group wants to see an ordinance put in place to make smoking in parks unlawful.

Motion to approve the resolution. Approved, 5-0.

Chief Sever said it could be a fined offense. There are sample policies on anti-tobacco sites. The Council reached consensus to have an Ordinance written to ban tobacco and vaping in public parks.

RESULT: **APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER: John Draxler, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- C. Community Development: Authorization for the Mayor to enter into a Cost Recovery and Indemnification Agreement with Greenbrier Hemp Company, LLC. An agreement for the reimbursement of costs associated with the preparation of an ordinance for Commercial Hemp processing and manufacturing in the City of Hanford.

Dir. Mata stated Greenbriar Hemp Company is interested in pursuing industrial manufacturing and processing in the City and have offered to enter into an agreement to provide the City with \$25,000.00 to be used to hire any assistance to draft an ordinance to address the hemp issue so it can be completed in a timely manner. Per this agreement Staff time would be tracked as well as costs for use of outside assistance.

Motion to approve.

RESULT: **APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER: Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- D. Community Development: Mural Permit - Sign Referral No. 2019-46: a request to allow a mural to be painted on the rear wall facing the alley for JH Tackett Inc. located in the MX-D Downtown Mixed Use zone district. The project is located at 329 W. Seventh Street (APN 012-056-001).

Senior Planner, Gabrielle Meyers provided the Council with an overview of the proposed mural project. The subject matter of the mural is a rainforest theme. The rainforest theme is not in accordance with the municipal code. To be compliant with the ordinance, subject matter shall be of historical significance regarding the growth and development of the City of Hanford and its surrounding environs; buildings, land, or seascapes, crop and farm animal depictions, and mechanical history depictions are permissible under the ordinance.

Mr. Jeff Tackett and Mrs. Jeanette Tackett addressed the Council with their reasoning for choice of theme and expressed their opinion about permissible depictions. Council Member Brieno asked Dir. Mata what it would take to make a change to the ordinance; Dir. Mata explained the process and time length. Council Members Brieno and Ramirez voiced their support for allowing the Tackett's chosen mural. Council Member Devine heeded caution about allowing themes outside of the municipal code parameters; Vice Mayor Draxler agreed. Mayor Sorensen she would like to stay within the City's mural guidelines.

City Attorney Ty Mizote said the Council could elect to not take action on the application and they can revisit the issue of possibly amending the municipal code to allow different types of murals. Council Member Brieno agreed with that direction.

The Council reached consensus to not take action on the mural application. The Council all agreed to bring the ordinance back for a future discussion.

RESULT: CONSENSUS

- E. Public Works: Approval of contract change order (No. 2) with the firm of Mead & Hunt Inc., of Roseville, Ca., for additional consulting services related to the Airport Taxi-lane Rehabilitation Project (Phases 2/3), in the amount \$40,202.73.

Dir. Doyel provided background information on the Contract Change Order with Mead & Hunt.

Motion to approve.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- F. Utilities and Engineering: Award a contract to JT2, Inc. dba Todd Companies in the amount of \$941,179.00 for the FY 15/16 Minor Water Main Replacement Project; Establish a construction contingency of \$94,100 for this project, and Approve an additional appropriation of \$118,900.00 from the Water Capital Reserves. (Located between Seventh and Eighth Streets, from Redington Street to Green Street)

Dir. Doyel provided information on the project to the Council.

Motion to approve.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER: Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES: Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

- G. City Council: Discuss the voting preferences of two League of California Cities Annual Conference Resolutions (#1, #2) for the City of Hanford's Voting Delegate, Vice Mayor John Draxler.

Ms. Martinez provided a brief explanation of the proposed resolutions from the League of California Cities to be voted on at the Annual Conference. The Council discussed the proposed resolutions and gave general direction to Vice Mayor Draxler as the voting delegate for the City of Hanford.

- H. Police: Approve declaration of nine firearms as Surplus Property.

Chief Sever explained the Police Department has old firearm equipment and it is proposed to declare them as surplus to assist in the purchase of new shotguns which would be less lethal.

Motion to approve.

Attachment: 10.1.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 10/1/19)

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Art Brieno, Council Member
SECONDER:	Francisco Ramirez, Council Member
AYES:	Draxler, Devine, Sorensen, Brieno, Ramirez

COUNCIL REPORTS/COMMENTS:

Council Member Brieno - He expressed his appreciation for young people and their volunteering efforts.

Council Member Ramirez - Nothing to report.

Council Member Devine - He thanked the students from the REACT group.

Vice Mayor Draxler - He went to a KWRA meeting.

Mayor Sorensen - She said she looked at the Warrant Register and had a question about the Lakeside Irrigation.

COUNCIL FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Council Member Brieno - Review and discussion of the mural ordinance. Consensus reached.

Council Member Ramirez - Ordinance to develop a smoking/vaping ban. Consensus reached.

RECONVENE TO CLOSED SESSION:

Mayor Sorensen adjourned the Council back to Closed Session at 9:08 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT:

With no action taken from Closed Session, Mayor Sorensen adjourned the meeting at 9:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sarah Martinez
City Clerk

Attachment: 10.1.19 minutes (Clerk: Approve Minutes 10/1/19)



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019

AGENDA SECTION: C

SUBJECT:

Administration: Reject notice of government claim.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Council, by motion, reject the notice of government claim from claimant, Nathaniel Ray Rodriguez, and direct the City Attorney's office to forward the claim to CSAC Excess Insurance Authority.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: D
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Public Works: Award a contract to Nation Wide Bird Control of Tracy, Ca., in the amount of \$27,250, to install protective netting for the Corporation Yard Fleet Shop.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council, by motion, award a contract to Nation Wide Bird Control of Tracy, Ca., in the amount of \$27,250, to install protective netting for the Corporation Yard Fleet Shop.

BACKGROUND:

The Public Works Department Fleet shop has been plagued for years by birds roosting in areas of the shop structure. The droppings they leave behind are a health hazard and soil the mechanics tools and parts on a daily basis. City staff has successfully installed bird netting in other open areas at the Public Works Corporation Yard with great success. However, due the complexity of fleet shop building and its daily operations, staff is recommending that a contractor install the required protective netting for this work area. Bid proposals were solicited and received from three contractors ranging from the a low bid provided Nation Wide Bird Control in the amount of \$27,250 to a high bid of \$30,850. Attached is bid tabulation summary for council review. If awarded, the project is anticipated to be completed by February 2020.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The FY 2019 / 20 Capital Improvement Budget provided \$28,600 (Construction, plus contingency) for the purchase and installation of bird netting at the Corporation Yard Fleet Shop. Attached for council review is a copy of the FY 2019/20 budget page for project.

ATTACHMENTS:

Project bid Proposals
Budget Page

Fleet Shop Bird Control Project Proposals

Nation Wide Bird Control \$27,250

1852 West 11th Street #354

Tracy, CA 95376

San Joaquin Pest Control of Fresno \$29,950

P.O. Box 7705

Fresno, CA 93747

Western Exterminator Company \$30,850

1788 North Helm Avenue

Suite 110

Fresno, CA

Fleet Shop Bird Netting Installation

CIP2020032

Project Background:

The Public Works Fleet Shop maintains and repairs City vehicles and equipment. It is a vital component of the City's ability to provide public service. Cleanliness and a sanitary environment is necessary for a productive work area. This facility has experienced consistent high volumes of nesting pigeons. Due to their nature, they roost in the same areas every night leaving bird droppings in concentrations around equipment and materials.

Existing Conditions:

The new refuse storage area had experienced the same pigeon problem two years ago. City staff was successful installing Bird B Gone bird netting control systems in all open covered storage areas which eradicated 99% of the bird nesting and feces. The Fleet Shop was not part of this program and continues to experience several locations that bird feces build up creating unsanitary conditions.

Project Justification:

Maintenance staff has received complements on the success of this system from all divisions who utilize the open bay storage facilities. Staff believes this system is the best solution to control bird populations in and around the City fleet shop.

Fiscal Implications:

Funding for this project will be allocated from the Accumulated Capital Outlay (ACO) reserves.

Project Budget Summary:

		5-Year Funding Allocation				
		2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Expenditure	Program or Project	Construction				
	Engineering / Inspection	500				
	Construction	26,000				
	Contingency	2,600				
	Department Overhead	900				
	Total Expenditure	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue	Funding					
	0004 Accumulated Capital Outlay (ACO)	6,000				
	0391 Water Capital	6,000				
	0358 Storm Drainage Capital	6,000				
	0363 Wastewater Capital	6,000				
	0307 Refuse Capital	6,000				
	Total Funding	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: E
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Notice of Completion of the Hanford Armona Road Sanitary Sewer Main Extension from Foggy Bottom Road to the Hanford Municipal Airport

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council, by motion, approve a Notice of Completion and authorize the Mayor to execute and the City Clerk to attest and file said Notice with the Kings County Recorders Office.

BACKGROUND:

On July 16, 2019 the Council awarded the Hanford Armona Rd. Sanitary Sewer Main Extension Project to Haydon Construction of Fresno, CA. This project consisted of installing a new 12" sanitary sewer main from Foggy Bottom Rd. to Hanford Municipal Airport; abandoning the existing Hanford Airport septic system; and connecting a new sewer service to the airport office building.

There was one change order on this project.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The FY18-19 Capital Improvement Budget provided \$226,820.00 for construction, including contingency, for this project.

The total cost to complete the project was \$208,496.31. Attached are the Final Project Construction and Finance History for Council review.

ATTACHMENTS:

FINANCE HISTORY

NOC - Recording Original

FINAL PROJECT CONSTRUCTION AND FINANCE HISTORY

PROJECT: Hanford Armona Rd. Sanitary Sewer Main Extension Project

CONTRACTOR: Haydon Construction
2019 N. Gateway Blvd. Suite 200
Fresno, CA 93727

CONTRACT DATES:	Bid Date	July 9, 2019
	Council Award	July 16, 2019
	Contractor Started Work	September 3, 2019
	Contract Time of Completion	October 30, 2019
	Contractor Completed Work	October 30, 2019

CONTRACT CHANGE ORDERS:

1. Contract Change Order No.1: Remove 76 SF of asphalt and repave. City of Hanford provided the asphalt.

FINANCIAL HISTORY:

<u>SOURCE OF BUDGET FUNDING</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
FY18-19 Capital Improvement Budget	\$ 226,820.00
Total Budget Funding	\$ <u>226,820.00</u>
 CONSTRUCTION COSTS	
Contract – Total Bid Award	\$ 206,220.00
CCO # 1	\$ 2,276.31
Total Construction Cost	\$ <u>208,496.31</u>
Fund Balance	\$ <u>18,323.69</u>

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:
CITY OF HANFORD

When recorded return to:

City Clerk
City of Hanford
315 North Douty Street
Hanford, California 93230-3951

G.C. 27361.3 - Fee NIL

NOTICE OF COMPLETION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The work of Improvement is located at: Hanford Armona Rd. from Foggy Bottom Rd. to Hanford Municipal Airport
2. The Improvement is particularly described as: Hanford Armona Rd. Sanitary Sewer Main Extension
3. The date of completion of the work of Improvement: October 30, 2019
4. The Owner of the work of Improvement: City of Hanford.
5. The nature of the owner's interest or estate: Fee Simple Title.
6. The name of the original contractor for the work of Improvement: Haydon Construction
7. The surety for the work of Improvement: United Fire & Casualty Company
8. All work for this project has been completed.
9. Accepted by minute action of the City Council of the City of Hanford on:
December 3, 2019

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Dated this 3rd day of December, 2019.

BY: _____
Sue Sorensen, Mayor
City of Hanford, County of Kings, State of California

Attachment: NOC - Recording Original (U&E: Notice of Completion for the Hanford Armona Rd. Sewer Main Extension to the Airport)

I, the undersigned, say:

I am the person who signed the foregoing notice. I have read the above notice and know its contents, and the facts stated therein are true of my own knowledge.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Hanford, California, this ____ day of _____, 2019.

BY: _____
Sue Sorensen, Mayor
City of Hanford, County of Kings, State of California

Authority: Section 1181 Civil Code



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019

AGENDA SECTION: F

SUBJECT:

Finance: Warrant Register

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve warrant register for \$979,512.77.

BACKGROUND:

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19



Warrant Register

Check Date Range: 11/15/2019 - 11/25/2019

Report Date: 11/25/2019

14.F.a

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
0001 GENERAL FUND	412000 SALES TAX	11/15/2019	152305	MUNI SERVICES, LLC	\$3,717.92	INV06-007378	SUTA 06/30/19
	412000 SALES TAX	11/25/2019	152440	MUNI SERVICES, LLC	\$500.00	INV06-007438	STARS CY19 QTR2
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 0001 - GENERAL FUND				\$4,217.92		
0240 LANDSCAPE ASSESS DIST FUND	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152336	BAVCO	\$543.64	930193	LAD092T843 BACKFLOW RPR
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/15/2019	152307	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$260.54	4207	2019-10
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152477	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$171.82	4443	2019-10
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 0240 - LANDSCAPE ASSESS DIST FUND				\$976.00		
0400 CITY PAYROLL REVOL FUND	205900 EMPLOYEES ASSOCNS PAYABLE	11/22/2019	152313	G E M A 1121211070	\$630.00	2909	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	205900 EMPLOYEES ASSOCNS PAYABLE	11/22/2019	152314	H M E A 0000129193	\$31.00	29110	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	205900 EMPLOYEES ASSOCNS PAYABLE	11/22/2019	152315	H P O A 3242162141	\$3,192.00	29210	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	205900 EMPLOYEES ASSOCNS PAYABLE	11/22/2019	152316	I A F F, LOCAL 3898 A/C#9129026	\$1,943.00	2939	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	205900 EMPLOYEES ASSOCNS PAYABLE	11/22/2019	152319	S E I U LOCAL 521	\$2,674.88	29510	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	206300 EMPLOYEES ATTACHMENT PAY	11/22/2019	152310	CALIF STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT	\$461.54	28710	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
0400 CITY PAYROLL REVOL FUND	206300 EMPLOYEES ATTACHMENT PAY	11/22/2019	152311	EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORP	\$243.47	4556	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	206300 EMPLOYEES ATTACHMENT PAY	11/22/2019	152312	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD	\$707.18	28910	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	207200 AMERICAN FID ASSURANCE	11/22/2019	152309	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	\$1,094.27	2859	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	207300 AMERICAN FID FLEX DEF	11/22/2019	152308	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE-FLEX	\$2,371.51	2869	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	207400 TRANSAMERICA	11/22/2019	152320	TRANSAMERICA LIFE INSURANCE CO	\$1,016.96	2969	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	207700 LEGAL SHIELD	11/22/2019	152318	PRE PAID LEGAL SERVICE, INC	\$676.48	2949	PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 0400 - CITY PAYROLL REVOL FUND				\$15,042.29		
0511 MISC CASH DEP TRUST FUND	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/15/2019	152306	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$1,000.00	2003536	DEPOSIT REFUND #11294
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152382	GLAD TIDINGS CHURCH	\$250.00	2003525	REFUND DEPOSIT 10844
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152410	KINGS CO JOB TRAINING OFFICE	\$250.00	2003552	REFUND DEPOSIT #11339
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152412	KINGS CO OFFICE OF EDUCATION	\$250.00	2003550	REFUND DEPOSIT #10654
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152416	KINGS COMMUNITY ACTION ORG	\$250.00	2003557	REFUND DEPOSIT #10757
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152416	KINGS COMMUNITY ACTION ORG	\$250.00	2003556	REFUND DEPOSIT #10721
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152441	NEW TESTAMENT BAPTIST CHURCH	\$250.00	2003524	REFUND DEPOSIT #10715

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
0511 MISC CASH DEP TRUST FUND	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152445	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$250.00	2003553	REFUND DEPOSIT #10629
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152446	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$250.00	2003520	REFUND DEPOSIT #10717
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152447	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$100.00	2003549	REFUND DEPOSIT #11416
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152453	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$250.00	2003555	REFUND DEPOSIT #11439
	300110 REC-AUDITORIUM DEP TRUST	11/25/2019	152454	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$250.00	2003554	DEPOSIT REFUND #10575
	300521 KC-LIBRARY IMP FEES	11/15/2019	152302	KINGS CO DEPT OF FINANCE	\$4,695.34	4202	2019-10
	300522 KC-CRIMINAL JSTC IMP FEES	11/15/2019	152302	KINGS CO DEPT OF FINANCE	\$11,199.46	4202	2019-10
	300538 FY01 PERS-FCR	11/25/2019	152448	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$1.00	FY20-0918	PERMIT WITHDRAWN
	300570 DISAB ACCES-BL-SB1186	11/25/2019	152345	CALIF DIVISION OF STATE ARCHITECTS	\$651.11	4221	FY20 Q1 DISAB SB1186
	300600 SCHOOL DEVEL FEES	11/15/2019	152303	KINGS CO OFFICE OF EDUCATION	\$6,073.23	4203	2019-10
	300600 SCHOOL DEVEL FEES	11/15/2019	152303	KINGS CO OFFICE OF EDUCATION	\$79,107.51	4203	2019-10
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 0511 - MISC CASH DEP TRUST FUND					\$105,327.65		
0513 RENTERS INSURANCE DEP FUND	546320 RENTERS INSURANCE DEPOSIT	11/25/2019	152398	HUB INTERNATIONAL OF CA INS SVC INC	\$212.66	91368	2019-11 B OLSON
	546320 RENTERS INSURANCE DEPOSIT	11/25/2019	152398	HUB INTERNATIONAL OF CA INS SVC INC	\$171.38	91369	2019-11 R MONTOYA
	546320 RENTERS INSURANCE DEPOSIT	11/25/2019	152398	HUB INTERNATIONAL OF CA INS SVC INC	\$210.60	91370	2019-11 O ALVAREZ

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
0513 RENTERS INSURANCE DEP FUND	546320 RENTERS INSURANCE DEPOSIT	11/25/2019	152398	HUB INTERNATIONAL OF CA INS SVC INC	\$164.16	91371	2019-11 D TOWER
	546320 RENTERS INSURANCE DEPOSIT	11/25/2019	152398	HUB INTERNATIONAL OF CA INS SVC INC	\$171.38	91372	2019-11 R BELMONTE
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 0513 - RENTERS INSURANCE DEP FUND				\$930.18		
0520 TRAFFIC SAFETY FUND	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152332	CITY OF AVENAL	\$65.98	4557	PKFINEAVEN CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152359	CITY OF CORCORAN	\$448.39	4558	PKFINECORC CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$62.50	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$25.00	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$62.50	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$1,012.50	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$229.50	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$307.50	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152414	KINGS CO TREASURER	\$362.50	4559	PARKING CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152423	CITY OF LEMOORE	\$217.08	4560	PKFINELEMO CITATIONS
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152449	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$75.00	REFUND	PKFINEHANF 10009352
	430001 PARKING FINES	11/25/2019	152485	TURBO DATA SYS INC	\$1,149.10	31315	2019-10 FINES AND TICKET
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 0520 - TRAFFIC SAFETY FUND				\$4,017.55		
11000000 CITY COUNCIL	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152371	DIRECT MEDIA INC	\$3,687.50	20945	PR CONSULTING SERVICES
	770000 EMPLOYEE SVC AWARD DINNER	11/25/2019	152466	JOSHUA J CORDERO	\$300.00	34235493	CITY DINNER PHOTOS

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
11000000 CITY COUNCIL	790400 CITY CONTRIBUTIONS TO	11/25/2019	152409	KINGS CO ECON DEVELOPMENT CORP	\$6,559.83	2289	2019-11 CONTRIBUTION
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 11000000 - CITY COUNCIL					\$10,547.33		
11100000 CITY MANAGER-CITY CLERK	744000 OFFICE EXPENSE MISC	11/25/2019	152415	BRANDON K AINSWORTH	\$10.73	2986	CITY MANAGER NAME PLATE
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 11100000 - CITY MANAGER-CITY CLERK					\$10.73		
11110000 PERSONNEL	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$31.76	13871397	2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152343	CALIF DEPT OF JUSTICE	\$179.00	417255	LIVESCANS
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152351	CENTRAL VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE CARE	\$550.00	2019-10	MEDICAL EXAMS 2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152408	RUDY LOPEZ	\$1,647.00	2019-08	2019-08 MEMBERSHIP
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152417	KINGS INDUSTRIAL OCCUP MEDICAL CTR	\$676.76	71697	MEDICAL TESTS
	749502 TUITION	11/25/2019	152370	TAYLOR DIHEL	\$525.00	4532	TUITION
	749502 TUITION	11/25/2019	152393	CHANDLER HARRIS	\$500.00	4502	TUITION
	749600 GRP INSUR ADM EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152322	ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTIONS INC	\$4,400.00	157996	2019-07 ADMIN SVC FEES
	749600 GRP INSUR ADM EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152322	ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTIONS INC	\$108.00	163571	2019-09 RETIREES
	749600 GRP INSUR ADM EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152322	ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTIONS INC	\$4,400.00	166791	2019-11 ADMIN FEES
	749600 GRP INSUR ADM EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152337	BENISTAR / HARTFORD-6795	\$357.00	12012019	RETIREE INSUR 2019-12

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
11110000	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 11110000 - PERSONNEL				\$13,374.52		
12010000 FINANCE-ACCOUNTING	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152385	GOVT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION	\$305.00	0163001	FY20 DUES P LOFGREN
	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152475	SIMPLIFY COMPLIANCE LLC	\$417.00	18900227-R1	CY20 ESLA SUBSCRIPTION
	745500 POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	11/25/2019	152444	EXPRESS MESSENGER SYSTEMS	\$7.86	8992596	SHIPPING- STATE CONTROLLE
	746000 DUPLICATING EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152503	XEROX CORP	\$331.43	098671029	2019-10
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152460	DELUXE SMALL BUSINESS SALES INC	\$134.06	0072792	1099 ENVELOPES
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 12010000 - FINANCE-ACCOUNTING				\$1,195.35		
12100000 FINANCE-UTILITY BILLING	745500 POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	11/25/2019	152461	PRE-SORT CENTER OF STOCKTON INC	\$25.01	410068405	10/21-10/25/19
	745500 POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	11/25/2019	152461	PRE-SORT CENTER OF STOCKTON INC	\$13.46	410068553	10/28-11/1/19
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 12100000 - FINANCE-UTILITY BILLING				\$38.47		
13090000 LIABILITY INSURANCE	733000 LIABILITY INSURANCE	11/25/2019	152504	YORK RISK SERVICES GROUP, INC	\$1,478.40	2019-10	2019-10 SERVICE FEES
	733400 BUILDING,PROPERTY,VESEL	11/25/2019	152344	CALIF DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION	\$2,653.38	00107044	SIGN DMG/POLICE CHASE 11/
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 13090000 - LIABILITY INSURANCE				\$4,131.78		
13140000 COMPUTER REPL RESERVE	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152487	TYLER TECHNOLOGIES INC	\$9,852.81	045-281536	CIP816030 TYLER IMPLEMENT
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152487	TYLER TECHNOLOGIES INC	\$7,125.06	045-282250	CIP816030 TYLER IMPLEMENT
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 13140000 - COMPUTER REPL RESERVE				\$16,977.87		
13150000 I T S INFORMATIONAL TECH SERV	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152355	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC	\$240.94	0287568 11/19	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER-8

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
13150000 I T S INFORMATIONAL TECH SERV	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152383	GLOBAL C T I GROUP INC	\$540.00	138370	PHONE SYSTEM SUPPORT
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152481	SWAGIT PRODUCTIONS, LLC	\$50.00	13941	2019-10 AUDIO STREAMING
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152494	VERIZON WIRELESS	\$38.01	9841265545	2019-10 IT AIRCARD
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 13150000 - I T S INFORMATIONAL TECH SERV				\$868.95		
14110000 PLANNING OPERATIONS	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$8.32	13871387	583-1633 2019-10
	744000 OFFICE EXPENSE MISC	11/25/2019	152431	MCA DIRECT	\$23.45	2019221	CALENDARS
	746000 DUPLICATING EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152503	XEROX CORP	\$104.26	098582223	2019-10
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 14110000 - PLANNING OPERATIONS				\$136.03		
14120000 BUILDING INSPECTION	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152448	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$105.00	FY20-0918	PERMIT WITHDRAWN
	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152450	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$200.00	FY20-0713	REFUND FY20-0713
	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152451	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$200.00	FY20-0637	REFUND FY20-0637
	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152455	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$200.00	FY20-0751	REFUND FY20-0751
	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152456	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$200.00	FY20-0752	REFUND FY20-0752
	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152457	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$200.00	FY20-0768	REFUND FY20-0768
	421000 CONSTRUCTION PERMITS	11/25/2019	152458	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$200.00	FY20-0769	REFUND FY20-0769

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
14120000 BUILDING INSPECTION	493102 ELEC SYS REPL FEES	11/25/2019	152448	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$5.00	FY20-0918	PERMIT WITHDRAWN
	493102 ELEC SYS REPL FEES	11/25/2019	152452	ONE TIME VENDOR	\$5.00	FY20-1002	REFUND FY20-1002
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$8.32	13871387	583-1633 2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152496	VERIZON WIRELESS	\$211.74	9841708280	2019-10
	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152342	CALIF ASSC OF CODE ENFORCEMENT	\$95.00	300010848	CY20 MEMBERSHIP DUES
	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152399	INTERNATIONAL CODE COUNCIL INC	\$160.34	1001111688	ICC CODE SHIPMENTS
	745500 POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	11/25/2019	152384	GENERAL LOGISTICS SYSTEMS US, INC	\$66.04	4045064	SHIP TO INTERWEST
	746000 DUPLICATING EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152503	XEROX CORP	\$145.51	098582223	2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152394	RSB LLC	\$584.64	14134	A RAMIREZ 10/28-11/1
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152400	INTERWEST CONSULTING GROUP INC	\$300.00	54456	FY19-1879 ADD #1
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152400	INTERWEST CONSULTING GROUP INC	\$720.00	54583	PC FEE FY20-0400
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152400	INTERWEST CONSULTING GROUP INC	\$15,372.97	54960	PC FEES 10/1-31
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152413	KINGS CO RECORDER	\$8.00	1917503	CE18-0099 RELEASE RECORDER
	792400 VEHICLE ABATEMENT EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152389	RONALD HURT	\$100.00	CE20-0146	TOW 01 HYUNDAI
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 14120000 - BUILDING INSPECTION					\$19,087.56		

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
14130000 CITY HFD PUBLIC HSG AUTH	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$8.32	13871387	583-1633 2019-10
	744000 OFFICE EXPENSE MISC	11/25/2019	152431	MCA DIRECT	\$5.86	2019221	CALENDARS
	744000 OFFICE EXPENSE MISC	11/25/2019	152479	STAPLES DEPT11-0007061138	\$60.51	4278	OFFICE SUPPLIES
	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152413	KINGS CO RECORDER	\$23.50	17246	MONZELLI NOTARY OATH/BO
	746000 DUPLICATING EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152503	XEROX CORP	\$149.42	098582223	2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152499	W T CAPITAL LENDERS SERVICES	\$75.00	7102	GMS 4030 B COLE CANCEL FE
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 14130000 - CITY HFD PUBLIC HSG AUTH				\$322.61		
14500100 CDBG ENTITLEMENT REUSE	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152381	G M S, INC	\$245.00	234483	11/19 LIC & WARRANTY
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152381	G M S, INC	\$65.00	234484	11/19 SERV & SUPPORT
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 14500100 - CDBG ENTITLEMENT REUSE				\$310.00		
14500200 CDBG ENTITLEMENT	753100 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	11/25/2019	152391	HANFORD SENTINEL 234-60007669	\$536.25	94344	CDBG AMEND CONPLAN
	792300 GRANT PROGRAM EXPENSES	11/25/2019	152491	VALU PLUMBING	\$2,213.50	37208	PLUMBING 946 HOLT AVE
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 14500200 - CDBG ENTITLEMENT				\$2,749.75		
14730000 RDA PROJECT OPERATIONS	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152468	RISING GREEN INC	\$2,000.00	000020	IND PARK LOT CLEANUP
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 14730000 - RDA PROJECT OPERATIONS				\$2,000.00		
15110000 POLICE-SUPPORT SERVICE	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$878.24	13871319	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER-2
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.50	13871395	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
15110000 POLICE-SUPPORT SERVICE	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$148.71	13871398	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$29.35	13871399	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$41.35	13871400	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER-
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$84.79	13871579	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.50	13871528	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER-
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$344.91	13871397	2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152492	VERIZON WIRELESS	\$1,207.11	9841173010	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152493	VERIZON WIRELESS	\$46.08	9841265544	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152495	VERIZON WIRELESS	\$20.04	9841284024	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152497	VERIZON WIRELESS	\$2,167.60	9841769408	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152358	COPWARE, INC	\$1,105.00	84966	CALIFORNIA PEACE OFFICERS
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152343	CALIF DEPT OF JUSTICE	\$1,167.00	414311	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152354	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC	\$14.94	0146699 11/19	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER-
	758000 RENTS AND LEASES-EQUIP	11/25/2019	152327	AMERIPRIDE UNIFORM SERVICE	\$35.00	1502507463	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	758000 RENTS AND LEASES-EQUIP	11/25/2019	152327	AMERIPRIDE UNIFORM SERVICE	\$83.90	1502511436	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152425	LIVE ACTION SAFETY	\$217.65	67335	MASS CASUALTY BLEEDING PF

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
15110000 POLICE-SUPPORT SERVICE	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152350	RUBEN A CANO	\$99.00	EXP 10/22-24	EXP/FRESNO 10/22-24
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152360	D PREP INC	\$357.00	TR BIDEGARAY	REG/FRESNO 1/13-15
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152361	D PREP INC	\$357.00	TR COTTA	REG/FRESNO 1/13-15
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152362	D PREP INC	\$357.00	TR HERNANDEZ	REG/FRESNO 1/13-15
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152363	D PREP INC	\$357.00	TR RAGSDALE	REG/FRESNO 1/13-15
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152364	D PREP INC	\$357.00	TR RIVERA	REG/FRESNO 1/13-15
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152365	D PREP INC	\$357.00	TR TOMEY	REG/FRESNO 1/13-15
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152406	ROLANDO JAIME	\$300.00	4423	REIMB PARAMEDIC RENEWAL
	777000 TRAINING/TRAVEL/MEETING	11/25/2019	152428	FRANK J MARTINEZ	\$99.00	EXP 11/12-14	EXP/FRESNO 11/12-14
	800169 PD- SPECIALTY EQUIP	11/25/2019	152464	PROFORCE LAW ENFORCEMENT	\$17,092.93	392510	11-LASER DEVICES
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 15110000 - POLICE-SUPPORT SERVICE					\$27,367.60		
15110100 POLICE CAP/EQUIP REPL RES	800198 NON-MOTORIZED VEHCL	11/25/2019	152489	URBAN RESTORATION GROUP US INC	\$1,605.13	00023982	ASSET10117 PRESSURE WASH
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 15110100 - POLICE CAP/EQUIP REPL RES				\$1,605.13		
15130000 POLICE-OPERATIONS	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152329	APPLIED POLYGRAPH INC	\$800.00	191115	4 POLYGRAPH EXAMS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 15130000 - POLICE-OPERATIONS				\$800.00		

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
15180000 POLICE-ANIMAL CONTROL	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152392	HANFORD VETERINARY HOSPITAL, INC	\$432.08	1130278	EMERGENCY EXAM
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152392	HANFORD VETERINARY HOSPITAL, INC	\$566.04	1131375	EMERGENCY EXAM
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152392	HANFORD VETERINARY HOSPITAL, INC	\$695.82	1131326	EMERGENCY EXAM
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152392	HANFORD VETERINARY HOSPITAL, INC	\$417.00	1132103	EMERGENCY EXAM
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152392	HANFORD VETERINARY HOSPITAL, INC	\$466.11	1132050	EMERGENCY EXAM
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152392	HANFORD VETERINARY HOSPITAL, INC	\$627.50	1132051	EMERGENCY EXAM
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 15180000 - POLICE-ANIMAL CONTROL				\$3,204.55		
16100000 FIRE-ADMIN/SUPPRESSION	701000 REGULAR EMPLOYEES	11/22/2019	152317	THOMAS LEE	\$1,925.43	29710	NO DIRECT DEPOSIT
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$38.30	13871397	2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152465	TAYLOR BROTHERS, INC	\$52.00	1775237	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152498	VISALIA OVERHEAD DOORS	\$518.32	932295	ST1 BAY DOOR RPR/SVC
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152498	VISALIA OVERHEAD DOORS	\$625.64	932296	ST 2 BAY DOOR RPR/SVC
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152403	J C LANSLOWNE DIST INC	\$493.35	48329	GREASE FOR ENGINES
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152407	JORGENSEN CO INC	\$192.00	5841972	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
16100000 FIRE-ADMIN/SUPPRESSION	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/15/2019	152307	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$476.95	4207	2019-10
	778500 UTILITIES-GAS	11/25/2019	152478	SOUTHERN CALIF GAS CO	\$119.09	4446	2019-11
	792300 GRANT PROGRAM EXPENSES	11/25/2019	152401	J ' S COMMUNICATIONS, INC	\$679.40	56111	RADIO BATTERY & PARTS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 16100000 - FIRE-ADMIN/SUPPRESSION				\$5,120.48		
16110000 FIRE-FIRE PREVENTION	745500 POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	11/25/2019	152384	GENERAL LOGISTICS SYSTEMS US, INC	\$35.58	4045064	SHIP TO INTERWEST
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 16110000 - FIRE-FIRE PREVENTION				\$35.58		
17110000 PARKS & REC-SPORTS	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$23.58	13871385	582-4092 2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152331	GEORGE AUSTIN	\$445.00	4466	SFTBL OFCL 11/7-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152334	TIMOTHY LEE BAKER	\$125.00	4467	SFTBL OFCL 11/8
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152352	JESSE CHAVARRIA	\$138.00	4474	SFTBL OFCL 11/7-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152369	LELAND DIHEL	\$96.00	4475	SFTBL OFCL 11/9
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152372	KASSANDRA L ESCALERA	\$24.00	4476	SFTBL OFCL 11/19
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152379	BRYCE FISHER	\$96.00	4477	SFTBL OFCL 11/7-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152387	JUSTIN HAKKER	\$150.00	4478	SFTBL OFCL 11/8
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152422	WARREN LEASURE	\$345.00	4485	SFTBL OFCL 11/11-20

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
17110000 PARKS & REC- SPORTS	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152427	TONI MARIN	\$144.00	4486	SFTBL OFCL 11/11-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152430	MAYBERRY, WILLIAM DUSTIN	\$150.00	4487	SFTBL OFCL 11/8
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152434	RYAN MERCER	\$355.00	4488	SFTBL OFCL 11/7-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152437	LUCIO MONTOYA	\$138.00	4489	SFTBL OFCL 11/12-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152462	ZANE PERREIRA	\$120.00	4490	SFTBL OFCL 11/7-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152463	MANDY S PHILLIPS	\$156.00	4491	SFTBL OFCL 11/11-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152473	ANTHONY SANCHEZ	\$756.00	4493	SFTBL OFCL 11/7-20
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152476	STEPHEN SMITH	\$46.00	4494	SFTBL OFCL 11/19
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$66.22	4279	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152480	SUNSHINE MONTOYA-KENTON	\$150.15	201708566	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152480	SUNSHINE MONTOYA-KENTON	\$150.15	201708564	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152480	SUNSHINE MONTOYA-KENTON	\$150.15	201708563	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152480	SUNSHINE MONTOYA-KENTON	\$139.42	201708567	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
17110000 PARKS & REC-SPORTS	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152480	SUNSHINE MONTOYA-KENTON	\$858.00	201708568	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152480	SUNSHINE MONTOYA-KENTON	\$171.60	201708565	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17110000 - PARKS & REC-SPORTS				\$4,993.27		
17130000 PARKS & REC-LONGFIELD CTR	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$19.15	13871397	2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152357	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC	\$237.88	0714199 11/19	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152500	WAL MART	\$165.74	4517	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152477	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$164.71	4443	2019-10
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17130000 - PARKS & REC-LONGFIELD CTR				\$587.48		
17140000 PARKS & REC-AQUATIC/SKATE	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$19.30	13871397	2019-10
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17140000 - PARKS & REC-AQUATIC/SKATE				\$19.30		
17160000 PARKS & REC-FACILITIES	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152374	F T G FINE EMBROIDERY & DESIGN	\$674.07	6542	STAFF JACKETS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17160000 - PARKS & REC-FACILITIES				\$674.07		
17190000 PARKS & REC-YOUTH SERVICE	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.52	13874627	587-1658 2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$19.15	13871397	2019-10
	747000 PRINTING	11/25/2019	152325	ALL VALLEY PRINTING	\$259.65	190858	TICKETS M/S & DDD NIGHT
	747000 PRINTING	11/25/2019	152479	STAPLES DEPT11-0007061138	\$108.07	4277	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152351	CENTRAL VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE CARE	\$65.00	2019-11	MEDICAL EXAMS 2019-11

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
17190000 PARKS & REC-YOUTH SERVICE	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152421	TAMMY L LAWLEY	\$750.00	121419	PRINCESS CHARACTERS
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152353	SUSAN CHAVEZ	\$36.44	4359	TEEN CENTER SUPPLIES REIM
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152500	WAL MART	\$225.04	4519	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17190000 - PARKS & REC-YOUTH SERVICE				\$1,484.87		
17200000 PARKS & REC-ADULT/SPC SRV	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$19.30	13871397	2019-10
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152340	JASMINE BURROUGHS	\$315.00	4473	2019-11 CHEER CLASS
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152472	CINDY LYNN RODRIGUEZ	\$255.00	4492	2019-11 AEROBICS/WALKING
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17200000 - PARKS & REC-ADULT/SPC SRV				\$589.30		
17210000 PARKS & REC-COMM PROM/EV	744000 OFFICE EXPENSE MISC	11/25/2019	152479	STAPLES DEPT11-0007061138	\$11.54	4278	OFFICE SUPPLIES
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152376	GARY FEINSTEIN	\$125.00	4240	PHOTOS SOFTBALL TOURNMN
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17210000 - PARKS & REC-COMM PROM/EV				\$136.54		
17220000 PARKS & REC-PARKS	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152351	CENTRAL VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE CARE	\$437.00	2019-11	MEDICAL EXAMS 2019-11
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152442	NICK CHAMPI ENTERPRISES INC	\$15,453.00	23924	ROTARY FIELD CHAIN LINK F
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$405.49	4281	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152338	BIG STATE INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY INC	\$2,151.03	1364959	DIAMON BLADES EMPLOYEE P

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
17220000 PARKS & REC-PARKS	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152395	HOLT LUMBER INC	\$9.71	524354	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152396	HOME DEPOT U S A INC-DEPT 32 2540194606	\$681.27	521067439	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152433	CONSOLIDATED ELEC DIST, INC	\$61.98	2877-488251	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152433	CONSOLIDATED ELEC DIST, INC	\$14.22	2877-488413	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152433	CONSOLIDATED ELEC DIST, INC	\$7.94	2877-488437	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152436	MILLER'S RENTALAND	\$10.00	772611	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152501	WEST VALLEY SUPPLY INC	\$54.60	98340	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152501	WEST VALLEY SUPPLY INC	\$8.91	98875	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152501	WEST VALLEY SUPPLY INC	\$81.42	98879	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152443	NUTRIEN AG SOLUTIONS INC	\$209.14	40825027	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152459	P G & E	\$9.53	4261	2019-10
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 17220000 - PARKS & REC-PARKS					\$19,595.24		
20100000 PW- ADMINISTRATION & ENGR	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.80	13871386	11/19 FAX SERVICE
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152390	HANFORD SENTINEL CLASSIFIED	\$363.97	94273	ADVERTISE GEOTECH ON-CAL

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20100000 PW- ADMINISTRATION & ENGR	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152435	MICHAEL SUTHERLAND & ASSN	\$325.00	192663-2	TR 909 UNIT 1
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152435	MICHAEL SUTHERLAND & ASSN	\$390.00	192669-1	TPM 2019-02 1ST REVIEW
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20100000 - PW-ADMINISTRATION & ENGR				\$1,100.77		
20110000 PW-STREET MAINTENANCE	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152351	CENTRAL VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE CARE	\$76.00	2019-11	MEDICAL EXAMS 2019-11
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152417	KINGS INDUSTRIAL OCCUP MEDICAL CTR	\$110.00	71697	MEDICAL TESTS
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$405.71	4282	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152366	DASSEL'S PETROLEUM, INC	\$70.61	48339	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152402	J A MOMANEY SERVICES INC	\$240.24	125649	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152469	ROADLINE PRODUCTS INC	\$2,397.51	15367	STENCIL TRUCK
	772000 STREET CONST & MAINT MTRL	11/25/2019	152483	TERRY JOHNSON TRUCKING	\$2,863.58	111575	AC & BASE ROCK & SAND
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/15/2019	152307	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$38,368.88	4207	2019-10
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152459	P G & E	\$324.12	4261	2019-10
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20110000 - PW-STREET MAINTENANCE				\$44,856.65		
20140000 SPECIAL AVIATION	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152432	MEAD & HUNT INC	\$9,936.81	296443	CIP2020052
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152505	ZUMWALT HANSEN, INC	\$4,075.50	13045	CIP2020052

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20140000	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20140000 - SPECIAL AVIATION				\$14,012.31		
20200000 AIRPORT OPERATIONS	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.50	13871383	582-0101 2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$19.15	13871397	2019-10
	743300 FUEL AND LUBE MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152335	W BANKS MOORE, INC CUST#01833	\$100.00	WO-10240	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152405	J H TROXELL ELECTRIC	\$308.47	63	MTNCE PAPI LIGHTING SYSTE
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152424	MICHAEL P LEONI	\$328.00	0040	RODENT CONTROL SVCS
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152471	ROBINSON'S INTERIORS, INC	\$475.00	15465MH	AIRPORT OFFICE BLINDS
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$42.75	4285	LED BULBS
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152380	FLIGHT LIGHT, INC	\$238.05	0069657-IN	AIRPORT LIGHTING
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152477	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$839.22	4443	2019-10
	778500 UTILITIES-GAS	11/25/2019	152478	SOUTHERN CALIF GAS CO	\$7.38	4446	2019-11
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20200000 - AIRPORT OPERATIONS				\$2,379.52		
20310000 REFUSE OPERATIONS	707130 UNIFORM EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152404	J H TACKETT INC	\$5.36	2789	REFUSE EMBROD PATCHES JK
	707130 UNIFORM EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152502	WORKINGMAN'S STORE INC	\$160.00	104182	R TOFOLLA FY20 BOOTS
	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152403	J C LANSDOWNE DIST INC	\$1,276.28	52233	LUBRICANT
	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152490	VALLEY OXYGEN, INC	\$151.92	414692	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20310000 REFUSE OPERATIONS	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152351	CENTRAL VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE CARE	\$566.00	2019-11	MEDICAL EXAMS 2019-11
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20310000 - REFUSE OPERATIONS				\$2,159.56		
20370000 REFUSE CAPITAL & RESERVES	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152378	RAYMOND FIGUEROA	\$41,240.00	1396	CIP2020035
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20370000 - REFUSE CAPITAL & RESERVES				\$41,240.00		
20400000 FLEET MAINTENANCE OPER	743300 FUEL AND LUBE MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152474	SILVAS OIL CO INC	\$24,377.31	845359	DIESEL FUEL
	743400 TIRES AND TUBES	11/25/2019	152367	DELRAY TIRE & RETREADING, INC	\$253.47	750034707	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	743400 TIRES AND TUBES	11/25/2019	152367	DELRAY TIRE & RETREADING, INC	\$425.02	750034652	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	743400 TIRES AND TUBES	11/25/2019	152367	DELRAY TIRE & RETREADING, INC	\$215.66	750034585	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	743400 TIRES AND TUBES	11/25/2019	152367	DELRAY TIRE & RETREADING, INC	\$1,282.41	750034634	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	743400 TIRES AND TUBES	11/25/2019	152367	DELRAY TIRE & RETREADING, INC	\$45.89	750034555	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152335	W BANKS MOORE, INC CUST#01833	\$100.00	WO-10317	30 DAY UST INSPECT
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152368	JASON R ELLIS	\$125.00	19260	DOOR PANEL RPR #160
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$354.48	F003564680:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$326.74	F003564463:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$250.33	F003564421:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20400000 FLEET MAINTENANCE OPER	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$171.42	F003564409:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$389.26	F003564325:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$85.84	F003564311:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$52.61	F003564260:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152323	CENTRAL VALLEY GMC	\$255.13	F003563977:01	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152388	HANFORD AUTO & TRUCK PARTS, INC	\$2.49	242164	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152388	HANFORD AUTO & TRUCK PARTS, INC	\$14.89	242258	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/15/2019	152307	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$1,863.54	4207	2019-10
	941500 SUBROGATION EXPENSE	11/25/2019	152328	MARCO A RODRIGUEZ	\$852.82	404	REPAIR & PAINT PD UNIT#16
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20400000 - FLEET MAINTENANCE OPER					\$31,444.31		
20500000 FLEET REPLACEMENT RESERVE	800197 OTHER MOTORIZED VEHCL	11/25/2019	152486	TURF STAR INC	\$117,393.71	616847-00	ASSET10037 2019 TORO GM59
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20500000 - FLEET REPLACEMENT RESERVE					\$117,393.71	
20610000 STORM DRAINAGE OPERATIONS	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$20.95	13871390	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152482	TELSTAR INSTRUMENTS, INC	\$272.80	101591	UPS/SURGE STORM
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152417	KINGS INDUSTRIAL OCCUP MEDICAL CTR	\$330.00	71697	MEDICAL TESTS

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20610000 STORM DRAINAGE OPERATIONS	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152442	NICK CHAMPI ENTERPRISES INC	\$500.00	23910	TRIM TREE-SAFETY
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$61.79	4280	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152411	PHILLIP J GAPEN	\$4.01	5157	TRACTOR KEYS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20610000 - STORM DRAINAGE OPERATIONS				\$1,189.55		
20710000 WASTEWATER OPERATIONS	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$102.94	13871392	584-8633 2019-10
	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152482	TELSTAR INSTRUMENTS, INC	\$886.78	101421	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152482	TELSTAR INSTRUMENTS, INC	\$2,083.66	101422	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	745000 PUBLICATIONS AND DUES	11/25/2019	152349	CALIF WATER ENVIRONMENT ASSOC	\$286.00	16692I 11/19	CY20 CWEA ASSOC MEMBERSH
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152330	ARAMARK UNIFORM SERVICES, INC	\$128.86	602325280	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152330	ARAMARK UNIFORM SERVICES, INC	\$92.86	602267577	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152346	CALIF STATE WATER RESOURCE CTL BRD	\$14,073.00	WD-0163017	FY20 RECLAMATION PERMIT
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152347	CALIF STATE WATER RESOURCE CTL BRD	\$2,625.00	WD-0164729	FY20 SSO PERMIT
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152348	CALIF STATE WATER RESOURCE CTL BRD	\$28,767.00	WD-0164884	FY20 PRETREATMENT PERMIT
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152426	LOU'S GLOVES INC	\$327.00	031690	LATEX GLOVES

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20710000 WASTEWATER OPERATIONS	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152467	RESOURCE COMPLIANCE INC	\$650.00	012382	ANNUAL PSM AGREEMENT
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152324	D S WATERS OF AMERICA, INC	\$83.44	9408211 110119	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	755100 WWTP EFFLUENT DISPOSAL	11/25/2019	152418	LAKE SIDE IRRIGATION WATER DISTRICT	\$3,870.00	2019-10	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152439	MORGAN & SLATES	\$16.50	1582188	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152439	MORGAN & SLATES	\$52.89	1582999	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152439	MORGAN & SLATES	\$46.07	1582402	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152439	MORGAN & SLATES	\$13.92	1583419	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152386	HACH COMPANY	\$348.56	11712651	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152484	THATCHER CO OF CALIF INC	\$12,045.04	270294	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152484	THATCHER CO OF CALIF INC	(\$6,000.00)	270295	CONTAINER DEPOSIT REFUND
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152484	THATCHER CO OF CALIF INC	\$12,045.04	270557	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152484	THATCHER CO OF CALIF INC	(\$6,000.00)	270558	CONTAINER DEPOSIT REFUND
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/15/2019	152307	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$27,866.79	4207	2019-10
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152477	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$47.79	4443	2019-10

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20710000 WASTEWATER OPERATIONS	795500 TAXES	11/25/2019	152419	LAKE SIDE IRRIGATION WATER DISTRICT	\$710.94	4387	CY20 ASSESSMENTS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20710000 - WASTEWATER OPERATIONS				\$95,170.08		
20720000 SANITARY SEWER COLLECTION	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152375	FASTENAL INDUSTRIAL & CONST SUPP	\$30.86	CAHAN78673	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152330	ARAMARK UNIFORM SERVICES, INC	\$98.05	602325282	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152439	MORGAN & SLATES	\$43.29	1582186	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152459	P G & E	\$432.64	4261	2019-10
	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/25/2019	152477	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$4,952.36	4443	2019-10
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20720000 - SANITARY SEWER COLLECTION				\$5,557.20		
20730000 WASTEWATER CAPITAL & RESV	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/15/2019	152301	HAYDON CONSTRUCTION, INC	\$136,429.02	4206	CIP0819637 #1 09/03-09/23
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152339	BLAIR CHURCH & FLYNN INC	\$186.00	60385	CIP0817666
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152438	MOORE TWINING ASSOCIATES, INC	\$2,094.45	0056220	CIP0819637
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152438	MOORE TWINING ASSOCIATES, INC	\$849.15	0056222	CIP0819637 SOILS COMPACTI
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152438	MOORE TWINING ASSOCIATES, INC	\$346.25	0056055	CIP0819637 SOIL COMPACTIO
DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20730000 - WASTEWATER CAPITAL & RESV					\$139,904.87		
20810000 WATER OPERATIONS	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.50	13871394	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152356	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC	\$105.94	0439763 11/19	8155500390439763 SCADA

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20810000 WATER OPERATIONS	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152482	TELSTAR INSTRUMENTS, INC	\$272.80	101592	UPS/SURGE WELLS
	741200 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	11/25/2019	152482	TELSTAR INSTRUMENTS, INC	\$911.00	101593	PARTS FOR CL2 PUMP MAINTENANCE
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$48.00	A930989	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$288.00	A930990	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$128.00	A930991	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$48.00	A931126	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$60.00	A931678	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$30.00	A931679	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$30.00	A931681	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152333	B S K & ASSOCIATES INC	\$30.00	A931677	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152351	CENTRAL VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE CARE	\$129.00	2019-11	MEDICAL EXAMS 2019-11
	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152417	KINGS INDUSTRIAL OCCUP MEDICAL CTR	\$220.00	71697	MEDICAL TESTS
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152501	WEST VALLEY SUPPLY INC	\$2.28	98994	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20810000 WATER OPERATIONS	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152501	WEST VALLEY SUPPLY INC	\$2.55	98995	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$540.09	FO912796	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$603.64	FO912797	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$198.57	FO912798	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$444.79	FO912799	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$400.31	FO912895	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$613.17	FO912901	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$120.73	FO912902	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$246.22	FO912906	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$436.84	FO913047	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$683.06	FO913048	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$198.57	FO913049	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	765000 CHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL SUP	11/25/2019	152488	UNIVAR	\$508.32	FO913050	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
20810000 WATER OPERATIONS	778000 UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	11/15/2019	152307	SOUTHERN CALIF EDISON CO	\$57,833.02	4207	2019-10
	799000 MATERIALS/SUPP'S/INVENTORY	11/25/2019	152377	FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC 1423	\$831.57	1503519	INVENTORY ITEMS
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20810000 - WATER OPERATIONS				\$65,985.97		
20910000 INTERMODAL FACILITY OPER	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$39.69	13871389	583-9688 2019-10
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152330	ARAMARK UNIFORM SERVICES, INC	\$14.00	602317234	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152407	JORGENSEN CO INC	\$205.00	5840427	ANNUAL FIRE SPRINKLER SVC
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 20910000 - INTERMODAL FACILITY OPER				\$258.69		
21000000 BUILDING MAINTENANCE	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$39.69	13871388	583-8452 2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$41.39	13874626	583-8911 2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$41.35	13871396	585-0706 2019-10
	732000 COMMUNICATIONS	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$41.35	13871401	589-6436 2019-10
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152326	AMERICAN INCORPORATED	\$938.36	7106406	RPR FURNACE VETS BLDG
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152326	AMERICAN INCORPORATED	\$364.95	7101032	A/C UNIT RPR TRAIN STATIO
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152330	ARAMARK UNIFORM SERVICES, INC	\$104.04	602317235	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152373	JASON G MC INTOSH	\$178.00	6976	CORP YARD LOOP GATE RPR
	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152407	JORGENSEN CO INC	\$181.50	5825770	CIVIC SEMI ANNUAL SYSTEM

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
21000000 BUILDING MAINTENANCE	755000 OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICE	11/25/2019	152470	ROBERT S MARKS PLUMBING INC	\$250.00	88254	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$156.98	4283	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152397	HOME DEPOT U S A INC-DEPT 32 2540194606	\$12.25	517825279	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152397	HOME DEPOT U S A INC-DEPT 32 2540194606	\$17.80	520582289	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152397	HOME DEPOT U S A INC-DEPT 32 2540194606	\$32.89	520821802	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152397	HOME DEPOT U S A INC-DEPT 32 2540194606	\$38.84	521061937	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/25/2019	152407	JORGENSEN CO INC	\$99.69	5833220	WASH BAY FIRE EXT
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 21000000 - BUILDING MAINTENANCE				\$2,539.08		
21040000 ACCUM CAPITAL OUTLAY	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152429	MARVIN ARMSTRONG ARCHITECT, INC	\$2,013.33	4438	CIP0000028 CIVIC REST ROO
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152470	ROBERT S MARKS PLUMBING INC	\$681.80	88120	CIP2020033
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 21040000 - ACCUM CAPITAL OUTLAY				\$2,695.13		
21310000 COURTHOUSE SQUARE FACIL	749500 PROF AND SPEC SERVICES	11/25/2019	152321	A T & T	\$21.50	13871391	584-8145 2019-10
	760000 SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL EXP	11/15/2019	152304	LOWE'S	\$43.77	4284	BLANKET PURCHASE ORDER
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 21310000 - COURTHOUSE SQUARE FACIL				\$65.27		
21810000 TRANSPORTN FACLTY IMP FEE	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152420	LANE ENGINEERS, INC	\$1,114.50	43823	CIP0819621 LAND SURVEYING

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)

DIVISION/ORG CODE	ACCOUNT/OBJECT CODE	CHECK DATE	CHECK NUMBER	VENDOR NAME	AMOUNT	INVOICE	INVOICE DESCRIPTION
21810000 TRANSPORTN FACLTY IMP FEE	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152505	ZUMWALT HANSEN, INC	\$975.00	13063	CIP0819624 CONSULT-PROGR
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 21810000 - TRANSPORTN FACLTY IMP FEE				\$2,089.50		
26650000 GAS TAX - 2103	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152341	BUSH ENGINEERING, INC	\$138,501.65	4554	CIP0818624 #01 09/03-09/3
	800200 CAPITAL IMPRV PROJECTS	11/25/2019	152505	ZUMWALT HANSEN, INC	\$2,493.00	13044	CIP0818624
	DIVISION/ORG TOTAL 26650000 - GAS TAX - 2103				\$140,994.65		
			TOTAL AMOUNTS FOR CHECKS:		\$979,512.77		

Attachment: WARRANT REGISTER-AGENDA 12-3-19 (FIN: WARRANT REGISTER)



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: A
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

Community Development: Annexation No. 156: A request to annex 40.53 acres of unincorporated Kings County land into the City of Hanford, in accordance with the General Plan. Prezone No. 2019-03: A request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan. Vesting Tentative Tract 929: A request by Woodside Homes to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019- 36: An initial study was prepared and it was determined that the project will not result in significant impacts. The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).

See staff report, attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

City Council Staff Report - Annex 156, Prezone 2019-03, and Tentative Tract 929
 CC Ordinance 19-12
 19-43-R
 19-44-R
 Attachment 1 - Annexation Map
 Attachment 2 - Tentative Tract 929 Map
 Attachment 3 - Plan for Services
 Attachment 4 - Planning Commission Resolutions
 Attachment 5 - City of Hanford Sphere of Influence
 Attachment 6 - Consent to Annex
 Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36)

**CITY OF HANFORD CITY COUNCIL
STAFF REPORT
Tuesday, December 3, 2019**

PROJECT: **Annexation No. 156:** A request to annex 40.53 acres of unincorporated Kings County land into the City of Hanford, in accordance with the General Plan.

Prezone No. 2019-03: A request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, Low-Density Residential.

Vesting Tentative Tract 929: A request by Woodside Homes to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential.

Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019- 36: An initial study was prepared and it was determined that the project will not result in significant impacts; therefore, Mitigated Negative Declaration 2019-36 has been prepared.

LOCATION: The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).

PLANNER: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council:

1. Certify Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 for the project.
2. Introduce and waive the first reading of Ordinance No. 19-12, approving Prezone No. 2019-03.
3. Adopt Resolution No. 19-43-R, initiating proceedings for Annexation No. 156 and direct staff to file the appropriate forms with the Kings County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO).
4. Adopt Resolution No. 19-44-R, approving Vesting Tentative Tract Map 929.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

1. I move to certify Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 for the project.
2. I move to introduce and waive the first reading of Ordinance No. 19-12, approving Prezone No. 2019-03.
3. Adopt Resolution No. 19-43-R, initiating proceedings for Annexation No. 156 and direct staff to file the appropriate forms with the Kings County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO).

4. Adopt Resolution No. 19-44-R, approving Vesting Tentative Tract Map 929.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Annexation No. 156


The project is a request to annex 40.53 acres of unincorporated Kings County land into the City of Hanford in an area proposed to be zoned R-L-5 Low-Density Residential. No detachment or reorganization is required.

See proposed annexation (**Attachment 1**).

Prezone No. 2019-03:

Prezone No. 2019-03 is a request to prezone the area proposed to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan.

Figure 1: Prezone 2019-03
Proposed: R-L-5 Low-Density Residential



Vesting Tentative Tract 929:

The project is a request, by Woodside Homes, to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be zoned R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, Low-Density Residential.

See proposed subdivision (**Attachment 2**).

Project Location

The project is applicable to the property located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).

Existing Land Use

The majority of the project area is comprised of vacant land. There is a large lot, single-family residence located at 8323 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042).

Figure 2: Land Use
(Property outlined in red)





Figure 3: General Plan Designation
Low- Density Residential
(Property outlined in red)



BACKGROUND INFORMATION

General Plan Designation and County Zoning:

The General Plan designates the property as Low-Density Residential.

The expected density range for Low-Density Residential is two to 10 units per gross acre, with an expected average of four units per gross acre.

According to Policy L31 Purpose of the Low-Density Residential Land Use Designation, the designation shall provide mainly single-family development on lot sizes typically found in urban

settings. In accordance with Policy L32, typical uses in the Low-Density Residential Land Use Designation include residential uses in a variety of single-family lots. Duplexes, second dwelling units, and home occupations can also be allowed, when made compatible with the residential nature of the neighborhood.

The property is presently zoned AL-10 Limited Agriculture 10 District. The rezoning of the property is proposed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan designation.

Adjacent Land Use and Zoning:

	Land Use	Zoning	General Plan Designation
North:	Agricultural	County AL-10	Medium-Density Residential
South:	Future Residential (Tract 922)	R-L-5	Low-Density Residential
East:	Residential (Tract 918 and 919)	R-L-5	Low-Density Residential
West:	Agriculture and Vacant	County AL-10	Outside of planning area

Utilities/Public Services:

The private utility companies have been contacted for comments concerning this project and one comment has been received:

1. AT&T, "ATT will serve residences with conduit fiber cables. Feed from east, on n/s Devon. 13th Ave poles to remain, or will relocate with Edison as required by City mandate. Request relocate and not underground for 13th Ave poles.

The comment has been forwarded to the Public Works Department. Public Works is not requiring undergrounding of poles on 13th Avenue.

City, County and State agencies have also reviewed the proposal. Services such as water, storm drain, sewer, fire and police can be provided to the annexation territory, in accordance with the General Plan, 2017 Sewer System Master Plan, and 2017 Water System Master Plan.

A Plan for Services has been prepared for the annexation area (**Attachment 3**).

Land Owners & Values:

APN	OWNER	REGISTERED VOTERS	ACRES	LAND VALUE
009-030-042	McCutcheon, Brian D	2	2.5	\$ 344,679
009-030-043	Duyst, Albert J & Janette Revoc. Trust	0	37.50	\$ 326,993

Annexation Process:

The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (Kings County LAFCO) is the governing body, with the ultimate authority to make the determination of whether a property will be annexed.

An area is considered uninhabited if there are less than 12 registered voters within the annexation area. With two registered voters, this is considered an uninhabited annexation. If there are property owners who do not consent to the annexation, there is an opportunity for them to protest the property being included in the annexation. They can do this by submitting a written statement of their opposition prior to the LAFCO hearing. However, this is a 100% consent annexation; therefore, LAFCO will not hold a protest hearing.

Planning Commission:

The project was presented to the Planning Commission at their regularly scheduled meeting on Tuesday, November 12, 2019.

Prior to opening public comment, Chairperson Paden asked if a stop sign would be installed at the intersection of Devon Street and 13th Avenue. Utilities and Engineering Director, John Doyel, confirmed that a stop sign would be installed at this intersection.

Public comment for the item included the following:

Favor:

1. Alex Dwiggins, Zumwalt-Hansen Associates

Mr. Dwiggins spoke in favor of the projects, citing compliance with the General Plan.

2. Matt Smith, Woodside Homes

Mr. Smith spoke in favor of the projects, also citing compliance with the General Plan. He discussed the agreement to try to pursue land for the relocation of the basin to the regional basin location 200 ft. north of the project site, as preferred by City staff.

Opposed: None.

Following the public comment portion, Chairperson Paden asked Director Doyel for clarification on the basin. Doyel clarified that the staff prefers to see the basin relocated 200 feet north to

coincide with a regional basin location, however, if an agreement could not be reached between the developer and property owner, the basin could remain located as shown on the tentative tract map.

The Commissioners voted 7, 0 to:

1. Recommend adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 for the project to the City Council.
2. Adopt Resolution No. 2019-27, recommending approval of Prezone No. 2019-03 to the City Council.
3. Find that the proposed Annexation No. 156 is consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policies L15 and L17 and forward Annexation No. 156 to the City Council, with a recommendation that an application be made to the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County.
4. Adopt Resolution No. 2019-28, recommending approval of Vesting Tentative Tract Map 929 to the City Council.

The Planning Commission Resolutions 2019-27 and 2019-28 are attached – **Attachment 4.**

PROJECT EVALUATION

Annexation No. 156

The project is a request to annex 40.53 acres of unincorporated Kings County land into the City of Hanford in an area proposed to be zoned R-L-5 Low-Density Residential. No detachment or reorganization is required.

See the proposed annexation (**Attachment 1**).

Annexation of land into Hanford allows previously undeveloped land to become available for development and allows the City of Hanford to provide the territory that is annexed with its full range of City services. The annexation process can serve as an interim growth management tool, by limiting annexation to only the land that is needed for growth at the time.

Policy L15 Initiation of Annexations

Consider initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford only when the following criteria are met:

1. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.

Analysis: The property proposed to be annexed is within the City's Primary Sphere of Influence (**Attachment 5**).

2. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed, or will be adequate at the time that development occurs.

Analysis: A Plan for Services has been prepared by Engineering for Water, Sanitary Sewer, and Storm Drainage.

Sewer Improvements

The developer's engineer will provide a sanitary sewer master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City Public Works Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions will be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

The developer will be required to extend existing sewer within Devon Street to 13th Avenue.

Storm Drainage Improvements

The developer's engineer will provide a storm drainage master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City Public Works Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions shall be made for service to future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

All drainage from the proposed development will be retained in a retention drainage basin. Tentative Tract 929 features a 2.27-acre basin in the northeastern corner of the project. Public Works prefers the basin be relocated 200 feet to the north to coincide with a regional basin. Public Works will work with the applicant and property owner to facilitate the purchase of property for the relocation of the basin. If the basin cannot be relocated, the basin will remain, as shown on the tract map.

Water System

The developer's engineer will provide a water system master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions will be made for service to future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

Fire and Police

Fire and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed. Impacts to fire and police services will be mitigated through payment of impact fees.

School

The project is located within the Hanford Joint Union High School District and the Pioneer Unified Elementary School District.

Notice of the proposed project was sent to the interested agencies for pre-consultation and normal consultation. A response was received by the Hanford Joint Union High School District stating, "This project will increase enrollment at Sierra Pacific, which is already impacted"

The City's role in development and managing school sites and programs is limited. The various school districts truly govern where a new school site would be located and when

it would be necessary to construct or expand facilities, in order to adequately accommodate population growth. Elected governing school boards are responsible for budgeting and decision-making and the State Department of Education establishes school site and construction standards. The General Plan provides policy which focuses on collaboration with school districts in determining new school locations and utilizing school facilities for general public needs. School districts would be able to utilize the General Plan, along with other plans, standards, and codes, to establish new school sites and to make decisions on school amenities and cohesiveness with the surrounding area. The development will be subject to School Impact fees, in order to mitigate the effect of the project on schools.

Consultation was received by the Pioneer Unified Elementary School District indicating they did not have comments regarding the project.

3. Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs.

Analysis: The applicant is proposing a 39.75-acre single-family residential development in the northwest planning area. There is not a vacant site sufficient in the northwest planning area, within the City limits, to accommodate a subdivision of this size. Development has been consecutive and contiguous to the existing City limits. The proposal is considered an implementation of the General Plan.

4. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas.

Analysis: The territory to be annexed is directly contiguous to the current City limits to the east and south. Residential Tract 918 is under construction east of the project site. Tract 922 is entitled and being developed south of the proposed project site.

Policy L17 Plan for Services

Prepare and make publicly available a written Plan for Services that describes how urban services will be provided prior to initiating an annexation.

Analysis: The Plan for Services was incorporated in the initial study, which was made publicly available for a 20-day comment period.

A Plan for Services is attached (**Attachment 3**).

Favorable Factors for Annexation

Favorable and unfavorable factors for annexation have been adopted by LAFCO. The existence of favorable or unfavorable factors should not decide approval or denial; however, a substantial number of favorable or unfavorable factors may determine approval or denial of the proposal.

1. The proposed area is close to urban development and municipal-type services and would enhance its potential for full development.

Analysis: The proposed area is close to the existing City limits and is a logical extension of the City. The annexation area can be served by municipal-type services. The annexation area is designated as Low-Density Residential by the General Plan and is

necessary to accommodate growth within the City of Hanford. The development proposed for the annexation area is consistent with the General Plan.

2. The proposed annexation conforms to the adopted General Plan.

Analysis: The annexation area is proposed to be developed as a single-family residential subdivision. The subdivision is consistent with the General Plan designation, Low-Density Residential. The annexation is consistent with the General Plan Section 3.2.4, which states, "Annexation of land into Hanford allows previously undeveloped land to become available for development and allows the City of Hanford to provide the territory that is annexed with its full range of City services." The annexation area is also consistent with Policy L15 and L17 of the General Plan, as analyzed above.

3. The proposed area is consistent with the sphere of influence.

Analysis: The property proposed to be annexed is within the City's Primary Sphere of Influence (**Attachment 5**).

4. The proposed annexation comes with 100% consent of all landowners.

Analysis: There are two properties within the area to be annexed. The annexation comes with 100% consent of both landowners. The Consent to Annex form is signed and attached, (**Attachment 6**).

Vesting Tentative Tract 929

The project is a request, by Woodside Homes, to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be zoned R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, Low-Density Residential.

See the proposed subdivision – (**Attachment 2**).

Project Design

Project applicable to: APN 009-030-042

Total Area: 39.73 acres

Number of Lots: 158 single-family residences; 99,145 sq. ft. (2.27 acres) basin dedication, and a 88,859-sq. ft. (2.04 acres) park.

Units per acre: 3.99 units/acre

Potential population increase: 3.11 persons per household x 158 households = 491 persons

Lot Sizes

Smallest	Largest	Average	Required
5,000 sq. ft. (Lot 142)	14,818 sq. ft. (Lot 10)	6,336 sq. ft.	5,000 sq. ft.

All proposed lots meet or exceed minimum depth, width, and area requirements of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District.

Streets/Circulation

Street improvements will include the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, street lights, and street striping. All improvements will be installed within public street right-of-way or utility easements, in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 16.24 Improvements, of the Hanford Municipal Code, Public Works Standards and Specifications, and the resolution pertaining to the subdivision.

The project will be served by 13th Avenue, a major arterial street and the future extension of Devon Street, which is a major collector street.

13th Avenue will be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements will be constructed in conformance with City Standard ST-17 and ST-18. Street improvements will include the installation of a decorative masonry block wall.

Devon Street will be developed as a major collector street along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements will be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23. Street improvements will include the installation of a decorative masonry block wall.

All other streets within the subdivision will be developed to residential street standard ST-32.

Easements and Dedications

Easements will be indicated on the final subdivision map, as required by the utility companies, and where needed for providing service to streetlights and landscape improvements, as designated by the Public Works Department.

All proposed streets, street extensions, opposite travel lanes on boundary streets, and offsite pavement transitions with right-of-way, as shown on the tentative subdivision map, will be dedicated to the City as public rights-of-way. Any alteration of existing utilities will be the responsibility of the developer.

Backup Parkway Improvements

A minimum six-foot-high decorative masonry block wall, with continuous reinforced concrete footings, will be constructed along the development frontage of 13th Avenue and Devon Street.

A 4 ½-foot-wide detached sidewalk along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street.

Landscape and irrigation system improvements will be installed along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street.

Sewer Improvements

The developer's engineer will provide a sanitary sewer master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City Public Works Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions will be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

The developer will be required to extend existing sewer within Devon Street to 13th Avenue.
Storm Drainage Improvements

The developer's engineer will provide a storm drainage master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City Public Works Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions shall be made for service to future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

All drainage from the proposed development will be retained in a retention drainage basin, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map.

Water System

The developer's engineer will provide a water system master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions will be made for service to future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

The developer will extend a minimum 12-inch-diameter water main and appurtenances within the future alignment of Devon Street, from the eastern limits of the subdivision to 13th Avenue, and within 13th Avenue from Devon Street to the northerly boundary of the subdivision. The improvements will conform to the City's water master plan.

Public Services

City services, including fire, police, and general services (planning, building, and recreation) can adequately be provided for the increase in population from this subdivision, as noted in the initial study conducted for the project.

Impacts to police, fire, park space, transportation, wastewater, water, refuse and recycling, and storm drainage will be mitigated through payment of impact fees.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

Annexation No. 156

Annexation No. 156 is consistent with the Hanford General Plan Policy L15 and L17:

Policy L15 Initiation of Annexations

Consider initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford only when the following criteria are met:

1. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.

Analysis: The property proposed to be annexed is within the City's Primary Sphere of Influence (**Attachment 5**).

2. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed, or will be adequate at the time that development occurs.

Analysis: A Plan for Services has been prepared by Engineering for Water, Sanitary Sewer, and Storm Drainage.

Sewer Improvements

The developer's engineer will provide a sanitary sewer master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City Public Works Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions will be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

The developer will be required to extend existing sewer within Devon Street to 13th Avenue.

Storm Drainage Improvements

The developer's engineer will provide a storm drainage master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City Public Works Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions shall be made for service to future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

All drainage from the proposed development will be retained in a retention drainage basin, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map.

Water System

The developer's engineer will provide a water system master plan, complete with calculations for the entire subdivision, for City review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map. Provisions will be made for service to future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.

Fire and Police

Fire and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed. Impacts to fire and police services will be mitigated through payment of impact fees.

School

The project is located within the Hanford Joint Union High School District and the Pioneer Unified Elementary School District.

Notice of the proposed project was sent to the interested agencies for pre-consultation and normal consultation. A response was received by the Hanford Joint Union High School District stating, "This project will increase enrollment at Sierra Pacific, which is already impacted"

The City's role in development and managing school sites and programs is limited. The various school districts truly govern where a new school site would be located and when it would be necessary to construct or expand facilities, in order to adequately

accommodate population growth. Elected governing school boards are responsible for budgeting and decision-making and the State Department of Education establishes school site and construction standards. The General Plan provides policy which focuses on collaboration with school districts in determining new school locations and utilizing school facilities for general public needs. School districts would be able to utilize the General Plan, along with other plans, standards, and codes to establish new school sites and to make decisions on school amenities and cohesiveness with the surrounding area. The development will be subject to School Impact fees in order to mitigate the effect of the project on schools.

Consultation was received by the Pioneer Unified Elementary School District indicating they did not have comments regarding the project.

3. Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs.

Analysis: The applicant is proposing a 39.75-acre single-family residential development in the northwest planning area. There is not a site sufficient in the northwest planning area, within the City limits, to accommodate a subdivision of this size. Development has been consecutive and contiguous to the existing City limits.

4. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas.

Analysis: The territory to be annexed is directly contiguous to the current City limits to the east and south. Residential Tract 918 is under construction east of the project site. Tract 922 is entitled and being developed south of the proposed project site.

Policy L17 Plan for Services

Prepare and make publicly available a written Plan for Services that describes how urban services will be provided prior to initiating an annexation.

Analysis: The Plan for Services was incorporated in the initial study, which was made publicly available for a 20-day comment period.

A Plan for Services is attached- **(Attachment 3)**.

Prezone No. 2019-03

In accordance with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.86.030, before a zone change may be approved, all of the following findings shall be made by the reviewing authority:

1. That the amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and the Municipal Code.

Analysis: That Prezone No. 2019-03 is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan, specifically consistent with Land Use Policies L15 and L17. The development proposed in the annexation area, under Tentative Tract 929, conforms with all regulations pertaining to the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential zone district.

2. That the amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community.

ANALYSIS: That this application has been reviewed by County departments, utility companies, City Fire Department, City Building Department, and other involved departments. Any mitigation required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the mitigation monitoring and reporting program.

3. That the amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City.

Analysis: That jobs-housing balance is achieved by increasing opportunities for people to work and live in close proximity. The ratio is expressed as the number of jobs divided by the number of housing units. The jobs-housing balance is a general tool for analyzing where people work, where they live, and how effectively they can travel between the two. In the planning area, the existing jobs-housing balance ratio in 2013-2014 was 1.17. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan would increase the jobs-housing balance by 0.45 to 1.62, which would make the planning area a jobs-rich area. The prezone is considered an implementation of the General Plan, which designates the project site as Low-Density Residential.

4. That the anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.

Analysis: That the anticipated land uses on the subject site are low-density residential, as proposed under Tentative Tract 929, which are compatible with the existing and proposed single-family residential developments in the surrounding area (Tract 918, 919, 887, and 922).

Tentative Tract Map 929:

Pursuant to Section 16.04.010 of the Hanford Subdivision Ordinance, Section 66474 of the Subdivision Map Act, and Hanford's Zoning Ordinance, the following findings can be made:

1. Consistency Finding:

ANALYSIS: That a consistency finding can be made, because the proposed subdivision map is consistent with the State Subdivision Map Act, General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and Subdivision Ordinance. All lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District, which is 5,000 square feet. The minimum lot size within the subdivision is 5,000 sq. ft. The average lot size is 6,336 sq. ft.

2. Design Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the design or improvement of the subdivision is consistent with the State Subdivision Map Act, General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and Subdivision Ordinance. All lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District, which is 5,000 square feet. The minimum lot size within the subdivision is 5,000 sq. ft. The average lot size is 6,336 sq. ft. All improvements are to be developed in accordance with Public Works Construction Standards.

3. Type of Development Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the site is physically suitable for the type of development proposed under Tentative Tract 929. The lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District. All parcels have adequate street frontage on a public street and meet the minimum depth and width required for the district.

4. Density Finding:

ANALYSIS: That a density finding can be made, because the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development. The General Plan prescribes a density range between two and 10 units per acre, with an expected average of four units per gross acre. The project has a density of 3.99 dwelling units per gross acre.

5. Environmental Finding:

ANALYSIS: That an initial study was conducted. On the basis of the initial study, the Community Development Department has determined that the project described above would not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, with the incorporation of mitigation measures. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 has been prepared for the project. The initial study, Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36, and mitigation measures required of development are attached – **(Attachment 7)**.

6. Public Health Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements are not likely to cause serious health problems. The City of Hanford Building Division is responsible for ensuring that future construction of the site follows adopted building codes, thus reducing exposures to accidents to the general public. Consultation was sent for Tentative Tract 929 to interested agencies, including the County Health Department, Public Works Department, Fire Department, and Building Division. Any improvements or mitigations required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the conditions of approval.

7. Improvements and Access Findings:

ANALYSIS: That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of the property within the proposed subdivision.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

PRE-CONSULTATION

In accordance with Section 15603(g) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the project proposal was forwarded to the responsible and interested agencies for early consultation. Comments were received from the following agencies:

1. Consultation from Michael Wilson with AT&T (Received July 22, 2019).

2. Consultation from Michael Hawkins with Kings County Public Works (Received August 5, 2019).
3. Consultation from Brian Clements with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (Received August 15, 2019).
4. Consultation from Chuck Kinney with the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County (Received August 23, 2019).

Responses to comments are addressed within the initial study and are as follows:

AT&T

Pre-consultation was received from Michael Wilson with AT&T on July 22, 2019, stating, “ATT will serve residences with conduit fiber cables. Feed from east, on n/s Devon. 13th Avenue poles to remain, or will relocate with Edison as required by City mandate. Request relocate and not underground for 13th Avenue poles.”

Staff Analysis: The comment has been forwarded to the Public Works Department. Public Works is not requiring undergrounding of poles on 13th Avenue.

Kings County Public Works

Pre-consultation was received from Michael Hawkins, with Kings County Public Works, on August 5, 2019, stating the following, “Kings County requests the following in regards to the annexation and vesting tentative tract map located on 13th Avenue between Grangeville Boulevard and Fargo Avenue.

That the annexation take goes to the right of way line of the west side of 13th Avenue and that left turn pockets be provided for south bound traffic at the entrances to Devon and Cedar Grove Street.”

Analysis: The comment was forwarded to the Engineering Division, and the project was conditioned, as requested. According to the Engineering Division’s conditions of approval, street improvements shall include the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half-width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway (if applicable), plus a 16-foot-wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median, with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12-foot southbound travel lane and a 4-foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway (if applicable), and all street signing, striping, and transition paving as required.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

A pre-consultation response was received from the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) determining the following:

The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) has reviewed the project referenced above consisting of a residential development for single family with a total of 158 dwelling units (Project), located at the northeast corner of 13th Avenue and Devon Street in Hanford, CA. The District offers the following comments:

1. Significance Impact for Annual Criteria Pollutant Emissions – The Project specific annual emissions of criteria pollutants are not expected to exceed any of the following District significance thresholds: 100 tons per year of carbon monoxide (CO), 10 tons per year of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), 10 tons per year of reactive organic gases (ROG), 27 tons per year of oxides of sulfur (SOx), 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 10 microns or less in size (PM10), or 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 2.5 microns or less in size (PM2.5). Therefore, the District concludes that the Project would have a less than significant impact on the air quality when compared to the above-listed annual criteria pollutant emissions significance thresholds.
2. District Rule 9510 is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site fees. The Project is subject to District Rule 9510 if it equals or exceeds 50 residential dwelling units and has or will receive a project-level discretionary approval from a public agency. If subject to the rule, an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application is required prior to applying for project level approval from a public agency. In this case, if not already done, please immediately submit an AIA application to the District to comply with District Rule 9510.

The District recommends that demonstration of compliance with District Rule 9510, before issuance of the first building permit, be made a condition of Project approval. Information about how to comply with District Rule 9510 can be found online at: <http://valleyair.org/ISR/ISRHome.htm>. The AIA application form can be found online at: <http://www.valleyair.org/ISR/ISRFormsAndApplications.htm>.

3. District Rule 4002 (National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants) – in the event an existing building will be renovated, partially demolished or removed, the Project may be subject to District Rule 4002. This rule requires a thorough inspection for asbestos to be conducted before any regulated facility is demolished or renovated. Information on how to comply with District Rule 4002 can be found online at: <http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/asbestosbuln.htm>.
4. Regulation VIII (Fugitive PM10 Prohibitions) - the Project will be subject to Regulation VIII. You are required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan, if applicable prior to commencing any earthmoving activities as described in District Rule 8021 – Construction, Demolition, Excavation, Extraction, and Other Earthmoving Activities. Information on how to comply with Regulation VIII can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/PM10/compliance_PM10.htm.
5. Other District Rules and Regulations – The above list of rules is neither exhaustive nor exclusive. For example, the Project may be subject to the following District rules, including: Rule 4102 (Nuisance), Rule 4601 (architectural Coatings), and Rule 4641 (Cutback, Slow Cure, and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving and Maintenance Operations). To identify other District rules or regulations that apply to this Project or to obtain information on the District's permit requirements, such as an Authority to Construct (ATC), the project proponent is strongly encouraged to contact the District's Small Business Assistance Office at (559)230-5888 or email SBA@valleyair.org. Current District rules can be found online at the District's website at: www.valleyair.org/rules/1ruleslist.htm.
6. Potential Air Quality Improvement Measures – The District encourages the following air quality improvement measures to further reduce Project related emissions from

construction and operation. A complete list of potential air quality improvement measures can be found online at: <http://valleyair.org/ceqaconnected/aqimeasures.aspx>.

- a. Cleaner Off-Road Construction Equipment – to reduce impacts from construction related exhaust emissions, the District recommends feasible mitigation for the project to utilize the cleanest reasonably available off-road construction fleets, as set forth in Section 2423 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations, and Part 89 of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations. This can be achieved through any combination of uncontrolled engines and engines complying with Tier III and above engine standards.
- b. Improve Walkability Design – This measure is to improve design elements to enhance walkability and connectivity. Improved street network characteristics within a neighborhood include street accessibility, usually measured in terms of average block size, proportion of four-way intersections, or number of intersections per square mile. Design is also measured in terms of sidewalk coverage, building setbacks, street widths, pedestrian crossings, presence of street trees, and a host of other physical variables that differentiate pedestrian-oriented environments from auto-oriented environments.
- c. Improve Destination Accessibility – This measure is to locate the project in an area with high accessibility to destinations. Destination accessibility is measured in terms of the number of job or other attractions reachable within a given travel time, which tends to be highest at central locations and lowest at peripheral ones. The location of the project also increases the potential for pedestrians to walk and bike to these destinations and therefore reduces the (vehicle miles traveled) VMT.
- d. Increase Traffic Accessibility – This measure is to locate the project with high density near transit which will facilitate the use of transit by people traveling to or from the Project site. The use of transit results in a mode shift and therefore reduced VMT. A project with a residential/commercial center designed around a rail or bus station, is called a transit-oriented development (TOD). The project description should include, at a minimum, the following design features:
 - A transit station/stop with high-quality, high-frequency bus service located within a 5-10 minute walk (or roughly ¼ mile from stop to edge of development), and/or
 - A rail station located within a 20 minute walk (or roughly ½ mile from station to edge of development)
 - Fast, frequent, and reliable transit service connecting to a high percentage of regional destinations
 - Neighborhood designed for walking and cycling
- e. Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement – Design elements, mitigation measures, and compliance with District rules and regulations may not be sufficient to reduce project-related impacts on air quality to a less than significant level. In such situation, project proponents may enter into a Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement (VERA) with the District to reduce the project related impact on air quality to a less than significant level. A VERA is a mitigation measure by which the project proponent provides pound-for-pound mitigation of air emissions increased through a process that funds and implements emission reduction projects. A VERA can be implemented to address impacts from both construction and operational phases of a project.

7. The District recommends that a copy of the District's comments be provided to the Project proponent."

Staff Analysis:

The project will be subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate the project's impact on air quality through design elements or payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. An Air Impact Assessment application is required to be submitted to the SJVAPCD prior to issuance of a building permit.

The project does not propose renovation or demolition of any buildings on site, therefore, the project is not subject to District Rule 4002.

The project is required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan prior to any earthmoving activities.

A copy of the District's comments has been provided to the Project proponent. The Project proponent has been encouraged to contact the Air District's small Business Assistance Office to identify other rules and regulations the project may be subject to.

Local Area Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO of Kings County)

Consultation was received from Assistant Executive Officer for the Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County, Chuck Kinney, on August 23, 2019. Comments provided are as follows:

"The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) has received the City's consultation notice for Annexation 156, Prezone 2019-03 and Vesting Tentative Tract 929, as we appreciate this opportunity to comment on this project. In our review of the project, I want to inform you that LAFCO will ultimately serve as a Responsible Agency under CEQA for Annexation 156.

The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) is governed by the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 ("*Act*," *Govt. Code Section 56000 et seq.*). Under the Act, LAFCO is required to make determinations regarding a proposal for changes of organization or reorganization (*Govt. Code Section 56880*). The Act also established the factors which LAFCO must consider in making its decisions, including any policies adopted by LAFCO to create planned, orderly and efficient patterns of development (*Govt. Code Section 56668*). Because of this role and pursuant to Section 21069 of the Public Resources Code, LAFCO is a responsible agency for the future annexation of the unincorporated County land to the City of Hanford. Additionally and pursuant to Section 15086 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, LAFCO is responsible for reviewing and providing comments on the environmental documents prepared for this annexation.

The environmental document prepared for Annexation 156 should address the impacts and any necessary mitigation, including but not limited to the annexation process. In particular, the environmental document should address the factors as identified in Government Code Section 56668. One item in particular to note is that the analysis of impacts to agricultural lands for the environmental document being prepared for Annexation 156 should described not only those lands categorized on the Department of Conservation's Important Farmland Map, but also those

lands that fall within the LAFCO definition of prime agricultural land (Government Code Section 56064).”

Staff Analysis: The project has been evaluated for potential annexation.

Annexation – the subject property is currently in the County, annexation of APNs 009-030-042 and 009-030-043 is required.

Analysis: According to the General Plan, annexation of land into Hanford allows previously undeveloped land to become available for development and allows the City of Hanford to provide the territory that is annexed with its full range of City services. The annexation process can serve as an interim growth management tool by limiting annexations to only the land that is needed for growth at the time. The following policies define Hanford’s process for annexing new territory.

Policy L15 Initiation of Annexations: Consider initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford only when the following criteria are met:

- a. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.

Analysis: The land proposed to be annexed is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.

- b. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed, or will be adequate at the time that development occurs.

Analysis: Development of the project will be subject to impact fees for water, sewer, fire, schools, and police services. Additionally, the Public Works department will have requirements to ensure adequate water and sewer services can be provided for the future annexed area.

- c. Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs.

Analysis: There is not a vacant, undeveloped, or unplanned area within the City of Hanford in the appropriate land use designation to develop the project.

- d. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas

Analysis: The proposed area to be annexed is contiguous to an area being developed under Tract 918 and 922. Tract 922 is directly south of the proposed area to be annexed. Tract 918 is directly east of the proposed area to be annexed.

Favorable Factors for Annexation

Favorable and unfavorable factors for annexation have been adopted by LAFCO. The existence of favorable or unfavorable factors should not decide approval or denial; however, a substantial number of favorable or unfavorable factors may determine approval or denial of the proposal.

- a. The proposed area is close to urban development and municipal-type services and would enhance its potential for full development.

Analysis: The area proposed to be annexed is north of Tract 922 and east of Tract 918. Development of the project will be subject to impact fees for water, sewer, fire, schools, and police services. Additionally, the Public Works Department will have requirements to ensure adequate water and sewer services can be provided for the future annexed area. A plan for services has been prepared for the project and is attached (**Attachment 3**).

- b. The proposed annexation conforms to the adopted General Plan.

Analysis: The General Plan designated the area as Low-Density Residential. The proposal conforms to the adopted General Plan.

- c. The proposed area is consistent with the sphere of influence.

Analysis: The area proposed to be annexed is within the Sphere of Influence.

- d. The proposed annexation comes with 100% consent of all landowners.

Analysis: the proposed annexation does come with 100% consent of all landowners.

1. The property to be annexed shall be pre-zoned. R-L-5 Low-Density Residential is the appropriate zone designation for the project and is consistent with the General Plan designation, Low-Density Residential.

The project has been evaluated in accordance with Government Code Section 56668, as requested by LAFCO.

Factors to be considered in the review of a proposal shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:

- (a) Population and population density; land area and land use; per capita assessed valuation; topography, natural boundaries, and drainage basins; proximity to other populated areas; the likelihood of significant growth in the area, and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas, during the next 10 years.

Population: 2

Population Density: .02 residents per acre

Land Area: 40 Acres

Land Use: Vacant, Residential, Agriculture

Assessed Value of Annexation Area: \$671,672

Per Capital Assessed Value: \$671,672

Topography: Flat land

Natural Boundaries: 13th Avenue, Devon Street

Drainage Basins: Proposed under Tentative Tract 929

Proximity to other populated areas: Within planned growth direction of the City of Hanford

Likelihood of growth in the area: Planned for single-family development

Detachment: None

- (b) The need for organized community services; the present cost and adequacy of governmental services and controls in the area; probable future needs for those services and controls; probable effect of the proposed incorporation, formation, annexation, or exclusion and of alternative

courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the area and adjacent areas.

“Services,” as used in this subdivision, refers to governmental services, whether or not the services are services which would be provided by local agencies subject to this division, and includes the public facilities necessary to provide those services.

Analysis: The current land use surrounding the site is primarily vacant. The Hanford General Plan designates the area as Low-Density Residential land uses. The area is comprised of a single family residence located at 8323 13th Avenue. Future development planned in the project area will result in a need for municipal services. The City of Hanford is the most logical provider of urban-type services within the Hanford Fringe Area. Annexation is required for the City to provide services. The City of Hanford maintains standard rates for residential water and sewer services and connection fees throughout the City, and sufficient capacity exists to serve the annexed territory.

(c) The effect of the proposed action and of alternative actions, on adjacent areas, on mutual social and economic interests, and on the local governmental structure of the county.

Analysis: The proposal will result in minimal reduction in property taxes to the County and have a minimal impact on County government. The property is adjacent to the City, and City services can be provided to the area.

(d) The conformity of both the proposal and its anticipated effects with both the adopted commission policies on providing planned, orderly, efficient patterns of urban development, and the policies and priorities in Section 56377.

Analysis: The proposed annexation is a planned and orderly extension of the City of Hanford. The General Plan designates the area for low-density residential uses. Therefore, the impact of this proposal upon patterns of urban development will occur, as outlined in the General Plan. The City currently borders the area along the southern and eastern borders. The territory would keep extension of services in line with the orderly development of the City. The proposal is in keeping with the intent of LAFCO.

The future development of the annexed territory will require City services, such as water, sewer, and storm drainage. A connection to these services can efficiently be added, as development occurs and connects.

(e) The effect of the proposal on maintaining the physical and economic integrity of agricultural lands, as defined by Section 56016.

Analysis: The annexation territory is planned for Low-Density Residential uses under the General Plan. The City is primarily surrounded by prime agricultural land, and farming is currently practiced along most of the City’s existing edges. These properties, however, are within the planned growth pattern of the City and are within the adopted Primary Sphere of Influence for the City.

(f) The definiteness and certainty of the boundaries of the territory, the nonconformance of proposed boundaries with lines of assessment or ownership, the creation of islands or corridors of unincorporated territory, and other similar matters affecting the proposed boundaries.

Analysis: The boundaries are definitive and certain. No islands or substantially surrounded areas will be created as a result of the annexation.

(g) A regional transportation plan adopted, pursuant to Section 65080.

(h) The proposal's consistency with city or county general and specific plans.

Analysis: The annexation is consistent with the General Plan designation, Low-Density Residential.

(i) The sphere of influence of any local agency which may be applicable to the proposal being reviewed.

Analysis: The annexation is within the Primary Sphere of Influence of the City of Hanford, as adopted by LAFCO.

(j) The comments of any affected local agency or other public agency.

Analysis: Addressed in the initial study.

(k) The ability of the newly formed or receiving entity to provide the services which are the subject of the application to the area, including the sufficiency of revenues for those services following the proposed boundary change.

Analysis: Water, sewer, storm drainage, fire and police can be provided to the annexation territory. See Plan for Services (**Attachment 3**).

(l) Timely availability of water supplies adequate for projected needs, as specified in Section 65352.5.

Analysis: The City has sufficient water availability to serve the property. Connection to the City's main water lines would be required to be developed according to City Standards. The project is required to comply with all State and local regulations regarding water conservation measures and landscaping.

(m) The extent to which the proposal will affect a city or cities and the county in achieving their respective fair shares of the regional housing needs as determined by the appropriate council of governments consistent with Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580) of Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7.

Analysis: The subject territory is planned for Low Density Residential uses and will assist the City of Hanford in meeting the requirement for affordable housing.

(n) Any information or comments from the landowner or owners, voters, or residents of the affected territory.

Analysis: The City has sent consultation for the proposed projects to all property owners within a 300-ft. radius of the project site.

(o) Any information relating to existing land use designations.

(p) The extent to which the proposal will promote environmental justice. As used in this subdivision, “environmental justice” means the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes, with respect to the location of public facilities and the provision of public services.

Analysis: The proposed annexation will not result in inferior services being provided to areas of low-income residents. The annexation does include project-specific information regarding future development of the land to be used for 158 single-family residences. The proposal will not locate undesirable land uses within the proximity of low-income residents.

CONSULTATION

In accordance with Section 15073 of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, a notice of intent to adopt a mitigated negative declaration was sent to the concerned agencies on September 13, 2019. Comments were received from the following agencies:

Responses to comments are addressed within the initial study and are as follows:

1. Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe

Consultation Received: On October 1, 2019, consultation was received from Samantha McCarty with the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe, stating, “The tribe has concerns about this project’s potential to adversely affect unrecorded cultural resources and/or burials. We recommend an archeological survey, an archeological record search be completed, as well as contacting the Native American Heritage Commission. The Tribe would like to be notified of all findings. As there are known burials in the vicinity, the Tribe would like all construction staff to have a pre-consultation cultural training prior to ground disturbing activities. If there is a positive survey report, the Tribe will recommend further consultation in order to mitigate the effects of this project.”

Per the consultation received from the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe, the applicant had an archeological survey prepared, conducted an archeological record search, and contacted the Native American Heritage Commission.

An excerpt from the study verifies, “to follow through with the request of the Tribe, the record search and field survey were undertaken, and the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) contacted by Peak and Associates to request a review of their Sacred Land files (Appendix 3). We received a reply dated October 7, 2019, indicating there are no properties listed in the Sacred Land Files.”

“There are no prehistoric or historic period resources within the project area.”

“For the purposes of CEQA, we conclude that there will be no impact to important cultural resources from implementation of the project.”

“A record search was conducted for the project area at the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System on October 4, 2019 (RS#19-395; Appendix 2).

The SSJVIC reported that the project area has never been formally surveyed, and no surveys have been conducted within 0.125 miles of the project area. In addition, there are no recorded prehistoric period or historic period sites in or near the project area.”

Michael Lawson completed a field survey of the project site on October 7, 2019 with a complete inspection of the proposed project site ...There are no prehistoric or historic period resources within the project area.”

Per the survey, under Recommendations, it was stated, “There is always a possibility that a site may exist in the project and be obscured by vegetation, siltation, or historic activities, leaving no surface evidence. In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues.”

This is required in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

“If artifacts exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s).”

This is required in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

“In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative. The coroner shall make his or her determination within two working days from the time the person responsible for the excavation, or his or her authorized representative, notifies the coroner of the discovery or recognition of the human remains.

If the Kings County Coroner determines that the remains are not subject to his or her authority and if the Coroner recognizes the human remains to be those of a Native American, or has reason to believe that they are those of a Native American, he or she shall contact, by telephone within 24 hours, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC).

After notification, the NAHC will follow the procedures outline in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 that include notification of most likely descendants (MLDs), and recommendation for treatment of the remains.”

This is required in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

Due to the sensitive nature of the archeological study, the study is not attached to the initial study or staff report.

RECIRCULATION

In accordance with Section 15073.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, Recirculation of a Negative Declaration Prior to Approval (a), a lead agency is required to recirculate a negative declaration when the document must be substantially revised after public notice of its availability has previously been given pursuant to Section 15702, but prior to its adoption. (b) A “substantial revision” of the negative declaration shall mean: (1) A new,

avoidable significant effect is identified and mitigation measures or project revisions must be added in order to reduce the effect to insignificance. Due to new, avoidable significant effects to Cultural Resources, identified by the Tachi Yokut Tribe, as well as mitigation measures added to the project, re-circulation of the mitigated negative declaration was required. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 was noticed for another 20-day period [Comment period: October 16-November 5, 2019]. Additional comments were not received.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

In accordance with CEQA guidelines, Annexation No. 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Tentative Tract 929 were evaluated in an Environmental Assessment/Initial Study. Based on the review, it was determined that the proposed project would not result in a significant adverse impact on the environment with the incorporation of mitigation measures, therefore Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 has been prepared (**Attachment 7**).

A Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration was circulated for comment from September 13-October 2, 2019 and recirculated for comment from October 16-November 5, 2019.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Noticing of the project was published in the newspaper on September 13, 2019 and mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on September 12, 2019. Due to the need to recirculate the environmental document, notice of the project was published again on October 16, 2019 and sent to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on October 15, 2019. No public comments have been received, as of the date of the preparation of this staff report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council:

1. Certify Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 for the project.
2. Introduce and waive the first reading of Ordinance No. 19-12, approving Prezone No. 2019-03.
3. Adopt Resolution No. 19-43-R, initiating proceedings for Annexation No. 156 and direct staff to file the appropriate forms with the Kings County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO).
4. Adopt Resolution No. 19-44-R, approving Vesting Tentative Tract Map 929.

Applicant:

Woodside Homes
9 River Park Place East #430
Fresno, CA 93720

Property Owner(s):

Albert Duyst
2574 Fairmont Drive
Hanford, CA 93230

Brian McCutcheon
8323 13th Avenue
Hanford, CA 93230

ORDINANCE NO. 19-12
PREZONE NO. 2019-03

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HANFORD PREZONING CERTAIN PROPERTY ADJACENT TO THE CITY OF HANFORD FROM COUNTY ZONING AL-10 LIMITED AGRICULTURAL 10-DISTRICT TO R-L-5 LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GENERAL PLAN. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF DEVON STREET AND 13TH AVENUE (APN 009-030-042 AND 009-030-043).

The City Council of the City of Hanford does ordain as follows:

Section 1: The following described territory situated in the City of Hanford is hereby prezoned under the terms of Chapter 17.86 of the Hanford Municipal Code:

Annexation 156 filed by Woodside Homes:

FROM: County zoning of AL-10 Limited Agricultural 10-District

TO: City zoning R-L-5 Low-Density Residential

On property described as follows:

Approximately 40.53 acres generally located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043), as depicted in attached **Exhibit A**; and

Section 2: The Council does hereby find as a fact that this Ordinance has been recommended for passage by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford after public hearing before the Planning Commission after notice required by Section 17.70.100 of the Hanford Municipal Code and Government Code Section 65854. The City Council finds that Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 is approved, in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act. The City Council hereby finds that the prezoning is required to achieve the objectives of the zoning regulations as set forth in Section 17.06.010 of the Hanford Municipal Code, and that this Ordinance has been introduced by the City Council after public hearing held on the 3rd day of December, 2019, at 7:00 p.m. of said day after notice required under the provisions of Section 65856 of the Government Code.

Section 3: This Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after its passage, and shall be published once in the Hanford Sentinel within fifteen (15) days after its passage, and the zoning will apply to such property in the event of subsequent annexation to the City under the provisions of Section 65859 of the Government Code.

Attachment: CC Ordinance 19-12 (CD: Annexation 156)

Passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford duly called and held on the ____ day of _____, by the following roll call vote:

APPROVED

ATTEST:

MAYOR of the City of Hanford

Sarah Martinez

CITY CLERK

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF KINGS) ss

CITY OF HANFORD)

I, SARAH MARTINEZ, City Clerk of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing ordinance was duly introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford on the 3rd day of December, 2019, and it was duly passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford held on the _____ day of _____, 2019.

Dated: _____

Sarah Martinez


City Clerk

Attachment: CC Ordinance 19-12 (CD: Annexation 156)

Exhibit A

Prezone No. 2019-03

Figure 1: Prezone 2019-03
Proposed: R-L-5 Low-Density Residential



Attachment: CC Ordinance 19-12 (CD: Annexation 156)

RESOLUTION NO. 2019-43-R

A RESOLUTION OF APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF HANFORD REQUESTING THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION TO INITIATE PROCEEDINGS FOR ANNEXATION NO. 156, A REQUEST TO ANNEX 40.53 ACRES OF UNINCORPORATED KINGS COUNTY LAND INTO THE CITY OF HANFORD FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF DEVON STREET AND 13TH AVENUE (APN 009-030-042 AND 009-030-043).

RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Hanford, that,

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford desires to initiate proceedings pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Reorganization Act of 2000, Division 3, commencing with Section 5600 of the California Government Code, an affected City, as defined therein, may by resolution adopted by its legislative body make a proposal for a change of organization and request initiation of proceedings thereon; and

WHEREAS, notice of intent to adopt this resolution of application has been given, and this Council has conducted a public hearing based upon this notification; and

WHEREAS, the principal reasons for the proposed annexation are as follows:

1. The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence.
2. The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed, or will be adequate at the time that development occurs.
3. Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs.
4. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas.
5. The proposed annexation comes with 100% consent of all landowners.

WHEREAS, the following agency would be affected by the proposed jurisdictional changes:

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Nature of Change</u>
City of Hanford	Annexation

WHEREAS, the territory proposed to be annexed is inhabited, and a map and description of the boundaries of the territory are attached hereto as **Exhibits A** (annexation map) and **B** (legal description) and by this reference incorporated herein, and,

WHEREAS, the proposal is consistent with the General Plan of the City of Hanford; and

WHEREAS, it is desired to provide that the proposed annexation be subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. That the annexation area be prezoned R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan.

WHEREAS, this proposal is consistent with the adopted spheres of influence for all agencies which would be affected by the annexation; and

WHEREAS, The Council certified that an Initial Study was prepared for the project, consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act; and

WHEREAS, the City Council determined that the project would not result in significant impacts to the environment with the incorporation of mitigation measures and Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 was adopted;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this Resolution of Application is hereby adopted and approved by the City Council of the City of Hanford and the Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County is hereby requested to take proceedings of the annexation of territory as authorized in the manner provided by the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford held on the 3rd day of December, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES:

Council Member

NOES:

Council Member

ABSTAIN:

Council Member

ABSENT:

Council Member

Attachment: 19-43-R (CD: Annexation 156)

MAYOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF KINGS)

CITY OF HANFORD) SS

I, **SARAH MARTINEZ**, City Clerk of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 3rd day of December, 2019.

Dated: _____


City Clerk

Attachment: 19-43-R (CD: Annexation 156)

Exhibit A

Annexation Map

Attachment: 19-43-R (CD: Annexation 156)



Attachment: 19-43-R (CD: Annexation 156)

Exhibit B

Legal Description

Attachment: 19-43-R (CD: Annexation 156)

ANNEXATION NO. XXX
ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF HANFORD
GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION

The Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 22, Township 18 South, Range 21 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, in the County of Kings, State of California, according to the approved Government Township Plats thereof, more particularly described as follows;

Beginning at the Southwest corner of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 22 being a point on the existing boundary of the City of Hanford;

Thence departing the existing City of Hanford Boundary, along the following courses:

1. North 00°06'53" East, along the West line of said Section, a distance of 1,320.42 feet to the Northwest Corner of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter;
2. North 89°53'36" East, along the North line of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, a distance of 1,336.22 feet to a point on the existing City of Hanford Boundary, also being the Northeast corner of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter;

Thence along the existing City of Hanford boundary, the following courses:

3. South 00°05'41" West, along the East line of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, a distance of 1321.53 feet to the Southeast Corner of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter;
4. South 89°56'27" West, along the South line of said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, a distance of 1336.68 feet to the Point of Beginning;

Containing 40.53 Acres more or less.



RESOLUTION 19-44-R

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HANFORD
APPROVING VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT 929, A REQUEST TO SUBDIVIDE 39.75
ACRES INTO 158 SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LOTS IN AN AREA PROPOSED
TO BE DESIGNATED R-L-5 LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL. THE PROJECT IS
LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF DEVON STREET AND 13TH AVENUE
(APN 009-030-042 AND A PORTION OF 009-030 -043)**

At a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford duly called and held on December 3, 2019, by motion of Councilmember _____, and seconded by Councilmember _____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map No. 929, filed by Woodside Homes, a request to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, as shown in **Exhibit A**, has been reviewed by the City Council of the City of Hanford, in accordance with Title 16 of the Hanford Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has carefully considered recommendations and testimony presented at the public hearing of December 3, 2019, and

WHEREAS, all affected public utility companies, various governmental department agencies, staff, and the City Council, have given careful consideration to this tentative subdivision map and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36, and all notices related thereto were prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the City of Hanford Municipal Code and Policies; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has made the following findings, pursuant to Section 16.04.010 of the Hanford Subdivision Ordinance, Section 66474 of the Subdivision Map Act and Hanford's Zoning Ordinance:

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

1. Consistency Finding:

ANALYSIS: That a consistency finding can be made, because the proposed subdivision map is consistent with the State Subdivision Map Act, General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and Subdivision Ordinance. All lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District, which is 5,000 square feet. The minimum lot size within the subdivision is 5,000 sq. ft. The average lot size is 6,336 sq. ft.

2. Design Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the design or improvement of the subdivision is consistent with the State Subdivision Map Act, General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and Subdivision Ordinance. All lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District, which is 5,000 square feet. The minimum lot size within the subdivision is 5,000 sq. ft. The average lot size is 6,336 sq. ft. All improvements are to be developed in accordance with Public Works Construction Standards.

3. Type of Development Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the site is physically suitable for the type of development proposed under Tentative Tract 929. The lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District. All parcels have adequate street frontage on a public street and meet the minimum depth and width required for the district.

4. Density Finding:

ANALYSIS: That a density finding can be made, because the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development. The General Plan prescribes a density range between two and 10 units per acre, with an expected average of four units per gross acre. The project has a density of 3.99 dwelling units per gross acre.

5. Environmental Finding:

ANALYSIS: That an initial study was conducted. On the basis of the initial study, the Community Development Department has determined that the project described above would not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, with the incorporation of mitigation measures. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 has been prepared for the project.

6. Public Health Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements are not likely to cause serious health problems. The City of Hanford Building Division is responsible for ensuring that future construction of the site follows adopted building codes, thus reducing exposures to accidents to the general public. Consultation was sent for Tentative Tract 929 to interested agencies, including the County Health Department, Public Works Department, Fire Department, and Building Division. Any improvements or mitigations required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the conditions of approval.

7. Improvements and Access Findings:

ANALYSIS: That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of the property within the proposed subdivision.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council finds that no significant environmental impacts would result from the proposed project with the incorporation of mitigation measures, adopts a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, attached as **Exhibit B**, and certifies that Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 was prepared consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and City of Hanford Environmental Guidelines.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Hanford hereby approves Tentative Tract 929, subject to the conditions of approval, attached as **Exhibit C**, and the following:

1. That this tentative subdivision map becomes null and void after 24 months has elapsed from the date of approval, if the above conditions have not been satisfied or bonded for, and a final map recorded. A time extension may be granted by the approving body upon written request by the applicant. The time extension, if approved, will be subject to the improvement standards and fees in effect at the time the time the extension for the tentative subdivision map is granted.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford held on the 3rd day of December, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:	Council Member	_____

NOES:	Council Member	_____
ABSTAIN:	Council Member	_____
ABSENT:	Council Member	_____

MAYOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF KINGS)

CITY OF HANFORD) SS

I, **Sarah Martinez**, City Clerk of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 3rd day of December, 2019.

Dated: _____

City Clerk

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

Tentative Tract 929
Page 5 of 7

Exhibit A:
Vesting Tentative Tract 929

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

GENERAL INFORMATION

NAME	W. M. RAY
DOB	11-1-54
POB	MEMPHIS, TENN
SSN	44-3886434
EDUCATION	GRS OF HIGHS
EMPLOYMENT	GRS OF HIGHS
RESIDENCE	200 S. 3RD ST
RELIGION	CHRISTIAN
POLITICAL	DEMOCRAT
ARMED	NO
REMARKS	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 11-1-83 BY SP-5 JAL/ML

1104
 1105
 1106
 1107
 1108
 1109
 1110
 1111
 1112
 1113
 1114
 1115
 1116
 1117
 1118
 1119
 1120
 1121
 1122
 1123
 1124
 1125
 1126
 1127
 1128
 1129
 1130
 1131
 1132
 1133
 1134
 1135
 1136
 1137
 1138
 1139
 1140
 1141
 1142
 1143
 1144
 1145
 1146
 1147
 1148
 1149
 1150
 1151
 1152
 1153
 1154
 1155
 1156
 1157
 1158
 1159
 1160
 1161
 1162
 1163
 1164
 1165
 1166
 1167
 1168
 1169
 1170
 1171
 1172
 1173
 1174
 1175
 1176
 1177
 1178
 1179
 1180
 1181
 1182
 1183
 1184
 1185
 1186
 1187
 1188
 1189
 1190
 1191
 1192
 1193
 1194
 1195
 1196
 1197
 1198
 1199
 1200
 1201
 1202
 1203
 1204
 1205
 1206
 1207
 1208
 1209
 1210
 1211
 1212
 1213
 1214
 1215
 1216
 1217
 1218
 1219
 1220
 1221
 1222
 1223
 1224
 1225
 1226
 1227
 1228
 1229
 1230
 1231
 1232
 1233
 1234
 1235
 1236
 1237
 1238
 1239
 1240
 1241
 1242
 1243
 1244
 1245
 1246
 1247
 1248
 1249
 1250
 1251
 1252
 1253
 1254
 1255
 1256
 1257
 1258
 1259
 1260
 1261
 1262
 1263
 1264
 1265
 1266
 1267
 1268
 1269
 1270
 1271
 1272
 1273
 1274
 1275
 1276
 1277
 1278
 1279
 1280
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300
 1301
 1302
 1303
 1304
 1305
 1306
 1307
 1308
 1309
 1310
 1311
 1312
 1313
 1314
 1315
 1316
 1317
 1318
 1319
 1320
 1321
 1322
 1323
 1324
 1325
 1326
 1327
 1328
 1329
 1330
 1331
 1332
 1333
 1334
 1335
 1336
 1337
 1338
 1339
 1340
 1341
 1342
 1343
 1344
 1345
 1346
 1347
 1348
 1349
 1350
 1351
 1352
 1353
 1354
 1355
 1356
 1357
 1358
 1359
 1360
 1361
 1362
 1363
 1364
 1365
 1366
 1367
 1368
 1369
 1370
 1371
 1372
 1373
 1374
 1375
 1376
 1377
 1378
 1379
 1380
 1381
 1382
 1383
 1384
 1385
 1386
 1387
 1388
 1389
 1390
 1391
 1392
 1393
 1394
 1395
 1396
 1397
 1398
 1399
 1400
 1401
 1402
 1403
 1404
 1405
 1406
 1407
 1408
 1409
 1410
 1411
 1412
 1413
 1414
 1415
 1416
 1417
 1418
 1419
 1420
 1421
 1422
 1423
 1424
 1425
 1426
 1427
 1428
 1429
 1430
 1431
 1432
 1433
 1434
 1435
 1436
 1437
 1438
 1439
 1440
 1441
 1442
 1443
 1444
 1445
 1446
 1447
 1448
 1449
 1450
 1451
 1452
 1453
 1454
 1455
 1456
 1457
 1458
 1459
 1460
 1461
 1462
 1463
 1464
 1465
 1466
 1467
 1468
 1469
 1470
 1471
 1472
 1473
 1474
 1475
 1476
 1477
 1478
 1479
 1480
 1481
 1482
 1483
 1484
 1485
 1486
 1487
 1488
 1489
 1490
 1491
 1492
 1493
 1494
 1495
 1496
 1497
 1498
 1499
 1500
 1501
 1502
 1503
 1504
 1505
 1506
 1507
 1508
 1509
 1510
 1511
 1512
 1513
 1514
 1515
 1516
 1517
 1518
 1519
 1520
 1521
 1522
 1523
 1524
 1525
 1526
 1527
 1528
 1529
 1530
 1531
 1532
 1533
 1534
 1535
 1536
 1537
 1538
 1539
 1540
 1541
 1542
 1543
 1544
 1545
 1546
 1547
 1548
 1549
 1550
 1551
 1552
 1553
 1554
 1555
 1556
 1557
 1558



APCA




Exhibit B:
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

Annexation 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Tentative Tract 929
Mitigation Measures
Mitigated Negative Declaration 2019-36

Mitigation Number	Potential Impact	Mitigation Measure	Responsible Party
AESTHETICS			
MM Aesthetics 1	The project could substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	That the applicant develop the project consistent with the General Plan, Hanford Municipal Code, and Tree Ordinance.	Developer
MM Aesthetics 2	The project may create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	That the development comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.50.140 Outdoor Lighting Standards and the California Building Code for outdoor lighting standards.	Developer
AGRICULTURE RESOURCES			
MM Agriculture 1	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	That a right-to-farm provision be recorded with the recording of the final subdivision map(s) to insure that future residents of the homes in the project are aware of the adjacent agricultural uses and their right to continue to operate.	Developer
AIR QUALITY			

MM Air Quality 1:	The project may conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	That the applicant complies with the SJVAPCDC Air Quality Plan and obtains any necessary permits through the SJVAPCD.	Developer to file application with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
MM Air Quality 2:	The project could potentially violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	That effective dust control must be maintained on the job site at all times in order to reduce the risk of valley fever to workers and nearby residents. More information regarding the prevention of work related valley fever is available at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/hesis/Documents/CoccifAct.pdf and http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb/Documents/OccCocci.pdf . Contact the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for more information on dust control techniques.	Developer
MM Air Quality 3	The project could potentially result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	The project is subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. The applicant is required to submit an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application to the District prior to issuance of a building permit.	Developer
CULTURAL RESOURCES			
MM Cultural Resources 1-4	The project could potentially cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to Public Resources Code 15064.5?	MM Cultural Resources 1: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. MM Cultural Resources 2: In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues.	Developer to coordinate with the Tachi Yokut Tribe; Developer or representative to notify archeologist or coroner of

	The project could potentially disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s) MM Cultural Resources 4: In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative.	discovery (if uncovered)
MM Cultural Resources 3	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s)	Developer or representative to notify archeologist of discovery (if uncovered)
GEOLOGY AND SOILS			
MM Geology 1:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or	That the development of the project complies with the applicable General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code.	City of Hanford must ensure conditions are set forth to mitigate impacts; Developer to comply with standards

	that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		
MM Geology 2:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	That a geotechnical and soil studies be prepared as a required by the Building Official (if applicable).	Building Official to require; developer to conduct study
MM Geology 3:	That the project could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	That the physical development of the project comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 15.52 Flood Damage Prevention Regulation and the California Building Code, along with the plan check and development review process.	City to require; developer to comply
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS			
MM Hazards 1	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the	If hazardous materials at or above threshold reporting quantities (55 gallons of a liquid, 500 pounds of a solid, or 200 cubic feet of a gas) will be kept on site during the construction phase, a Hazardous Materials	Developer

	environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	Business Plan must be filed online at http://cers.calepa.ca.gov within 30 days of beginning operations. Hazardous materials are broadly defined, and include fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, motor vehicle batteries, welding gases, paints, solvents, glues, agricultural chemicals, etc. Please contact our office if you require assistance with the online registration process. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact the Kings County Environmental Health Department at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	
MM Hazards 2	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? That the Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact our office at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	Developer
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
MM Hydrology 1 & 2	The project could potentially violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. That the project could	1) All new development that disturbs more than one acre is required to comply with the General Permit Order No. 2012-006-DWQ during construction. Proponents of new development would have to develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that specifies best management practices (BMPs) to prevent construction pollutants from contacting stormwater, with the intent of keeping all	City to require; Developer to provide

	potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	products of erosion from moving off-site and into receiving waters; eliminate or reduce non-stormwater discharges to storm sewer systems and other waters of the United States; and inspect all BMPs; 2) New development would be required to implement appropriate minimum control measures (MCMs) and design standards in compliance with Phase II General Permit, as outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan, as well as the City's grading plan and site development requirements.	
MM Hydrology 3	The project could potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
MM Hydrology 4	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	New development would have to incorporate best management practices and adhere to design standards to maximize the reduction of pollutant loadings in runoff to the maximum extent practical.	City to require; Developer to provide

NOISE			
MM Noise 1:	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	Comply with applicable regulations and policies of the General Plan to ensure that construction-related impacts would be attenuated to the greatest extent feasible.	Residents and developer; Police to enforce
MM Noise 2 & 3:	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? The project could cause a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels existing without the project?	Construction is limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	Developer; Police to enforce
PUBLIC FACILITIES			
MM Public Facilities 1:	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Fire)	The project will be subject to fire impact fees.	Developer to pay
MM Public Facilities 2	The project may result in substantial adverse	The project will be subject to police impact fees.	Developer to pay

	physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Police)		
MM Public Facilities 3	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Schools)	That the development of the project will be subject to School Impact Fees.	Developer to pay
RECREATION			
MM Recreation 1	The project could potentially increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	That a two-acre park shall be provided for the subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929.	City to require; developer to provide
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS			
MM Utilities 1	Would the project have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or	That the future development would be required to implement water conservation measures.	City to require and ensure compliance; developer and future occupants

	expanded entitlements needed?		to adhere
MM Utilities 2:	Would the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes related to solid waste?	That the future project be required to comply with all statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	City to require; developer to provide

Exhibit C:
Conditions of Approval

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

PLANNING DIVISION
SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS

MEETING DATE: July 10, 2019

TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 929

CONTACT: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner: (559) 585-2578

General Plan Designation: Low-Density Residential

Zoning: Pre-zone for R-L-5 Low-Density Residential

Other Special Districts: N/A

Planning Division Recommendation ☒ Revise and Proceed ☐ Resubmit

Required Entitlements:

1. Annexation
2. Pre-Zone
3. Lot Line Adjustment

General Requirements:

- ☒ That the applicant hereby agrees to hold the City of Hanford and all of its departments, officers, agents, and employees free and harmless of and from all claims of any kind or nature arising out of or by reason of the approval of this project.
- ☒ That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Uniform Fire and Building Codes, Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, and other City Ordinances.
- ☒ That the final map be submitted to the City Engineer and Community Development Department for compliance with the Tentative Subdivision Map approval prior to recording the final map.
- ☒ That all conditions of approval listed in this resolution by the City of Hanford be contained in the line drawing submitted for building permits.
- ☒ That in accordance with Title 16 of the Hanford Municipal Code, all conditions of this subdivision listed herein, and contained in subsequent improvement agreements, excluding the landscape district, must be completed and accepted for maintenance by the City Council prior to the final building inspection and occupancy permits being issued for the first house in the subdivision.
- ☒ That Vesting Tentative Tract 929 be developed consistent with the approved tentative tract map, attached as Exhibit A.

- ☒ That the tentative tract is subject to the mitigations cited in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, attached as Exhibit B (too be developed as part of the environmental review of the project).

Right-to-Farm:

- ☒ Notwithstanding any other provision in this Chapter, agricultural operations are the principal and favored use of land in the areas of Kings County designated "Agricultural" in the Kings County General Plan and included in the agricultural zone districts of the Kings County zoning ordinance. In order to implement Policy LU 8.2 and Program LU 8.2-A of the city of Hanford General Plan, there is hereby adopted in the city of Hanford a policy to (1) protect agricultural land, operations, and facilities from conflicting use due to the encroachment of incompatible, non-agricultural uses of the land in agricultural areas of the county, and (2) to advise developers, owners, and subsequent purchasers of property in the city of Hanford within one (1) mile of the city's urban limit line of the inherent potential inconveniences and discomforts often associated with agricultural activities and operations, including, but not limited to, equipment and animal noise; farming activities conducted on a 24-hour a day, 7-days a week basis; odors from manure, fertilizers, pesticides, chemicals, or other sources; the aerial and ground application of chemicals and seeds; dust; flies and other insects; and smoke from agriculture operations.
- ☒ That no lawfully established and otherwise lawful agricultural activity, operation, or facility, or appurtenances thereto, conducted or maintained for commercial agricultural purposes in a manner consistent with proper and accepted customs and standards as established and followed by similar agricultural operations in the same locality, shall be or become a nuisance, private or public, due to any changed condition in or about the locality, including, but not limited to, the encroachment of non-agricultural uses such as residences.
- ☒ In order to carry out the goals and policies of the city of Hanford General Plan, and the provisions of this chapter, the following notices and disclosures shall be required:
- ☒ All approvals for improvement or development of property including without limitation application for rezonings, land divisions, zoning permits, and residential building permits, on property in the city of Hanford within one (1) mile of the city's urban limit line, shall include a condition that notice and disclosure of this agricultural land use policy be given by the applicant, or the owner if different from the applicant. The applicant, or owner if different from the applicant, shall also acknowledge the contents of the notice and disclosure, which includes a description of the property the notice and disclosure pertains to, in the Official Records of the Kings County Recorder, and recorded at the applicant's own expense.

Zoning:

- ☒ That all requirements of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential district shall apply.

Dwelling Units per Lot (Section 17.10.050)

- ☒ That not more than one dwelling unit shall be allowed on each lot, unless approved as an accessory use to an allowed or approved conditional use, or approved as an accessory dwelling unit, in accordance with Section 17.60.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code.

Coverage (Section 17.10.060)

- ☒ That the maximum overage shall be determined by the combined building setback requirements, accessory structure limitations, and off-street parking requirements.

Building Setback Area (Section 17.10.070)

- ☒ That no structure shall be placed within a building setback area.
- ☒ The front building setback area shall be 15 feet from the front lot line for livable space and 20 feet for garages, carports, and other non-livable building space.
- ☒ That the rear building setback shall be 15 feet from the rear lot line, except where there is a landscape easement with a wall or fence on the rear of the lot, then the rear building setback shall be 20 feet.
- ☒ The rear building setback area shall be increased by 10 feet for buildings over one-story high.
- ☒ That where there is a landscape easement with a wall or fence on the street side of the lot the side yard setback area shall be measured from the easement area instead of the side lot line.

Distance between Structures (Section 17.10.080)

- ☒ The minimum distance between structures shall be 10 feet, except as provided by the building code.

Height of Structures (Section 17.10.090)

- ☒ The maximum structure height shall be 35 feet.

Driveways (Section 17.10.110)

- ☒ That the width of a driveway and any paved area shall not cumulatively exceed 40% of the width of the lot's street frontage on which the driveway and any paved area faces. In the case of "L" or "U" shaped driveways or other configurations besides perpendicular driveways, the paved area of these driveways shall not exceed 50% of the front building setback area.
- ☒ That on corner lots, the driveway shall be located on the side of the lot adjacent to the interior lot line.
- ☒ That on key lots, the driveway shall be located on the side of the lot, which is not adjacent to the rear lot line of the adjacent reverse corner lot.

Usable Open Space (Section 17.10.150)

- ☒ That each lot shall provide for a usable open space area of a minimum 400 feet that is a minimum 15 feet wide.

Landscaping (Section 17.10.160)

- ☒ That landscaping be provided in accordance with Section 17.52 Landscape Standards of the Hanford Municipal Code.
- ☒ That except for driveways and approved parking areas all yard areas and setback areas visible from the street shall be landscaped with live plant materials and ornamentation common to the Hanford area or up to 100% of the yard and setback area may be landscaped with artificial turf and other permeable surfaces.
- ☒ That two street trees, a minimum 15 gallon size, shall be planted on all lots 6,000 square feet or greater. One of the two shall be planted 12 feet (no greater or no less) from the face of the front lot line street curb.
- ☒ That one street tree, a minimum 15 gallon size, shall be planted on all lots less than 6,000 square feet. The tree shall be planted in the parkway. If there is no parkway, the tree shall be planted four feet behind the sidewalk.
- ☒ That there shall be two additional street trees, a minimum 15 gallon size, planted on all corner lots in the parkway adjacent to the street side lot line.
- ☒ That all species of trees shall be selected from a list approved by the City Parks and Recreation Commission.
- ☒ That each lot owner within Tentative Tract 929 shall care for and maintain the trees and lawn area located in the parkway in front of their lot(s). For corner lots, the lot owner shall also care for and maintain the parkway trees and land area along the street-side of their lot. The parkway is defined as that land area located between the street curb and the public sidewalk.

Environmental Requirements:

- ☒ That if fireplaces are proposed in the residential units, natural gas fireplaces or EPA-certified wood-burning fireplaces/stoves are to be installed in every unit that has a fireplace. Conventional open-hearth fireplaces are not allowed.
- ☒ That natural gas lines and electrical outlets be installed in backyards or patios to encourage use of gas and/or electric barbecues.
- ☒ That electrical outlets be installed around the exterior of the residential units to encourage use of electric lawn mowers, edgers, etc.

Noise:

- ☒ That construction equipment is muffled and construction activities be limited to the hours between 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, unless the construction is within the enclosed structure or approved by the Community Development Department.
- ☒ That noise from fixed mechanical equipment, when measured at the property line, meets the standard of the Hanford Noise Element.

Rooftop Equipment HMC Section 17.50.100

- ☒ That all elevator housing and mechanical equipment located on the roof of any building shall be screened from adjacent views and contained within a completely enclosed penthouse or portion of the same building having walls and roofs with construction and appearance similar to the building.

Outdoor Lighting Standards HMC Section 17.50.140

- ☒ That all lights and light fixtures, except public street lights, shall be located, aimed or shielded so as to minimize light trespassing across property boundaries or skyward.
- ☒ That no lights or light fixtures shall flash, revolve, blink or otherwise resemble a traffic control signal or operate in such a fashion to create a hazard for passing traffic.
- ☒ That building mounted lighting fixtures shall be attached only to the walls of the building. The top of a light fixture attached to a building wall shall not be higher than the top of the building parapet or the top of the roof eave, whichever is lower.
- ☒ That canopy ceiling light fixtures shall be recessed or the sides of the lens area shall be shielded in order to eliminate emission of horizontal light.
- ☒ That mercury vapor lamps shall be a fully shielded fixture with all light directed on-site.
- ☒ That freestanding light fixtures shall not exceed eighteen (18) feet in height measured from the top of a light fixture to the adjacent grade at the base of the support for that light fixture.

Tents, Tarps, and Other Coverings (Section 17.50.130)

- ☒ That no front or side building setback area shall be covered by tents, tarps, cloth, fabric, or a wood or metal covering or structure except for the following:
 - ☒ Standard window and door awnings
 - ☒ Ornamental covers, such as a sidewalk or entry awning trellis, or other similar improvement intended as an improved passageway or for aesthetic purposes providing architectural integrity with the building to which it is attached. Supports shall be ninety (90) percent open and shall not be enclosed.

Dust Control:

- ☒ That the appropriate dust-control practices of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District shall be implemented.
- ☒ That the any necessary permits be obtained through the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

BUILDING DIVISION**TT 929 (504-0532)**

Contact Building Official: Tom Webb (559) 585-2684

Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

Soils:

1. That a preliminary soils report, prepared by a qualified soil's engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Departments prior to approval of Subdivision Improvement Plans.
2. That a final soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering Department and Building Division prior to acceptance of the subdivision improvements by the City or issuance of building permits or whichever comes first.
3. That the developer retain the design engineer to inspect and verify that grading within the subdivision was constructed as per the approved design, and a copy of the report be provided to the Building Division prior to building permit issuance.

Street Names and Addresses:

1. That street addresses for each lot, as provided by the Building Division, be included on the subdivision final map.
2. That street names, as shown on the tentative subdivision map, be included on the subdivision final maps.
3. That all single family dwellings within the subdivision have illuminated address numbers 2-¼ inches high or be within an illuminated area with 3-½ inch high address numbers installed on the front most part of the building leading to the entrance. In either case the address numbers shall be of contrasting colors with the background.
4. That all landscaping requires permits and must meet requirements at time of installation.
5. That a map must be provided to show existing streets names of surrounding subdivisions that have City maintained streets.

PROJECT: Tentative Parcel Map No. 504-0532, located at the 13th Ave & Cedar Grove St.

I ☒ (do) ☐ (do not) have comments regarding this project.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

*Contact Battalion Chief: David D. Sumaya (559) 585-2545
Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:*

General:

1. That water mains and fire hydrants be installed before construction (unless otherwise approved by the Fire Department) and be of sufficient capacity for fire protection as well as domestic supply. Fire hydrant(s) are to be located as designated by the Fire Department. All fire hydrants and mains are to meet City standards.
2. That water mains and fire hydrants be installed as per specifications of the Hanford Water Department and shall be of sufficient capacity for fire protection as well as domestic supply. Fire hydrants are to be located as designated by the City Fire Department.
3. That the blue dot location marker(s) is installed six inches from the middle of the street on the hydrant side.
4. An all weather access road that conforms to City standard ST36, shall be installed around the entire project, minimum width of 20 feet and no less than 14 feet vertical clearance. Access roads shall be maintained throughout the duration of the project.
5. No combustible materials shall be allowed on site prior to the hydrant system being operational and approved.

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

PROJECT: Tract 929 – Woodside Homes – 13th Avenue and Devon Street

Maps and Plans:

1. That the developer shall submit to the City Engineer a set of construction plans on 24" x 36" sheets for all required improvements. Plans shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer and shall include a drainage and utility plan identifying sizes and location of sanitary sewer, storm drainage and water mains, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, masonry walls, street lights, street improvements, culverts and landscape improvements and all other infrastructure required to complete development. All plans shall be approved by the City Engineer and all other involved agencies before the release of the building permits.
2. That prior to beginning any construction or within ten (10) calendar days after the approved plans are released by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and four (4) blue line copies of the approved set of construction plans and four (4) bound sets of the approved construction specifications, if any.
3. That within twenty (20) calendar days after all improvements have been constructed and accepted by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and one (1) blue line copy of the approved set of construction plans revised to reflect all field revisions and marked "RECORD DRAWING."

Easements:

1. That all easements shall be designated on the subdivision final map as required by the utility companies and where needed for providing service to street lights and landscape improvements as designated by the City Public Utilities and Engineer Department.
2. That all easements for irrigation ditches and / or irrigation pipelines shall be legally abandoned or relocated to the satisfaction of the City Engineer prior to the recording of a subdivision final map.
3. That all proposed landscape/public utility easements, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map, shall be dedicated to the City and be delineated on the final subdivision map. Landscape/public utility easement shall meet or exceed the following minimum widths:
 - a.) 13th Ave– Ten feet
 - b.) Devon Street – Ten feet
 - c.) All residential streets – Six feet

Dedications:

1. That all proposed streets and street extensions, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map, shall be dedicated to the City as public right-of-way, and any alteration of existing utilities shall be the responsibility of the developer.

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

2. That all proposed landscape public utility easements, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map shall be dedicated to the City for landscape and public utility purposes concurrent with the recording of a subdivision final map, unless modified herein.

Restricted Access:

1. That a one-foot reservation strip shall be established at the end or sides of all streets abutting undeveloped property and be indicated on the subdivision final map.
2. That all access shall be restricted from lots at the property lines backing onto 13th Ave and Devon Street and shall be indicated on the subdivision final map.

Street Improvements:

1. That all improvements consisting of sanitary sewers, storm sewers, water mains, concrete curbs, gutters, sidewalks, street lights, landscaping, street sub-grading, surfacing and striping and all other improvements shall be installed in accordance with Chapter 16.24, "Improvements", of the Hanford Municipal Code and this resolution pertaining to subdivision improvements in effect at the time of filing the tentative subdivision map.
2. That all streets within the subdivision shall be developed to residential street standard ST-32, except the following:
 - a. 13th Ave shall be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-18 and as follows:
 1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 10.0.
 2. A geotechnical report shall be submitted to the City Engineer identifying the existing structural section thickness of 13th Avenue, from Devon Street to the northern limits of subdivision, concurrent with the submittal of development improvement plans. Reconstruction of 13th Avenue between Devon Street and the north boundary of subdivision (or another form of mitigation as approved by the City Engineer) will be required if the existing street structural section does not conform to City Standards and Specifications.
 3. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, plus a 16 foot wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12 foot Southbound travel lane and a 4 foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required.
 - b. Devon Street shall be developed as a major collector street, along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23, and as follows:

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 8.0.
2. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction south of the centerline, plus a 12 foot westbound travel lane and 4 foot paved shoulder north of the centerline, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required. (Raised median island not required).
3. A left-hand turn pocket will be required for southbound traffic to Devon Street.
3. That street lights shall be installed throughout the subdivision in conformance with City Standard GE-56 and Southern California Edison requirements. Street lights shall be located as designated by City Engineer.
4. That the developer shall attempt to acquire additional street right-of-way along the "Existing Residence" frontage and make street improvements. City to reimburse for offsite right-of-way and improvement cost.
5. That development is subject to a transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code section 15.48 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards their development impact fee for permanent street improvements constructed by developer within 13th Ave, consisting of curb & gutter, street striping, signing and street paving from gutter lip to edge of the existing 13th Ave street sections. If applicable, developer may also be entitled to credit towards impact fees for supplemental transportation capacity improvements to the existing 13th Ave street section. Transitional paving improvements beyond the development limits, that are not considered to be a permanent improvements and the reconstruction of the existing 13th Ave street section, if required, will not be subject to a credit. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate the cost of reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction. All documentation materials submitted for reimbursement consideration shall be well organized and tabulated for convenient reference by Public Utilities and Engineer' staff. Improvement quantities and costs proposed for reimbursement must be clearly identified in the documentation provided, and not combined or aggregated with non-reimbursable subdivision improvement costs. Appropriate graphic exhibits referenced to the subdivision improvement plans shall also be provided as needed to facilitate the reimbursement review process.

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Backup Parkway Improvements:

1. That the developer shall design and construct the following improvements along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street for lots backing onto said streets:
 - a. A minimum six foot high decorative masonry block wall with continuous reinforced concrete footings. Masonry walls shall be treated with anti-graffiti repellent. The minimum height of the wall shall be measured from the highest elevation grade of the property located adjacent to either side of the wall. Wall heights shall be maintained at a uniform grade / slope whenever possible. If elevation changes are required, steps shall be introduced at pilaster locations and / or changes in wall direction. Wall improvement plans shall be designed to incorporate architectural, civil and structural components into one set of drawings. Wall plans shall be reviewed and approved by both the City Public Utilities and Engineer and Community Development Departments.
 - b. A 4 1/2 foot wide meandering detached sidewalk along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street.
 - c. Landscaping and irrigation system improvements along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street shall be prepared by a licensed landscape architect. Plans shall be reviewed and approved by the City Public Utilities and Engineer, Parks & Recreation, and Community Development Departments.
2. That all species of trees and plants shall be selected from a list approved by the City's Parks and Recreation Commission.
3. That all new and existing electrical boxes, control boxes and other equipment boxes (excluding traffic control) cabinets along the street frontage shall be painted hunter green or be of a color compatible with the landscaping. Prior to painting, all metal surfaces shall be treated with an etching primer (zinc chromate) or equivalent. All new irrigation electrical and control cabinets shall be mounted into the masonry wall per City Standards.
4. That a covenant including each residential lot within the subdivision and requiring care and maintenance of local street park strip landscape improvements along the individual lot frontage(s) by the homeowners thereof shall be recorded concurrent with the final map.

Neighborhood Park Improvements:

1. That the developer shall consult with City Parks & Recreation and Utilities and Engineering staff prior to the design and construction of park facility improvements. The design and construction of park facility improvements shall be concurrent with the first phase of development. Park improvements shall include, but may not be limited to: Recreational areas, decorative landscaping and irrigation system improvements, drinking fountains, park benches, decorative security lights and trash receptacles. The developer shall retain the services of a licensed landscape architect to design all park facility improvements.
2. That the development is subject to a park development mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code Section 15.44 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards the subdivision park impact fee obligation for all park improvements constructed

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

by the developer in accordance with the Municipal Code. Developer shall submit competitive bid cost proposals to substantiate cost for reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineering department review and approval prior to beginning construction. Reimbursement for park land acquisition costs shall be determined based upon the market value of the property at the time of filing the tentative subdivision map with the city as per Section 66480 of the Subdivision Map Act.

Sewer Improvements:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a sanitary sewer master plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That the developer shall extend existing sewer within Devon Street to 13th Ave.
3. That the developer may be entitled to a refund for sewer main over-sizing in accordance with City Municipal Code Section 13.08. Developer's engineer shall be required to submit calculations to substantiate request for an over-sizing refund to the City Public Utilities and Engineering Department for review and approval. The developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for sewer main over-sizing for City Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.
4. That the developer may be entitled to enter into a reimbursement agreement in accordance with City Municipal Code section 13.08 for sewer system improvements which also provide a benefit to others. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for said sewer system improvements for Public Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.

Storm Drainage Improvements:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a storm drainage master plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City Public Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That all drainage from proposed development shall be retained in retention drainage basin located as shown on the tentative subdivision map.
3. That developer shall be required to comply with State of California Water Resources Control Board requirements specifically related to the National Pollution Elimination System Permit process.

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Water System:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a Water System Master Plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That a minimum 12 inch diameter water main and appurtenances shall be extended within the future alignment of Devon Street, from the eastern limits of subdivision to 13th Avenue, and within 13th Ave, from Devon Street to the northerly boundary. Improvements shall conform to the City's water master plan.
3. That the developer may be entitled to a refund for water main over-sizing in accordance with City Municipal Code Section 13.04. Developer's engineer shall be required to submit calculations to substantiate request for an over-sizing refund to the City Public Utilities and Engineer Department for review and approval. The developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for water main over-sizing for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.
4. That the developer may be entitled to enter into a reimbursement agreement in accordance with City Municipal Code section 13.04 for water system improvements which also provide a benefit to others. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for said water system improvements for Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.
5. That all existing water wells located within the proposed development shall be abandoned in conformance with State of California Department of Health Standards.

Assessment District:

1. That the developer shall enter into an agreement with the City for the formation of a tax assessment district for the maintenance of interior subdivision street lighting, decorative block fencing, and landscaping located along the property frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street located as shown on the tentative subdivision map.
2. That prior to funds from the tax assessment district being obtained, the developer shall be responsible for the maintenance of all landscaping, subdivision interior street lighting and decorative fencing.

City Development Impact Fees:

1. That development is subject to a Police Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
2. That development is subject to a Fire Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
3. That development is subject to a Park Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 90-10 and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

4. That development is subject to a Transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Ordinance No. 90-09 and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
5. That the development is subject to a Wastewater System Development Impact fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
6. That development is subject to a Water System Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14 or any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
7. That development is subject to a Refuse and Recycling Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 05-16 or any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
8. That development is subject to a Storm Drainage Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable prior to recording of subdivision final map.
9. That development is subject to a 12th Avenue Sanitary Sewer area of benefit district assessment fee as required by City Ordinance No. 92-03 or any revisions thereof, if applicable. Fee shall be payable prior to recording to recording of final subdivision map.

Attachment: 19-44-R (CD: Annexation 156)

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE

MAP OF TERRITORY
ANNEXED TO THE
CITY OF HANFORD

AND DETACHED FROM THE KINGS RIVER CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND THE
EXCELSIOR-KINGS RIVER RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT, CITY OF
HANFORD REORGANIZATION NO. XXX.

THIS MAP WAS PREPARED BY ME ON _____,
AND IS BASED UPON RECORD DATA AND LAFCO RESOLUTION NO. _____,
DATED _____.
JOHN A. ZUMWALT, R.C.E. 21489




COURSES		
LINE NO.	BEARING	DISTANCE
1	N00° 06' 53"E	1320.42'
2	N89° 53' 36"E	1336.22'
3	S00° 05' 41"W	1321.53'
4	S89° 56' 27"W	1336.68'
CONTAINING 38.03± ACRES		

LEGEND


- CITY LIMITS
- BOUNDARY OF PROPERTIES TO BE ANNEXED
- COURSE SEGMENT NUMBER

VICINITY MAP



CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

RECORDED AS DOCUMENT NO. _____
FEE _____
DOCUMENT NO. _____
RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION
COMMISSION OF KINGS COUNTY.
AT _____ MINUTES PAST _____ O'CLOCK _____ M.,
_____ 20 _____ IN VOLUME _____ OF LICENSED
SURVEYORS' PLATS, PAGE _____, KINGS COUNTY, STATE OF
CALIFORNIA.
KRISTINE LEE
COUNTY RECORDER
BY: _____ DEPUTY



APN No.
009-030-043
2.50 AC. GR.

APN No.
009-030-042
38.026 AC. GR.

CIVIL ENGINEERS
**ZUMWALT
HANSEN &**
LAND SURVEYORS


609 N. IRWIN ST.

HANFORD, CA. 93230

PH. (559) 582-1056


FILE NO. 0778012

ONE SHEET ONLY




**SECTION A-A
13TH AVENUE**

Not to Scale
Per City Std. ST-18 (Looking North)



**SECTION B-B
DEVON STREET**

Not to Scale
Looking West (Per City Std. ST-20)



**VESTING TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP
COUNTY TRACT NO. 929**

CITY OF HANFORD, COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OWNER
ALBERT & JANETTE DUYST
2574 FAIRMONT DRIVE
HANFORD, CA 93230

APPLICANT
WOODSIDE HOMES
9 RIVER PARK PLACE, STE 430
FRESNO, CA 93720

GENERAL INFORMATION

EXISTING ZONE	COUNTY
PROPOSED ZONE	R-L-5
EXISTING USE	VACANT
PROPOSED USE	RESIDENTIAL
SEWER	CITY OF HANFORD
WATER	CITY OF HANFORD
STORM DRAIN	ON-SITE BASIN
A.P.N.	009-030-042
ELECTRIC	SCE
GAS	GAS COMPANY
TELEPHONE	AT&T
CABLE	COMCAST
TOTAL AREA	39.73 ACRES

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 21 EAST, MOUNT DIABLO BASE AND MERIDIAN, IN THE COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL PLAT THEREOF.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
COMMENCING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE SOUTH ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION, 250 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE EAST PARALLEL WITH THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION, 436 FEET; THENCE SOUTH PARALLEL WITH THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION, 250 FEET; THENCE WEST PARALLEL WITH THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION, 436 FEET; TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION; THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE, 250 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

LOT INFORMATION

TOTAL LOTS:	158 LOTS
UNIT 1 LOTS:	80 LOTS
UNIT 2 LOTS:	78 LOTS
TOTAL AREA BEFORE LLA:	38.026 ACRES
TOTAL AREA AFTER LLA:	39.62 ACRES
LOTS PER ACRE BEFORE LLA:	4.16
LOTS PER ACRE AFTER LLA:	3.99

MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 5,000 S.F. (LOT 142)
MAXIMUM LOT SIZE: 14,818 S.F. (LOT 10)
AVERAGE LOT SIZE: 6,336± S.F.

AREA


INTERIOR STREET DEDICATION: 10.01 AC.
EXTERIOR STREET DEDICATION: 1.74 AC.
TOTAL STREET AREA: 11.75 AC. NET
BASIN DEDICATION: 99,145 SQ. FT.

PER ZONING ORDINANCE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH FOR R-L-5 IS 50' (60' CORNER LOTS)

NOTE

A LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT WILL NEED TO BE FILED WITH APN 009-030-043 PRIOR TO RECORDING UNIT II

AREAS REQUIRING A LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT IN PHASE II



**WOODSIDE HOMES
9 RIVER PARK PLACE, STE 430
FRESNO, CA 93720**



**TR 929
VESTING TENTATIVE
SUBDIVISION MAP
FOR:
WOODSIDE HOMES**

**CIVIL ENGINEERS
ZUMWALT
HANSEN &
LAND SURVEYORS**
609 N. Irwin St.
Hanford, CA 93230
Office: (559) 582-1056
Fax: (559) 584-4143

DRAWN BY: MO
CHECKED BY: AD
INDEXED BY:
DATE: 6/20/2019
JOB NO.: 0778012
SHEET: 1 OF 1

City of Hanford Annexation No. 156
PLAN FOR SERVICES

Water:

Water services can be provided to the project site. A 6- to 19-inch diameter water line is along Devon Street, Chianti Way, Muscat Place, Corner Street, Vineyard Street and Van Gogh Street and will be extended into the annexation area when it develops.

The majority of the annexed area is proposed to be developed under Tentative Tract 929, a 158-unit single-family residential subdivision. Conditions of approval for the Tentative Tract include the following:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a Water System Master Plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That a minimum 12-inch diameter water main and appurtenances shall be extended within the future alignment of Devon Street, from the eastern limits of subdivision to 13th Avenue, and within 13th Ave, from Devon Street to the northerly boundary. Improvements shall conform to the City's water master plan.
3. That all existing water wells located within the proposed development shall be abandoned in conformance with State of California Department of Health Standards.

The existing residence in the area is not a part of the future subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929. The water well on site is not required to be abandoned and is not subject to the above conditions.

A location map showing the water lines is attached to this plan.

Sanitary Sewer:

Sanitary sewer services can be provided to the project site. A 10-inch diameter sanitary sewer line is along Devon Street and will be extended into the annexation area as it develops.

The majority of the annexed area is proposed to be developed under Tentative Tract 929, a 158-unit single-family residential subdivision. Conditions of approval for the Tentative Tract include the following:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a sanitary sewer master plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That the developer shall extend existing sewer within Devon Street to 13th Ave.

A location map showing the sanitary sewer lines is attached to this plan.

Storm Drainage:

The majority of the annexed area is proposed to be developed under Tentative Tract 929, a 158-unit single-family residential subdivision. Conditions of approval for the Tentative Tract include the following:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a storm drainage master plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City Public Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That all drainage from proposed development shall be retained in retention drainage basin located as shown on the tentative subdivision map. The basin expansion shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards and the entrance to the basin shall be relocated to N. Mustang Drive.
3. That developer shall be required to comply with State of California Water Resources Control Board requirements specifically related to the National Pollution Elimination System Permit process.

A location map showing the storm drainage lines is attached to this plan.

Streets:

The majority of the annexed area is proposed to be developed under Tentative Tract 929, a 158-unit single-family residential subdivision. Conditions of approval for the Tentative Tract include the following:

Street Improvements:

1. That all improvements consisting of sanitary sewers, storm sewers, water mains, concrete curbs, gutters, sidewalks, street lights, landscaping, street sub-grading, surfacing and striping and all other improvements shall be installed in accordance with Chapter 16.24, "Improvements", of the Hanford Municipal Code and this resolution pertaining to subdivision improvements in effect at the time of filing the tentative subdivision map.
2. That all streets within the subdivision shall be developed to residential street standard ST-32, except the following:
 - a. 13th Ave shall be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-18 and as follows:
 1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 10.0.
 2. A geotechnical report shall be submitted to the City Engineer identifying the existing structural section thickness of 13th Avenue, from Devon Street to the northern limits of subdivision, concurrent with the submittal of development improvement plans. Reconstruction of 13th Avenue between Devon Street and the north boundary of subdivision (or another form of mitigation as approved by the City Engineer) will be

required if the existing street structural section does not conform to City Standards and Specifications.

3. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, plus a 16 foot wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12 foot Southbound travel lane and a 4 foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required.
- b. Devon Street shall be developed as a major collector street, along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23, and as follows:
1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 8.0.
 2. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction south of the centerline, plus a 12 foot westbound travel lane and 4 foot paved shoulder north of the centerline, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required. (Raised median island not required).
 3. A left-hand turn pocket will be required for southbound traffic to Devon Street.
3. That street lights shall be installed throughout the subdivision in conformance with City Standard GE-56 and Southern California Edison requirements. Street lights shall be located as designated by City Engineer.
 4. That the developer shall attempt to acquire additional street right-of-way along the "Existing Residence" frontage and make street improvements. City to reimburse for offsite right-of-way and improvement cost.
 5. That development is subject to a transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code section 15.48 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards their development impact fee for permanent street improvements constructed by developer within 13th Ave, consisting of curb & gutter, street striping, signing and street paving from gutter lip to edge of the existing 13th Ave street sections. If applicable, developer may also be entitled to credit towards impact fees for supplemental transportation capacity improvements to the existing 13th Ave street section. Transitional paving improvements beyond the development limits, that are not considered to be a permanent improvements and the reconstruction of the existing 13th Ave street section, if required, will not be subject to a credit. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate the cost of reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction. All documentation materials submitted for reimbursement consideration shall be well organized and tabulated for convenient reference by Public Utilities and Engineer' staff. Improvement quantities and costs proposed for reimbursement must be clearly identified in the documentation provided, and not combined or aggregated with non-

reimbursable subdivision improvement costs. Appropriate graphic exhibits referenced to the subdivision improvement plans shall also be provided as needed to facilitate the reimbursement review process.

Schools

The annexed area is within the Pioneer Union Elementary School District and the Hanford Joint High School District. The districts have adopted development impact fees for school impacts caused from new development. New development generates additional students and needs for the school districts to accommodate those new students with facilities; the physical development of the annexed area is required to pay school impact fees.

Parks:

The City of Hanford has established park development standards in the General Plan. Each new development is required to provide for public parkland at a ratio of not less than 3.5 acres per 1,000 population of neighborhood park space and not less than 2 acres of community park space per 1,000 population. The annexed area is proposed to be developed under two subdivisions: Tract 918 and 919. In total, the development proposes 225 single-family residences. A 2-acre park is provided under the subdivisions, any additional required park space not provided requires park impact fees.

A minimum 2-acre park is required for the project. The General Plan requires a ratio of 3.5 acres of park space per 1,000 residents. By multiplying the number of units proposed (158) by the average number of persons per household (3.11), the project could house approximately 492 residents, which yields a requirement of 1.72 acres of park space. In accordance with our General Plan, Neighborhood Parks range from 2 to 5 acres; therefore, the minimum park space to be provided shall be two-acres. A 2.04-acre park is provided for the subdivision, as shown in Tentative Tract 929.

Other:

The following services will be provided to the annexed area commencing on the effective date of the annexation.

Police:

The City of Hanford Police Department will provide protection and law enforcement services in the annexed area. These services include:

1. Normal patrols and responses
2. Handling of complaints and incident reports
3. Special units, such as traffic enforcement and criminal investigations

New development of the annexed area will be subject to police impact fees.

Fire:

The City of Hanford Fire Department will provide emergency and fire prevention services in the annexed area. These services include:

1. Fire suppression and rescue


2. Emergency medical services
3. Hazardous material mitigation and regulation
4. Emergency prevention and public education efforts
5. Technical rescue
6. Rescue/hazardous materials unit

New development of the annexed area will be subject to fire impact fees.

Refuse Collection:


Refuse collection will be able to be provided for the future development of the annexed area.

New development of the annexed area will be subject to refuse and recycling impact fees.




Attachment: Attachment 3 - Plan for Services (CD: Annexation 156)

TITLE: Annex.156		
APPROVED BY: _____		
DRAWN BY: SD		
DATE: 8/20/19		
JOB No.: Annex 156.dwg		SHEET 1 OF 3



Attachment: Attachment 3 - Plan for Services (CD: Annexation 156)



TR. #B35 SD SYSTEM TO
BE MAINTAINED BY H.O.A.

Attachment: Attachment 3 - Plan for Services (CD: Annexation 156)

TITLE: Annex. 156

APPROVED BY:

DRAWN BY: SD

DATE: 8/20/19

JOB No.: Annex 156.dwg



SHEET 3 OF 3

PLAN FOR SERVICES - STORM DRAIN

RESOLUTION NO. 2019-27

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD RECOMMENDING APPROVAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF PREZONE NO. 2019-03 PREZONING APPROXIMATELY 40.53 ACRES AS R-L-5 LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION FOR THE AREA, LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF DEVON STREET AND 13TH AVENUE (APN 009-030-042 AND 009-030-043).

At a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission duly called and held on November 12, 2019, on motion of Commissioner DOUGLAS, seconded by Commissioner SANCHEZ, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Woodside Homes initiated the prezone from County zoning "AL-10" Limited Agricultural-10 District to City zoning R-L-5 Low-Density Residential on approximately 40.53 acres generally located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043), as shown in **Exhibit A**; and

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford Planning Commission considered the prezone, in accordance with Section 17.86.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code, at its regularly scheduled meeting on November 12, 2019; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford Planning Commission considered the evidence presented in the staff report and testimony presented during the public hearing; and,

WHEREAS, the Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36, and all notices related thereto were prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the City of Hanford Municipal Code and Policies;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the City Council find that no significant environmental impacts would result from the proposed project with the incorporation of mitigation measures, adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, attached as **Exhibit B**, and certify that Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2016-06 was prepared consistent with CEQA and City of Hanford Environmental Guidelines.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Hanford Planning Commission, in accordance with Section 17.86.030 of the Hanford Municipal code, recommends approval to the City Council of Prezone No. 2019-03, based upon the following findings and on the evidence presented:

1. That the amendment is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan and the Municipal Code.

Analysis: That Prezone No. 2019-03 is internally consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the General Plan, specifically consistent with Land Use Policies L15 and L17. The development proposed in the annexation area, under Tentative Tract 929, conforms with all regulations pertaining to the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential zone district.

2. That the amendment would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community.

ANALYSIS: That this application has been reviewed by County departments, utility companies, City Fire Department, City Building Department, and other involved departments. Any mitigation required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the mitigation monitoring and reporting program.

3. That the amendment would maintain the appropriate balance of land uses within the City.

Analysis: That jobs-housing balance is achieved by increasing opportunities for people to work and live in close proximity. The ratio is expressed as the number of jobs divided by the number of housing units. The jobs-housing balance is a general tool for analyzing where people work, where they live, and how effectively they can travel between the two. In the planning area, the existing jobs-housing balance ratio in 2013-2014 was 1.17. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan would increase the jobs-housing balance by 0.45 to 1.62, which would make the planning area a jobs-rich area. The prezone is considered an implementation of the General Plan, which designates the project site as Low-Density Residential.

4. That the anticipated land uses on the subject site would be compatible with existing and future surrounding uses.


Analysis: That the anticipated land uses on the subject site are low-density residential, as proposed under Tentative Tract 929, which are compatible with the existing and proposed single-family residential developments in the surrounding area (Tract 918, 919, 887, and 922).

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City of Hanford Planning Commission by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners DOUGLAS, SANCHEZ, GALVAN, JOHNSTON, PERICO,
KEMP VAN EE, PADEN
NOES: Commissioners NONE
ABSTAIN: Commissioners NONE
ABSENT: Commissioners NONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **Darlene R. Mata**, Secretary of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of November 2019.



Darlene R. Mata

Exhibit A
Prezone No. 2019-03

R-L-5 Low-Density Residential
(property outlined in red)




Exhibit B
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Attachment: Attachment 4 - Planning Commission Resolutions (CD: Annexation 156)

**Annexation 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Tentative Tract 929
Mitigation Measures
Mitigated Negative Declaration 2019-36**

Mitigation Number	Potential Impact	Mitigation Measure	Responsible Party
AESTHETICS			
MM Aesthetics 1	The project could substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	That the applicant develop the project consistent with the General Plan, Hanford Municipal Code, and Tree Ordinance.	Developer
MM Aesthetics 2	The project may create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	That the development comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.50.140 Outdoor Lighting Standards and the California Building Code for outdoor lighting standards.	Developer
AGRICULTURE RESOURCES			
MM Agriculture 1	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	That a right-to-farm provision be recorded with the recording of the final subdivision map(s) to insure that future residents of the homes in the project are aware of the adjacent agricultural uses and their right to continue to operate.	Developer
AIR QUALITY			

MM Air Quality 1:	The project may conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	That the applicant complies with the SJVAPCDC Air Quality Plan and obtains any necessary permits through the SJVAPCD.	Developer to file application with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
MM Air Quality 2:	The project could potentially violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	That effective dust control must be maintained on the job site at all times in order to reduce the risk of valley fever to workers and nearby residents. More information regarding the prevention of work related valley fever is available at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/hesis/Documents/CocciFact.pdf and http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb/Documents/OccCocci.pdf . Contact the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for more information on dust control techniques.	Developer
MM Air Quality 3	The project could potentially result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	The project is subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. The applicant is required to submit an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application to the District prior to issuance of a building permit.	Developer
CULTURAL RESOURCES			
MM Cultural Resources 1-4	The project could potentially cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to Public Resources Code 15064.5?	MM Cultural Resources 1: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. MM Cultural Resources 2: In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues.	Developer to coordinate with the Tachi Yokut Tribe; Developer or representative to notify archeologist or coroner of

	The project could potentially disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archaeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s) MM Cultural Resources 4: In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative.	discovery (if uncovered)
MM Cultural Resources 3	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archaeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s)	Developer or representative to notify archaeologist of discovery (if uncovered)
GEOLOGY AND SOILS			
MM Geology 1:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or	That the development of the project complies with the applicable General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code.	City of Hanford must ensure conditions are set forth to mitigate impacts; Developer to comply with standards

	that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		
MM Geology 2:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	That a geotechnical and soil studies be prepared as a required by the Building Official (if applicable).	Building Official to require; developer to conduct study
MM Geology 3:	That the project could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	That the physical development of the project comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 15.52 Flood Damage Prevention Regulation and the California Building Code, along with the plan check and development review process.	City to require; developer to comply
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS			
MM Hazards 1	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the	If hazardous materials at or above threshold reporting quantities (55 gallons of a liquid, 500 pounds of a solid, or 200 cubic feet of a gas) will be kept on site during the construction phase, a Hazardous Materials	Developer

	environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	Business Plan must be filed online at http://cers.calena.ca.gov within 30 days of beginning operations. Hazardous materials are broadly defined, and include fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, motor vehicle batteries, welding gases, paints, solvents, glues, agricultural chemicals, etc. Please contact our office if you require assistance with the online registration process. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact the Kings County Environmental Health Department at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	
MM Hazards 2	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? That the Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact our office at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	Developer
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
MM Hydrology 1 & 2	The project could potentially violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. That the project could	1) All new development that disturbs more than one acre is required to comply with the General Permit Order No. 2012-006-DWQ during construction. Proponents of new development would have to develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that specifies best management practices (BMPs) to prevent construction pollutants from contacting stormwater, with the intent of keeping all	City to require; Developer to provide

	potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	products of erosion from moving off-site and into receiving waters; eliminate or reduce non-stormwater discharges to storm sewer systems and other waters of the United States; and inspect all BMPs; 2) New development would be required to implement appropriate minimum control measures (MCMs) and design standards in compliance with Phase II General Permit, as outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan, as well as the City's grading plan and site development requirements.	
MM Hydrology 3	The project could potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
MM Hydrology 4	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	New development would have to incorporate best management practices and adhere to design standards to maximize the reduction of pollutant loadings in runoff to the maximum extent practical.	City to require; Developer to provide

NOISE		
MM Noise 1:	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	Comply with applicable regulations and policies of the General Plan to ensure that construction-related impacts would be attenuated to the greatest extent feasible.
		Residents and developer; Police to enforce
MM Noise 2 & 3:	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? The project could cause a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels existing without the project?	Construction is limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.
		Developer; Police to enforce
PUBLIC FACILITIES		
MM Public Facilities 1:	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Fire)	The project will be subject to fire impact fees.
		Developer to pay
MM Public Facilities 2	The project may result in substantial adverse	The project will be subject to police impact fees.
		Developer to pay

	physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Police)		
MM Public Facilities 3	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Schools)	That the development of the project will be subject to School Impact Fees.	Developer to pay
RECREATION			
MM Recreation 1	The project could potentially increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	That a two-acre park shall be provided for the subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929.	City to require; developer to provide
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS			
MM Utilities 1	Would the project have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or	That the future development would be required to implement water conservation measures.	City to require and ensure compliance; developer and future occupants

	expanded entitlements needed?		to adhere
MM Utilities 2:	Would the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes related to solid waste?	That the future project be required to comply with all statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	City to require; developer to provide

RESOLUTION 2019-28

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HANFORD RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT 929 TO THE CITY COUNCIL. THE PROJECT IS A REQUEST TO SUBDIVIDE 39.75 ACRES INTO 158 SINGLE-FAMILY, LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL LOTS IN AN AREA PROPOSED TO BE PREZONED R-L-5 LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF DEVON STREET AND 13TH AVENUE (APN 009-030-043 AND A PORTION OF 009-030-042).

At a regular meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford duly called and held on November 12, 2019, by motion of Commissioner SANCHEZ, and seconded by Commissioner PERICO, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Tentative Subdivision Map No. 929, filed by Woodside Homes, a request to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family, low-density residential lots, a 2.04-acre park, and dedication of 2.27 acres for a basin expansion, as shown in **Exhibit A**, has been reviewed by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford as an advisory agency, in accordance with Title 16 of the Hanford Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-043 and a portion of 009-030-042); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has carefully considered recommendations and testimony presented at the public hearing of November 12, 2019 and

WHEREAS, all affected public utility companies, various governmental department agencies, and the Planning Commission staff have given careful consideration to this tentative subdivision map and have made recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, the Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36, and all notices related thereto were prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the City of Hanford Municipal Code and Policies; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the City Council find that no significant environmental impacts would result from the proposed project with the incorporation of mitigation measures, adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, attached as **Exhibit B**, and certify that Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 was prepared consistent with CEQA and City of Hanford Environmental Guidelines.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has made the following findings pursuant to Section 16.04.010 of the Hanford Subdivision Ordinance, Section 66474 of the Subdivision Map Act and Hanford's Zoning Ordinance:

1. Consistency Finding:

ANALYSIS: That a consistency finding can be made, because the proposed subdivision map is consistent with the State Subdivision Map Act, General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and Subdivision Ordinance. All lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District, which is 5,000 square feet. The minimum lot size within the subdivision is 5,000 sq. ft. The average lot size is 6,336 sq. ft.

2. Design Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the design or improvement of the subdivision is consistent with the State Subdivision Map Act, General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and Subdivision Ordinance. All lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District, which is 5,000 square feet. The minimum lot size within the subdivision is 5,000 sq. ft. The average lot size is 6,336 sq. ft. All improvements are to be developed in accordance with Public Works Construction Standards.

3. Type of Development Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the site is physically suitable for the type of development proposed under Tentative Tract 929. The lots proposed meet the minimum lot size of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential Zone District. All parcels have adequate street frontage on a public street and meet the minimum depth and width required for the district.

4. Density Finding:

ANALYSIS: That a density finding can be made, because the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development. The General Plan prescribes a density range between two and 10 units per acre, with an expected average of four units per gross acre. The project has a density of 3.99 dwelling units per gross acre.

5. Environmental Finding:

ANALYSIS: That an initial study was conducted. On the basis of the initial study, the Community Development Department has determined that the project described above would not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, with the incorporation of mitigation measures. Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 2019-36 has been prepared for the project.

6. Public Health Finding:

ANALYSIS: That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements are not likely to cause serious health problems. The City of Hanford Building Division is responsible for ensuring that future construction of the site follows adopted building codes, thus reducing exposures to accidents to the general public. Consultation was sent for Tentative Tract 929 to interested agencies, including the County Health Department, Public Works Department, Fire Department, and Building Division. Any improvements or mitigations required for public health and safety were applied to the proposal and required in the conditions of approval.

7. Improvements and Access Findings:

ANALYSIS: That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of the property within the proposed subdivision.

Tentative Tract 929

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford hereby recommend approval of Tentative Tract 929 to the City Council of the City of Hanford subject to the conditions of approval, attached as **Exhibit C**, and the following:

1. That this tentative subdivision map becomes null and void after 24 months has elapsed from the date of approval, if the above conditions have not been satisfied or bonded for, and a final map recorded. A time extension may be granted by the Commission upon written request by the applicant. The time extension, if approved, will be subject to the improvement standards and fees in effect at the time the extension for the tentative subdivision map is granted.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford by the following vote:

AYES:	Commissioners	SANCHEZ, PERICO, GALVAN, JOHNSTON, DOUGLAS, KEMP VAN EE, PADEN
NOES:	Commissioners	NONE
ABSTAIN:	Commissioners	NONE
ABSENT:	Commissioners	NONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS)ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, **DARLENE R. MATA** Secretary of the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Hanford at a regular meeting thereof held on the 12th day of **November, 2019**.



Darlene R. Mata, Community Development Director
Secretary of the Planning Commission

Exhibit A:
Tentative Tract Map 929

FOR THE
SUBDIVISION MAP
WOODSIDE HOMES
TR 929

FOR THE
SUBDIVISION MAP
WOODSIDE HOMES
TR 929

FOR THE
SUBDIVISION MAP
WOODSIDE HOMES
TR 929

WOODSIDE HOMES
9 RIVER PARK PLACE, STE 430
FRESNO, CA 93720

VESTING TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP
COUNTY TRACT NO. 929

APPROVED
FRESNO COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
FRESNO COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

GENERAL INFORMATION
PROJECT NO. 929
SUBDIVISION MAP
WOODSIDE HOMES
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA
FRESNO COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

NOTES
1. THE SUBDIVISION MAP IS A TENTATIVE MAP AND IS NOT A GUARANTEE OF THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.
2. THE SUBDIVISION MAP IS A TENTATIVE MAP AND IS NOT A GUARANTEE OF THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.
3. THE SUBDIVISION MAP IS A TENTATIVE MAP AND IS NOT A GUARANTEE OF THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.

NOTES
1. THE SUBDIVISION MAP IS A TENTATIVE MAP AND IS NOT A GUARANTEE OF THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.
2. THE SUBDIVISION MAP IS A TENTATIVE MAP AND IS NOT A GUARANTEE OF THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.
3. THE SUBDIVISION MAP IS A TENTATIVE MAP AND IS NOT A GUARANTEE OF THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.







Exhibit B:
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Packet Pg. 165

Mitigation Number	Potential Impact	Mitigation Measure	Responsible Party
AESTHETICS			
MM Aesthetics 1	The project could substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	That the applicant develop the project consistent with the General Plan, Hanford Municipal Code, and Tree Ordinance.	Developer
MM Aesthetics 2	The project may create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	That the development comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.50.140 Outdoor Lighting Standards and the California Building Code for outdoor lighting standards.	Developer
AGRICULTURE RESOURCES			
MM Agriculture 1	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	That a right-to-farm provision be recorded with the recording of the final subdivision map(s) to insure that future residents of the homes in the project are aware of the adjacent agricultural uses and their right to continue to operate.	Developer
AIR QUALITY			

MM Air Quality 1:	The project may conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	That the applicant complies with the SJVAPCDC Air Quality Plan and obtains any necessary permits through the SJVAPCD.	Developer to file application with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
MM Air Quality 2:	The project could potentially violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	That effective dust control must be maintained on the job site at all times in order to reduce the risk of valley fever to workers and nearby residents. More information regarding the prevention of work related valley fever is available at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/hesis/Documents/Coccifact.pdf and http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb/Documents/OccCocci.pdf . Contact the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for more information on dust control techniques.	Developer
MM Air Quality 3	The project could potentially result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	The project is subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. The applicant is required to submit an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application to the District prior to issuance of a building permit.	Developer
CULTURAL RESOURCES			
MM Cultural Resources 1-4	The project could potentially cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to Public Resources Code 15064.5?	MM Cultural Resources 1: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. MM Cultural Resources 2: In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues.	Developer to coordinate with the Tachi Yokut Tribe; Developer or representative to notify archaeologist or coroner of

	The project could potentially disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s)	discovery (if uncovered)
MM Cultural Resources 3	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s)	Developer or representative to notify archeologist of discovery (if uncovered)
GEOLOGY AND SOILS			
MM Geology 1:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction.	That the development of the project complies with the applicable General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code.	City of Hanford must ensure conditions are set forth to mitigate impacts; Developer to comply with standards
	The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or		

	that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		
MM Geology 2:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction.	That a geotechnical and soil studies be prepared as a required by the Building Official (if applicable).	Building Official to require; developer to conduct study
MM Geology 3:	The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		
	That the project could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	That the physical development of the project comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 15.52 Flood Damage Prevention Regulation and the California Building Code, along with the plan check and development review process.	City to require; developer to comply
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS			
MM Hazards 1	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the	If hazardous materials at or above threshold reporting quantities (55 gallons of a liquid, 500 pounds of a solid, or 200 cubic feet of a gas) will be kept on site during the construction phase, a Hazardous Materials	Developer

	environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	Business Plan must be filed online at http://cers.calepa.ca.gov within 30 days of beginning operations. Hazardous materials are broadly defined, and include fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, motor vehicle batteries, welding gases, paints, solvents, glues, agricultural chemicals, etc. Please contact our office if you require assistance with the online registration process. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact the Kings County Environmental Health Department at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	
MM Hazards 2	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? That the Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact our office at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	Developer
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
MM Hydrology 1 & 2	The project could potentially violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. That the project could	1) All new development that disturbs more than one acre is required to comply with the General Permit Order No. 2012-006-DWQ during construction. Proponents of new development would have to develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that specifies best management practices (BMPs) to prevent construction pollutants from contacting stormwater, with the intent of keeping all	City to require; Developer to provide

	potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	products of erosion from moving off-site and into receiving waters; eliminate or reduce non-stormwater discharges to storm sewer systems and other waters of the United States; and inspect all BMPs; 2) New development would be required to implement appropriate minimum control measures (MCMs) and design standards in compliance with Phase II General Permit, as outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan, as well as the City's grading plan and site development requirements.	
MM Hydrology 3	The project could potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
MM Hydrology 4	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	New development would have to incorporate best management practices and adhere to design standards to maximize the reduction of pollutant loadings in runoff to the maximum extent practical.	City to require; Developer to provide

NOISE			
MM Noise 1:	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	Comply with applicable regulations and policies of the General Plan to ensure that construction-related impacts would be attenuated to the greatest extent feasible.	Residents and developer; Police to enforce
MM Noise 2 & 3:	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? The project could cause a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels existing without the project?	Construction is limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	Developer; Police to enforce
PUBLIC FACILITIES			
MM Public Facilities 1:	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Fire)	The project will be subject to fire impact fees.	Developer to pay
MM Public Facilities 2	The project may result in substantial adverse	The project will be subject to police impact fees.	Developer to pay

	physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Police)		
MM Public Facilities 3	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Schools)	That the development of the project will be subject to School Impact Fees.	Developer to pay
RECREATION			
MM Recreation 1	The project could potentially increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	That a two-acre park shall be provided for the subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929.	City to require; developer to provide
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS			
MM Utilities 1	Would the project have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or	That the future development would be required to implement water conservation measures.	City to require and ensure compliance; developer and future occupants

	expanded entitlements needed?		to adhere
MM Utilities 2:	Would the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes related to solid waste?	That the future project be required to comply with all statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	City to require; developer to provide

Exhibit C:
Conditions of Approval

PLANNING DIVISION
SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS

MEETING DATE: July 10, 2019

TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 929

CONTACT: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner: (559) 585-2578

General Plan Designation: Low-Density Residential

Zoning: Pre-zone for R-L-5 Low-Density Residential

Other Special Districts: N/A

Planning Division Recommendation ☒ Revise and Proceed ☐ Resubmit

Required Entitlements:

1. Annexation
2. Pre-Zone
3. Lot Line Adjustment

General Requirements:

- ☒ That the applicant hereby agrees to hold the City of Hanford and all of its departments, officers, agents, and employees free and harmless of and from all claims of any kind or nature arising out of or by reason of the approval of this project.
- ☒ That approval of this project does not exempt compliance with all applicable sections of the Uniform Fire and Building Codes, Zoning Ordinance, Public Works Improvement Standards, and other City Ordinances.
- ☒ That the final map be submitted to the City Engineer and Community Development Department for compliance with the Tentative Subdivision Map approval prior to recording the final map.
- ☒ That all conditions of approval listed in this resolution by the City of Hanford be contained in the line drawing submitted for building permits.
- ☒ That in accordance with Title 16 of the Hanford Municipal Code, all conditions of this subdivision listed herein, and contained in subsequent improvement agreements, excluding the landscape district, must be completed and accepted for maintenance by the City Council prior to the final building inspection and occupancy permits being issued for the first house in the subdivision.
- ☒ That Vesting Tentative Tract 929 be developed consistent with the approved tentative tract map, attached as Exhibit A.

- ☒ That the tentative tract is subject to the mitigations cited in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, attached as Exhibit B (too be developed as part of the environmental review of the project).

Right-to-Farm:

- ☒ Notwithstanding any other provision in this Chapter, agricultural operations are the principal and favored use of land in the areas of Kings County designated "Agricultural" in the Kings County General Plan and included in the agricultural zone districts of the Kings County zoning ordinance. In order to implement Policy LU 8.2 and Program LU 8.2-A of the city of Hanford General Plan, there is hereby adopted in the city of Hanford a policy to (1) protect agricultural land, operations, and facilities from conflicting use due to the encroachment of incompatible, non-agricultural uses of the land in agricultural areas of the county, and (2) to advise developers, owners, and subsequent purchasers of property in the city of Hanford within one (1) mile of the city's urban limit line of the inherent potential inconveniences and discomforts often associated with agricultural activities and operations, including, but not limited to, equipment and animal noise; farming activities conducted on a 24-hour a day, 7-days a week basis; odors from manure, fertilizers, pesticides, chemicals, or other sources; the aerial and ground application of chemicals and seeds; dust; flies and other insects; and smoke from agriculture operations.
- ☒ That no lawfully established and otherwise lawful agricultural activity, operation, or facility, or appurtenances thereto, conducted or maintained for commercial agricultural purposes in a manner consistent with proper and accepted customs and standards as established and followed by similar agricultural operations in the same locality, shall be or become a nuisance, private or public, due to any changed condition in or about the locality, including, but not limited to, the encroachment of non-agricultural uses such as residences.
- ☒ In order to carry out the goals and policies of the city of Hanford General Plan, and the provisions of this chapter, the following notices and disclosures shall be required:
- ☒ All approvals for improvement or development of property including without limitation application for rezonings, land divisions, zoning permits, and residential building permits, on property in the city of Hanford within one (1) mile of the city's urban limit line, shall include a condition that notice and disclosure of this agricultural land use policy be given by the applicant, or the owner if different from the applicant. The applicant, or owner if different from the applicant, shall also acknowledge the contents of the notice and disclosure, which includes a description of the property the notice and disclosure pertains to, in the Official Records of the Kings County Recorder, and recorded at the applicant's own expense.

Zoning:

- ☒ That all requirements of the R-L-5 Low-Density Residential district shall apply.

Dwelling Units per Lot (Section 17.10.050)

- ☒ That not more than one dwelling unit shall be allowed on each lot, unless approved as an accessory use to an allowed or approved conditional use, or approved as an accessory dwelling unit, in accordance with Section 17.60.030 of the Hanford Municipal Code.

Coverage (Section 17.10.060)

- ☒ That the maximum coverage shall be determined by the combined building setback requirements, accessory structure limitations, and off-street parking requirements.

Building Setback Area (Section 17.10.070)

- ☒ That no structure shall be placed within a building setback area.
- ☒ The front building setback area shall be 15 feet from the front lot line for livable space and 20 feet for garages, carports, and other non-livable building space.
- ☒ That the rear building setback shall be 15 feet from the rear lot line, except where there is a landscape easement with a wall or fence on the rear of the lot, then the rear building setback shall be 20 feet.
 - ☒ The rear building setback area shall be increased by 10 feet for buildings over one-story high.
- ☒ That where there is a landscape easement with a wall or fence on the street side of the lot the side yard setback area shall be measured from the easement area instead of the side lot line.

Distance between Structures (Section 17.10.080)

- ☒ The minimum distance between structures shall be 10 feet, except as provided by the building code.

Height of Structures (Section 17.10.090)

- ☒ The maximum structure height shall be 35 feet.

Driveways (Section 17.10.110)

- ☒ That the width of a driveway and any paved area shall not cumulatively exceed 40% of the width of the lot's street frontage on which the driveway and any paved area faces. In the case of "L" or "U" shaped driveways or other configurations besides perpendicular driveways, the paved area of these driveways shall not exceed 50% of the front building setback area.
- ☒ That on corner lots, the driveway shall be located on the side of the lot adjacent to the interior lot line.
- ☒ That on key lots, the driveway shall be located on the side of the lot, which is not adjacent to the rear lot line of the adjacent reverse corner lot.

Usable Open Space (Section 17.10.150)

- ☒ That each lot shall provide for a usable open space area of a minimum 400 feet that is a minimum 15 feet wide.

Landscaping (Section 17.10.160)

- ☒ That landscaping be provided in accordance with Section 17.52 Landscape Standards of the Hanford Municipal Code.
- ☒ That except for driveways and approved parking areas all yard areas and setback areas visible from the street shall be landscaped with live plant materials and ornamentation common to the Hanford area or up to 100% of the yard and setback area may be landscaped with artificial turf and other permeable surfaces.
- ☒ That two street trees, a minimum 15 gallon size, shall be planted on all lots 6,000 square feet or greater. One of the two shall be planted 12 feet (no greater or no less) from the face of the front lot line street curb.
- ☒ That one street tree, a minimum 15 gallon size, shall be planted on all lots less than 6,000 square feet. The tree shall be planted in the parkway. If there is no parkway, the tree shall be planted four feet behind the sidewalk.
- ☒ That there shall be two additional street trees, a minimum 15 gallon size, planted on all corner lots in the parkway adjacent to the street side lot line.
- ☒ That all species of trees shall be selected from a list approved by the City Parks and Recreation Commission.
- ☒ That each lot owner within Tentative Tract 929 shall care for and maintain the trees and lawn area located in the parkway in front of their lot(s). For corner lots, the lot owner shall also care for and maintain the parkway trees and land area along the street-side of their lot. The parkway is defined as that land area located between the street curb and the public sidewalk.

Environmental Requirements:

- ☒ That if fireplaces are proposed in the residential units, natural gas fireplaces or EPA-certified wood-burning fireplaces/stoves are to be installed in every unit that has a fireplace. Conventional open-hearth fireplaces are not allowed.
- ☒ That natural gas lines and electrical outlets be installed in backyards or patios to encourage use of gas and/or electric barbecues.
- ☒ That electrical outlets be installed around the exterior of the residential units to encourage use of electric lawn mowers, edgers, etc.

Noise:

- ☒ That construction equipment is muffled and construction activities be limited to the hours between 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, unless the construction is within the enclosed structure or approved by the Community Development Department.
- ☒ That noise from fixed mechanical equipment, when measured at the property line, meets the standard of the Hanford Noise Element.

Rooftop Equipment HMC Section 17.50.100

- ☒ That all elevator housing and mechanical equipment located on the roof of any building shall be screened from adjacent views and contained within a completely enclosed penthouse or portion of the same building having walls and roofs with construction and appearance similar to the building.

Outdoor Lighting Standards HMC Section 17.50.140

- ☒ That all lights and light fixtures, except public street lights, shall be located, aimed or shielded so as to minimize light trespassing across property boundaries or skyward.
- ☒ That no lights or light fixtures shall flash, revolve, blink or otherwise resemble a traffic control signal or operate in such a fashion to create a hazard for passing traffic.
- ☒ That building mounted lighting fixtures shall be attached only to the walls of the building. The top of a light fixture attached to a building wall shall not be higher than the top of the building parapet or the top of the roof eave, whichever is lower.
- ☒ That canopy ceiling light fixtures shall be recessed or the sides of the lens area shall be shielded in order to eliminate emission of horizontal light.
- ☒ That mercury vapor lamps shall be a fully shielded fixture with all light directed on-site.
- ☒ That freestanding light fixtures shall not exceed eighteen (18) feet in height measured from the top of a light fixture to the adjacent grade at the base of the support for that light fixture.

Tents, Tarps, and Other Coverings (Section 17.50.130)

- ☒ That no front or side building setback area shall be covered by tents, tarps, cloth, fabric, or a wood or metal covering or structure except for the following:
 - ☒ Standard window and door awnings
 - ☒ Ornamental covers, such as a sidewalk or entry awning trellis, or other similar improvement intended as an improved passageway or for aesthetic purposes providing architectural integrity with the building to which it is attached. Supports shall be ninety (90) percent open and shall not be enclosed.

Dust Control:

- ☒ That the appropriate dust-control practices of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District shall be implemented.
- ☒ That the any necessary permits be obtained through the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

BUILDING DIVISION**TT 929 (504-0532)**

Contact Building Official: Tom Webb (559) 585-2584

Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:

Soils:

1. That a preliminary soils report, prepared by a qualified soil's engineer, be provided to the Engineering and Building Departments prior to approval of Subdivision Improvement Plans.
2. That a final soils report, prepared by a qualified soils engineer, be provided to the Engineering Department and Building Division prior to acceptance of the subdivision improvements by the City or issuance of building permits or whichever comes first.
3. That the developer retain the design engineer to inspect and verify that grading within the subdivision was constructed as per the approved design, and a copy of the report be provided to the Building Division prior to building permit issuance.

Street Names and Addresses:

1. That street addresses for each lot, as provided by the Building Division, be included on the subdivision final map.
2. That street names, as shown on the tentative subdivision map, be included on the subdivision final maps.
3. That all single family dwellings within the subdivision have illuminated address numbers 2-¼ inches high or be within an illuminated area with 3-½ inch high address numbers installed on the front most part of the building leading to the entrance. In either case the address numbers shall be of contrasting colors with the background.
4. That all landscaping requires permits and must meet requirements at time of installation.
5. That a map must be provided to show existing streets names of surrounding subdivisions that have City maintained streets.

PROJECT: Tentative Parcel Map No. 504-0532, located at the 13th Ave & Cedar Grove St.

I ☒ (do) ☐ (do not) have comments regarding this project.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

*Contact Battalion Chief: David D. Sumaya (559) 585-2545
Concerning questions that you may have on the conditions listed below:*

General:

1. That water mains and fire hydrants be installed before construction (unless otherwise approved by the Fire Department) and be of sufficient capacity for fire protection as well as domestic supply. Fire hydrant(s) are to be located as designated by the Fire Department. All fire hydrants and mains are to meet City standards.
2. That water mains and fire hydrants be installed as per specifications of the Hanford Water Department and shall be of sufficient capacity for fire protection as well as domestic supply. Fire hydrants are to be located as designated by the City Fire Department.
3. That the blue dot location marker(s) is installed six inches from the middle of the street on the hydrant side.
4. An all weather access road that conforms to City standard ST36, shall be installed around the entire project, minimum width of 20 feet and no less than 14 feet vertical clearance. Access roads shall be maintained throughout the duration of the project.
5. No combustible materials shall be allowed on site prior to the hydrant system being operational and approved.



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

PROJECT: Tract 929 – Woodside Homes – 13th Avenue and Devon Street

Maps and Plans:

1. That the developer shall submit to the City Engineer a set of construction plans on 24" x 36" sheets for all required improvements. Plans shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer and shall include a drainage and utility plan identifying sizes and location of sanitary sewer, storm drainage and water mains, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, masonry walls, street lights, street improvements, culverts and landscape improvements and all other infrastructure required to complete development. All plans shall be approved by the City Engineer and all other involved agencies before the release of the building permits.
2. That prior to beginning any construction or within ten (10) calendar days after the approved plans are released by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and four (4) blue line copies of the approved set of construction plans and four (4) bound sets of the approved construction specifications, if any.
3. That within twenty (20) calendar days after all improvements have been constructed and accepted by the City, the developer shall submit to the City of Hanford Engineering Division one (1) reproducible and one (1) blue line copy of the approved set of construction plans revised to reflect all field revisions and marked "RECORD DRAWING."

Easements:

1. That all easements shall be designated on the subdivision final map as required by the utility companies and where needed for providing service to street lights and landscape improvements as designated by the City Public Utilities and Engineer Department.
2. That all easements for irrigation ditches and / or irrigation pipelines shall be legally abandoned or relocated to the satisfaction of the City Engineer prior to the recording of a subdivision final map.
3. That all proposed landscape/public utility easements, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map, shall be dedicated to the City and be delineated on the final subdivision map. Landscape/public utility easement shall meet or exceed the following minimum widths:
 - a.) 13th Ave– Ten feet
 - b.) Devon Street – Ten feet
 - c.) All residential streets – Six feet

Dedications:

1. That all proposed streets and street extensions, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map, shall be dedicated to the City as public right-of-way, and any alteration of existing utilities shall be the responsibility of the developer.

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE

Attachment: Attachment 4 - Planning Commission Resolutions (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

2. That all proposed landscape public utility easements, located as shown on the tentative subdivision map shall be dedicated to the City for landscape and public utility purposes concurrent with the recording of a subdivision final map, unless modified herein.

Restricted Access:

1. That a one-foot reservation strip shall be established at the end or sides of all streets abutting undeveloped property and be indicated on the subdivision final map.
2. That all access shall be restricted from lots at the property lines backing onto 13th Ave and Devon Street and shall be indicated on the subdivision final map.

Street Improvements:

1. That all improvements consisting of sanitary sewers, storm sewers, water mains, concrete curbs, gutters, sidewalks, street lights, landscaping, street sub-grading, surfacing and striping and all other improvements shall be installed in accordance with Chapter 16.24, "Improvements", of the Hanford Municipal Code and this resolution pertaining to subdivision improvements in effect at the time of filing the tentative subdivision map.
2. That all streets within the subdivision shall be developed to residential street standard ST-32, except the following:
 - a. 13th Ave shall be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-18 and as follows:
 1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 10.0.
 2. A geotechnical report shall be submitted to the City Engineer identifying the existing structural section thickness of 13th Avenue, from Devon Street to the northern limits of subdivision, concurrent with the submittal of development improvement plans. Reconstruction of 13th Avenue between Devon Street and the north boundary of subdivision (or another form of mitigation as approved by the City Engineer) will be required if the existing street structural section does not conform to City Standards and Specifications.
 3. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, plus a 16 foot wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12 foot Southbound travel lane and a 4 foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required.
 - b. Devon Street shall be developed as a major collector street, along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23, and as follows:

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

15.A.h

1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 8.0.
2. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction south of the centerline, plus a 12 foot westbound travel lane and 4 foot paved shoulder north of the centerline, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required. (Raised median island not required).
3. A left-hand turn pocket will be required for southbound traffic to Devon Street.
3. That street lights shall be installed throughout the subdivision in conformance with City Standard GE-56 and Southern California Edison requirements. Street lights shall be located as designated by City Engineer.
4. That the developer shall attempt to acquire additional street right-of-way along the "Existing Residence" frontage and make street improvements. City to reimburse for offsite right-of-way and improvement cost.
5. That development is subject to a transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code section 15.48 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards their development impact fee for permanent street improvements constructed by developer within 13th Ave, consisting of curb & gutter, street striping, signing and street paving from gutter lip to edge of the existing 13th Ave street sections. If applicable, developer may also be entitled to credit towards impact fees for supplemental transportation capacity improvements to the existing 13th Ave street section. Transitional paving improvements beyond the development limits, that are not considered to be a permanent improvements and the reconstruction of the existing 13th Ave street section, if required, will not be subject to a credit. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate the cost of reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction. All documentation materials submitted for reimbursement consideration shall be well organized and tabulated for convenient reference by Public Utilities and Engineer' staff. Improvement quantities and costs proposed for reimbursement must be clearly identified in the documentation provided, and not combined or aggregated with non-reimbursable subdivision improvement costs. Appropriate graphic exhibits referenced to the subdivision improvement plans shall also be provided as needed to facilitate the reimbursement review process.

Attachment: Attachment 4 - Planning Commission Resolutions (CD: Annexation 156)

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Backup Parkway Improvements:

1. That the developer shall design and construct the following improvements along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street for lots backing onto said streets:
 - a. A minimum six foot high decorative masonry block wall with continuous reinforced concrete footings. Masonry walls shall be treated with anti-graffiti repellent. The minimum height of the wall shall be measured from the highest elevation grade of the property located adjacent to either side of the wall. Wall heights shall be maintained at a uniform grade / slope whenever possible. If elevation changes are required, steps shall be introduced at pilaster locations and / or changes in wall direction. Wall improvement plans shall be designed to incorporate architectural, civil and structural components into one set of drawings. Wall plans shall be reviewed and approved by both the City Public Utilities and Engineer and Community Development Departments.
 - b. A 4 1/2 foot wide meandering detached sidewalk along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street.
 - c. Landscaping and irrigation system improvements along the development frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street shall be prepared by a licensed landscape architect. Plans shall be reviewed and approved by the City Public Utilities and Engineer, Parks & Recreation, and Community Development Departments.
2. That all species of trees and plants shall be selected from a list approved by the City's Parks and Recreation Commission.
3. That all new and existing electrical boxes, control boxes and other equipment boxes (excluding traffic control) cabinets along the street frontage shall be painted hunter green or be of a color compatible with the landscaping. Prior to painting, all metal surfaces shall be treated with an etching primer (zinc chromate) or equivalent. All new irrigation electrical and control cabinets shall be mounted into the masonry wall per City Standards.
4. That a covenant including each residential lot within the subdivision and requiring care and maintenance of local street park strip landscape improvements along the individual lot frontage(s) by the homeowners thereof shall be recorded concurrent with the final map.

Neighborhood Park Improvements:

1. That the developer shall consult with City Parks & Recreation and Utilities and Engineering staff prior to the design and construction of park facility improvements. The design and construction of park facility improvements shall be concurrent with the first phase of development. Park improvements shall include, but may not be limited to: Recreational areas, decorative landscaping and irrigation system improvements, drinking fountains, park benches, decorative security lights and trash receptacles. The developer shall retain the services of a licensed landscape architect to design all park facility improvements.
2. That the development is subject to a park development mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code Section 15.44 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards the subdivision park impact fee obligation for all park improvements constructed

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

15.A.h

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

by the developer in accordance with the Municipal Code. Developer shall submit competitive bid cost proposals to substantiate cost for reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineering department review and approval prior to beginning construction. Reimbursement for park land acquisition costs shall be determined based upon the market value of the property at the time of filing the tentative subdivision map with the city as per Section 66480 of the Subdivision Map Act.

Sewer Improvements:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a sanitary sewer master plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That the developer shall extend existing sewer within Devon Street to 13th Ave.
3. That the developer may be entitled to a refund for sewer main over-sizing in accordance with City Municipal Code Section 13.08. Developer's engineer shall be required to submit calculations to substantiate request for an over-sizing refund to the City Public Utilities and Engineering Department for review and approval. The developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for sewer main over-sizing for City Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.
4. That the developer may be entitled to enter into a reimbursement agreement in accordance with City Municipal Code section 13.08 for sewer system improvements which also provide a benefit to others. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for said sewer system improvements for Public Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.

Storm Drainage Improvements:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a storm drainage master plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City Public Utilities and Engineering Department review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That all drainage from proposed development shall be retained in retention drainage basin located as shown on the tentative subdivision map.
3. That developer shall be required to comply with State of California Water Resources Control Board requirements specifically related to the National Pollution Elimination System Permit process.

John Doyel

Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19

DATE

Attachment: Attachment 4 - Planning Commission Resolutions (CD: Annexation 156)



City of Hanford

Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

Water System:

1. That the developer's engineer shall provide a Water System Master Plan complete with calculations for the entire subdivision for City review and approval prior to recording a subdivision final map for the development. Provisions shall be made to provide service for future areas of development located adjacent to the subdivision.
2. That a minimum 12 inch diameter water main and appurtenances shall be extended within the future alignment of Devon Street, from the eastern limits of subdivision to 13th Avenue, and within 13th Ave, from Devon Street to the northerly boundary. Improvements shall conform to the City's water master plan.
3. That the developer may be entitled to a refund for water main over-sizing in accordance with City Municipal Code Section 13.04. Developer's engineer shall be required to submit calculations to substantiate request for an over-sizing refund to the City Public Utilities and Engineer Department for review and approval. The developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for water main over-sizing for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.
4. That the developer may be entitled to enter into a reimbursement agreement in accordance with City Municipal Code section 13.04 for water system improvements which also provide a benefit to others. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate costs for said water system improvements for Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction.
5. That all existing water wells located within the proposed development shall be abandoned in conformance with State of California Department of Health Standards.

Assessment District:

1. That the developer shall enter into an agreement with the City for the formation of a tax assessment district for the maintenance of interior subdivision street lighting, decorative block fencing, and landscaping located along the property frontages of 13th Avenue and Devon Street located as shown on the tentative subdivision map.
2. That prior to funds from the tax assessment district being obtained, the developer shall be responsible for the maintenance of all landscaping, subdivision interior street lighting and decorative fencing.

City Development Impact Fees:

1. That development is subject to a Police Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
2. That development is subject to a Fire Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
3. That development is subject to a Park Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 90-10 and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.

John Doyel
Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19
DATE



City of Hanford Department of Public Works

900 South 10th Ave. • Hanford, CA 93230-5234 • (559) 585-2550

4. That development is subject to a Transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Ordinance No. 90-09 and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
5. That the development is subject to a Wastewater System Development Impact fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
6. That development is subject to a Water System Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14 or any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
7. That development is subject to a Refuse and Recycling Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 05-16 or any revisions thereof. Fee payable with each building permit.
8. That development is subject to a Storm Drainage Development Impact Fee as required by City Ordinance No. 98-14, and any revisions thereof. Fee payable prior to recording of subdivision final map.
9. That development is subject to a 12th Avenue Sanitary Sewer area of benefit district assessment fee as required by City Ordinance No. 92-03 or any revisions thereof, if applicable. Fee shall be payable prior to recording of final subdivision map.

Attachment: Attachment 4 - Planning Commission Resolutions (CD: Annexation 156)

John Doyel


Utilities and Engineering Director (559) 585-2571

08/05/19

DATE



Exhibit C
CITY OF HANFORD
2008 SPHERE OF INFLUENCE



CONSENT FOR ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF HANFORD

The undersigned hereby consents to have the property identified below be annexed to the City of Hanford, without notice and hearing.

1. ALBERT J. DUYST
Name (printed)

Albert J. Duyt
Signature

2. JANETTE DUYST
Name (printed)

Janette Duyt
Signature

Address of Property

009-030-042
Assessor's Parcel Number

I have signed this consent as:

- (a) _____ the owner of the subject property and a registered voter residing therein;
(b) _____ a registered voter residing therein;
(c) ☒ the owner of subject property

6-25-19
Date Signed

301-0224
#156

301-0224

CONSENT FOR ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF HANFORD

The undersigned hereby consents to have the property identified below be annexed to the City of Hanford, without notice and hearing.

1. BRIAN D. MCCUTCHEON
Name (printed)


Signature

2. _____
Name (printed)

Signature

8323 13th Ave
Address of Property

009-030-043
Assessor's Parcel Number

I have signed this consent as:

- (a) ☒ the owner of the subject property and a registered voter residing therein;
 (b) _____ a registered voter residing therein;
 (c) _____ the owner of subject property

6/25/19
Date Signed

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION (RECIRCULATED)**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, November 12, 2019 at 7:00 p.m., a public hearing will be conducted by the Hanford Planning Commission in the Council Chamber of the City of Hanford Civic Auditorium, 400 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California, pertaining to the following:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:

Annexation 156: a request to annex 40.53 acres of unincorporated Kings County land into the City of Hanford.

Prezone No. 2019-03: a request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, Low-Density Residential.

Vesting Tentative Tract 929: A request by Woodside Homes to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 single-family residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential.

Location: The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).

Based on an Initial Study, the Community Development Department has determined that the project described above would not have significant adverse impacts on the environment with the incorporation of mitigation measures. A Mitigated Negative Declaration has been prepared for the project. You may review the Mitigated Negative Declaration, Initial Study, proposed mitigation measures, reference material, and any comments received on the Mitigated Negative Declaration at the City of Hanford, 317 N. Douty Street, Hanford, CA 93230.

COMMENT PERIOD: October 16 – November 5, 2019 [20 day comment period]


PUBLIC COMMENT INVITED: All interested parties are invited to submit written comment on the Mitigated Negative Declaration by November 5, 2019 and/or to appear at the hearing described above to present testimony, in regard to the above-listed request. All comments should be submitted to the City of Hanford, Attention: Gabrielle Myers, at the above-listed address.

If you challenge any action or decision regarding the project described in this notice in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the City prior to, or at, the public hearing.

For further information, contact the Hanford Community Development Department at (559) 585-2580 or 317 N. Douty Street, Hanford, California, 93230.

HANFORD COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Publish: Wednesday, October 16, 2019



Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

RECIRCULATED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION NO. 2019-36**Project Title:** Annexation No. 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Vesting Tentative Tract 929**File Number:** ANX 156 (301-0224), PRZ 2019-03 (510-0238), and TT 929 (504-0532)**State Clearinghouse Number:** n/a**Lead Agency:** City of Hanford**Responsible Agency:** Kings County Local Area Formation Commission**Applicant:** Woodside Homes
9 River Park Place East #430
Fresno, CA 93720**Property Owner(s):** Albert Duyst
2574 Fairmont Drive
Hanford, CA 93230Brian McCutcheon
8323 13th Avenue
Hanford, CA 93230**Project Description:****Annexation 156:** a request to annex 40.53 acres into the City of Hanford.**Prezone No. 2019-03:** a request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, Low-Density Residential.**Vesting Tentative Tract 929:** A request to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 residential lots in an area proposed be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential.**Location:** The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 ar 009-030-043).**Attachments:**

Initial Study	(X)
Environmental Checklist	(X)
Maps	()
Mitigation Measures	(X)
Letters	(X)

Environmental Assessment: The Initial Study for the project is available for public review at the City of Hanford Community Development Department, 317 N. Douty St., Hanford CA.**Declaration of No Significant Effect:** The City of Hanford has completed the preparation of an initial study for the project described above. The initial study did not identify any potentially significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed project. This finding is based upon the criteria of the Guidelines of the State Secretary for Resources, Section 15064 (Determining Significant Effect), 15065 (Mandatory Findings of Significance), and 15070 (Decision to prepare Negative Declaration), and the following reasons as documented in the Initial Evaluation (Initial Study) for the project, which is attached.

- The project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.
- The project does not have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
- The project does not have environmental effects which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable. Cumulatively considerable means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.

- (d) The environmental effects of the project will not cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly indirectly.

This Mitigated Negative Declaration has been prepared by the City of Hanford Community Development Department accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended.

Contact Person: Gabrielle Myers

Phone: (559) 585-2578

Signature:



Date: October 15, 2019

Review Period: October 16 – November 5, 2019

INITIAL STUDY AND RECIRCULATED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION NO. 2019-36

Prepared For

Annexation No. 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Vesting Tentative Tract 929

Woodside Homes

Prepared By

The City of Hanford

October 15, 2019

Responsible Agency

Kings County LAFCO

INITIAL STUDY

INTRODUCTION AND REGULATORY GUIDANCE

This document is an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the Project. This MND has been prepared in accordance with CEQA, Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., and the CEQA Guidelines.

The City of Hanford prepared a General Plan Update and certified a Program level Environmental Impact Report (EIR) on April 18, 2017. The CEQA Guidelines Section 15168 states that subsequent activities must be examined in the light of the program EIR to determine if the later activity would have effects that were not examined in the program EIR. Consistent with 15165, if a project is not otherwise statutorily or categorically exempt from CEQA, an Initial Study is conducted by a lead agency to determine if a project may have a significant effect on the environment. In accordance with the CEQA Guidelines, Section 15064, an environmental impact report (EIR) must be prepared if the Initial Study indicates that the proposed project under review may have a potentially significant impact on the environment. A negative declaration may be prepared instead, if the lead agency prepares a written statement describing the reasons why a proposed project would not have a significant effect on the environment, and, therefore why it does not require the preparation of an EIR. According to the CEQA Guidelines Section 15070, a negative declaration shall be prepared when either:

- 1) The initial study show there is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the agency, that the proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment, or
- 2) The Initial Study identified potentially significant effects, but:
 - a) Revisions in the project plans or proposals made by or agreed to by the applicant before the proposed negative declaration is released for public review would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur, and
 - b) There is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the agency, that the proposed project as revised may have a significant effect on the environment.

If the Initial Study reveals that there may be a significant effect upon the environment, but those effects can be avoided or reduced to a less than significant level with revisions to the project plan and/or mitigation measures, and the applicant agrees to the revision and/or mitigation measures, the lead agency may prepare a mitigated negative declaration.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The project has three components. **Annexation 156** is a request to annex 40.53 acres into the City of Hanford. **Prezone No. 2019-03** is a request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, which is Low-Density Residential. **Vesting Tentative Tract 929** is a request to subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential.

Location: The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

No significant adverse environmental impacts have been identified for this project. The City of Hanford Land Use Element, Zoning Ordinance, and Climate Action Plan contain policies and regulations and measures that are designed to mitigate impacts to a level of non-significance. Environmental measures are methods, measures, standard regulations or practices that avoid, reduce, or minimize a project's adverse effects on various environmental resources. Based on the underlying authority, they may be applied before, during, or after construction of the project. Environmental measures are all commonly listed as conditions of approval. The City Municipal Code and other agencies currently contain measures that assist to mitigate environmental impacts. Mitigation measures have been included in the environmental assessment that will mitigate any potential impacts to a level of less than significant.

In addition, a Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted for Agriculture and Forestry Resources (program and cumulative), Air Quality (cumulative), Biological Resources (program and cumulative), Cultural Resources (program and cumulative), Greenhouse Gases (cumulative), and Population and Housing (program and cumulative) for the EIR prepared for the 2035 General Plan Update. The project is being developed consistent with the land use designation that was

evaluated in the 2017 General Plan EIR. The General Plan Update and EIR are herein incorporated by reference, including Resolution 17-20-R. Other documents used in the preparation of this environmental assessment are listed as sources and also incorporated by reference.

PROJECT COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING ZONES AND PLANS

The proposed General Plan Amendment and Rezone are consistent with the policy of the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance. The change in designation from office to high-density residential on a portion of the property is consistent with the surrounding area.

SUMMARY OF INITIAL STUDY/MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION IMPACT CONCLUSIONS

An Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) was prepared for the projects, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines, and the City of Hanford Municipal Code. The IS/MND for the proposed Project is tiered from the 2035 General Plan Update Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (SCH N 2015041024), certified by the City Council on April 15, 2017, for which a Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted for Agriculture and Forestry Resources (program and cumulative), Air Quality (cumulative), Biological Resources (program and cumulative), Cultural Resources (program and cumulative), Greenhouse Gases (cumulative), and Population and Housing (program and cumulative) for the EIR prepared for the 2035 General Plan Update.

The Proposed IS/MND analyzed the Project's potential impacts with regard to the following environmental topical areas: (1) aesthetics, (2) agriculture and forest resources, (3) air quality, (4) biological resources, (5) cultural resources, (6) geology and soils, (7) greenhouse gas emissions, (8) hazards and hazardous materials, (9) hydrology and water quality, (10) land use and planning, (11) mineral resources, (12) noise, (13) population and housing, (14) public services, (15) recreation, (16) transportation/traffic, and (17) utilities and services systems.

The proposed Project, as analyzed in the IS/MND, incorporates all relevant General Plan policies, standards and Mitigation Measures (MMs), as adopted by the 2035 General Plan EIR for purposes of determining environmental impacts of Project implementation. Based on the Project-specific analysis presented in the IS/MND it was determined that the Project in each topical area would have either no impact, a less than significant impact, impacts that could be mitigated to a less than significant level or that project impacts were adequately analyzed in the 2035 General Plan Update EIR. The IS/MND concluded that the proposed Project would have no impact or a less than significant Project-specific impact in the following topical areas: Biological Resources, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, and Population and Housing.

Further, it was concluded that the proposed Project would have less than significant cumulative impacts with mitigation measures. The initial study utilized the full build out of the General Plan Planning Area as the area for consideration of cumulative impacts. Significant and unavoidable impacts to Agriculture and Forestry Resources (program and cumulative), Air Quality (cumulative), Biological Resources (program and cumulative), Cultural Resources (program and cumulative), Greenhouse Gases (cumulative), and Population and Housing (program and cumulative) were identified with the full build out of the General Plan Planning Area. These impacts were analyzed in the 2035 General Plan EIR and determined to be a significant and unavoidable impact associated with implementation of the 2035 General Plan, of which the Project is a part and consistent with. A Statement of Overriding Considerations for these significant unavoidable impacts was adopted by the City Council as part of the approval of the 2035 General Plan Update. The proposed Project is consistent with and implements the General Plan and would not result in any new impacts that cannot be mitigated to less than significant levels, nor would it increase the severity of any previously identified impacts. Therefore, the Statement of Overriding Considerations is re-affirmed for the proposed Project and a Mitigated Negative Declaration is the recommended appropriate environmental document for the proposed Project, in accordance with CEQA.

CONSULTATION

Pre-consultation was sent to the interested agencies on July 22, 2019:

Responses were received from the following:

1. Consultation from Michael Wilson with AT&T (Received July 22, 2019).
2. Consultation from Michael Hawkins with Kings County Public Works (Received August 5, 2019).
3. Consultation from Brian Clements with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (Received August 14, 2019).
4. Consultation from Chuck Kinney with the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County (Received August 23, 2019).

Normal consultation was sent to the interested agencies on September 16, 2019, noticing a notice of intent to adopt mitigated negative declaration and notice of public hearing. One comment was received:

1. Consultation from Samantha McCarty with the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe (October 1, 2019)

SOURCES – hereunto annexed and incorporated by reference

2010 Urban Water Management Plan. (2011, June 11). *City of Hanford* -

California Building Standards Code 2016 (Title 24, California Code Regulations). *Codes*.

City of Hanford 2035 General Plan Update (2017).

City of Hanford General Plan Update, 2035 – Environmental Impact Report. (2017). Hanford, California.

City of Hanford Storm Drainage Water Master Plan (1995, August)

City of Hanford Public Works Construction Standards

City of Hanford Water Master Plan

City of Hanford Waste Water Master Plan

County Important Farmland Data Information. Department of Ag (2012)

Final Staff Report – Climate Change Action Plan: Addressing GHG Emission Impacts under CEQA. (2009, December 1) *San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Climate Change Action Report*.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts (GAMAQ Revised March 19, 2015).

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Small Project Analysis Level (SPAL)

Hanford Municipal Code (Hanford, California). (2017). *Hanford Municipal Code*.

United States Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Flood Insurance Rate Map for Hanford (Community Par Number 06031C 0185C, June 16, 2009)

Final Regional Climate Action Plan (May 28, 2014)

Traffic Signal Warrant Study, prepared by Peters Engineering Group: A California Corporation (January 26, 2018).

Pre-Consultation Letters Received:

1. Consultation from Michael Wilson with AT&T (Received July 22, 2019).
2. Consultation from Michael Hawkins with Kings County Public Works (Received August 5, 2019).
3. Consultation from Brian Clements with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (Received August 1 2019).
4. Consultation from Chuck Kinney with the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of Kings County (Received August 23, 2019).

Normal Consultation

1. Consultation from Samantha McCarty with the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe (October 1, 2019)

APPENDIX G: Initial Study and Findings

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 2018-03

1. Project Title: Annexation No. 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, Tentative Tract 929
2. Lead Agency Name and Address: City of Hanford
317 N. Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230
3. Responsible Agency Name and Address: Local Agency Formation Commission, Kings County
1400 W. Lacey Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230
4. Contact Person/Phone Number: Gabrielle Myers
Senior Planner
Community Development Department
(559) 585-2578
5. Project Location: The project is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street at 13th Avenue (APN 009-030-042 and 009-030-043).
6. Project Sponsor's Name/Address: Woodside Homes
9 River Park Place East #430
Fresno, CA 93720
7. General Plan Designation: Low-Density Residential
8. Zoning: Proposed Prezone: R-L-5 Low-Density Residential
Kings County Zoning – AL-10 Limited Agriculture
9. Description of the Project: The project has three components. **Annexation 156** is a request to annex 40.53 acres into the City of Hanford. **Prezone No. 2019-03** is a request to prezone the land to be annexed as R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan designation for the area, which is Low-Density Residential. **Vesting Tentative Tract 929** is a request to subdivide 39.7 acres into 158 residential lots in an area proposed to be designated R-L-5 Low-Density Residential.
10. Surrounding land uses and setting:

	Zoning	General Plan Designation	Land Use
North	County AL-10	Medium-Density Residential	Agriculture
East	R-L-5 Low-Density Residential	Low-Density Residential	Single-Family Residential
South	R-L-5 Low-Density Residential	Low-Density Residential	Single-Family Residential
West	County AL-10	Low-Density Residential	Agriculture

11. Other public agencies whose approval is required – Kings County LAFCO

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that a "Potentially significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| ☐ Aesthetics | ☐ Agriculture Resources | ☐ Air Quality |
| ☐ Biological Resources | ☐ Cultural Resources | ☐ Geology/Soils |
| ☐ Green House Gas Emissions | ☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials | ☐ Hydrology/Water Quality |
| ☐ Land Use/Planning | ☐ Mineral Resources | ☐ Noise |
| ☐ Population/Housing | ☐ Public Services | ☐ Recreation |
| ☐ Transportation/Traffic | ☐ Utilities/Service Systems | ☐ Mandatory Findings of Significance |

DETERMINATION (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- ☐ I find that the proposed project **COULD NOT** have a significant effect on the environment. **A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.**
- ☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. **A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.**
- ☐ I find the proposed project **MAY** have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required
- ☐ I find that the proposed project **MAY** have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier **EIR** or **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier **EIR** or **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

FOR: CITY OF HANFORD

Gabrielle de Silva Myers
 Gabrielle de Silva Myers
 Senior Planner
 City of Hanford

October 15, 2019
 DATE

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Issues:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	☐	☐	☒	☐
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	☐	☒	☐	☐
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	☐	☒	☐	☐

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING:**SCENIC VISTAS AND CORRIDORS**

Views consist primarily of broad panoramas of agricultural land. Most of the land surrounding the northern and western part of the city is characterized by flat, dry valley grasslands scattered throughout as well as grazing and other agricultural uses. The grasslands, grazing land, and large farms create open vistas at the northern and eastern edges of the City.

SCENIC HIGHWAYS

According to the California Scenic Highway Mapping System, there are no adopted Scenic Highways within the planning area. (Caltrans 2015).

VISUAL CHARACTER

Hanford is located in the northern portion of Kings County and has a total area of 16.6 square miles, all of which is flat land not covered by water. The only natural watercourse is Mussle Slough, remnants of which still exist on the City's western edge. The Kings River is about 6.5 miles north of Hanford. The People's Ditch, an irrigation canal dug in the 1870s, traverses Hanford from north to south.

The Planning Area consists of urban agricultural, and grassland habitat areas located in transitional zone in the Central Valley between the flat valley floor and the Sierra Nevada foothills to the east. Hanford is surrounded by productive agricultural land, much of which is encumbered by Williamson Act contracts that prohibit development.

LIGHT AND GLARE

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

The majority of the City includes existing sources of daytime glare and nighttime lighting and illumination.

Significance Criteria

The Project may result in significant impacts to aesthetics if it substantially affects the view of a scenic corridor, vista or view open to the public, cause's substantial degradation of views from adjacent residences, or results in new night lighting that shines into adjacent residences.

Checklist Discussion:

- a) **Less than Significant Impact** – Views consist primarily of broad panoramas of agricultural land. Most of the surrounding area is characterized by flat, dry valley grasslands scattered throughout as well as grazing and other agricultural uses. The land has been designated for Low-Density Residential and is considered an implementation of the General Plan.
- b) **Less than Significant Impact** – There are no designated State Scenic Highways, as identified by the California Scenic Highway Mapping System within the City's General Plan Study area. There are also no rock outcroppings within the Study Area. The City does have an ordinance protecting trees in Chapter 12.12 Street Trees and Shrubs of the Municipal Code. The projects would be consistent with the tree ordinance. The projects would not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a State Scenic Highway and impacts would be less than significant.
- c) **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporation:** Several sections of the Hanford Municipal Code regulate physical development by controlling not only the appearance of new development, but also by controlling the placement of new development with consideration for surrounding uses. The project development will be required to comply with the General Plan, proposed Zoning, R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, and the Tree Ordinance.
- d) **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporation**– The development is subject to the applicable provisions of the Hanford Municipal Code, such as Section 17.50.140 – Outdoor Lighting Standards. Additionally, the California Building Code contains standards for outdoor lighting that are intended to reduce light pollution and glare by regulation light power and brightness, shielding, and sensor controls.

Mitigation Measures:

MM Aesthetics 1: That the applicant develop the project consistent with the General Plan, Hanford Municipal Code, and Tree Ordinance.

MM Aesthetics 2: That the development comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.50.140 Outdoor Lighting Standards and the California Building Code for outdoor lighting standards.

Conclusion: Impacts to aesthetics are anticipated to be less than significant with the incorporation of mitigation measures.

Sources: 2035 General Plan, 2035 General Plan EIR, Hanford Municipal Code, California Building Code

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	☐	☐	☒	☐
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	☐	☐	☐	☒

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Agriculture and Forestry Resources:

The General Plan EIR analyzed the impacts of the City's urban growth on agricultural land and includes mitigation measures to reduce those impacts, however, impacts to agricultural lands remain significant and unavoidable. A Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted for the impacts to agricultural lands.

Environmental Setting

The City's climate, water availability and proximity to transcontinental transportation routes have made it a premier location for agricultural land development for over a century. Most of the land surrounding the urbanized area of Hanford was converted to agricultural uses over a century ago, leaving very little undisturbed natural landscape.

A majority of Prime Farmland is shown toward the northern and western portions of the study Area. Farmland of Statewide Importance is located on portions of land toward the southern edge of the Study Area. The acreage total for Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, and Unique Farmland within the Study and Planned Areas is categorized as follows:

**Table 4.2-1
Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program**

Area	Prime Farmland (Acres)	Farmland of Statewide Importance (Acres)	Unique Farmland (Acres)	Total (Acres)
Planned Area	877	1,724	105	2,705
Study Area (Excluding Planned Area)	10,280	7,495	380	18,157
Total (Study Area)	11,157	9,219	485	20,862

There are 3,056 acres of land currently subject to a Williamson Act contract within the Planned Area and 16,299 acres of land currently subject to a Williamson Act contract within the Study Area. There are 335 acres currently under non-renewal and are scheduled to be removed from the provisions of the Williamson Act in the Planned Area.

There are no forest lands found within the Study Area, as defined by Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), which defines such areas as "land that can support 10% native tree cover of any species, including hardwoods, under natural conditions, and that allow for management of one or more forest resources, including timber, aesthetics, fish and wildlife, biodiversity, water quality, recreation, and other public benefits." There is also no "timberland" found in the Study Area, as defined by the Public Resources Code Section 4526, which defines such areas as "land...which is available for, and capable of, growing a crop of trees of any commercial species used to produce lumber and other forest products, including Christmas trees."

Build-out of the General Plan would result in significant and unavoidable impacts to farmland conversion and conflicts with land under Williamson Act land use contracts. Thus, the overall impact of full-build out of the General Plan would be cumulatively significant and unavoidable.

Consultation Received

Consultation was received from Assistant Executive Officer for the Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County, Chuck Kinney, on August 23, 2019. Comments provided are as follows:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) has received the City's consultation notice for Annexation 156, Prezone 2019-03 and Vesting Tentative Tract 929, as we appreciate this opportunity to comment on this project. In our review of the project, I want to inform you that LAFCO will ultimately serve as a Responsible Agency under CEQA for Annexation 156. The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) is governed by the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 ("Act," Govt. Code Section 56000 et seq.). Under the Act, LAFCO is required to make determinations regarding a proposal for changes of organization or reorganization (Govt. Code Section 56880). The Act also established the factors which LAFCO must consider in making its decisions, including any policies adopted by LAFCO to create planned, orderly and efficient patterns of development (Govt. Code Section 56668). Because of this role and pursuant to Section 21069 of the Public Resources Code, LAFCO is a responsible agency for the future annexation of the unincorporated County land to the City of Hanford. Additionally and pursuant to Section 15086 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, LAFCO is responsible for reviewing and providing comments on the environmental documents prepared for this annexation. The environmental document prepared for Annexation 156 should address the impacts and any necessary mitigation, including but not limited to the annexation process. In particular, the environmental document should address the factors as identified in Government Code Section 56668. One item in particular to note is that the analysis of impacts to agricultural lands for the environmental document being prepared for Annexation 156 should described not only those lands categorized on the Department of Conservation's Important Farmland Map, but also those lands that fall within the LAFCO definition of prime agricultural land (Government Code Section 56064)." Analysis: According to Government Code Section 56064, "Prime agricultural land" means an area of land, whether a single parcel or contiguous parcels, that has not been developed for a use other than an agricultural use and that meets any of the following qualifications: - Land that qualifies, if irrigated, for rating as class I or class II in the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service land use capability classification, whether or not land is actually irrigated, provided that irrigation is feasible. - Land that qualifies for rating 80 through 100 Storie Index Rating. - Land that supports livestock used for the production of food and fiber and that has an annual carrying capacity equivalent to at least one animal unit per acre as defined by the United States Department of Agriculture in the National Range and Pasture Handbook, Revision 1, December 2003. - Land planted with fruit or nut-bearing trees, vines, bushes, or crops that have a nonbearing period of less than five years and that will return during the commercial bearing period on an annual basis from the production of unprocessed agricultural plant production not less than four hundred dollars (\$400) per acre. - Land that has returned from the production of unprocessed agricultural plant products an annual gross value of not less than four hundred dollars (\$400) per acre for three of the previous five calendar years. Significance Criteria The Project may result in significant impacts to agricultural resources since the project results in the removal of lands designated as prime farmland by the Department of Conservation. Checklist Discussion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures: The project is located within an area listed as Unique Farmland and Confined Animal Agriculture. Unique farmland consists of lesser quality soils used for the production of the state's leading agricultural crops. This land is usually irrigated, but may include nonirrigated orchards or vineyards, as found in some climatic zones in California. Land must have been cropped at some time during the four years prior to the mapping date. Confined Animal Agricultural lands include poultry facilities, feedlots, dairy facilities, and fish farms. In some counties, confined animal agriculture is a component of the				

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
farmland of local importance category. The General Plan EIR evaluated the full build out of the Planned Area as a result of the General Plan Update and determined the General Plan would over the 2014 – 2035 planning period, convert approximately 2,706 acres of Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, and Unique Farmland to non-agricultural use. In accordance with the General Plan EIR, development would have to adhere to Hanford Municipal Code Chapter 16.40.110 (Right to Farm) and proposed goals and policies of the General Plan related to agriculture. However, the loss of farmland as a result of the General Plan Update was determined to be significant and unavoidable. A statement of overriding considerations was adopted for the significant impact to Agriculture, as a result of the General Plan Update. The project is consistent with the General Plan. Mitigation Measure: That a right-to farm provision be recorded with the recording of the final subdivision map to insure that future residents of the homes in the project area are aware of the adjacent agricultural uses and their right to continue to operate. b) Less than significant impact – The property is currently in the General Plan as Low-Density Residential and is proposed to be rezoned R-L-5 Low-Density Residential, in accordance with the General Plan. The property is not within a Williamson Act Contract. c) No impact – the projects would not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, Forest Land, Timberland, or Timberland Zoned Timberland Production, as these designations do not exist within the City. There would be no impact. d) No impact – There is no forest land within the City. The projects would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use, as these designations do not exist within the City. There would be no impact. e) No Impact – None. Mitigation Measures: - MM Agriculture 1: That a right-to-farm provision be recorded with the recording of the final subdivision map(s) to insure that future residents of the homes in the project are aware of the adjacent agricultural uses and their right to continue to operate. Sources: 2035 General Plan, General Plan Update EIR, Hanford Subdivision Ordinance, California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program – Kings County Map (2016); Consultation Received from Kings County LAFCO				
III. AIR QUALITY -- Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	☐	☒	☐	☐
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for	☐	☒	☐	☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ozone precursors)?				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	☐	☐	☒	☐
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	☐	☐	☒	☐

Air Quality:

Climatological/Topological Factors

The San Joaquin Valley's topography and meteorology provide ideal conditions for trapping air pollution for long periods of time and producing harmful levels of air pollutants, including ozone and particulate matter. Low precipitation levels, cloudless days, high temperatures, and light winds during the summer in the San Joaquin Valley are conducive to high ozone levels resulting from the photochemical reaction of oxides of nitrogen (NOX) and volatile organic compounds (VOC). Inversion layers in the atmosphere during the winter can trap emissions of directly emitted particulate matter less than 2.5 microns (MN2.5) and PM2.4 precursors (such as NOX and sulfur dioxide [SO2] within the San Joaquin Valley for several days, accumulating to unhealthy levels.

The region also houses the State's major arteries for good and people movement, Interstate 5 to the west and State Route 99 through the Central Valley, thereby attracting a large volume of vehicular traffic. Another compounding factor is the region's historically high rate of population growth compared to other regions of California. Increased population typically results in an even greater increase in vehicle activity and more consumer product use, leading to increased emissions of air pollution, including NOX. In fact, mobile sources account for about 80% of the Valley's total NOX emissions inventory. Since NOX is a significant precursor for both ozone and PM2.5, reducing NOX from mobile sources is critical for progressing the Valley towards attainment of ozone and PM2.4 standards.

The geography of mountainous areas to the east, west, and south, in combination with long summers and relatively short winters, contributes to local climate episodes that prevent the dispersion of pollutants. Transport, as affected by wind flows and inversions, also plays a role in the creation of air pollution.

The climate of the SJV is modified by topography. This creates climatic conditions that are particularly conducive to air pollution formation. The SJV is surrounded by mountains on three sides and open to the Sacramento Valley and the San Francisco Bay Area to the north.

Hanford is located in the southern end of the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.

San Joaquin Valley Air Basin

The SJVAB is in the southern half of California's Central Valley and is approximately 250-miles long and averages 35-miles wide. The San Joaquin Valley is bordered by the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the east, the Coast Ranges to the west, and the Tehachapi mountains to the south. There is a slight downward elevation gradient from Bakersfield in the southeast end to sea level at the northwest end where the valley opens to the San Francisco Bay at the Carquinez Straits. At its northern end is the Sacramento Valley, which comprises the northern half of California's Central Valley. The bowl shaped topography inhibits movement of pollutants out of the Valley.

The SJV is in a Mediterranean Climate Zone. Mediterranean Climates Zones occur on the west coast and are influenced by a subtropical high-pressure cell most of the year. Mediterranean Climates are characterized by sparse rainfall, which occurs mainly in winter. Summers are hot and dry. Summertime maximum temperatures often exceed 100 degrees Fahrenheit in the Valley.

The subtropical high-pressure cell is strongest during spring, summer, and fall and produces subsiding air, which can result in temperature inversions in the Valley. A temperature inversion can act like a lid, inhibiting vertical mixing of

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

the air mass at the surface. Any emissions of pollutants can be trapped below the inversion. Most of the surrounding mountains are above the normal height of summer inversion (1,500 to 3,000 square feet).

Winter-time high pressure events can often last many weeks with surface temperatures often lowering into the 30s degrees F. During these events, fog can be present and inversions are extremely strong. These wintertime inversions can inhibit vertical mixing of pollutants to a few 100 feet.

Wind

Wind speed and direction play an important role in dispersion and transport of air pollutants. Wind at the surface and aloft can disperse pollution by mixing and transporting the pollution to other locations. The region's topographic features restrict air movement and channel the air mass toward the southeastern end of the Valley. The Coastal Range is a barrier to air movement to the west and the high Sierra Nevada range is a significant barrier to the east. A secondary, but significant, summer wind pattern is from the southeasterly direction and can be associated with nighttime drainage winds, prefrontal conditions, and summer monsoons.

San Joaquin Valley Air Basin Monitoring

The SJVAB consists of eight counties, from San Joaquin County to the north to Kern County in the South. The closest monitoring station to the Study Area is located at Hanford's South Irwin Street Monitoring Station. The station monitors particulates, ozone, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen dioxide.

The SJVAB is nonattainment for ozone (1 hour and 8 hour) and particulate matter. In accordance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), EPA uses the design value at the time of standard promulgation to assign nonattainment areas to one of several classes that reflect the severity of the nonattainment problem.

The SJVAB was reclassified from a "serious" nonattainment area for the 8-hour ozone standard to "extreme" effective June 4, 2010.

Maximum Pollutant Levels at Hanford's South Irwin Street Monitoring Station

Pollutant	Time Avg.	2012 Max.	2013 Max.	2014 Max.	National Standards	State Standards
Ozone (O3)	1 hour	0.109 ppm	0.104 ppm	0.108 ppm	NA	0.009 ppm
Ozone (O3)	8 hour	0.094 ppm	0.098 ppm	0.0904 ppm	0.075 ppm	0.070 ppm
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	8 hour	0.033 ppm	*	*	9.0 ppm	9.0 ppm
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)	1 hour	0.056 ppm	0.058 ppm	0.050 ppm	100 ppm	0.18 ppm
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)	Annual Average	0.009 ppm	0.010 ppm	0.010 ppm	0.053 ppm	0.030 ppm
Particulates (PM 10)	24 hour	128.0 µg/m3	177.0 µg/m3	131.3 µg/m3	150 µg/m3	50 µg/m3
Particulates (PM 10)	Federal Annual Arithmetic Mean	40.3 µg/m3	50.3 µg/m3	47.8 µg/m3	NA µg/m3	20 µg/m3
Particulates (PM 2.5)	24 hour	64 µg/m3	128.7 µg/m3	96.7 µg/m3	35 µg/m3	NA
Particulates (PM 10)	Federal Annual	14.8 µg/m3	18.1 µg/m3	17.4 µg/m3	12 µg/m3	12 µg/m3

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Arithmetic Mean				
Notes:				
NA = Not Applicable (there is no standard for this pollutant)				
* = There was insufficient data available to determine the value				
ppm = parts per million				
µg/m3 = microgram per cubic meter				
Attainment Status				
Air quality impacts from proposed projects within Hanford are controlled through policies and provisions of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). In order to demonstrate that a project would not cause further air quality degradation in either of the SJVAPCD's plan to improve air quality within the air basin or federal requirements to meet certain air quality compliance goals, each project should also demonstrate consistency with the SJVAPCD's adopted Air Quality Attainment Plans (AQAP) for ozone and PM10. The SJVAPCD is required to submit a "Rate of Progress" document to ARB that demonstrates past and planned project toward reaching attainment for all criteria pollutants. The CCAA requires air pollution control districts with severe or extreme air quality problems to provide a 5% reduction in non-attainment emissions per year. The Air Quality Attainment Plans prepared for the SJV by the SJVAPCD complies with this requirement.				
Air pollution sources associated with stationary sources are regulated through the permitting authority of the SJVAPCD under the New and Modified Stationary Review Rule (SJVAPCD Rule 2201). Owners of any new or modified equipment that emits, recues, or controls air contaminants, except those specifically exempted by the SJVAPCD, are require to apply for an Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate (SJVAPCD Rule 2010). Additionally, best available control technology is required on specific types of stationary equipment and are required to offset both stationary source emission increases along with increases in cargo carrier emissions if the specified threshold levels are exceeded (SJVAPCD Rule 2201, 4.7.1). Through this mechanism, all stationary sources within the Study Area would be subject to the standards of the SJVAPCD to ensure that new developments do not result in net increases in stationary sources of criteria air pollutants.				
Existing Air Quality				
Air pollutant emissions generated from projects constructed under the implementation of the General Plan would be required to adhere to SJVAPCD rules and regulations and therefore, would not exceed SJVAPCD thresholds.				
Odor				
The SJVAPCD has identified some common types of facilities that have been known to produce odors in the SJVAB. The types of facilities that are known to produce odors are shown below along with a reasonable distance from the source within which, the degree of odors could possibly be significant. Information presented in the table will be used as a screening level of analysis for potential odor sources for new development as a result of implementation of the General Plan.				
Type of Facility	Distance			
Wastewater Treatment Facility	2 miles			
Sanitary Landfill	1 mile			
Transfer Station	1 mile			
Composting Facility	1 mile			
Petroleum Refinery	2 mile			
Asphalt Batch Plant	1 mile			
Chemical Manufacturing	1 mile			
Fiberglass Manufacturing	1 mile			
Painting/Coating Operation (e.g., auto body shops)	1 mile			
Food Processing Facility	1 mile			

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

**Feed Lot/Dairy
Rendering Plant**

1 mile
1 mile

Asbestos

New development's construction phase may cause asbestos to become airborne due to construction activities. In order to control naturally-occurring asbestos dust, new development can use some of the following control actions to reduce the release of airborne asbestos fibers:

- Water wetting or road surfaces;
- Rinse vehicles and equipment;
- Wet loads of excavated materials; and
- Cover loads of excavated materials

Project Impacts

The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.

The SJVAB often exceeds the State and national ozone stands and if the new development as a result of the General Plan Update emits a substantial quantity of ozone precursors, it may contribute to an exceedance of the ozone standard. The SJVAB is also in nonattainment for State PM10 air quality standards and in nonattainment for State and federal PM2.5 air quality standards. Therefore, substantial project emissions may contribute to an exceedance for these pollutants.

District Rule 2201, the New and Modified Stationary Source Review (NSR), is a major component of the SJVAPCD's attainment strategy as it relates to growth. It applies to new and modified stationary sources of air pollution. The SJVAPCD's attainment plans demonstrate that project-specific emissions below the SJVAPCD's offset thresholds would have a less-than-significant impact on air quality. Thus the SJVAPCD concludes that use of the NSR Offset thresholds as the consistency in significance determinations within the environmental review process and is applicable to both stationary and non-stationary emission sources.

Project Type	Pollutant/Precursor Emission (tons/year)					
	CO	NOX	ROG	SOX	PM10	PM2.5
Construction Emissions	100	10	10	27	15	15
Operational Emissions (Permitted Equipment and Activities)	100	10	10	27	15	15
Operational Emissions (Non-Permitted Equipment and Activities)	100	10	10	27	15	15

Short-term (construction) emissions

Construction-related impacts are expected to be temporary in nature and can generally be reduced to a less-than-significant level through the use of mitigation measures and through compliance with applicable existing City, county, State and SJVAPCD regulations for reducing construction-related emissions. The SJVAPCD's Regulation VIII is applied to all construction sites and would constitute sufficient measures to reduce air quality impacts to a level considered less than significant.

Long-term (operational) emissions

Operational emissions are emitted from two main sources:

- 1) small, distributed sources known as area sources and

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

2) motor vehicles known as mobile sources.

All new development and infrastructure projects would be subject to SJVAPCD guidelines and regulations, including Rule 9510 (indirect source review) and Regulation VIII (Fugitive Dust Prohibitions). Existing businesses and new projects that are large employers (over 100 employees) would be subject to Rule 9410 (Employer Based Trip Reduction). Individual projects would require a project-level analysis to determine necessary mitigation strategies. As appropriate, the City of Hanford would require the implementation of the above-notated mitigation strategy intended to avoid or reduce the significant impacts identified.

Short-term (construction) emissions

Fugitive dust control rules:

- Rule 8011 – Fugitive dust administrative requirements for control of fine particulate matter
- Rule 8021 – Fugitive dust requirements for the control of fine particulate matter from construction, demolition, excavation, extraction, and earthmoving activities.
- Rule 8071 – Fugitive dust requirements for the control of fine particulate matter from vehicle and/or requirement parking, shipping, receiving, transfer, fueling, and service areas one acre or larger

Further, the new development should include the following local municipal code requirements:

- Water sprays or chemical suppressants must be applied to all unpaved roads to control fugitive emissions
- All access roads and parking areas must be covered with asphalt-concrete paving

Compliance with Regulation VIII under the SJVAPCD for all construction sites would constitute sufficient measures to reduce PM10 impacts to a level considered less than significant

Compliance with Regulation VIII under the SJVAPCD for all construction sites would constitute sufficient measures to reduce PM10 impacts to a level considered less than significant.

The following measures from the Guide for Assessing and Mitigation Air Quality Impacts are required to be implemented at construction sites for all new development built during the planning cycle of the General Plan Update:

- All disturbed areas, including storage piles, which are not being actively utilized for construction purposes, shall be effectively stabilized of dust emissions using water, chemical stabilizer/suppressant, covered with a tarp or other suitable cover or vegetative ground cover.
- All on-site unpaved roads and off-site unpaved access roads shall be effectively stabilized of dust emissions using water or chemical stabilizer/suppressant.
- All land clearing, grubbing, scraping, excavation, land leveling, grading, cut and fill, and demolition activities shall be effectively controlled of fugitive dust emissions utilizing application of water or by presoaking.
- With the demolition of buildings up to six stories in height, all exterior surfaces of the building shall be wetted during demotion.
- When materials are transported offsite, all materials shall be covered, or effectively wetted to limit visible dust emissions, and at least 6 inches of freeboard space from the top of the container shall be maintained.
- All operations shall limit or expeditiously remove the accumulation of mud or dirt from adjacent public streets at the end of each workday. The use of dry rotary brushes is expressly prohibited except where preceded or accompanied by sufficient wetting to limit the visible dust emissions. Use of blower devices is expressly forbidden.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

- Following the addition of materials to, or the removal of materials from, the surface of storage piles, said piles shall be effectively stabilized of fugitive dust emissions utilizing sufficient water or chemical stabilizer/suppressant.
- Within urban areas, track out shall be immediately removed when it extends 50 or more feet from the site and at the end of each workday.

Long-Term (operational) emissions

Long-term emissions from new development are generated by mobile source (vehicle) emissions and area sources such as water heaters and lawn maintenance equipment.

Future development projects in the City of Hanford would be subject to the SJVAPCD's Indirect Source Review (ISR) program. The purpose of the SJVAPCD's ISR Program is to reduce emissions of NOX and PM10 from new development projects. Further, all new developments and infrastructure projects would be subject to SJVAPCD guidelines and regulations, including the ISR rule and Regulation VIII. Existing businesses and new projects that are large employers (over 100 employees) would be subject to Rule 9410 (Employer based trip reduction).

The project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

Sensitive receptors are those individuals who are sensitive to air pollution, which may include children, the elderly, and persons with pre-existing respiratory or cardiovascular illness. The Air District considers a sensitive receptor to be a location that houses or attracts children, the elderly, people with illnesses, or others who are especially sensitive to the effects of air pollutants. The six criteria pollutants include ozone, CO, NO2, SO2, particulate matter, and Pb. Of the six pollutants, particle pollution and ground-level ozone are the most widespread health threats.

The SJVAPCD has determined that any project would perform an ambient air quality analysis when construction activities or operational activities exceed the 100 pound per day screening level of any criteria pollutant after implementation of all enforceable mitigation measures.

Exempt small development projects include:

- Residential projects with 50 dwelling units or less
- Commercial projects with 2,000 square feet or less
- Light industrial projects with 25,000 square feet or less
- Heavy Industrial projects with 100,000 square feet or less
- Medical Office projects with 20,000 square feet or less
- General Office projects with 39,000 square feet or less
- Educational projects with 9,000 square feet or less
- Government projects with 10,000 square feet or less
- Recreational projects with 20,000 square feet or less
- Transportation or Transit projects with construction exhaust emissions of 2 tons of NOX or PM10 or less

Pre-Consultation – San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

The following comments were received from the SJVAPCD:

The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) has reviewed the project referenced above consisting of a residential development for single family with a total of 158 dwelling units (Project), located at the northeast corner of 13th Avenue and Devon Street in Hanford, CA. The District offers the following comments:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2.	<u>Significance Impact for Annual Criteria Pollutant Emissions</u> – The Project specific annual emissions of criteria pollutants are not expected to exceed any of the following District significance thresholds: 100 tons per year of carbon monoxide (CO), 10 tons per year of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), 10 tons per year of reactive organic gases (ROG), 27 tons per year of oxides of sulfur (SOx), 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 10 microns or less in size (PM10), or 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 2.5 microns or less in size (PM2.5). Therefore, the District concludes that the Project would have a less than significant impact on the air quality when compared to the above-listed annual criteria pollutant emissions significance thresholds.			
3.	District Rule 9510 is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site fees. The Project is subject to District Rule 9510 if it equals or exceeds 50 residential dwelling units and has or will receive a project-level discretionary approval from a public agency. If subject to the rule, and Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application is required prior to applying for project level approval from a public agency. In this case, if not already done, please immediately submit an AIA application to the District to comply with District Rule 9510. The District recommends that demonstration of compliance with District Rule 9510, before issuance of the first building permit, be made a condition of Project approval. Information about how to comply with District Rule 9510 can be found online at: http://valleyair.org/ISR/ISRHome.htm. The AIA application form can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/ISR/ISRFormsAndApplications.htm.			
4.	District Rule 4002 (National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants) – in the event an existing building will be renovated, partially demolished or removed, the Project may be subject to District Rule 4002. This rule requires a thorough inspection for asbestos to be conducted before any regulated facility is demolished or renovated. Information on how to comply with District Rule 4002 can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/asbestosbuln.htm.			
5.	Regulation VIII (Fugitive PM10 Prohibitions) - the Project will be subject to Regulation VIII. You are required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan, if applicable prior to commencing any earthmoving activities as described in District Rule 8021 – Construction, Demolition, Excavation, Extraction, and Other Earthmoving Activities. Information on how to comply with Regulation VIII can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/PM10/compliance_PM10.htm.			
6.	Other District Rules and Regulations – The above list of rules is neither exhaustive nor exclusive. For example, the Project may be subject to the following District rules, including: Rule 4102 (Nuisance), Rule 4601 (architectural Coatings), and Rule 4641 (Cutback, Slow Cure, and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving and Maintenance Operations). To identify other District rules or regulations that apply to this Project or to obtain information on the District's permit requirements, such as an Authority to Construct (ATC), the project proponent is strongly encouraged to contact the District's Small Business Assistance Office at (559)230-5888 or email SBA@valleyair.org. Current District rules can be found online at the District's website at: www.valleyair.org/rules/1ruleslist.htm.			
7.	Potential Air Quality Improvement Measures – The District encourages the following air quality improvement measures to further reduce Project related emissions from construction and operation. A complete list of potential air quality improvement measures can be found online at: http://valleyair.org/ceqaconnected/eqimeasures.aspx.			

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a. <u>Cleaner Off-Road Construction Equipment</u> – to reduce impacts from construction related exhaust emissions, the District recommends feasible mitigation for the project to utilize the cleanest reasonably available off-road construction fleets, as set forth in Section 2423 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations, and Part 89 of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations. This can be achieved through any combination of uncontrolled engines and engines complying with Tier III and above engine standards. b. <u>Improve Walkability Design</u> – This measure is to improve design elements to enhance walkability and connectivity. Improved street network characteristics within a neighborhood include street accessibility, usually measured in terms of average block size, proportion of four-way intersections, or number of intersections per square mile. Design is also measured in terms of sidewalk coverage, building setbacks, street widths, pedestrian crossings, presence of street trees, and a host of other physical variables that differentiate pedestrian-oriented environments from auto-oriented environments. c. <u>Improve Destination Accessibility</u> – This measure is to locate the project in an area with high accessibility to destinations. Destination accessibility is measured in terms of the number of job or other attractions reachable within a given travel time, which tends to be highest at central locations and lowest at peripheral ones. The location of the project also increases the potential for pedestrians to walk and bike to these destinations and therefore reduces the (vehicle miles traveled) VMT. d. <u>Increase Traffic Accessibility</u> – This measure is to locate the project with high density near transit which will facilitate the use of transit by people traveling to or from the Project site. The use of transit results in a mode shift and therefore reduced VMT. A project with a residential/commercial center designed around a rail or bus station, is called a transit-oriented development (TOD). The project description should include, at a minimum, the following design features: • A transit station/stop with high-quality, high-frequency bus service located within a 5-10 minute walk (or roughly ¼ mile from stop to edge of development), and/or • A rail station located within a 20 minute walk (or roughly ½ mile from station to edge of development) • Fast, frequent, and reliable transit service connecting to a high percentage of regional destinations • Neighborhood designed for walking and cycling e. <u>Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement</u> – Design elements, mitigation measures, and compliance with District rules and regulations may not be sufficient to reduce project-related impacts on air quality to a less than significant level. In such situation, project proponents may enter into a Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement (VERA) with the District to reduce the project related impact on air quality to a less than significant level. A VERA is a mitigation measure by which the project proponent provides pound-for-pound mitigation of air emissions increased through a process that funds and implements emission reduction projects. A VERA can be implemented to address impacts from both construction and operational phases of a project. 8. The District recommends that a copy of the District's comments be provided to the Project proponent." Analysis: The project will be subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate the project's impact on air quality through design elements or payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. An Air Impact Assessment application is required to be submitted to the SJVAPCD prior to issuance of a building permit.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The project does not propose renovation or demolition of any buildings on site, therefore, the project is not subject to District Rule 4002. The project is required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan prior to any earthmoving activities. A copy of the District's comments have been provided to the Project proponent. The Project proponent has been encouraged to contact the Air District's small Business Assistance Office to identify other rules and regulations the project may be subject to. Checklist Discussion a) Less than Significant Impact with mitigation incorporation - The project will not disrupt implementation of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District's Air Quality Plan. Compliance with the Air District's Air Quality Plan is a requirement of development. Additionally, the applicant will be required to obtain any necessary permits through the SJVAPCD. With these mitigation measures, the project will have a less than significant impact. <i>Coccidioides immitis</i>, the fungus that causes valley fever, a serious and potentially long-term respiratory illness, is endemic in the soils of Kings County. Construction activities that disturb soils containing the spores of the fungus can put workers and the nearby public at risk. Effective dust control must be maintained on the job site at all times in order to reduce the risk of valley fever to workers and nearby residents. More information regarding the prevention of work related valley fever is available at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/hesis/Documents/CocciFact.pdf and http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb/Documents/OccCocci.pdf. Contact the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for more information on dust control techniques. b) Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation – in a consultation received from the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, it was determined that the project would not exceed the District's significance thresholds for NOX, ROG, or PM10. The District concluded that the project specific criteria pollutant emissions would have no significant adverse impact on air quality. The project will be subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate the project's impact on air quality through design elements or payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. An Air Impact Assessment application is required to be submitted to the SJVAPCD prior to issuance of a building permit. The project is required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan prior to any earthmoving activities. c) Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation – in a consultation received from the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, it was determined that the project would not exceed the District's significance thresholds for NOX, ROG, or PM10. The District concluded that the project specific criteria pollutant emissions would have no significant adverse impact on air quality. The District concluded that the project specific criteria pollutant emissions would have no significant adverse impact on air quality. The project will be subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate the project's impact on air quality through design elements or payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. An Air Impact Assessment application is required to be submitted to the SJVAPCD prior to issuance of a building permit. The project is required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan prior to any earthmoving activities. d) Less than Significant Impact - There are no known pollutant concentrations that would be generated by the future residential development project that would expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. The nearest potential sensitive receptors are directly to the south, east and west, where residential development is located or proposed; however, since there are not known pollutant concentrations to be emitted from the project, the project impact is considered less than significant e) Less than Significant Impact – the proposed project is for a residential development. The normal use of a				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

residential subdivision does not create objectionable odors. No objectionable odors are anticipated to occur as a result of development of the residential subdivision. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation Measures:

MM Air Quality 1: That the applicant complies with the SJVAPCDC Air Quality Plan and obtains any necessary permits through the SJVAPCD.

MM Air Quality 2: That effective dust control must be maintained on the job site at all times in order to reduce the risk of valley fever to workers and nearby residents. More information regarding the prevention of work related valley fever is available at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/hesis/Documents/CocciFact.pdf and <http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb/Documents/OccCocci.pdf>. Contact the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for more information on dust control techniques.

MM Air Quality 3: The project is subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. The applicant is required to submit an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application to the District prior to issuance of a building permit.

Conclusion: Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation -The project will not create or result in any significant air quality impacts, with the incorporation of the rules and regulations of the SJVUAPCD for dust control measures.

Source(s): Hanford General Plan (2017), General Plan Environmental Impact Report (2017), San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, California Air Resources Board 2008, Ambient Air Quality Standards (4/1/2008) <http://www.arb.ca.ags>; Consultation received from the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District on February 15, 2018 (attached)

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery	☐	☐	☒	☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
sites?				
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	☐	☐	☐	☒
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	☐	☐	☒	☐

Environmental Setting

Natural Communities

The natural communities tracked by the California Natural Diversity Database in the Study Area and surrounding vicinity include Valley Sacaton Grassland and Valley Sink Scrub.

Valley Sacaton Grassland is mid-height to three feet tussock-forming grassland dominated by alkali sacaton. The community is fine textured and poorly drained on usually alkaline soils with generally a seasonally high water table or are overflowed during winter flooding. This community was formerly extensive in the Tulare Lake Basin.

There are two patches of riparian woodlands identified by the State Dept. of Conservation mapping program that are within the study area (City of Hanford). Riparian woodlands are one of the richest wildlife habitats in the State; however, much has been severely degraded. Less than 1% of the Central Valley's riparian vegetation is in a natural, high-quality condition. Riparian woodlands in the study area are located on the west side of 12th Avenue between Houston and Iona Avenues, and along the west side of 13th Avenue, north of Iona Avenue. They are 30 and 14 acres in size, respectively. Valley oak woodland provides habitat components such as food, cover, nesting sites, and dispersal habitat for a wide variety of wildlife. The large oak trees present in this vegetation community provide nesting opportunities for many birds of prey. Typical wildlife species in this vegetation community include California ground squirrel, western fence lizard, western scrub jay, California quail, northern flicker, northern mockingbird, mourning dove, American kestrel, and red-tailed hawk.

Vegetation within the City of Hanford consists primarily of agricultural crops with little remaining non-agricultural vegetation. Agricultural crops consist of orchard, vineyard, annual dryland and irrigated grain crops, irrigated row and field crops, and some rice production. A good portion of the study area consists of urban development, but an almost equal portion of the study area is agricultural development.

Waters/Wetlands

Queries of the National Wetland Inventory and National Hydrology Dataset reveal the presence of numerous wetlands and waters within the Study Area. The largest of the water bodies are holding ponds off of Iona Avenue and South 11th Avenue. The system is artificially flooded and manmade. Other wetland and water features are reported including emergent wetlands, freshwater wetlands, freshwater ponds, canals and ditches, and blue-line stream courses.

The only natural watercourse is Mussel Slough, remnants of which still exist on the City's western edge. The People's Ditch, an irrigation canal dug in the 1870s, traverses Hanford from north to south and portions of it still exist north of Grangeville Boulevard and west of the Santa Fe Railroad. The Sand and Lone Oak sloughs once traversed the city north and south, and remnants still remain in the southern half of the City south of SR 198. The Kings River is about 4 miles north of Hanford.

Wildlife Corridors

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Wildlife corridors are areas of habitat that connect two or more habitat patches that would otherwise be fragmented or isolated from one another.

Isolated "islands" of wildlife habitat have been created by the fragmentation of open space areas due to urbanization and other anthropogenic disturbance. Certain wildlife species, especially the larger and more mobile mammals, will not likely persist over time in fragmented or isolated habitat areas in the absence of habitat linkages due to the loss of gene flow required to maintain genetic diversity.

Within the urbanized areas of the Study Area, wildlife corridors are largely limited to linear water features, such as canals, water and flood control conveyance structures, and remnant natural ways. Surrounding the Study Area, agricultural fields and sparsely located and fragmented patches of lands containing non-agricultural vegetation located amongst the agricultural fields extend for many miles in all directions. Wildlife movement is largely uninhibited in this open space area of the Study Area outside of, and surrounding, the urbanized areas.

Standards of Significance

The project would have a significant effect on biological resources if it would:

1. Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.
2. Substantially diminish habitat for fish, wildlife or plants.
3. Substantially affect a rare, threatened, or endangered species of animal or plant or the habitat of a rare, threatened or endangered species.

Checklist Discussion

- a) Less than significant impact –The site does not have value as a habitat for any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service.
- b) No Impact – the site does not contain any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community.
- c) No Impact – the site is not identified as a federally protected wetland.
- d) Less than significant impact - The project would not interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of wildlife nursery sites. There is not natural habitat remains within the project area.
- e) No Impacts - The project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources such as a tree preservation ordinance or policy; there is not an adopted ordinance protecting biological resources.
- f) Less than Significant Impact – the project pertains to land that has no value as natural habitat; therefore, the plan does not conflict with any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.

Conclusion: The site is within an urban area of the City and contains no natural, undisturbed areas for habitat. The project would have a less than significant cumulative impact for biological resources.

Source(s): Hanford General Plan (2017), General Plan Environmental Impact Report (2017)

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Public Resources Code 15064.5?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to	☐	☒	☐	☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Public Resources Code 15064.5?				
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	☐	☒	☐	☐
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	☐	☒	☐	☐

Ethnographic Setting

Hanford is situated between the former "delta" formed by the Kaweah River to the south and the Kings River to the north. Yokuts lived in villages consisting of wood frame huts covered with large tule mats. The Hanford-Lemoore region on the south side of the Kings River was home to the Nutunutu Yokuts. Across the Kings River and north of the Nutunutu, were the Wimalche people. Only one village for the Wimalche and two for the Nutunutu have been described. The Wimalche village of Ugonia was located north of the Kings River, 7 miles below Laton. The Nutunutu village of Cheou was across the river and directly west of Ugonia. Kadistin, the other Nutunutu village of Cheou was across the river and directly west of Ugonia. Kadistin, the other Nutunutu village, was at old Kingston on the south bank of the Kings River downstream from Laton. The better known Tachi Yokuts occupied the north and west shores of Tulare Lake.

The Yokuts subsistence economy emphasized fishing; hunting waterfowl; and collecting shellfish, roots, and seeds. Tules were abundant in the sloughs and their prodigious use in constructing shelters, boats, and as a food source reflected their significance in Yokuts life.

The dead were buried in a cemetery separate from the village with head facing west or northwest. Cremation was most common for the occasional individual who died away from home or in the event that the deceased was a shaman or medicine man. Among the Tachi, anyone of higher social status was cremated.

The 1833 epidemic, brought south from Oregon by a party of trappers, decimated an estimated 75% of California's native people. Entire communities were wiped out, leaving few native people to consult during the early 1900s when anthropologists were recording the recollections of elderly survivors of what has been billed as a last attempt to reconstruct the lifeways of the native people before White contact.

In 1851, the tribes gave up their lands for reservations. However, such a treaty was never ratified by Congress. The remnant of native people in the southern San Joaquin Valley was placed at the Tejon

Reservation at the foot of the Tehachapis and at the Fresno reservation at Madera. However, Tejon was later abandoned in favor of a reservation on the Tule River. Many of the Tule river residents were Tachi for whom a settlement was established near Lemoore.

By 1970, some 325 people identifying themselves as Yokuts lived on the 54,000-acre Tule River Reservation. Many of the residents were employed in the lumber industry or as laborers on farms. About one-third of the population of the Tule River Reservation lived on the much smaller Santa Rosa Reservation. Santa Rosa families would follow seasonal agricultural work.

Pioneer Settlement Period

Early development and success of the community was dictated by the railroad. Southern Pacific established a depot early in 1877 in what would become Hanford. In 1877, when the Southern Pacific Railway laid lines from Goshen to Coalinga, their path crossed through a Chinese sheepherder's camp. This camp reportedly was the beginning of the City of Hanford. Hanford was named for James Madison Hanford, an auditor of the railroad, who also took a lively interest in the sale of town lots which began on January 17, 1877. Within a short time the settlement grew to a town, and, with the powerful backing of the railway interests, Hanford ultimately became the center of trade for the region.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
In McKenney's Pacific Coast Directory, San Francisco, 1886-1887, Hanford was described as having a post, express and telegraph office, located along the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's Goshen Division, 254 miles from San Francisco, and 22 miles from Visalia. At the time, the community numbered 1,000 inhabitants and was located in the heart of the "famous Mussel Slough country," a region of rich top soils and important agricultural zone. Hanford was the principal depot for the local wheat industry and had several flouring mills along with schools, churches, and hotels. Through the early pioneer years, a series of devastating fires dampened the growth of Hanford. On July 12, 1887, a fire destroyed most of the downtown business district. On June 19, 1891, another fire destroyed portions of the downtown business district. The fires of early 1890s spurred new development using fireproof materials. National Register of Historic Places Hanford has three buildings listed on the NRHP. They are the Hanford Carnegie Library, the Kings County Courthouse, and the Taoist Temple. All three buildings are also listed on the California Register of Historic Places. Hanford Carnegie Library The Hanford Carnegie Library, now the Hanford Carnegie Museum, was built in 1905 as one of the many Carnegie libraries that were funded by steel magnate, Andrew Carnegie. The library was replaced by a new structure at a different location in 1968. The old library was subsequently renovated and reopened as the Hanford Carnegie Museum in 1974. The building is of Romanesque Revival architecture, with displays of furniture and photos describing the history of the Hanford area. Kings County Courthouse The 1986 Kings County Courthouse was erected after Kings County was formed. The building served as the county's courthouse until 1976 when it was replaced by the new Kings County Government Center on West Lacey Boulevard. The building was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1978. Taoist Temple The Taoist Temple at 12 China Alley dates from 1893. It was listed on the NRHP in 1972. It is historically significant as a surviving authentic structure from Hanford's Chinatown. China Alley served the second largest population of Chinese in the U.S., behind San Francisco. While many urban Chinatowns continue to thrive, most rural Chinatowns have declined; Hanford's China Alley is unique for its retention of many original features. China Alley's survival is largely because many of its buildings are owned by a single third-generation family corporation that has, through the years, exhibited concern for the site's future. National Register of Historic Places – Eligible Resources There are a number of resources within Hanford that contribute to its unique culture, yet are not officially listed as historic resources, including the following: a) Clark Center for Japanese and Art and Culture, 15770 10th Avenue b) Temple Theater, 514 Visalia Street c) Fox Theater d) Kings Art Center, 605 N. Douty Street e) Hanford Civic Auditorium, 400 N. Douty Street f) Hanford Veteran's Memorial Building Paleontological Resources				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
A paleontological resources report was not prepared for the General Plan, as there are recent paleontological resources reports for areas within the vicinity. The geology of the area includes the Modesto Formation, Tulare Lakebeds, and Quaternary alluvium. Between overlies sediments of the late-Pleistocene to early-Holocene Modesto Formation. From Hanford south to approximately Delano, Tulare Lakebed deposits are exposed at or near the surface.				
Consultation Meeting On January 10, 2017, the City of Hanford met with the Tachi Yokut Tribe, on a different project in order to establish conditions, which would apply to all projects in the City of Hanford, which required an initial study. In order to address the concerns of the Tachi Yokut Tribe, the City is requiring the following as mitigation measures: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. (This condition applies as a mitigation measure to all projects that require an initial study). In accordance with Assembly Bill 52, formal notification of determination to undertake a project and notice of consultation opportunity, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1 was sent to the Tachi Yokut Tribe. A response has not been received, as of the date of preparation of this environmental assessment. Consultation Received: On October 1, 2019, consultation was received from Samantha McCarty with the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe, stating, "The tribe has concerns about this project's potential to adversely affect unrecorded cultural resources and/or burials. We recommend an archeological survey, an archeological record search be completed, as well as contacting the Native American Heritage Commission. The Tribe would like to be notified of all findings. As there are known burials in the vicinity, the Tribe would like all construction staff to have a pre-consultation cultural training prior to ground disturbing activities. If there is a positive survey report, the Tribe will recommend further consultation in order to mitigate the effects of this project." Per the consultation received from the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe, the applicant had an archeological survey prepared, conducted an archeological record search, and contacted the Native American Heritage Commission. An excerpt from the study verifies, "to follow through with the request of the Tribe, the record search and field survey were undertaken, and the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) contacted by Peak and Associates to request a review of their Sacred Land files (Appendix 3). We received a reply dated October 7, 2019, indicating there are no properties listed in the Sacred Land Files." "There are no prehistoric or historic period resources within the project area." "For the purposes of CEQA, we conclude that there will be no impact to important cultural resources from implementation of the project." "A record search was conducted for the project area at the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System on October 4, 2019 (RS#19-395; Appendix 2). The SSJVIC reported that the project area has never been formally surveyed, and no surveys have been conducted within 0.125 miles of the project area. In addition, there are no recorded prehistoric period or historic period sites in or near the project area." Michael Lawson completed a field survey of the project site on October 7, 2019 with a complete inspection of the proposed project site...There are no prehistoric or historic period resources within the project area." Per the survey, under Recommendations, it was stated, "There is always a possibility that a site may exist in the project and be obscured by vegetation, siltation, or historic activities, leaving no surface evidence. In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues."

This will be a required mitigation measure for development.

"If artifacts exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s)."

This will be a required mitigation measure for development.

"In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative. The coroner shall make his or her determination within two working days from the time the person responsible for the excavation, or his or her authorized representative, notifies the coroner of the discovery or recognition of the human remains.

If the Kings County Coroner determines that the remains are not subject to his or her authority and if the Coroner recognizes the human remains to be those of a Native American, or has reason to believe that they are those of a Native American, he or she shall contact, by telephone within 24 hours, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC).

After notification, the NAHC will follow the procedures outline in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 that include notification of most likely descendants (MLDs), and recommendation for treatment of the remains."

This will be a required mitigation measure for development.

Thresholds of significance

The project would have a significant impact on cultural resources if it would:

- g) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource, as defined in Section 15064.5
- h) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource, pursuant to Section 15064.5;
- i) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geological feature; or
- j) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries
- k) That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities.

Significance Criteria

The project may have a significant impact on cultural resources if it causes substantial adverse changes in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as set forth by the California Register of Historic Places and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act; directly or indirectly destroys a unique paleontological resource or site.

Checklist Discussion

- a) **Less than Significant Impact** - The project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in 15604.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, as the site is not registered as a historical resource.
- b) **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures** – Due to the prior meeting with the Tachi Yokut Tribe

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
on January 10, 2017, the lead agency is requiring that: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. An archeological survey was conducted for the project. An excerpt from the study verifies, "to follow through with the request of the Tribe, the record search and field survey were undertaken, and the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) contacted by Peak and Associates to request a review of their Sacred Land files (Appendix 3), We received a reply dated October 7, 2019, indicating there are no properties listed in the Sacred Land Files." "There are no prehistoric or historic period resources within the project area." "For the purposes of CEQA, we conclude that there will be no impact to important cultural resources from implementation of the project." "A record search was conducted for the project area at the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System on October 4, 2019 (RS#19-395; Appendix 2). The SSJVIC reported that the project area has never been formally surveyed, and no surveys have been conducted within 0.125 miles of the project area. In addition, there are no recorded prehistoric period or historic period sites in or near the project area." Mitigation Measures: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s) In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative. c) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures - The project will not directly or indirectly destroy any unique paleontological resource or site, as the site has not been identified as containing unique paleontological resource nor unique geological feature. If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s) d) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures See B. Mitigation Measures - MM Cultural Resources 1: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. - MM Cultural Resources 2: In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues. - MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s) - MM Cultural Resources 4: In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Conclusion:

The incorporation of mitigation measures requested from the Tachi Yokut Tribe and identified in the archeological survey will reduce the impacts of development on Cultural Resources.

Source(s): Hanford General Plan (2017), California Health and Safety Code, Public Resources Code, consultation letter sent in accordance with Public Resources Code, Section 21080.3.1(b); meeting with the Tachi Yokut Tribe on January 10, 2017.; Cultural Resource Assessment for the Duyst and McCutcheon Property Project City of Hanford, Kings County, California – Prepared by Melinda A Peak Peak & Associates, Inc. October 8, 2019

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	☐	☒	☐	☐
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	☐	☐	☐	☒
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	☐	☒	☐	☐
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	☐	☒	☐	☐
iv) Landslides?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	☐	☒	☐	☐
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	☐	☒	☐	☐
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	☐	☐	☒	☐
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	☐	☐	☐	☒

Environmental Setting**Geology**

The topography of the City is relatively flat with a gradual slope generally from east to west. The City is located at 249 feet above mean sea level (msl).

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

The soil is defined as alluvial fan surfaces that are mantled with very deep, well-drained, saline-alkali soils. An alluvial fan is a fan-shaped alluvial deposit formed by a stream where its velocity is abruptly decreased.

Soil

The City of Hanford consists of the following soil types: 1) Cajon sandy loam, 2) Excelsior sandy loam, 3) Garces loam, 4) Kimberlina fine sandy loam, saline alkali 5) Kimberlina fine sand loam, sandy substratum, 6) Kimberlina salie alkali-Garces complex 7) Nord fine sandy loam, 8) Nord fine sandy loam, saline alkali, 9) Nord complex, 10) Wasco sandy loam (0-5% slopes), and 11) Whitewolf coarse sandy loam. Each of these soil types is not subject to annual flooding or ponding, and for the most part has a very low to medium surface runoff class, and is well drained. A runoff class indicates the potential for a soil to become saturated when excess storm water begins to flow at the ground surface.

Seismicity

The greatest potential for seismic activity in the City is posed by the San Andreas Fault, which is located approximately 46.5 miles southwest of the western boundary of the Study Area. The White Wolf Fault, located near Arvin and Bakersfield to the southwest in Kern County, which has the potential to cause seismic hazards for the County to a much lesser degree than the San Andreas Fault.

Fault Rapture

Kings County doesn't have any major fault system within its boundaries.

Strong Seismic Ground Shaking

Kings County has not experienced any damaging earthquake equal or greater than Richter Magnitude 6.0 over the last 200 years. The Uniform Building Code has four seismic zones in the US ranging from I to IV, the higher the number, the higher the earthquake danger. All of California lies within Seismic Zone III or IV, Kings County is within Zone III, which equates to the potential to experience 0.3 meters/second squared ground acceleration, which would result in very strong to sever perceived shaking and moderate to heavy potential.

Liquefaction

Liquefaction occurs when saturated, loose materials are weakened and transformed from a solid to a near-liquid state as a result of increased pore water pressure. For liquefaction to occur, surface and near-surface soil must be saturated and be relatively loose. Liquefaction more often occurs in areas underlain by young alluvium where the groundwater table is higher than 50 ft. below ground surface. In the City, the range is generally between 120 ft to 160 feet below ground surface, therefore, the potential for liquefaction is not very probable.

Soil Erosion

Soil erosion, which can be caused by wind and water runoff, is a type of soil degradation. The potential for erosion to occur is affected by the soil's properties. The soil in the City and surrounding study area is generally sandy loams, fine sandy loams, and loams. The area's erodibility factor ranges from 0.19 to 0.38 depending on the soil type and percentage of organic matter. Based on this range, the soils in the study area have medium susceptibility to sheet and rill erosion by rainfall.

Lateral Spreading (Landslides)

Lateral spreading is large horizontal ground displacements due to earthquake-induced liquefaction. Lateral spreading also refers to landslides that commonly form on gentle slopes that have rapid, fluid-like movement. Lateral preading generally occurs on 0.3 to 5% slopes underlain by loose sand and shallow groundwater.

Subsidence

Land subsidence is the gradual settling or sudden sinking of the ground surface due to movement of the ground materials. It is generally caused my three distinct water-related causes: 1) compression of layers of clay and slit within an aquifer, 2) oxidation and drainage of organic soils, 3) dissolution and collapse of susceptible rocks. Subsidence is occurring within the San Joaquin Valley. The primary causes for subsidence in the SJV are groundwater-level decline (due to overdraft) and subsequent aquifer compaction and hydrocompaction of moisture-deficient deposits above the water table.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Collapsible Soil

Collapsible soils consist of loose, dry, low-density materials that collapse and compact under the addition of water or excessive loading. These soils are found in areas of young alluvial fans, debris flow sediments, and loess deposits. Since the City and surrounding area includes soils that are derived from alluvial fans, there is the potential for collapsible soils.

Expansive Soil

Expansive soils are fine-grained soils that can undergo a significant increase in volume with an increase in water content as well as a significant decrease in volume with a decrease in water content. The City and surrounding area's soils contain percentages of clay that generally range between 7-27%. When a soil has 35% or more clay content, it is considered a clayey soil. Since the soil types in the Study Area generally do not contain 35% clay content, the potential for expansive soils within the City and surrounding is low.

Septic Systems

The City does not have septic requirements for septic systems within the City.

Significance Criteria

The project may result in significant earth impacts if it causes substantial erosion or siltation, exposes people to geologic hazards or risk from faults, landslides or unstable soil conditions. Grading that disturbs large amounts of land or sensitive grading areas (such as slopes in excess of 20%) may cause substantial erosion or siltation.

Checklist Discussion

a) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporation -

- i. **No Impact** - No portion of the project area is located within an earthquake fault zone as defined by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act and therefore, development would not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault.
- ii. **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures** – Compliance with applicable City General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code would reduce the potential to expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving strong seismic ground shaking to a less-than-significant level.
- iii. **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures** – The potential for liquefaction in the project area is low. There is a minute possibility that a rain event coupled with a concurrent seismic event may create a condition where liquefaction could occur. Compliance with applicable City General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code would reduce the potential to expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving strong seismic ground shaking to a less-than-significant level.
- iv. **Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures** – the entire City is located within an area of low landslide incidence, but, there is still a possibility that landslides could occur within the City, as a result of erosion, slope weakening through saturation, or stresses by earthquakes that make slopes fail. Geotechnical and soil studies that identify potential hazards, including landslides, would be required prior to grading activities as part of the plan check and development review process for the physical development of the area. Such technical studies would provide structural design, as needed, pursuant to the California Building Code requirements to reduce hazards to people and structures as a result of landslides.

- b) **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures** – development would result in construction-related ground disturbance, as a result of grading and excavation where topsoil is exposed, moved, and/or stockpiled. Such construction-related ground disturbance could loosen soil and remove vegetation, which could lead to exposed or stockpiled soils made susceptible to peak storm water runoff flows and wind forces. Such

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
disturbances could result in substantial soil erosion or topsoil, which is a potentially significant impact. Adherence to the Hanford Municipal Code Chapter 15.52 Flood Damage Prevention Regulation, and the California Building Code, along with the plan check and development review process, would assist the development of property erosion controls during operation of future development to a less than significant impact. c) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures: See a. d) Less than Significant Impact – Expansive soils are fine-grained soils that can undergo a significant increase in volume with an increase in water content, as well as a significant decrease in volume with a decrease in water content. The City and surrounding area's soils contain percentages of clay that generally range between 7-27%. When a soil has 35% or more clay content, it is considered a clayey soil. Since the soil types in the Study Area generally do not contain 35% clay content, the potential for expansive soils within the City and surrounding is low. e) No impact- The City does not have septic requirements for septic systems within the City. Septic is not proposed.				

Mitigation Measures:

MM Geology 1: That the development of the project comply with the applicable General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code.

MM Geology 2: That a geotechnical and soil studies be prepared as a required by the Building Official (if applicable).

MM Geology 3: that the physical development of the project comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 15.52 Flood Damage Prevention Regulation and the California Building Code, along with the plan check and development review process.

Conclusion

The project will not result in significant impacts to geophysical conditions with mitigation measures in place, therefore the impact is considered less than significant, cumulatively.

Source(s): General Plan and General Plan EIR (2017);

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	☐	☐	☒	☐

Environmental Setting

Kings County and the City of Hanford

Climate change regulations require the City to take action to reduce emissions under its jurisdiction and influence. The countywide Regional Climate Action Plan (CAP) is a separate action through KCAG that was adopted by the City on May 27, 2014. The Kings County Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and the San Joaquin Valley Blueprint are also incorporate policy into the General Plan. this strategy of integrating regional planning documents help Hanford identify land use, transportation, and related policy measures and investments that could reduce GHGs from passenger cars and light-duty trucks, as part of the development of a SCS in compliance with Senate Bill 375.

Commercial and residential space heating and cooling comprise a large share of direct energy use in Kings County. Other major energy users include agricultural production and industrial facilities. In Kings County, automobiles and

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

commercial vehicles are the largest energy consumers in the transportation sector.

Global Climate Change

Climate change is a change in the average weather of the Earth that may be measured by alterations in wind patterns, storms, precipitation, and temperature. These changes are assessed using historic records of temperature changes occurring in the past, such as during previous ice ages.

The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) constructed several emission trajectories of GHG needed to stabilize global temperatures and climate change impacts. The IPCC predicted that global mean temperature change from 1990 to 2100, given six scenarios, could range from 1.1 degrees Celsius to 6.4 degrees C. Regardless of analytical methodology, global average temperatures and sea levels are expected to rise under all scenarios.

Increased Temperatures and Extreme Heat events

Climate change is expected to lead to an increase in ambient average air temperatures with greater increases expected in summer than in winter months. Larger temperature increases are anticipated in inland communities, as compared to the CA coast.

The potential health impacts from sustained and significantly higher than average temperatures include heat stroke, heat exhaustion, and the exacerbation of existing medical conditions such as cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, diabetes, nervous system disorders, emphysema, and epilepsy. Increased temperatures also pose a risk to human health when coupled with high concentrations of ground-level ozone and other air pollutants, which may lead to increased rates of asthma and other pulmonary diseases.

Other impacts related to increased temperatures and heat waves include:

- Increased urban "heat island" effect – urban heat islands are especially dangerous because they are both hotter during the day and do not cool down at night, increasing the risk of heat-related illness
- Reduced freezing events –reduced freezes could lead to increase incidence of disease as vectors and pathogens do not die off. In addition, fewer events of freezing would impact CA's food production and indirectly the food supply in Kings County.
- Increased energy demand for air conditioning and refrigeration

Greenhouse Gases

Gases that trap heat in the Earth's atmosphere are called greenhouse gases. Some of the solar radiation that enters Earth's atmosphere is absorbed by the Earth's surface, and some is reflected back toward space. of the radiation reflected back toward space, GHG's will absorb a part. As a result, radiation that otherwise would have escaped back into space is retained, resulting in a warming of the atmosphere. Some levels of GHGs are essential for maintaining temperatures supportive of life on Earth. Without naturally-occurring GHGs, the Earth's surface would be about 61 degrees cooler. This phenomenon is known as the greenhouse effect. Many scientists believe that emissions from human activities – such as electricity generation, vehicle emissions, and farming and forestry practices have elevated GHGs in the atmosphere beyond naturally-occurring concentrations, contributing to global climate change. The six primary GHGs are:

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂), emitted when solid waste, fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal) and wood and wood products are burned
- Methane (CH₄), produced through the anaerobic decomposition of waste in landfills, animal digestion, decomposition of animal wastes, production and distribution of natural gas and petroleum, coal production, and incomplete fossil fuel combustion.
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O), typically generated as a result of soil cultivation practices, particularly the use of commercial and organic fertilizers, fossil fuel combustion, nitric acid production, and biomass burning
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), primarily used as refrigerants
- Perfluorocarbons (PFCs), originally introduced as alternatives to ozone depleting substances and typically emitted as by-products of industrial and manufacturing processes
- Sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), primarily used in electrical transmission and distribution systems

There are currently no State regulations in CA that establish ambient air quality standards for GHGs. However, the State

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

of CA has passed legislation directing the CA Air Resources Board to develop actions to reduce GHG emissions.

Significance Criteria

The project would have a significant impact on GHG emissions if it would:

- Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment, or
- Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs

Checklist Discussion

- a. Less than Significant Impact - In the General Plan EIR, impacts to Greenhouse Gas emissions were evaluated. The growth based on land use and population intensities proposed under the General Plan is anticipated to generate 1,134,876.19 metric tons of CO₂e per year using an operational year of 2005, which includes area, energy, mobile, waste, and water sources. BAU is referred in ARB's ABB 32 Scoping Plan (CARB 2012) as emissions occurring in 2020 if the average baseline emissions during the 2002-2004 period grew to 2020 levels, without control. As a result, an estimate of the General Plan Update's operational emissions in 2005 were compared to operational emissions in 2020 in order to determine if the General Plan Update would meet the 29% emission reduction. The SJVAPCD has reviewed relevant scientific information related to GHG emissions and has determined they are not able to determine a specific quantitative level of GHG emissions increase, above which a project would have a significant impact on the environment, and below which would have an insignificant impact. As a result, the SJVAPCD has determined that the General Plan Update's ability to achieve at least a 29% GHG emission reduction compared to BAU would be determined to have a less-than-significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG.

The project complies with the General Plan policy, which includes emission reductions that mitigate GHG emission generation to a less than significant level.

- a. Less than Significant Impact – The project is consistent with the policies of the General Plan, which consists of numerous land uses and goals and policies to provide for a more walkable community in the Hanford area. The goals and policies of the General Plan are intended to assist in reducing operational emissions. In addition, the General Plan policy meet 10 of the 12 Smart Growth Principles cited in the San Joaquin Valley Blueprint.

Conclusion

The project is consistent with the General Plan, which provides policy to mitigate impacts of GHG to a less than significant level.

Source(s): General Plan Update (2017), General Plan Update EIR (2017), San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, Final Regional Climate Action Plan

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -- Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of	☐	☒	☐	☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
hazardous materials into the environment?				
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	☐	☐	☒	☐
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	☐	☐	☐	☒
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	☐	☐	☐	☒
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	☐	☐	☒	☐
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	☐	☐	☒	☐

Environmental Setting

Hazardous material are substances that, because of physical or chemical properties, quantity, concentration, or other characteristics may either cause an increase in mortality or an increase in serious, irreversible, or incapacitating illness or pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health or environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of, or otherwise managed. Hazardous materials have been and are commonly used in commercial, agricultural, and industrial applications and, to a limited extent, in residential areas.

Hazardous wastes are hazardous materials that no longer have practical use, such as substances that have been discarded, discharged, spilled, contaminated, or are being stored prior to proper disposal. Large quantities of hazardous materials are transported along State Route 198, 43, and freight rail lines that pass through Hanford, making it susceptible to hazardous spills, releases, or accidents.

Pursuant to AB 2948, Kings County adopted the *County Hazardous Waste Management Plan*. Under state law, all industries and agricultural operations that store or handle specific quantities of hazardous materials must provide the County with a hazardous materials business plan detailing the location and quantities of their hazardous materials.

Brownfields

A brownfield site is land previously used for industrial purposes or some commercial uses that may be contaminated by low concentrations of hazardous waste or pollution, and has the potential to be reused once it is cleaned up. The City has one brownfield site, located south of Third Street, north of Davis Street, west of the BNSF railroad tracks, and east of 11th Avenue.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Airport Hazards

Hanford Municipal Airport – a general aviation facility serving Kings County and the surrounding communities of Hanford, Armona, and Lemoore in south-central CA.

Emergency Response

Kings County's Office of Emergency Management (OEM) is the County's emergency management agency, responsible for coordinating multi-agency responses to complex, large-scale emergencies and disasters within Kings County. OEM develops and maintain the Emergency Operations Plan (EOP), which serves as a guideline for who will do what, as well as when, with what resources, and by what authority- before, during, and immediately after an emergency.

Significance Criteria

The project may result in significant hazards if it does any one of the following:

1. Create a public health hazard
2. Involve the use or production, disposal or upset of materials which pose a hazard to people in the area or interferes with an emergency response plan
3. Violates applicable laws intended to protect human health and safety or would expose workers to conditions that do not meet health standards.

Checklist Discussion

- a) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation**– that the routine use of a residence does not involve the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. If hazardous materials at or above threshold reporting quantities (55 gallons of a liquid, 500 pounds of a solid, or 200 cubic feet of a gas) will be kept on site during the construction phase, a Hazardous Materials Business Plan must be filed online at <http://cers.calepa.ca.gov> within 30 days of beginning operations. Hazardous materials are broadly defined, and include fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, motor vehicle batteries, welding gases, paints, solvents, glues, agricultural chemicals, etc. Please contact our office if you require assistance with the online registration process. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact the Kings County Environmental Health Department at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system
- b) See a.
- c) **Less than Significant Impact** - there is a school directly south of the project site; however, the General Plan restricts land uses around schools, such as industrials uses, that could result in emitted hazardous emissions or handled hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or wastes within ¼ mile of an existing or proposed school that would result in significant adverse impacts to school sites. The routine use of a residence does not involve the hazardous materials.
- d) **No Impact** – the project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5
- e) **No Impact** -The project site is not located within two miles of a public airport/airstrip therefore there is no impact.
- f) **No Impact** -The project site is not located within two miles of a private airport/airstrip therefore there is no impact.
- g) **Less than Significant Impact** - development has the potential to strain the emergency response and recovery capabilities of federal, state, and local government. Compliance with the General Plan policies to ensure adequate emergency response and maintain current plans reduces the impact of development. This plan is consistent with the policy of the General Plan, therefore, impacts are considered less than significant.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

- h) Less than Significant Impact– The City of Hanford is located within a zone considered by CAL FIRE to have low to no potential for wildland fires, therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation Measure

- **MM Hazard 1:** If hazardous materials at or above threshold reporting quantities (55 gallons of a liquid, 500 pounds of a solid, or 200 cubic feet of a gas) will be kept on site during the construction phase, a Hazardous Materials Business Plan must be filed online at <http://cers.calepa.ca.gov> within 30 days of beginning operations. Hazardous materials are broadly defined, and include fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, motor vehicle batteries, welding gases, paints, solvents, glues, agricultural chemicals, etc. Please contact our office if you require assistance with the online registration process. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact the Kings County Environmental Health Department at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.
- **MM Hazard 2:** Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact our office at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.

Conclusion

The impact from hazards and hazardous materials are expected to be less than significant with mitigation measures to be applied for any hazardous construction materials.

Source: 2017 General Plan and General Plan EIR, State of California Hazardous Waste and Substance List

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	☐	☐	☒	☐
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	☐	☒	☐	☐
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	☐	☒	☐	☐
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater	☐	☒	☐	☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	☐	☒	☐	☐
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	☐	☐	☐	☒
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	☐	☐	☐	☒
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	☐	☐	☐	☒
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	☐	☐	☐	☒

Environmental Setting

Climate

The City is located in the southwest portion of the Central Valley of CA and the City's climate is semi-arid. Semi-arid climates in CA tend to have precipitation patterns closer to Mediterranean climates with wet winters. The Central Valley has greater temperature extremes than coastal areas because it is less affected by the moderating influence of the Pacific Ocean. Most of the rainfall in Hanford occurs in the winter months as the Gulf Stream shifts southward from northern latitudes in the wintertime. However, because of the inland location and "rainshadow effect" caused by the coastal mountain ranges, Hanford typically gets less rainfall during the winter than coastal areas to the west. The rainshadow effect refers to a reduction of precipitation commonly found on the leeward side of a mountain. Average precipitation is about 8 inches.

Surface Water Resources

Tulare Lake Basin

The City and surrounding area is located in the Central Valley's Tulare Lake Basin. This Basin covers 10.5 million acres and encompasses the drainage area of the Central Valley south of the San Joaquin River. Surface water from this basin only drains into the San Joaquin River in years of extreme rainfall. The Tulare Lake Basin is within the jurisdiction of the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.

South Valley Floor Watershed

The Study Area is located in the South Valley Floor Watershed, which is the largest watershed in the Tulare Lake Basin at about 8,235 square miles (5.3 million acres). A large portion of the surface water supply in the watershed comes from imported water, including water supplied through the San Luis Canal/CA Aqueduct System, Friant-Kern Canal, and Delta-Mendota Canal. Agriculture is the primary land use type in the watershed, encompassing approximately 67% of the total land area. Open space is secondary at 25% of the total land area and urban land uses represents about 6%.

Local

Most of the water surface features in the City and surrounding nearby areas are manmade conveyance structures for stormwater control. The only natural watercourse is Mussel Slough, remnants of which still exist on the City's western

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
edge. The People's Ditch, an irrigation canal dug in the 1870s, traverses Hanford from north to south and portions of it still exist north of Grangeville Boulevard and east of the Santa Fe Railroad. The Sand and Lone Oak sloughs once traversed the city north and south, and remnants still remain in the southern half of the City south of State Route 198. The Kings River is about 4 miles north of Hanford.				
Surface Water Quality There are no surface water bodies within the vicinity of the City that are listed as impaired per the US Environmental Protection Agency 2010 CA List of Water Quality Limited Segments.				
Groundwater Resources Regional The City and surrounding area is located in the Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region, San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin, Tulare Lake Subbasin. Local The City exclusively uses groundwater for its potable water supply. The City's municipal water system extracts its water supply from underground aquifers via 14 active groundwater wells with depths that range from 1300 to 1700 feet below ground surface (bgs). In cooperation with the Peoples Ditch Company and the Kings County Water District, excess Kings River water and stormwater flows are conveyed to 125 acres of drainage and slough basins located throughout the City to help replenish groundwater. The basins account for approximately 568 acre-feet of available water retention and the City is planning to add approximately 317 acre feet of additional basins located along major drainage channels within the City for groundwater recharge as well as flood protection.				
Groundwater Quality Groundwater quality in the Tulare Lake Subbasin ranges from calcium bicarbonate in type in the northern portion to a sodium bicarbonate type in the lakebed. Total dissolved solids in the Subbasin typically range from 200 to 600 milligrams per liter and can be as high as 40,000 mg/L in shallow groundwater with drainage problems. the City reports electrical conductivity in 14 wells ranging from 560 micromhos per centimeter to 1,100 microhos per centimeter. There are also areas of shallow, saline groundwater in the southern portion of the Subbasin, localized areas of high arsenic and the City reports odors caused by the presence of hydrogen sulfide. The EPA and State Water Resource Control Board have set the arsenic standard for drinking water at 0.01 parts per million and, in order to meet these standards, the City now drills wells up to 1,500 feet deep.				
Floodplains Only 48.6 acres are located within the 100-year floodplain. This accounts for 0.003% of the total area in the Planned Area of the City.				
Significance Criteria The project may result in significant impacts if it would violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge; substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or substantially increase the rate of surface runoff; exceed the existing drainage system.				
Checklist Discussion a) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures –				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
- Construction: potential impacts on water quality arise from erosion and sedimentation are expected to be localized and temporary during construction of new development. All new development that disturb more than one acre are required to comply with the General Permit Order No. 2012-0006-DWQ during construction. Proponents of new development would have to develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that specifies best management practices (BMPs) to prevent construction pollutants from contacting stormwater, with the intent of keeping all products of erosion from moving off-site and into receiving waters; eliminate or reduce non-stormwater discharges to storm sewer systems and other waters of the United States; and inspect all BMPs. - Operation: The development will be required to implement appropriate minimum control measures (MCMs) and design standards in compliance with Phase II General Permit as outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan as well as the City's grading plan and site development requirements. New development would have to incorporate best management practices and adhere to design standards to maximize the reduction of pollutant loadings in that runoff to the maximum extent practical. The City Building Division would review and approve grading plans and site development requirements for the new development, when a physical project is proposed. b) Less than Significant Impact –The current and future efforts of the City and Kings County Water District coupled with the requirement to comply with the Sustainable groundwater management act through the Groundwater Sustainability Plan process ensures that future development as an implementation of the General Plan would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. c) See a. d) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures – with the approval of grading plans and site development requirements by the City Building Division that incorporates BMPs and design standards, new development operations would not substantial increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite. e) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures and impact fee payment – The development would be required to undergo a site development requirements approval process with the City Building Division that would include developing necessary stormwater drainage improvements to sufficiently capture and treat polluted runoff. New development would also be required to pay a stormwater system development fee. This development fee is required for all new development in order to pay the cost of capital improvements for the City of Hanford stormwater system. f) See a. g) No Impact. – the project site is not located within a flood zone as shown in the Flood Insurance Rate Map for Hanford (Panel 06031C 0185C, June 16, 2009) therefore there is no impact. h) See g. i) See g. j) No impact – the project site is not located by the ocean. Therefore, there is no risk that new development would be inundated by tsunami. A mudflow is a flow of soil or fine-grained sediment mixed with water down a steep unstable slope. The project area is relatively flat and does not contain slopes steep enough to cause mudflow. The project would not be downgrade from aboveground water storage tanks. Mitigation Measures: Conclusion:				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
MM Hydrology 1: Development that disturbs more than one acre is required to comply with the General Permit Order No. 2012-0006-DWQ during construction. Proponents of new development would have to develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that specifies best management practices (BMPs) to prevent construction pollutants from contacting stormwater, with the intent of keeping all products of erosion from moving off-site and into receiving waters; eliminate or reduce non-stormwater discharges to storm sewer systems and other waters of the United States; and inspect all BMPs. MM Hydrology 2: New development would be required to implement appropriate minimum control measures (MCMs) and design standards in compliance with Phase II General Permit, as outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan, as well as the City's grading plan and site development requirements. MM Hydrology 3: New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards. MM Hydrology 4: New development would have to incorporate best management practices and adhere to design standards to maximize the reduction of pollutant loadings in runoff to the maximum extent practical. Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures – With the incorporation of mitigation measures, the impacts to hydrology and water quality are considered less than significant. Source: 2017 General Plan, 2017 General Plan Update, Hanford Storm Water Master Plan, State of California Department of Water Resources				

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	☐	☐	☒	☐
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	☐	☐	☐	☒

Environmental Setting

The City is predominantly surrounded by agricultural land uses and is characterized as a low rise community dominated by low-density, single-family housing along with some limited pockets of multi-family housing, low-intensity commercial uses, and several industrial areas. The City's older urban development lies north of the Union Pacific railroad tracks and south of Grangeville Boulevard, while the newly urbanized areas are north of Grangeville Boulevard. The majority of land within the City's planned area consists of agricultural, open space, and single-family residential uses.

Consultation Received

Consultation was received from Assistant Executive Officer for the Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County, Chuck Kinney, on August 23, 2019. Comments provided are as follows:

The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) has received the City's consultation notice for Annexation 156, Prezone 2019-03 and Vesting Tentative Tract 929, as we appreciate this opportunity to comment on this project. In our review of the project, I want to inform you that LAFCO will ultimately serve as a Responsible Agency under CEQA for Annexation 156.

The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) is governed by the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 ("Act," Govt. Code Section 56000 et seq.). Under the Act, LAFCO is required

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
to make determinations regarding a proposal for changes of organization or reorganization (Govt. Code Section 56880). The Act also established the factors which LAFCO must consider in making its decisions, including any policies adopted by LAFCO to create planned, orderly and efficient patterns of development (Govt. Code Section 56668). Because of this role and pursuant to Section 21069 of the Public Resources Code, LAFCO is a responsible agency for the future annexation of the unincorporated County land to the City of Hanford. Additionally and pursuant to Section 15086 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, LAFCO is responsible for reviewing and providing comments on the environmental documents prepared for this annexation. The environmental document prepared for Annexation 156 should address the impacts and any necessary mitigation, including but not limited to the annexation process. In particular, the environmental document should address the factors as identified in Government Code Section 56668. One item in particular to note is that the analysis of impacts to agricultural lands for the environmental document being prepared for Annexation 156 should described not only those lands categorized on the Department of Conservation's Important Farmland Map, but also those lands that fall within the LAFCO definition of prime agricultural land (Government Code Section 56064)." Analysis: The project has been evaluated for potential annexation. Annexation – the subject property is currently in the County, annexation of APNs 009-030-042 and 009-030-043 is required. Analysis: According to the General Plan, annexation of land into Hanford allows previously undeveloped land to become available for development and allows the City of Hanford to provide the territory that is annexed with its full range of City services. The annexation process can serve as an interim growth management tool by limiting annexations to only the land that is needed for growth at the time. The following policies define Hanford's process for annexing new territory. Policy L15 Initiation of Annexations: Consider initiation of annexation of land into the City of Hanford only when the following criteria are met: - The land is within the Primary Sphere of Influence. Analysis: The land proposed to be annexed is within the Primary Sphere of Influence. - The capacity of the water, sewer, fire, school, and police services are adequate to service the area to be annexed, or will be adequate at the time that development occurs. Analysis: Development of the project will be subject to impact fees for water, sewer, fire, schools, and police services. Additionally, the Public Works department will have requirements to ensure adequate water and sewer services can be provided for the future annexed area. - Land for development within the City limits is insufficient to meet the current land use needs. Analysis: There is not a vacant, undeveloped, or unplanned area within the City of Hanford in the appropriate land use designation to develop the project. - The territory to be annexed is contiguous to existing developed areas Analysis: The proposed area to be annexed is contiguous to an area being developed under Tract 918 and 922. Tract 922 is directly south of the proposed area to be annexed. Tract 918 is directly east of the proposed area to be annexed. <u>Favorable Factors for Annexation</u>				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Favorable and unfavorable factors for annexation have been adopted by LAFCO. The existence of favorable or unfavorable factors should not decide approval or denial; however, a substantial number of favorable or unfavorable factors may determine approval or denial of the proposal.				
a. The proposed area is close to urban development and municipal-type services and would enhance its potential for full development.				
Analysis: The area proposed to be annexed is north of Tract 922 and east of Tract 918. Development of the project will be subject to impact fees for water, sewer, fire, schools, and police services. Additionally, the Public Works department will have requirements to ensure adequate water and sewer services can be provided for the future annexed area. A plan for services has been prepared for the project and is attached.				
b. The proposed annexation conforms to the adopted General Plan.				
The General Plan designated the area as Low-Density Residential. The proposal conforms to the adopted General Plan.				
c. The proposed area is consistent with the sphere of influence.				
Analysis: The area proposed to be annexed is within the Sphere of Influence.				
d. The proposed annexation comes with 100% consent of all landowners.				
Analysis: the proposed annexation does come with 100% consent of all landowners.				
1. The property to be annexed shall be pre-zoned. R-L-5 Low-Density Residential is the appropriate zone designation for the project and is consistent with the General Plan designation, Low-Density Residential.				
The project has been evaluated in accordance with Government Code Section 56668, as requested by LAFCO.				
Factors to be considered in the review of a proposal shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:				
(a) Population and population density; land area and land use; per capita assessed valuation; topography, natural boundaries, and drainage basins; proximity to other populated areas; the likelihood of significant growth in the area, and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas, during the next 10 years.				
Population: 1				
Population Density: .01 residents per acre				
Land Area: 40 Acres				
Land Use: Vacant, Residential, Agriculture				
Assessed Value of Annexation Area: \$671,672				
Per Capital Assessed Value: \$671,672				
Topography: Flat land				
Natural Boundaries: 13 th Avenue, Devon Street				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Drainage Basins: Proposed under Tentative Tract 929				
Proximity to other populated areas: Within planned growth direction of the City of Hanford				
Likelihood of growth in the area: Planned for single-family development				
Detachment: None				
(b) The need for organized community services; the present cost and adequacy of governmental services and controls in the area; probable future needs for those services and controls; probable effect of the proposed incorporation, formation, annexation, or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the area and adjacent areas. "Services," as used in this subdivision, refers to governmental services whether or not the services are services which would be provided by local agencies subject to this division, and includes the public facilities necessary to provide those services. The current land use surrounding the site is primarily agricultural operations. The Hanford General Plan designates the area as Low Density Residential land uses. The area is comprised of a single family residence located at 8323 13th Avenue. Future development planned in the project area will result in a need for municipal services. The City of Hanford is the most logical provider of urban type services within the Hanford Fringe Area. Annexation is required for the City to provide services. The City of Hanford maintains standard rates for residential water and sewer services and connection fees throughout the City and sufficient capacity has been identified to exist to serve the annexed territory. (c) The effect of the proposed action and of alternative actions, on adjacent areas, on mutual social and economic interests, and on the local governmental structure of the county. The proposal will result in minimal reduction in property taxes to the County and have a minimal impact on County government. The property is adjacent to the City and City services can be provided to the area. (d) The conformity of both the proposal and its anticipated effects with both the adopted commission policies on providing planned, orderly, efficient patterns of urban development, and the policies and priorities in Section 56377. The proposed annexation is a planned and orderly extension of the City of Hanford. The General Plan designates the area for low-density residential uses. Therefore, the impact of this proposal upon patterns of urban development will occur as outlined in the General Plan. The City currently borders the area along the southern and eastern borders, the territory would keep extension of services in line with the orderly development of the City. The proposal is in keeping with the intent of LAFCO. The future development of the annexed territory will require City services such as water, sewer, and storm drainage and a connection to these services can efficiently be added as development occurs and connects. (e) The effect of the proposal on maintaining the physical and economic integrity of agricultural lands, as defined by Section 56016. The annexation territory is planned for Low-Density Residential uses under the General Plan. The City is primarily surrounded by prime agricultural land and farming is currently practiced along most of the City's existing edges. These properties, however, are within the planned growth pattern of the City and are within the adopted Primary Sphere of Influence for the City.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
(f) The definiteness and certainty of the boundaries of the territory, the nonconformance of proposed boundaries with lines of assessment or ownership, the creation of islands or corridors of unincorporated territory, and other similar matters affecting the proposed boundaries. The boundaries are definitive and certain. No islands or substantially surrounded areas will be created as a result of the annexation. (g) A regional transportation plan adopted pursuant to Section 65080. (h) The proposal's consistency with city or county general and specific plans. The annexation is consistent with the General Plan designation, Low-Density Residential. (i) The sphere of influence of any local agency which may be applicable to the proposal being reviewed. The annexation is within the Primary Sphere of Influence of the City of Hanford as adopted by LAFCO. (j) The comments of any affected local agency or other public agency. Addressed in the initial study. (k) The ability of the newly formed or receiving entity to provide the services which are the subject of the application to the area, including the sufficiency of revenues for those services following the proposed boundary change. Water, sewer, storm drainage, fire and police can be provided to the annexation territory. See Plan for Services. (l) Timely availability of water supplies adequate for projected needs as specified in Section 65352.5. The City presently has sufficient water availability to serve the property. Connection to the City's main water lines would be required to develop according to City Standards. The project is required to comply with all State and local regulations regarding water conservation measures and landscaping. (m) The extent to which the proposal will affect a city or cities and the county in achieving their respective fair shares of the regional housing needs as determined by the appropriate council of governments consistent with Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580) of Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7. The subject territory is planned for Low Density Residential uses and will assist the City of Hanford in meeting the requirement for affordable housing. (n) Any information or comments from the landowner or owners, voters, or residents of the affected territory. The City will send consultation for the proposed projects to all property owners within a 300 ft radius of the project site. (o) Any information relating to existing land use designations.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

(p) The extent to which the proposal will promote environmental justice. As used in this subdivision, "environmental justice" means the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the location of public facilities and the provision of public services.

The proposed annexation will not result in inferior services being provided to areas of low-income residents. The annexation does include project specific information regarding future development of the land to be used for 158 single-family residences. The proposal will not located undesirable land uses within the proximity of low-income residents.

Significance Criteria

The project may result in significant impacts if it physically divides an established community, conflicts with existing off-site land uses, causes substantial adverse change in the types or intensity of land use patterns or conflicts with any applicable land use plan, policy or regulation.

Checklist Discussion

- a) Less than significant impact – the project proposes to annex and develop 40.53 acres as 156 residential lots. There is one existing residence within the project site, which will remain. The development proposed in the project will not physically divide an established community as the project pertains to a vacant lot for Tentative Tract 927.
- b) Less than significant impact - The applicant proposes to develop a 156-unit single-family residential subdivision. The land is required to be annexed prior to approval of the tentative subdivision map. There are certain findings required to be made in order for the approving body to approve the Annexation, Pre-zone, and Tentative Tract Application. The appropriate findings are able to be made for each application.
- c) No Impact – The City is not included in any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan, nor are there plans to be involved.

Conclusion

The project is being developed consistent with the General Plan, specifically the Land Use Element and will not have significant impacts to Land Use and Planning.

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	☐	☐	☐	☒

Environmental Setting

Oil and Gas

The planning area is not found within a Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources recognized oil field and does not contain any areas that have been designated for mineral recovery by the Kings County General Plan.

Sand and Gravel

The only mineral resources that could occur within the vicinity of the City are sand and gravel operations for road and building construction, but there are currently no significant deposits and no active mines.

Significance Criteria

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The project would create significant impacts to mineral resources if there was a loss of availability of a known mineral resource.				
Checklist Discussion				
a) No Impact – No portion of the vicinity of the City is located within the boundaries of a DOGGR-recognized oil field. There are currently no identified MRZ designated areas, no known significant sand and gravel deposits and no active mines within the vicinity of the City.				
b) No Impact – no portion of the City or nearby vicinity is designated for mineral resources or zoned for mineral resources. Therefore, the project would not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resources recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan.				
Conclusion				
There will be no impact to mineral resources				
XII. NOISE -- Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	☐	☒	☐	☐
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	☐	☐	☒	☐
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	☐	☒	☐	☐
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	☐	☐	☒	☐
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	☐	☐	☐	☒
Environmental Setting				
Noise is defined as sound that is loud, unpleasant, unexpected, or undesired and has been cited as being a health problem, not just in terms of actual physiological damages such as hearing impairment, but also in terms of inhibiting general wellbeing and contributing to stress and annoyance. Vehicular traffic noise is the dominant source in most areas, but aircraft and rail activities are also significant sources of environmental noise in the local areas surrounding these operations. Sources of noise within the City include mobile and stationary sources.				
Highways and Roadways				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Existing noise levels in the City are primarily generated by transportation noise sources. Highway and roadway traffic noise levels are generally dependent upon three primary factors, which include the traffic volume, traffic speed, and percent of heavy vehicles on the roadway.

Railroad

Local railroad lines include an east-west Union Pacific Railroad (UP) line and a north-south Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) line. The east-west UP tracks are currently used by the San Joaquin Valley Railroad (SJVR), which operates two trains of approximately 5 to 10 cars per day, five days per week, at approximately 10 to 20 miles per hour. The BNSF is located in the central portion of the City in a heavy commercial/industrial area. The BNSF line carries eight Amtrak passenger trains and 18 to 22 freight trains per day. Most north-south rail traffic moves through the county at approximately 50 mph.

As of early 2014, the CA High Speed Rail Authority has been moving forward on an alignment for the HST that would run through the far easterly portion of the planning area.

Airport

Hanford Municipal Airport is a general aviation facility serving Kings County and the surrounding Communities of Hanford, Armona, and Lemoore in south-central CA. The Hanford Municipal Airport Master Plan identified existing and future year noise contours as a result of airport operations.

Stationary Noise Sources

Stationary noise sources include commercial operations, agricultural production, school playgrounds, generators, and lawn maintenance equipment.

The following operations have been identified as major stationary noise sources in and around Hanford

- Del Monte Foods
- Penny-Newman Milling Company
- Kings Waste and Recycling Authority Solid Waste Disposal Site
- Agricultural production
- Kings Speedway

Significance Criteria

Impacts from the project would be considered significant if they would result in significant noise or exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the Hanford General Plan.

Checklist Discussion

- a) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation** – the project would not result in exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. Short-term noise-related impacts would be temporary in nature, require compliance with applicable regulations, and policies of the General Plan further ensure that construction-related impacts would be attenuated to the greatest extent feasible.
- b) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation.** – Ambient vibration levels in residential areas are typically 50 VdB, which is well below human perception. The operation of heating/air conditioning systems and slamming of doors produce typical indoor vibrations that are noticeable to humans. Construction activity can result in ground vibration, depending upon the types of equipment uses. Operation of construction equipment causes ground vibrations which spread through the ground and diminish in strength with distance from the source generating the vibration. Ground vibrations as a result of construction activities very rarely reach vibration levels that would damage structures, but can cause low rumbling sounds and feelable vibrations for buildings very close to the site. Vibration levels from various types of construction equipment measured at 50 ft are as follows:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Type of equipment	Sound Levels Measured (dBA of 50 ft)			
Pumps	77			
Dozers	85			
Tractor	84			
Front-End Loaders	80			
Hydraulic Backhoe	80			
Hydraulic Excavators	85			
Graders	85			
Air Compressors	80			
Trucks	84			

Construction activities would be temporary in nature and are expected to occur during normal daytime working hours. Construction is limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m. in order to mitigate impacts from ground vibration.

- c) Less than Significant – full build out of the General Plan would possibly result in a maximum increase of 2 decibels when compared to existing conditions. According to the Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement, the average healthy ear can barely perceive noise level changes of 3 dBA. As a result, it is anticipated that full buildout of the General Plan, including development of this site, would not result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.
- d) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation** - A temporary increase in ambient noise would occur in association with construction activities. Construction noise is short term and will occur for limited times. As a mitigation measure, construction would be limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.
- e) Less than Significant Impact - The project is approximately 3.3 miles away from airport and will not be impacted by the public airport.
- f) No Impact - The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip, there is no impact.

Conclusion

The project would create temporary construction noise, but the impact of noise will be mitigated to a point that is considered less than significant with required conditions of the development of the property.

Mitigation Measures:

MM Noise 1: Comply with applicable regulations and policies of the General Plan to ensure that construction-related impacts would be attenuated to the greatest extent feasible.

MM Noise 2-3: Construction is limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.

Source: 2017 General Plan Update, 2017 General Plan Update EIR

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING – Would the project:

a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing	☐	☐	☐	☒

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
elsewhere?				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	☐	☐	☐	☒

Environmental Setting

Population

The estimated population on January 1, 2013, was 55,122. It is estimated that the General Plan Update could result in a population increase of 47,367 people in 2035 for an estimated total population of 102,489.

Housing

In 2013, there were 17,867 housing units in the Study Area. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan could result in 15,633 additional housing units in 2035 for an estimated total number of 33,520 housing units.

Employment

In 2014, there were 20,900 jobs in the planning area. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan could result in 33,308 additional jobs in 2035 for an estimated total number of 54,208 jobs.

Jobs-Housing Balance

Jobs-housing balance is achieved by increasing opportunities of people to work and live in close proximity. The ratio is expressed as the number of jobs divided by the number of housing units. SCAG uses the jobs-housing balance as a general tool for analyzing where people work, where they live, and how effectively they can travel between the two. In the planning area, the existing jobs-housing balance ratio in 2013-2014 was 1.17. It is estimated that the implementation of the General Plan would increase the jobs-housing balance by 0.45 to 1.62, which would make the planning area a jobs rich area.

Significance Criteria

The project may result in significant impact if it induces substantial growth, displaces a large number of people, or contributes to a job housing imbalance.

Checklist Discussion

- Less than significant impact – The project will induce population growth in the area by proposing 158 residential dwellings, which using the average household size, 3.11 persons per unit, yields 492 persons. This project is consistent with the density allowed in the General Plan, which planned for population growth. This project is considered an implementation of the General Plan, for which a Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted, due to substantial population growth. 158
- No Impact - The project will not result in displacement of housing. There is an existing residence within the project area that will remain.
- No Impact - The project will not result in displacement of people.

Conclusion

Less than significant impact - The project will not result in a significant impact to population and housing.

Source: 2017 General Plan Update, 2017 General Plan Update EIR

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES --

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for				
--	--	--	--	--

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Police protection?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Schools?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Parks?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Other public facilities?	☐	☐	☒	☐

Environmental Setting

The City of Hanford currently has two fire stations located within the north central and south central portions of the Study Area. These two stations protect approximately 16.5 square miles, Station 1 is located at 350 W. Grangeville Blvd and covers the city limits north of SR 198 and station 2 is located at 10533 Houston Avenue and covers the city limits south of SR 198. In addition, two properties have been purchase for future fire stations. The City currently owns sites at Centennial Drive and Berkshire Lane and 12th Avenue and Woodland Drive, which have been planned for future fire stations. The Hanford Fire Department provides fires, rescue, hazardous materials response, and serves as a first responder for emergency medical service calls in the City. the HFD is also capable of responding to other situations such as high and low angle rescues, confined space emergencies, vehicle accidents, public assists, state-wide mutual aid responses and disaster management.

Police Protection

City residents receive police protection services from the Hanford Police Department, which currently operates out of a single station located at 425 N. Irwin Street. The City's recent growing problem that requires the need of police services includes gag and drug issues. The HPD's actual average response times are 6:30 minutes for Priority I incidents with an average of 32 Priority I incidents per day and a response time of 17:19 minutes for all other incidents with an average of 144 incidents per day. However, a response time of less than 2:30 minutes is a goal for the HPD to maintain in the future.

Schools

The City currently includes six elementary school districts and one high school district within the Study Area. These districts do not include the religiously affiliated private schools or charter schools located in the study area. The Hanford Elementary School District consists of 11 elementary and junior high schools that are all located in the study area.

Pioneer Union Elementary School District consists of two elementary schools and one junior high school that are all located in the study area.

The Hanford Joint Union High School District consists of four comprehensive high schools.

Parks

See Environmental Setting for Recreation.

Other Public Services

Library Services

The current library is a branch of the Kings County Library.

Significance Criteria

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

The project may result in significant public service impacts if it substantially and adversely alters the delivery or provision of fire protection, police protection, schools, facilitates maintenance and other government services.

Checklist Discussion

- a) **(FIRE) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures (Payment of Impact Fees)** – the increase in population as a result of a physical project for the area will increase demands on the HFD to provide fire protection and emergency services. The development will be subject to Fire Impact fees in order to mitigate the effect of the project on Fire services.
- b) **(POLICE) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures (Payment of Impact Fees)** – the increase in population as a result of a physical project for the area will increase demands on the HPD to provide law enforcement services. The development will be subject to Police Impact fees in order to mitigate the effect of the project on Police services.
- c) **(SCHOOLS) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures (Payment of Impact Fees)** - The City's role in development and managing school sites and programs is limited. The various school districts truly govern where a new school site would be located and when it would be necessary to construct or expand facilities in order to adequately accommodate population growth. Elected governing school boards are responsible for budgeting and decision-making and the State Department of Education establishes school site and construction standards. The General Plan provides policy which focus on collaboration with school districts in determining new school locations and utilizing school facilities for general public needs. School districts would be able to utilize the General Plan along with other plans, standards, and codes to establish new school sites and to make decisions on school amenities and cohesiveness with the surrounding area. The development will be subject to School Impact fees in order to mitigate the effect of the project on schools.
- d) **(PARKS) Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures** – See Recreation.
- e) **(OTHER) Less than significant impact – Libraries** – there is not a requirement or standard for the number or size of a library based on a city's population. Policies encourage residents to utilize the library's resources. Therefore, a significant impact is not anticipated.

Mitigation Measures:

MM Public Services 1: That the development of the project will be subject to Fire Impact Fees.

MM Public Services 2: That the development of the project will be subject to Police Impact fees.

MM Public Services 3: That the development of the project will be subject to School Impact Fees.

Conclusion

The project can be served by existing public services. Impact fees will be required of development.

Sources: 2017 General Plan and General Plan Update

XV. RECREATION --

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	☐	☐	☒	☐

Environmental Setting

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

School Parks

All school sites have limited public access since their primary purpose is to support the educational mission of the school districts that control their use. There are 16 school sites within the City. The school facilities include athletic fields, conference rooms, gymnasiums, auditoriums, and swimming pools, which are open to the public after hours, during the summer, and on weekends for recreational use.

Indoor facilities

The Hanford Parks and Recreation Department also provides a wide array of programs for City residents. The Recreation Department is responsible for coordinating activities for the entire family including special classes, youth programs, and older adult activities, sports for youth and adults, as well as community events. These activities are conducted in a variety of indoor rec. facilities.

City of Hanford Parkland Standard

Combining the City's 188 acres of parkland and 100 acres of school parks, the City has a total of 288 acres of developed parkland that go toward meeting the parkland standard. This does not include regional parks outside the planning area, greenways, private parks, or indoor recreation facilities. Based on the 2013 estimated population of 55,860 for the City of Hanford, the Study Area has approximately 5.2 acres of parkland for every 1,000 residents in the City.

Significance Criteria

The project may create impacts if it creates demand for new expanded parks and recreation facilities or substantially alters existing facilities.

Checklist Criteria

- a) **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures** – The City would be able to utilize the Quimby Act and AB 1600 as a funding mechanism for parkland acquisition along with the General Plan Update and Park Master Plan for guidance and priorities. As permitted in the Quimby Act, local jurisdictions can require the dedication of land for parks and or the payment of in-lieu fees for purchase of parkland. The development proposed under Tentative Tract 929 provides a two-acre park. The General Plan requires a ratio of 3.5 acres of park space per 1,000 residents. By multiplying the number of units proposed (158) by the average number of persons per household (3.11), the project could house approximately 492 residents, which yields a requirement of 1.722 acres of park space. According to the General Plan, mini-parks are between .25 and 1 acre in size and do not contribute to the citywide park ratio goal and are considered an optional addition, and not an alternative to neighborhood and community parks. In accordance with the General Plan, Neighborhood Parks range from 2 to 5 acres. Therefore, the applicant is required to provide a two-acre park for the subdivision.

MM Recreation 1: That the applicant provide a two-acre park for the subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929.

Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

- b) **Less than Significant Impact**– The project includes a two-acre neighborhood park, which will contribute to the City of Hanford's overall open space. Providing park space in accordance with the Quimby Act is a requirement of the General Plan. The project's provision of open space is consistent with the General Plan.

Mitigation Measures

MM Recreation 1: That a two-acre park shall be provided for the subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929.

Conclusion: The project would have a less than significant impact on recreation with the incorporation of mitigation measures.

Source: 2017 General Plan, 2017 General Plan EIR

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	☐	☒	☐	☐
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads of highways?	☐	☒	☐	☐
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	☐	☐	☒	☐
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	☐	☐	☒	☐
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	☐	☒	☐	☐

Environmental Setting

Existing Functional Roadway Classification System

State Freeways and Highways

There are two State Facilities serving the Study Area, namely SR-198 and -43.

Arterial Roads

Hanford's arterial street pattern is generally one-mile spacing between the existing arterials.

Collector Streets

Similar to some arterials, collector streets have evolved from heavy use as opposed to formal development standards.

Local Streets

Local street provide access to individual homes and businesses. Local streets have on lane in each direction. Local streets connect single-family homes and other uses not appropriate adjacent to major roadways, to the arterial-collector network.

Existing Intersections

All of the study intersections are operating at acceptable levels of LOS.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Existing Roadway Segments

Results of the analysis of existing roadway segments show that all of the study roadway segments are currently operating at acceptable LOS.

Bicycle Facilities

The 2011 Kings County Regional Bicycle Plan contains the specific "Bicycle Plan for the City of Hanford." The General Plan and the Bicycle Plan promote the establishment of a shared use roadway system, but encourages newly developing areas to provide for bicycle facilities along major roadways and off-road systems as part of open space and recreation amenities. The 2011 Regional Bicycle Master Plan then goes on to state Policy CI 8.4 of the 2002 General Plan: Bicycle lanes should be established where feasible along Major and Minor Collectors in newly developing areas. A bicycle route system should be identified which serves the existing developed City. This route system may not utilize Arterials or Collectors where travel ways are constrained, but rather parallel streets with less traffic. Where bicycle lanes are proposed they should be considered a shared facility with vehicular traffic on the street.

Mass Transit

Kings Area Rural Transit

Kings County Area Public Transit Agency (KCPTA) is an intra-governmental agency with representatives from Avenal, Kings County, Hanford and Lemoore, and is responsible for the operation of the Kings Area Rural Transit (KART). KART offers scheduled daily bus service from Hanford to Armona, Lemoore, the Lemoore Naval Air Station, Visalia, Corcoran, Stratford, Kettleman City and Avenal.

KART Dial-A-Ride Service

Dial-A-Ride is an origin-to-destination service available to eligible residents of Hanford, Lemoore, Armona and Avenal.

Park-and-Ride lots

Park-and-Ride lots provide a meeting place where drivers can safely park and join carpools or vanpools or utilize existing public transit. Park-and-Ride lots are generally located near community entrances, near major highways or local arterial where conveniently scheduled transit service is provided. Hanford has one Park-and-Ride facility located at the northeastern entrance of the City at 10th Avenue and SR 43.

KART-Vanpool Program

KART defines vanpooling as 7 to 15 persons who commute together in a van-type vehicle and who share the operating expenses. The KART Vanpool Program provides passengers with reliable transportation to and from work. The vanpool program is not only to provide safe travel to work but to provide alternative transportation options, which would ultimately reduce the amount of vehicles on the road.

Rail Service

Amtrak Passenger Service

Amtrak provides passenger rail service from Hanford station to the San Francisco Bay Area and Sacramento, and service to Southern CA by a combination of rail and bus. Freight service is available from both the BNSF Railway and the San Joaquin Valley Railroad. The Amtrak San Joaquin passenger train provides regularly scheduled intercity passenger rail service to Kings County. Stops are made daily at the Hanford and Corcoran stations for each northbound and southbound trains. Stops along the San Joaquin line also include Bakersfield, Wasco, Fresno, Madera, Merced, Turlock, Modesto, Stockton, Antioch, Martinez, Richmond, Emeryville, and Oakland, with connecting bus service to LA, Sacramento, SF, and many other points in Northern and Southern CA. Passengers can transfer to Amtrak Coast Starlight, which continues north to Portland and Seattle.

High Speed Rail

In November 2008, Proposition 1A, a High Speed Rail bond, was passed by California voters. In 2009, the US Department of Transportation through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act program, announced the allocation of \$8 billion to high speed rail projects throughout the US. Of that amount, \$2.24 billion was allocated to California High Speed Rail. In November 2013, the California High Speed Rail Commission identified the preferred route through the

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Planning Area. The selected route, which runs along the eastern edge of Hanford, roughly follows a north-south route near the hgi voltage power lines between 7th and 8th Avenues.

Freight Service

Almost 87% of the total freight tonnage is moved out of the Valley by truck, while rail account for 11%. BNSF and SJVR railroads provide freight service to the Hanford Area. The BNSF mainline is double-tracked through the entire Planning Area. Over time, it is expected that the number of trains using the system will increase as demand for rail service increases. The BNSF railroad currently operates between 50 and 60 trains per day on the system.

Traffic Study Conducted

Per the Public Works Engineering Division, a traffic signal is required to be installed at the intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Avenue at the time of construction of development, unless a focused traffic study is conducted and the analysis determines that the traffic signal does not meet the required warrants with the development of the subdivision. Tentative Tract 929 is proposed to be located directly north of previously approved Tentative Tract 922. The previously approved Tentative Tract 922 was subject to the same requirement. The developer of Tentative Tract 922 opted to conduct a focused traffic study, the results of which determined, a traffic signal at 13th Avenue and Devon Avenue was not warranted. The applicant submitted a revised traffic study incorporating the traffic planned from Tentative Tract 929. On the basis of the traffic study, it has been determined that the traffic signal does not meet the required warrants with the development of Tentative Tract 922 and Tract 929. The traffic study is hereby incorporated by reference and attached to this report.

Conditions of the development include:

1. That all streets within the subdivision shall be developed to residential street standard ST-32, except the following:
 - a. 13th Ave shall be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-18 and as follows:
 1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 10.0.
 2. A geotechnical report shall be submitted to the City Engineer identifying the existing structural section thickness of 13th Avenue, from Devon Street to the northern limits of subdivision, concurrent with the submittal of development improvement plans. Reconstruction of 13th Avenue between Devon Street and the north boundary of subdivision (or another form of mitigation as approved by the City Engineer) will be required if the existing street structural section does not conform to City Standards and Specifications.
 3. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, plus a 16 foot wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12 foot Southbound travel lane and a 4 foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required.
 - b. Devon Street shall be developed as a major collector street, along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23, and as follows:
 1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 8.0.
 2. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction south of the centerline, plus a 12 foot westbound travel lane and 4 foot paved shoulder north of the centerline, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required. (Raised median island not required).

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

3. A left-hand turn pocket will be required for southbound traffic to Devon Street.
4. That the developer shall attempt to acquire additional street right-of-way along the "Existing Residence frontage and make street improvements. City to reimburse for offsite right-of-way and improvement cost.
5. That development is subject to a transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code section 15.48 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards their development impact fee for permanent street improvements constructed by developer within 13th Ave, consisting of curb & gutter, street striping, signing and street paving from gutter lip to edge of the existing 13th Ave street sections. If applicable, developer may also be entitled to credit towards impact fees for supplemental transportation capacity improvements to the existing 13th Ave street section. Transitional paving improvements beyond the development limits, that are not considered to be a permanent improvement and the reconstruction of the existing 13th Ave street section, if required, will not be subject to a credit. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate the cost of reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction. All documentation materials submitted for reimbursement consideration shall be well organized and tabulated for convenient reference by Public Utilities and Engineer staff. Improvement quantities and costs proposed for reimbursement must be clearly identified in the documentation provided, and not combined or aggregated with non-reimbursable subdivision improvement costs. Appropriate graphic exhibits referenced to the subdivision improvement plans shall also be provided as needed to facilitate the reimbursement review process.

Consultation Received:

Consultation was received from Michael Hawkins with Kings County Public Works on August 5, 2019, stating the following, "Kings County requests the following in regards to the annexation and vesting tentative tract map located on 13th Avenue between Grangeville Boulevard and Fargo Avenue.

That the annexation take goes to the right of way line of the west side of 13th Avenue and that left turn pockets be provided for south bound traffic at the entrances to Devon and Cedar Grove Street."

Analysis: The comment was forwarded to the Engineering Division and the project was conditioned, as requested.

Significance Criteria

The project may result in significant transportation/circulation impact if it does the following:

1. Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic loads and capacity of the road system that are inconsistent with adopted standards.
2. Creates traffic conditions which expose people to traffic hazards.
3. Substantially interferes or prevents emergency access to the site or surrounding properties.
4. Conflicts with adopted policies or plans for alternative transportation.

Checklist Discussion

- a) **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Measures (payment of Traffic Impact Fees)** – Traffic improvements in the area will result in a LOS D or above in year 2035, with the proposed future development of the project site and surrounding planned projects. The circulation pattern in the vicinity has been designed to accommodate future build out in the area in accordance with the Circulation Element. The project will have a less than significant cumulative impact on traffic and circulation conditions through appropriate project design and payment of traffic impact fees, as required. Conditions of the subdivision include:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

Conditions of the development include:

1. That all streets within the subdivision shall be developed to residential street standard ST-32, except the following

a. 13th Ave shall be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-18 and as follows:

1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 10.0.
2. A geotechnical report shall be submitted to the City Engineer identifying the existing structural section thickness of 13th Avenue, from Devon Street to the northern limits of subdivision, concurrent with the submittal of development improvement plans. Reconstruction of 13th Avenue between Devon Street and the north boundary of subdivision (or another form of mitigation as approved by the City Engineer) will be required if the existing street structural section does not conform to City Standards and Specifications.
3. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, plus a 16 foot wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12 foot Southbound travel lane and a 4 foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required.

b. Devon Street shall be developed as a major collector street, along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23, and as follows:

1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 8.0.
2. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction south of the centerline, plus a 12 foot westbound travel lane and 4 foot paved shoulder north of the centerline, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required. (Raised median island not required).
3. A left-hand turn pocket will be required for southbound traffic to Devon Street.
4. That the developer shall attempt to acquire additional street right-of-way along the "Existing Residence" frontage and make street improvements. City to reimburse for offsite right-of-way and improvement cost.
5. That development is subject to a transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code section 15.48 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards their development impact fee for permanent street improvements constructed by developer within 13th Ave, consisting of curb & gutter, street striping, signing and street paving from gutter lip to edge of the existing 13th Ave street sections. If applicable, developer may also be entitled to credit towards impact fees for supplemental transportation capacity improvements to the existing 13th Ave street section. Transitional paving improvements beyond the development limits, that are not considered to be a permanent improvements and the reconstruction of the existing 13th Ave street section, if required, will not be subject to a credit. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate the cost of reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction. All documentation materials submitted for reimbursement consideration shall be well organized and tabulated for convenient reference by Public Utilities and Engineer staff. Improvement quantities and costs proposed for reimbursement must be clearly identified in the documentation provided, and not combined or

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
aggregated with non-reimbursable subdivision improvement costs. Appropriate graphic exhibits referenced to the subdivision improvement plans shall also be provided as needed to facilitate the reimbursement review process.				
b) See a.				
c) Less than Significant - The proposed project will not create a change in air traffic patterns or increase traffic levels or change in location that result in substantial safety risks. The project is located approximately 3.3 miles northwest from the nearest municipal airport.				
d) Less than Significant Impact- the development has been reviewed by the various departments to ensure hazardous features are not incorporated into the project.				
e) Less than Significant Impact – the development has been reviewed by the various departments and fire truck access to and through the development has been verified. The applicant has provided the accurate turning radius to accommodate emergency access.				
f) See a.				
Mitigation Measures				
- MM Traffic 1 – That the development is subject to traffic impact fees.				
MM Traffic 2 - Conditions of the development include:				
1. That all streets within the subdivision shall be developed to residential street standard ST-32, except the following:				
a. 13 th Ave shall be developed as a major arterial street along the entire development frontage. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-18 and as follows:				
1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 10.0.				
2. A geotechnical report shall be submitted to the City Engineer identifying the existing structural section thickness of 13th Avenue, from Devon Street to the northern limits of subdivision, concurrent with the submittal of development improvement plans. Reconstruction of 13th Avenue between Devon Street and the north boundary of subdivision (or another form of mitigation as approved by the City Engineer) will be required if the existing street structural section does not conform to City Standards and Specifications.				
3. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction on the east side of 13th Avenue, including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, plus a 16 foot wide concrete curbed and landscaped raised median with a protected southbound left turn lane at Devon Street and Stagecoach Drive, and a minimum 12 foot Southbound travel lane and a 4 foot paved shoulder west of the median, including pavement reconstruction of roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required.				
b. Devon Street shall be developed as a major collector street, along the development frontage of the subdivision. All improvements shall be constructed in conformance with City Standards ST-17 and ST-23, and as follows:				
1. Traffic index used for the design of street structural section shall be a minimum of 8.0.				
2. Street improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the installation of concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, decorative masonry block wall, street lights, half width street construction south of the centerline, plus a 12 foot westbound travel lane and 4 foot paved shoulder north of the centerline.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------	-----------

including pavement reconstruction of existing roadway if applicable, and all street signing, striping and transition paving as required. (Raised median island not required).

3. A left-hand turn pocket will be required for southbound traffic to Devon Street.
4. That the developer shall attempt to acquire additional street right-of-way along the "Existing Residence" frontage and make street improvements. City to reimburse for offsite right-of-way and improvement cost.
5. That development is subject to a transportation mitigation impact fee as required by City Municipal Code section 15.48 and any revisions thereof. Developer shall be entitled to a credit towards their development impact fee for permanent street improvements constructed by developer within 13th Ave, consisting of curb & gutter, street striping, signing and street paving from gutter lip to edge of the existing 13th Ave street sections. If applicable, developer may also be entitled to credit towards impact fees for supplemental transportation capacity improvements to the existing 13th Ave street section. Transitional paving improvements beyond the development limits, that are not considered to be a permanent improvements and the reconstruction of the existing 13th Ave street section, if required, will not be subject to a credit. Developer shall submit competitive bid proposals to substantiate the cost of reimbursable items for City Public Utilities and Engineer Department review and approval prior to beginning construction. All documentation materials submitted for reimbursement consideration shall be well organized and tabulated for convenient reference by Public Utilities and Engineer' staff. Improvement quantities and costs proposed for reimbursement must be clearly identified in the documentation provided, and not combined or aggregated with non-reimbursable subdivision improvement costs. Appropriate graphic exhibits referenced to the subdivision improvement plans shall also be provided as needed to facilitate the reimbursement review process.

Conclusion

The site has been evaluated for traffic-related impacts, with the incorporation of City standards for development and payment of traffic impact fees, the project will have a less than significant impact on traffic.

Source: City of Hanford General Plan and EIR 2017, City of Hanford Municipal Code, Focused Traffic Study – attached

XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project:

a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	☐	☐	☒	☐
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	☐	☐	☒	☐
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	☐	☒	☐	☐
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the projects projected demand in addition to the providers	☐	☐	☐	☒

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
existing commitments?				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the projects solid waste disposal needs?	☐	☐	☒	☐
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	☐	☒	☐	☐

Environmental Setting

Wastewater

The City's wastewater system provides for treatment, disposal, and reuse of effluent, which meets all of the state's discharge requirements for the entire City of Hanford (City). The wastewater system consists of a treatment plant and 21 sanitary sewer lift stations located throughout the City. The treatment facility has a capacity of 8.0 million gallons per day and is located south of Houston Avenue and east of 11th Avenue.

While the City is constantly working to improve and provide adequate services to the population demand, the Irwin Street trunk main has become a priority issue for the City's wastewater system. The Irwin Street trunk main is located south of the Downtown East Precise Plan area and may eventually be undergoing capacity issues. Sections of the trunk line are in poor condition, with adverse grades, inadequate pipe sizing, and near full capacity.

The City's wastewater system has also pursued water conservation strategies to ensure long-term reuse of treated disinfected wastewater for agricultural purposes and to recharge groundwater supplies for agriculture. By doing so, the City accomplishes two important water conservation efforts: 1) the additional supply for the City extends the surface water irrigation season and 2) reduces the need for agricultural pumping of groundwater in an area known to be low in groundwater.

Water Supply

The City's water system is a groundwater system. The City is located within the Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region. Within that region, the City is located within the Tulare Lake Groundwater Subbasin, which transmits, filters, and stores water from the main San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin.

The City's groundwater system consists of 13 supply wells, one standby well, three elevated storage tanks (all three of which have abandoned), one existing 0.5 million gallon ground-level storage tank at the Industrial Park, 3.5 million gallon ground-level storage tanks, and a piping network for distributing the water throughout the City (2 million gallon storage tank at Grangeville and Centennial Drive facility and 1 million gallon storage tank at the Fargo Avenue facility). No surface water is used by the water system as groundwater is contained in both an unconfined and confined aquifer lying beneath the City. Currently, the City maintains 206 miles of main lines and 15,870 service connections, which includes 8-inch to 30-inch pipes with 12-inch mains laid out on an approximately 1-mile grid. Water is pumped from 13 deep wells. The well depth is determined by the water quality, but typically, is drilled to a minimum depth of 1,500 feet and below the Corcoran clay layer.

The City's groundwater supply is recharged by rain and snowfall in the Sierra Nevada range and, to a lesser degree, from rainfall on the Valley floor. In addition, the City, along with the Peoples Ditch Company and the Kings County Water District, deliver excess water flows from the Kings River and storm water runoff into the drainage and slough basins located throughout the City. This, as well as percolation from storm water basins, local waterways, and agricultural irrigation, help to replenish the City's groundwater in surplus years.

Storm Water Drainage

The City is predominantly located within a 500-year Flood Zone as defined by FEMA Flood Insurance Maps. Areas subject to the 500-year flood zone have a moderate to low risk of flooding.

There are two major irrigation ditches that flow through the City. Lakeside Ditch, which is operated and maintained by the Lakeside Water District, and the Peoples Ditch, which is operated and maintained by the Peoples Ditch Company.

The Existing drainage infrastructure within the boundaries covered by the City's Storm Water Management Program

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
includes natural drainage channels, retention basins, natural vegetation, piping, and pump stations. There are numerous areas where storm drainage is controlled via drainage inlets and underground structures. The storm drainage system consists of 30 pump stations, 57 miles of pipeline ranging in size from 6-inch through 60-inch, and 220 acres of drainage basins and drainage ditches. The storm drainage system removes rainfall from surface streets and disposes the accumulated stormwater in drainage basins. The City, in cooperation with the People's Ditch Company and the Kings County Water District, delivers excess water flows from the Kings River, along with storm water runoff, into the 125 acres of drainage and slough basins located throughout the City to help replenish the groundwater. Some of this acreage is located within the City's park facilities. Solid Waste Disposal The City's solid waste and recycling services are provided by the Kings Waste Recycling Authority (KWRA). The current KWRA facility is located at 7803 Hanford-Armona Road, southeast of the City near SR 43 and 198 and operates as a solid waste disposal and recycling facility. The responsibilities of the KWRA include the siting, permitting, financing, construction, and operation of landfills, as well as a Material Recovery Plan and Transfer Station. The KWRA also ensures all activities and waste diversion goals required by the State at the closure, post-closure monitoring, and liabilities of all identified former landfills in Kings County. The KWRA is the leading contributor to helping the City meet the State's recycling goals. Refuse from both municipal and commercial haulers is sorted at the KWRA facility to recover a variety of recyclable materials. Once waste is separated from recyclable materials, it is then hauled by transfer trucks from the Material Recovery Facility to the State-permitted 320-acre Chemical Waste Management Landfill site in Kettleman Hills. The landfills at the Kettleman Hills Facility are designed for municipal solid waste, which encompasses household and commercial trash. The facility is permitted to receive a maximum of 2,000 tons of municipal solid waste per day. The City has instituted a greenwaste collection mixed recycle collection program for single-family residential customers. Dry Utilities Gas and Electric Service The City's main electricity providers are Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Southern California Edison Company. Within the Study Area, PG&E provides power to sites south of Iona Avenue and north of Flint Avenue via 12 kv and 70kv lines. SCE supplies power to sites north of Iona Avenue and south of Flint Avenue via 12 kv and 66kv lines. Communication Systems AT&T and Comcast are currently available in Hanford. AT&T provides telephone services that include ISDN and all other necessary high-technological services. Many cellular and long-distance services are also available. Comcast, Dish Network, and Direct TV provide television services as well as internet access. Consultation Received: Consultation was received from Michael Wilson with AT&T on July 22, 2019, stating, "ATT will serve residences with conduit fiber cables. Feed from east, on n/s Devon. 13th Avenue poles to remain, or will relocate with Edison as required by City mandate. Request relocate and not underground for 13th Avenue poles." Thresholds of Significance The project may result in significant impacts on utilities and service systems if it substantially and adversely alters the delivery of utilities or substantially increases the demand for utilities. Checklist Discussion - Less than significant - the City's Wastewater Treatment Facility is currently up-to-date with all wastewater treatment requirements set forth by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. The City's WWTF would continue to comply with the requirements set forth by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, as required by law. - Less than Significant – Under the General Plan Update it was determined that planned improvements and expansion development through various goals and policies will assist in providing wastewater services to the				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
study area, as development continues. The current capacity of the WWTF is designed to accommodate 8 mgd, which is expected to provide adequate services to population growth for the foreseeable future. c) Less than Significant – The project has been reviewed by the Public Works department to ensure stormwater drainage is adequately addressed through conditions of approval. d) Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures - Future population growth in the area would create an increase in water usage. Water supply demand was addressed under the Urban Water Management Plan, which concluded that the Tulare Lake Groundwater subbasin would continue to reliably supply water to meet the City's projected water demands through the year 2035. This would be made possible through the implementation of water conservation goals and policies established in the General Plan Update. e) No Impact. The project will not require a determination by a wastewater agency. f) Less than Significant – the City of Hanford will provide for solid waste collection and disposal for the proposed project site, when developed. The City has achieved a 50% diversion rate from the landfill and has incorporated a green waste program and recycling at the Materials Recycling Facility. g) Less than Significant impact with Mitigation Measures – that the future project be required to comply with all statutes and regulations related to solid waste. Mitigation Measure: Mitigation Measure Utilities 1: That the future development would be required to implement water conservation measures. Mitigation Measure Utilities 2: that the future project be required to comply with all statutes and regulations related to solid waste. Conclusion Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporation - Impacts to utilities and services are considered less than significant with compliance with all statutes and regulations related to water usage and solid waste. Source: 2017 General Plan and General Plan EIR, State of California Department of Water Resources, Cal Recycle 2015				

XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE --

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	☐	☒	☐	☐
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	☐	☒	☐	☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Less than Significant - Based on the analysis provided in the initial study, the project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels or threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of rare or endangered plants or animals. b) Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation- Based on the analysis provided, the project would not result in any significant cumulative impacts relative to other current projects, or the effects of probable future projects. c) Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation - Based on the analysis provided, the project will not have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.				

Gabrielle de Silva Myers
Gabrielle de Silva Myers
Senior Planner

October 15, 2019
Date

This section addresses the project's potential to contribute to cumulative impacts in the region, CEQA Guidelines Section 15355 defines cumulative impacts as two or more individual effects that, when considered together, are considerable or which compound or increase other environmental impacts. The individual effects may be changes resulting from a single project or separate projects. The cumulative impact from several projects is the change in the environment that results from the incremental impact of the project when added to other closely related past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects.

Cumulative Setting

The cumulative setting for the proposed project area includes the development of this project and previously approved projects, Tentative Tract 922, Tract 918 and 919 in the area.

Impact Analysis

Aesthetics

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation - All impacts to aesthetics are anticipated to be less than significant with mitigation measures for light sources from new projects including this project, and past projects. Several sections of the Hanford Municipal Code regulate physical development by controlling not only the appearance of new development, but also by controlling the placement of new development with consideration for surrounding uses. This project and former projects in the area will be held/have been held to the appropriate development standards of the Hanford Municipal Code to mitigate impacts to aesthetics – therefore, the impact to aesthetics would be less than significant with mitigation incorporation.

Agriculture and Forest Resources

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation - The General Plan EIR analyzed the impacts of the City's urban growth on agricultural land and included mitigation measures to reduce those impacts, however, impacts to agricultural lands remain significant and unavoidable. A Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted for the impacts to agricultural lands.

This project, the development of Annexation 156 under Tentative Tract 929, and the development of the previously approved projects in the area are consistent with the General Plan, for which a statement of overriding considerations was adopted for impacts to agricultural lands, therefore, the impact is considered less than significant with mitigation measures, such as the recording of a Right-to-Farm for all residential developments within a 1-mile radius of agricultural land.

Air Quality

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation – This project, the development of Annexation 156 under Tentative Tract 929, and the development of the previously approved projects in the area will not create or result in any significant air quality impacts, all projects are required to be developed consistent with the Air Quality Element.

Biological Resources

Less than Significant – the project area and surrounding project areas contains no natural and undisturbed areas that may be considered habitat.

Cultural Resources

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation – the Tachi Yokut Tribe was consulted for this project and surrounding projects, in accordance with AB 52. Through concerns were cited in previous entitled projects, conditions of approval for all projects are in place to mitigate the effect on cultural resources. As a general condition of approval, mitigation measures, that the applicant enter into a burial treatment plan with the Tribe and that if sensitive resources are discovered, construction halt and the proper officials be contacted, will mitigate cultural resources impacts to a less than significant level.

Geology and Soils

Less than Impact with Mitigation Measures - This project, the development of Annexation 156 area under Tentative Tract 929, and the development of the previously approved projects in the area on geology and

soils would be mitigated by compliance with the California building code, a geotechnical and soil studies (if required), and compliance with the Municipal Code Section 15.52.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures – the cumulative projects would contribute to GHG emissions, which is inherently a cumulative issue. The emissions during construction would be short-term as a result of fossil fuel burning construction equipment. Since the impacts are short-term and the contribution to GHG emissions would be minor compared to the State's GHG emission target of 427 MMTCO₂ eq by 2020, the construction-related GHG emissions of the project would be considered less than significant. The operational emission from the projects would be indirect emissions from electricity usage. Compliance with current building code standards will assist in the reduction of energy use. The emissions are considered less than significant with mitigation incorporation.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Less than Significant – The projects are not expected to have a significant impact as a result of hazards or hazardous materials.

Hydrology/Water Quality

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation – the projects will be developed in accordance with City requirements specific to hydrology and water quality. Mitigations have been required on a project by project basis.

Land Use Planning and Population

Less than Significant -The projects are being developed consistent with the General Plan policy. This project and existing projects in the area have been developed consistent with the General Plan.

Mineral Resources

No Impact - there are no known mineral resources in the City.

Noise

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation- this project and future existing projects within the area are required to meet the decibel requirement prescribed by the General Plan for Noise. Construction-related noise would be mitigated through the limitation of hours construction is permitted (between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m.). Full build out of the General Plan would possibly result in a maximum increase of 2 decibels when compared to existing conditions. According to the Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement, the average healthy ear can barely perceive noise level changes of 3 dBA. As a result, it is anticipated that full buildout of the General Plan, including development of this site, would not result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.

Population and Housing

Less than Significant - The projects will induce population growth in the area by proposing residential development. The projects are consistent with the density allowed in the General Plan, which planned for population growth. This projects are considered an implementation of the General Plan, for which a Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted, due to substantial population growth.

Public Services

Less than Significant with Payment of Impact Fees to Mitigate Effect -The residential projects in the vicinity are subject to impact fees to mitigate the effect on public services.

Recreation

Less than Significant with Payment of Impact Fees to Mitigate Effect - development of residences will impact recreation facilities, however, the impact will be mitigated through the payment of park impact fees and the development of park space.

Transportation/Traffic

Less than Significant with Payment of Impact Fees and Future Road Improvements to Mitigate Effect –The circulation pattern in the vicinity has been designed to accommodate future build out in the area in accordance with the Circulation Element. The projects will have a less than significant cumulative impact on traffic and circulation conditions through appropriate project design and payment of traffic impact fees, as required.

Utilities and Service Systems

Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation – Impacts to utilities and services are considered less than significant with compliance with existing State and local water conservation measures. This project and future projects in the area have been accounted for and can be served by the City's utilities and service systems.

Annexation 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Tentative Tract 929
Mitigation Measures
Mitigated Negative Declaration 2019-36

Mitigation Number	Potential Impact	Mitigation Measure	Responsible Party
AESTHETICS			
MM Aesthetics 1	The project could substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	That the applicant develop the project consistent with the General Plan, Hanford Municipal Code, and Tree Ordinance.	Developer
MM Aesthetics 2	The project may create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	That the development comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 17.50.140 Outdoor Lighting Standards and the California Building Code for outdoor lighting standards.	Developer
AGRICULTURE RESOURCES			
MM Agriculture 1	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	That a right-to-farm provision be recorded with the recording of the final subdivision map(s) to insure that future residents of the homes in the project are aware of the adjacent agricultural uses and their right to continue to operate.	Developer
AIR QUALITY			

MM Air Quality 1:	The project may conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	That the applicant complies with the SJVAPCDC Air Quality Plan and obtains any necessary permits through the SJVAPCD.	Developer to file application with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
MM Air Quality 2:	The project could potentially violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	That effective dust control must be maintained on the job site at all times in order to reduce the risk of valley fever to workers and nearby residents. More information regarding the prevention of work related valley fever is available at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/hesis/Documents/CoccidiFact.pdf and http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohb/Documents/OccCocci.pdf . Contact the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for more information on dust control techniques.	Developer
MM Air Quality 3	The project could potentially result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	The project is subject to District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. The applicant is required to submit an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application to the District prior to issuance of a building permit.	Developer
CULTURAL RESOURCES			
MM Cultural Resources 1-4	The project could potentially cause a change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to Public Resources Code 15064.5?	MM Cultural Resources 1: That a Burial Treatment Plan be entered to by the applicant/property owner prior to any earth disturbing activities. MM Cultural Resources 2: In order to assist in the recognition of cultural resources, a training session for all construction crew members should be conducted in advance of the initiation of any construction activities at the site. The training session will provide information on recognition of artifacts, human remains, and cultural deposits to help in the recognition of potential issues.	Developer to coordinate with the Tachi Yokut Tribe; Developer or representative to notify archeologist or coroner of

	The project could potentially disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s) MM Cultural Resources 4: In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area suspected to overlie adjacent remains until the Kings County Coroner has determined that the remains are not subject to any provisions of law concerning investigation of the circumstances, manner and cause of death, and the recommendations concerning the treatment and disposition of the human remains have been made to the person responsible for the excavation or to his or her authorized representative.	discovery (if uncovered)
MM Cultural Resources 3	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	MM Cultural Resources 3: If artifacts, exotic rock, shell or bone are uncovered during the construction, work should stop in that area immediately. A qualified archeologist should be contacted to examine and evaluate the deposit, and consult with the appropriate Native American group(s)	Developer or representative to notify archeologist of discovery (if uncovered)
GEOLOGY AND SOILS			
MM Geology 1:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or	That the development of the project complies with the applicable General Plan policies, as well as the California Building Code.	City of Hanford must ensure conditions are set forth to mitigate impacts; Developer to comply with standards

	that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		
MM Geology 2:	That the project may expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: - strong seismic ground shaking; - seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	That a geotechnical and soil studies be prepared as a required by the Building Official (if applicable).	Building Official to require; developer to conduct study
MM Geology 3:	That the project could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	That the physical development of the project comply with the Hanford Municipal Code Section 15.52 Flood Damage Prevention Regulation and the California Building Code, along with the plan check and development review process.	City to require; developer to comply
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS			
MM Hazards 1	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the	If hazardous materials at or above threshold reporting quantities (55 gallons of a liquid, 500 pounds of a solid, or 200 cubic feet of a gas) will be kept on site during the construction phase, a Hazardous Materials	Developer

	environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	Business Plan must be filed online at http://cers.calepa.ca.gov within 30 days of beginning operations. Hazardous materials are broadly defined, and include fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, motor vehicle batteries, welding gases, paints, solvents, glues, agricultural chemicals, etc. Please contact our office if you require assistance with the online registration process. Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact the Kings County Environmental Health Department at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	
MM Hazards 2	That the project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? That the Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	Any quantities of hazardous wastes generated by the construction operation must be managed in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Hazardous wastes cannot be disposed of into the municipal waste stream or onsite sewage disposal system. The owner/operator must contact our office at with any questions regarding proper management and reporting of hazardous wastes, such as waste oil/filters, associated with this operation.	Developer
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
MM Hydrology 1 & 2	The project could potentially violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. That the project could	1) All new development that disturbs more than one acre is required to comply with the General Permit Order No. 2012-006-DWQ during construction. Proponents of new development would have to develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) that specifies best management practices (BMPs) to prevent construction pollutants from contacting stormwater, with the intent of keeping all	City to require; Developer to provide

	potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	products of erosion from moving off-site and into receiving waters; eliminate or reduce non-stormwater discharges to storm sewer systems and other waters of the United States; and inspect all BMPs; 2) New development would be required to implement appropriate minimum control measures (MCMs) and design standards in compliance with Phase II General Permit, as outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan, as well as the City's grading plan and site development requirements.	
MM Hydrology 3	The project could potentially substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	New development must submit grading plans. Site development must comply with the requirements of the City Building Division and incorporate best management practices/design standards.	City to require; Developer to provide
MM Hydrology 4	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	New development would have to incorporate best management practices and adhere to design standards to maximize the reduction of pollutant loadings in runoff to the maximum extent practical.	City to require; Developer to provide

NOISE				
MM Noise 1:	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	Comply with applicable regulations and policies of the General Plan to ensure that construction-related impacts would be attenuated to the greatest extent feasible.	Residents and developer; Police to enforce	
MM Noise 2 & 3:	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? The project could cause a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels existing without the project?	Construction is limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	Developer; Police to enforce	
PUBLIC FACILITIES				
MM Public Facilities 1:	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Fire)	The project will be subject to fire impact fees.	Developer to pay	
MM Public Facilities 2	The project may result in substantial adverse	The project will be subject to police impact fees.	Developer to pay	

	physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Police)		
MM Public Facilities 3	The project may result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. (Schools)	That the development of the project will be subject to School Impact Fees.	Developer to pay
RECREATION			
MM Recreation 1	The project could potentially increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	That a two-acre park shall be provided for the subdivision proposed under Tentative Tract 929.	City to require; developer to provide
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS			
MM Utilities 1	Would the project have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or	That the future development would be required to implement water conservation measures.	City to require and ensure compliance; developer and future occupants

	expanded entitlements needed?		to adhere
MM Utilities 2:	Would the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes related to solid waste?	That the future project be required to comply with all statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	City to require; developer to provide

Exhibit A

Consultation Received

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

Gabrielle Myers

From: Diana Black
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 12:01 PM
To: Gabrielle Myers
Subject: FW: Pre-Consultation Notice (ANX 156; PZ 2019 03; TT 927)

Diana Black

Development Services Technician
 City of Hanford Planning Division
 317 N. Douty Street
 Hanford, CA 93230
 (559) 585-4768
 FAX: (559) 583-1633
 TDD/TYY, Dial 711

From: WILSON, MICHAEL A [mailto:MW7046@att.com]
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 12:00 PM
To: Diana Black <DBlack@cityofhanfordca.com>
Subject: RE: Pre-Consultation Notice (ANX 156; PZ 2019-03; TT 927)

ATT will serve residences with conduit fiber cables. Feed from east, on n/s devon.

13th ave poles to remain, or will relocate with Edison as required by city mandate. Request relocate and not underground for 13th ave poles.

Michael Wilson

Manager / Engineer
 (559) 739-6423

From: Diana Black <DBlack@cityofhanfordca.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:52
To: 9-1-1 DISPATCH <kris.zuniga@co.kings.ca.us>; AMERICAN AMBULANCE <tcook@americanambulance.com>; SLICMAILBOX <SLICBOX@att.com>; WILSON, MICHAEL A <MW7046@att.com>; Tom Webb <TWebb@cityofhanfordca.com>; CA DEPT of WATER RESOURCES - (delia.grijalva@water.ca.gov) <delia.grijalva@water.ca.gov>; CALTRANS <gail.miller@dot.ca.gov>; CALTRANS-Lorena mendibles <lorena.mendibles@dot.ca.gov>; CALTRANS-Scott Lau <scott.lau@dot.ca.gov>; CHAMBER OF COMMERCE <hanfordchamber@comcast.net>; COMCAST <galenraymond@comcast.net>; DRAINAGE DISTRICT <info5@waterboards.ca.gov>; Chris Ekk <CEkk@cityofhanfordca.com>; HANFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT <jbaker@hesd.k12.ca.us>; HESD-Superintendent <jgabler@hanfordesd.org>; HJUHSO <wfishbough@hjuhsd.org>; HJUHSO-Renee Creech <rcreech@hjuhsd.k12.ca.us>; Joshua Tullsen <jtullsen@cityofhanfordca.com>; KINGS CO ASSESSOR'S OFFICE <michelle.maldonado@co.kings.ca.us>; KINGS CO BOARD OF REALTORS <admin@kcbor.com>; KINGS CO HEALTH <trov.hommerding@co.kings.ca.us>; KINGS CO HEALTH <lee.johnson@co.kings.ca.us>; KINGS CO PLANNING <chuck.kinney@co.kings.ca.us>; KINGS CO PUBLIC WORKS <mike.hawkins@co.kings.ca.us>; MAIN STREET HANFORD <shelly@mainstreethanford.com>; PEOPLE'S DITCH <ahemans.peoplesditch@yahoo.com>; PG&E <r3hd@pge.com>; PG&E Steve Sisemore <stsq@pge.com>; John Doyel <JDoyel@cityofhanfordca.com>; SCE

<david.loftin@sce.com>; SJVAPCD <CEQA@vallevair.org>; SO CAL GAS CO <dkemp@semprautilities.com>; TACHI TRIBE
<hfranco@tachi-yokut-nsn.gov>; Mike Cosenza <MCosenza@cityofhanfordca.com>
Subject: Pre-Consultation Notice (ANX 156; PZ 2019-03; TT 927)

Please see the attached notice, and reply as requested.

Diana Black

Development Services Technician
City of Hanford Planning Division
317 N. Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230
(559) 585-4768
FAX: (559) 583-1633
TDD/TYY, Dial 711

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)



COUNTY OF KINGS

***DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC WORKS***

*Kings County Government Center
1400 W. Lacey Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230
Phone: (559) 852-2690
FAX: (559) 582-2506*

Kevin J. McAlister, Director

August 5, 2019

City of Hanford
319 N. Douty Street
Hanford, CA. 93230

Re: Annexation 156; Prezone 2019-03; Vesting Tentative Tract 929

Kings County requests the following in regards to the annexation and vesting tentative tract map located on 13th Avenue between Grangeville Boulevard and Fargo Avenue.

That the annexation take goes to the right of way line on the west side of 13th Avenue and that left turn pockets be provided for south bound traffic at the entrances to Devon and Cedar Grove Streets.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Hawkins".

Michael Hawkins
Kings County Public Works



San Joaquin Valley
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT



August 15, 2019

Gabrielle Myers
City of Hanford
319 N Douty Street
Hanford, CA 93230

**Project: Annexation 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Vesting Tentative Tract Map 929
for Woodside Homes**

District CEQA Reference No: 20190943

Dear Ms. Myers:

The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) has reviewed the above referenced project consisting of a request to (1) annex 40.53 acres into the City of Hanford, (2) prezone the land to be annexed as Low-Density Residential, and (3) subdivide 39.75 acres into 158 residential lots (Project). The project site is located at the northeast corner of Devon Street and 13th Avenue, in Hanford, CA. The District offers the following comments:

1. Significance Impact for Annual Criteria Pollutants Emissions – The Project specific annual emissions of criteria pollutants are not expected to exceed any of the following District significance thresholds: 100 tons per year of carbon monoxide (CO), 10 tons per year of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), 10 tons per year of reactive organic gases (ROG), 27 tons per year of oxides of sulfur (SOx), 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 10 microns or less in size (PM10), or 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 2.5 microns or less in size (PM2.5). Therefore, the District concludes that the Project would have a less than significant impact on air quality when compared to the above-listed annual criteria pollutant emissions significance thresholds.
2. District Rule 9510 is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. The Project is subject to District Rule 9510 as it exceeds 50 residential units. When subject to the rule, an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application is required prior to applying for project level approval from a public agency. In this case, if not already done, the project proponent is to immediately submit an AIA application to the District to comply with District Rule 9510.

Samir Sheikh
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95358-8718
Tel: (209) 557-8400 FAX: (209) 557-8475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6081

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: (661) 392-5500 FAX: (661) 392-5585

The District recommends that demonstration of compliance with District Rule 9510, before issuance of the first building permit, be made a condition of Project approval. Information about how to comply with District Rule 9510 can be found online at: <http://www.valleyair.org/ISR/ISRHome.htm>. The AIA application form can be found online at: <http://www.valleyair.org/ISR/ISRFormsAndApplications.htm>.

3. District Rule 4002 (National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants) - In the event an existing building will be renovated, partially demolished or removed, the Project may be subject to District Rule 4002. This rule requires a thorough inspection for asbestos to be conducted before any regulated facility is demolished or renovated. Information on how to comply with District Rule 4002 can be found online at: <http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/asbestosbultn.htm>.
4. Regulation VIII (Fugitive PM10 Prohibitions - The Project will be subject to Regulation VIII. The project proponent is required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan prior to commencing any earthmoving activities as described in District Rule 8021 – *Construction, Demolition, Excavation, Extraction, and Other Earthmoving Activities*. Information on how to comply with Regulation VIII can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/PM10/compliance_PM10.htm
5. Other District Rules and Regulations – The above list of rules is neither exhaustive nor exclusive. For example, the Project may be subject to the following District rules, including: Rule 4102 (Nuisance), Rule 4601 (Architectural Coatings), and Rule 4641 (Cutback, Slow Cure, and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving and Maintenance Operations). To identify other District rules or regulations that apply to this Project or to obtain information on the District's permit requirements, such as an Authority to Construct (ATC), the Project proponent is strongly encouraged to contact the District's Small Business Assistance Office at (559) 230-5888 or e-mail SBA@valleyair.org. Current District rules can be found online at the District's website at: www.valleyair.org/rules/1ruleslist.htm.
6. Potential Air Quality Improvement Measures - The District encourages the following air quality improvement measures to further reduce Project related emissions from construction and operation. A complete list of potential air quality improvement measures can be found online at: <http://www.valleyair.org/ceqaconnected/aqimeasures.aspx>.
 - a. Cleaner Off-Road Construction Equipment – To reduce impacts from construction related exhaust emissions, the District recommends feasible mitigation for the project to utilize the cleanest reasonably available off-road

construction fleets, as set forth in §2423 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations, and Part 89 of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations. This can be achieved through any combination of uncontrolled engines and engines complying with Tier III and above engine standards.

- b. Improve Walkability Design – This measure is to improve design elements to enhance walkability and connectivity. Improved street network characteristics within a neighborhood include street accessibility, usually measured in terms of average block size, proportion of four-way intersections, or number of intersections per square mile. Design is also measured in terms of sidewalk coverage, building setbacks, street widths, pedestrian crossings, presence of street trees, and a host of other physical variables that differentiate pedestrian-oriented environments from auto-oriented environments.
- c. Improve Destination Accessibility – This measure is to locate the project in an area with high accessibility to destinations. Destination accessibility is measured in terms of the number of jobs or other attractions reachable within a given travel time, which tends to be highest at central locations and lowest at peripheral ones. The location of the project also increases the potential for pedestrians to walk and bike to these destinations and therefore reduces the (vehicle miles traveled) VMT.
- d. Increase Transit Accessibility – This measure is to locate the project with high density near transit which will facilitate the use of transit by people traveling to or from the Project site. The use of transit results in a mode shift and therefore reduced VMT. A project with a residential/commercial center designed around a rail or bus station, is called a transit-oriented development (TOD). The project description should include, at a minimum, the following design features:
 - A transit station/stop with high-quality, high-frequency bus service located within a 5-10 minute walk (or roughly ¼ mile from stop to edge of development), and/or
 - A rail station located within a 20 minute walk (or roughly ½ mile from station to edge of development)
 - Fast, frequent, and reliable transit service connecting to a high percentage of regional destinations
 - Neighborhood designed for walking and cycling
- e. Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement - Design elements, mitigation measures, and compliance with District rules and regulations may not be sufficient to reduce project-related impacts on air quality to a less than


significant level. In such situation, project proponents may enter into a Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement (VERA) with the District to reduce the project related impact on air quality to a less than significant level. A VERA is a mitigation measure by which the project proponent provides pound-for-pound mitigation of air emissions increases through a process that funds and implements emission reduction projects. A VERA can be implemented to address impacts from both construction and operational phases of a project.

7. The District recommends that a copy of the District's comments be provided to the Project proponent.

If you have any questions or require further information, please call Georgia Stewart at (559) 230-5937 or e-mail Georgia.Stewart@valleyair.org. When calling or emailing the District, please reference District CEQA number 20190943.

Sincerely,

Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services



Brian Clements
Program Manager

AM: gs

Local Agency Formation Commission OF KINGS COUNTY

GREGORY R. GATZKA, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

MAILING ADDRESS: 1400 W. LACEY BLVD., HANFORD, CA 93230

OFFICES AT: ENGINEERING BUILDING, KINGS COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, HANFORD
(559) 852-2670 • FAX: (559) 584-8989 • WWW.KINGSLAFCO.COM

City of Hanford
Planning Division
Attn: Gabrielle Myers, Senior Planner
317 North Douty St.
Hanford, CA 93230

August 23, 2019

SUBJECT: Consultation Notice – Annexation 156, Prezone 2019-03 and Vesting Tentative Tract No. 929

Dear Gabrielle;

The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) has received the City's consultation notice for Annexation 156, Prezone 2019-03 and Vesting Tentative Tract No. 929, and we appreciate this opportunity to comment on this project. In our review of the project, I want to inform you that LAFCO will ultimately serve as a Responsible Agency under CEQA for Annexation 156.

The Local Agency Formation Commission of Kings County (LAFCO) is governed by the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 ("Act," Govt. Code Section 56000 *et seq.*). Under the Act, LAFCO is required to make determinations regarding a proposal for changes of organization or reorganization (Govt. Code Section 56880). The Act also established the factors which LAFCO must consider in making its determinations, including any policies adopted by LAFCO to create planned, orderly and efficient patterns of development (Govt. Code Section 56668). Because of this role and pursuant to Section 21069 of the Public Resources Code, LAFCO is a responsible agency for the future annexation of the unincorporated County land to the City of Hanford. Additionally and pursuant to Section 15086 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, LAFCO is responsible for reviewing and providing comments on the environmental documents prepared for this annexation.

The environmental document prepared for Annexation 156 should address the impacts and any necessary mitigation, including but not limited to the annexation process. In particular, the environmental document should address the factors as identified in Government Code Section 56668. One item in particular to note is that the analysis of impacts to agricultural lands for the environmental document being prepared for Annexation 156 should describe not only those lands categorized on the Department of Conservation's Important Farmland Map, but also those lands that fall within the LAFCO definition of prime agricultural land (Government Code Section 56064). If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact me at (559) 852-2674.

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
OF KINGS COUNTY



Chuck Kinney, Assistant Executive Officer

h:\lafco\projects\hanford initial pre-consultation comments for annexation 156\hanford annexation 156 initial comment.doc

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

Gabrielle Myers

From: Samantha McCarty <SMcCarty@tachi-yokut-nsn.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 3:01 PM
To: Gabrielle Myers
Cc: _SRR Cultural
Subject: Annexation 156, Prezone No. 2019-03, and Vesting Tentative Tract Map 929

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Gabrielle,

The Tribe has concerns about this project's potential to adversely affect unrecorded cultural resources and/or burials. We recommend an archaeological survey, an archaeological record search be completed, as well as contacting the Native American Heritage Commission. The Tribe would like to be notified of all findings. As there are known burials in the vicinity, the Tribe would like all construction staff to have a pre-construction cultural training prior to ground disturbing activities. If there is a positive survey report, the Tribe will recommend further consultation in order to mitigate the effects of this project. Thank you for contacting the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe, please let us know if you have any further questions, comments, or concerns.

Sincerely,

Samantha McCarty

Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi-Yokut Tribe
Cultural Specialist II
SMcCarty@tachi-yokut-nsn.gov
(559)-924-1278 x 4091

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)

Exhibit B
Traffic Study

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)



Mr. Alex Dwiggins
 Zumwalt-Hansen and Associates, Inc.
 609 North Irwin Street
 Hanford, California 93230

August 6, 2019

Subject: Traffic Signal Warrant Study – Tract 929
 Proposed Intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street
 Hanford, California

Dear Mr. Dwiggins:

Introduction

This report presents the results of a traffic signal warrant study for the proposed intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street in Hanford, California. The purpose of this study is to estimate the operation of the intersection considering the volume of vehicles currently traveling on 13th Avenue and the estimated number of trips that will use Devon Street after it is constructed to develop an opinion as to whether the installation of traffic signals is currently appropriate.

Existing Conditions and Proposed Intersection

The intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street does not yet exist. At the study location, 13th Avenue currently consists of one northbound lane and one southbound lane. Devon Street will be constructed by the previously-approved Tract 922 approximately ¼ mile north of Stagecoach Drive and will create a three-legged intersection with 13th Avenue. Tract 922 will construct a left-turn lane on the southbound approach to the intersection and the westbound approach will consist of a left-turn lane and a right-turn lane. Tract 922 will consist of 194 new single-family residences and has been approved. The trips generated by Tract 922 will be included in the warrant analyses.

Tract 929 consists of 158 single-family residences that will be located on approximately 39.62 acres northeast of the intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street. Tract 929 will construct local street connections to both 13th Avenue and Devon Street.

In addition to Tracts 922 and 929, Tract 927 is currently pending. Tract 927 consists of 133 single-family residences that will be located on approximately 24.93 acres northeast of the intersection of 13th Avenue and Grangeville Boulevard. Tract 929 will have connectivity to both 13th Avenue and Grangeville Boulevard.

Existing Traffic Volumes

Twenty-four-hour traffic counts were performed on 13th Avenue by Metro Traffic Data Inc., an independent traffic counting firm. The traffic count data sheets are attached.

Project Trip Generation

Data provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition*, were used to estimate the number of trips anticipated to be generated by Tracts 922, 929, and 927. Tables 1 through 3 present the trip generation information.

Table 1
Trip Generation – Tract 922

Land Use	Units	Daily		A.M. Peak Hour					P.M. Peak Hour				
		Rate	Total	Rate	In:Out	In	Out	Total	Rate	In:Out	In	Out	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing (ITE Code 210)	194	9.44	1,832	0.74	25:75	36	108	144	0.99	63:37	121	71	192

Table 2
Trip Generation – Tract 929

Land Use	Units	Daily		A.M. Peak Hour					P.M. Peak Hour				
		Rate	Total	Rate	In:Out	In	Out	Total	Rate	In:Out	In	Out	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing (ITE Code 210)	158	9.44	1,492	0.74	25:75	29	88	117	0.99	63:37	99	58	157

Table 3
Trip Generation – Tract 927

Land Use	Units	Daily		A.M. Peak Hour					P.M. Peak Hour				
		Rate	Total	Rate	In:Out	In	Out	Total	Rate	In:Out	In	Out	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing (ITE Code 210)	133	9.44	1,256	0.74	25:75	25	74	99	0.99	63:37	83	49	132

Reference: *Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition*, Institute of Transportation Engineers, September 2017
 Rates are reported in trips per dwelling unit. In:Out are percentages of the total.

Intersection Level of Service

The Transportation Research Board *Highway Capacity Manual, 2010* (HCM2010) defines level of service (LOS) as, “A quantitative stratification of a performance measure or measures that represent quality of service, measured on an A-F scale, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions from the traveler’s perspective and LOS F the worst.” Automobile mode LOS characteristics for both unsignalized and signalized intersections are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

Table 4
Level of Service Characteristics for Unsignalized Intersections

Level of Service	Average Vehicle Delay (seconds)
A	0-10
B	>10-15
C	>15-25
D	>25-35
E	>35-50
F	>50

Reference: *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, 2010

Table 5
Level of Service Characteristics for Signalized Intersections

Level of Service	Description	Average Vehicle Delay (seconds)
A	Volume-to-capacity ratio is low. Progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short.	≤10
B	Volume-to-capacity ratio is low. Progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is very short.	>10-20
C	Volume-to-capacity ratio is no greater than 1.0. Progression is favorable or cycle length is moderate.	>20-35
D	Volume-to-capacity ratio is high but no greater than 1.0. Progression is ineffective or cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.	>35-55
E	Volume-to-capacity ratio is high but no greater than 1.0. Progression is unfavorable and cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.	>55-80
F	Volume-to-capacity ratio is greater than 1.0. Progression is very poor and cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.	>80

Reference: *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, 2010

The City of Hanford 2035 General Plan Update Policy T29 designates LOS D or better as the goal within the City's Planned Growth Boundary.

The LOS at the study intersection was calculated using the computer program Synchro 9, which is based on the HCM2010 procedures for calculating LOS. An assumption was made that 100 percent of the Tract 922 trips will use the intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street, which is a conservative assumption and helps to account for any trips that may be generated by homes east of Tract 922. The analyses also include the assumption that 50 percent of the Tract 929 trips will access Devon Street to 13th Avenue, and 35 percent will use 13th Avenue and drive past Devon Street. Finally, the analyses include the assumption that 30 percent of the trips generated by Tract 927 will travel on 13th Avenue past Devon Street.

Table 6 presents the results of the intersection analyses assuming that Tracts 922, 927, and 929 are built. The intersection analysis sheets are attached.

Table 6
Intersection Weekday Peak Hour LOS Summary

Intersection	Approach	Control Type	A.M. Peak Hour		P.M. Peak Hour	
			Delay (sec)	LOS	Delay (sec)	LOS
13 th Avenue / Devon Street	Westbound Left	Stop sign	16.1	C	15.9	C
	Westbound Right	Stop sign	9.8	A	10.4	B
	Northbound	None	-	-	-	-
	Southbound Left	Yield to oncoming	7.8	A	8.3	A
	Southbound Through	None	-	-	-	-

The analyses indicate that the intersection is expected to operate at acceptable levels of service during the peak hours.

Traffic Signal Warrants

The California State Transportation Agency and California Department of Transportation *California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2014 Edition, Revision 4, March 29, 2019* (CMUTCD) presents various criteria (warrants) for determining the need for traffic signals. The CMUTCD states that an engineering study of traffic conditions, pedestrian characteristics, and physical characteristics of the location shall be performed to determine whether installation of a traffic control signal is justified at a particular location.

The CMUTCD states that the investigation of the need for a traffic control signal shall include an analysis of the applicable factors contained in the following traffic signal warrants:

- Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume.
- Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume.
- Warrant 3, Peak Hour.
- Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume.
- Warrant 5, School Crossing.
- Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System.
- Warrant 7, Crash Experience.
- Warrant 8, Roadway Network.
- Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

If one or more of the signal warrants is met, signalization of the intersection may be appropriate. However, a signal likely should not be installed if none or few of the warrants are met since the installation of signals may increase delays on the previously uncontrolled major street and may contribute to an increase in accidents.

In addition, the CMUTCD includes Figure 4C-103(CA) which utilizes estimates of average daily traffic volumes for intersections that do not yet exist.

The results of the warrants analyses are attached and indicate that no warrants are expected to be satisfied at the proposed intersection after the construction of Devon Street plus Tracts 922, 929, and 927.

Discussion


The analyses suggest that the intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street will operate at acceptable levels of service with one-way stop sign control. Warrants for traffic signals are not expected to be satisfied at the intersection after the construction of Devon Street plus Tracts 922, 929, and 927.

Conclusions

The results of the traffic signal warrant study suggest that traffic signals should not be installed at the proposed intersection of 13th Avenue and Devon Street based on the cumulative trips expected to be generated by Tracts 922, 929, and 927.

Thank you for the opportunity to perform this study. Please feel free to contact our office if you have any questions.

PETERS ENGINEERING GROUP


John Rowland, PE, TE



Attachments: Traffic Count Data Sheets
Intersection Analysis Sheets
Traffic Signal Warrants Analysis

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA SHEETS



Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20
Hanford, CA 93230
800-975-6938 Phone/Fax
www.metrotrafficdata.com

24 Hour Count Report


Prepared For: Peters Engineering Group
952 Polasky Avenue
Clovis, CA 93612

STREET 13th Avenue
SEGMENT North of Stagecoach Drive
COLLECTION DATE Thursday, January 18, 2018
NUMBER OF LANES 2

LATITUDE 36.347620°
LONGITUDE -119.690986°
WEATHER Clear

	Northbound					Southbound					Hourly
Hour	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	Totals
12:00 AM	2	2	0	0	4	2	1	3	2	8	12
1:00 AM	1	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	6
2:00 AM	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	2	6
3:00 AM	1	0	1	1	3	0	2	0	1	3	6
4:00 AM	3	1	3	5	12	3	1	5	9	18	30
5:00 AM	3	4	5	6	18	6	9	16	12	43	61
6:00 AM	16	17	16	26	75	16	16	30	36	98	173
7:00 AM	21	23	39	43	126	40	64	86	68	258	384
8:00 AM	47	43	11	13	114	67	37	19	26	149	263
9:00 AM	14	10	14	12	50	19	20	25	13	77	127
10:00 AM	19	25	24	21	89	17	16	31	12	76	165
11:00 AM	14	8	19	28	69	15	14	11	22	62	131
12:00 PM	24	21	19	18	82	18	23	21	22	84	166
1:00 PM	15	26	20	21	82	16	24	18	21	79	161
2:00 PM	32	33	45	30	140	41	24	27	31	123	263
3:00 PM	63	33	35	39	170	21	17	33	29	100	270
4:00 PM	37	47	49	40	173	11	32	28	34	105	278
5:00 PM	55	32	35	21	143	33	21	31	20	105	248
6:00 PM	27	17	18	16	78	25	19	16	13	73	151
7:00 PM	28	23	25	19	95	12	16	7	9	44	139
8:00 PM	17	16	15	12	60	13	13	11	8	45	105
9:00 PM	16	12	9	5	42	6	9	5	7	27	69
10:00 PM	3	9	11	8	31	6	5	4	1	16	47
11:00 PM	4	3	6	2	15	5	0	2	3	10	25
Total	51.1%				1678	48.9%				1607	
3285											

AM% 41.5% AM Peak 437 7:15 am to 8:15 am AM P.H.F. 0.87
PM% 58.5% PM Peak 318 4:15 pm to 5:15 pm PM P.H.F. 0.90



INTERSECTION ANALYSIS SHEETS

1: 13th Ave & Devon St
HCM 2010 TWSC

Existing Plus Project-AM
08/06/2019

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	↵	↵	↵	↵	↵	↵
Traffic Vol, veh/h	101	49	184	34	17	324
Future Vol, veh/h	101	49	184	34	17	324
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	0	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	115	56	209	39	19	368
Major/Minor						
	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	635	229	0	0	248	0
Stage 1	229	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	406	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	443	810	-	-	1318	-
Stage 1	809	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	673	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	437	810	-	-	1318	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	437	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	809	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	664	-	-	-	-	-
Approach						
	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	14		0		0.4	
HCM LOS	B					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt						
		NBT	NBR	WBLn1	WBLn2	SBL SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	437	810	1318	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.263	0.069	0.015	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	16.1	9.8	7.8	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	C	A	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	1	0.2	0	-

Synchro 9 Report

1: 13th Ave & Devon St
HCM 2010 TWSC

Existing Plus Project-PM
08/06/2019

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	2.8						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	↰	↱	↑		↰	↑	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	67	34	240	113	58	167	
Future Vol, veh/h	67	34	240	113	58	167	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	0	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	76	39	273	128	66	190	
Major/Minor							
	Minor1		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	659	337	0	0	401	0	
Stage 1	337	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	322	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	429	705	-	-	1158	-	
Stage 1	723	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	735	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	405	705	-	-	1158	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	405	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	723	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	693	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach							
	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	14		0		2.1		
HCM LOS	B						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt							
			NBT	NBRWBLn1	WBLn2	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	-	405	705	1158	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	0.188	0.055	0.057	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	-	15.9	10.4	8.3	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	-	C	B	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	-	0.7	0.2	0.2	-

Synchro 9 Report

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS ANALYSIS




Figure 4C-2. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (70% Factor)

(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)




Figure 4C-3. Warrant 3, Peak Hour




Figure 4C-4. Warrant 3, Peak Hour (70% Factor)
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)




Figure 4C-5. Warrant 4, Pedestrian Four-Hour Volume




Figure 4C-6. Warrant 4, Pedestrian Four-Hour Volume (70% Factor)




Figure 4C-7. Warrant 4, Pedestrian Peak Hour






Figure 4C-8. Warrant 4, Pedestrian Peak Hour (70% Factor)



**Figure 4C-9. Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing
(One Approach Lane at the Track Crossing)**



**Figure 4C-10. Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing
(Two or More Approach Lanes at the Track Crossing)**




Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet (Sheet 1 of 5)

COUNT DATE 1-18-18
 CALC JR DATE 2-5-19
 CHK _____ DATE _____
 DIST CO RTE PM
 Major St: 13TH AVE
 Minor St: DEVON ST
 Critical Approach Speed > 40 mph
 Critical Approach Speed _____ mph

Speed limit or critical speed on major street traffic > 40 mph. ☒ **RURAL (R)**
 In built up area of isolated community of < 10,000 population. ☐ **URBAN (U)**

NOTE: WB TO NB
RIGHT TURNS
EXCLUDED

WARRANT 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular VolumeSATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒

(Condition A or Condition B or combination of A and B must be satisfied)

Condition A - Minimum Vehicle Volume100% SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒80% SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒

NEGLECT SB LEFT
LANE, BUT VOLUME
INCLUDED

SB LEFT T VOLUME	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS (80% SHOWN IN BRACKETS)				80% SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒											
	U	R	U	R												
APPROACH LANES	1		2 or More													
Both Approaches Major Street	500 (400)	350 (280)	600 (480)	420 (336)	0600-0700 295	0700-0800 506	0800-0900 304									Hour
Highest Approach Minor Street	150 (120)	105 (84)	200 (160)	140 (112)	0900-1000 101	1000-1100 101	1100-1200 101									

RIGHT-TURN
LANE EXCLUDED
& VOLUME
EXCLUDED

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic100% SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒80% SATISFIED YES ☒ NO ☐

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS (80% SHOWN IN BRACKETS)					80% SATISFIED YES ☒ NO ☐															
		U	R			U	R													
APPROACH LANES	1		2 or More																	
Both Approaches Major Street	750 (600)	525 (420)	900 (720)	630 (504)	0645-0745	0745-0845	0845-0945	0945-1045	1045-1145	1145-1245	1245-1345	1345-1445	1445-1545	1545-1645	1645-1745	1745-1845	Hour			
Highest Approach Minor Street	75 (60)	53 (42)	100 (80)	70 (56)	457	457	424	509	528	537	546	428								

Combination of Conditions A & BSATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒

REQUIREMENT	CONDITION	✓	FULFILLED
TWO CONDITIONS SATISFIED 80%	A. MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME		Yes ☐ No ☒
	AND, B. INTERRUPTION OF CONTINUOUS TRAFFIC	✓	
AND, AN ADEQUATE TRIAL OF OTHER ALTERNATIVES THAT COULD CAUSE LESS DELAY AND INCONVENIENCE TO TRAFFIC HAS FAILED TO SOLVE THE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS			Yes ☐ No ☒

The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet (Sheet 2 of 5)

WARRANT 2 - Four Hour Vehicular Volume

Record hourly vehicular volumes for any four hours of an average day.

SATISFIED* YES ☐ NO ☒

APPROACH LANES	One	2 or More	0700-0800	0800-0900	0900-1000	1000-1100	Hour
Both Approaches - Major Street	☒	☐	506	528	537	546	
Higher Approach - Minor Street	☒	☐	101	67	67	67	

*All plotted points fall above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-1. (URBAN AREAS)	Yes ☐	No ☐
<u>OR</u> , All plotted points fall above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-2. (RURAL AREAS)	Yes ☐	No ☒

WARRANT 3 - Peak Hour
 (Part A or Part B must be satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

PART A

(All parts 1, 2, and 3 below must be satisfied for the same one hour, for any four consecutive 15-minute periods)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒

1. The total delay experienced by traffic on one minor street approach (one direction only) controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds four vehicle-hours for a one-lane approach, or five vehicle-hours for a two-lane approach; <u>AND</u>	Yes ☐	No ☒
2. The volume on the same minor street approach (one direction only) equals or exceeds 100 vph for one moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for two moving lanes; <u>AND</u>	Yes ☒	No ☐
3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with four or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with three approaches.	Yes ☒	No ☐

PART B

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☒

APPROACH LANES	One	2 or More	0700-0800	Hour AM
Both Approaches - Major Street	☒	☐	506	559
Higher Approach - Minor Street	☒	☐	101	101

The plotted point falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-3. (URBAN AREAS)	Yes ☐	No ☐
<u>OR</u> , The plotted point falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-4. (RURAL AREAS)	Yes ☐	No ☒

The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet (Sheet 3 of 5)

WARRANT 4 - Pedestrian Volume
(Parts 1 and 2 Must Be Satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

Part 1 (Parts A or B must be satisfied)

Hours -->

A.	Vehicles per hour for any 4 hours				
	Pedestrians per hour for any 4 hours				

Figure 4C-5 or Figure 4C-6
SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

Hours -->

B.	Vehicles per hour for any 1 hour				
	Pedestrians per hour for any 1 hour				

Figure 4C-7 or Figure 4C-8
SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

Part 2

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

<u>AND</u> , The distance to the nearest traffic signal along the major street is greater than 300 ft	Yes ☐ No ☐
<u>OR</u> , The proposed traffic signal will not restrict progressive traffic flow along the major street.	Yes ☐ No ☐

WARRANT 5 - School Crossing
(Parts A and B Must Be Satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

Part A

Gap/Minutes and # of Children

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

Gaps vs Minutes	Minutes Children Using Crossing	Hour
	Number of Adequate Gaps	
School Age Pedestrians Crossing Street / hr		

Gaps < Minutes YES ☐ NO ☐

AND Children > 20/hr YES ☐ NO ☐

<u>AND</u> , Consideration has been given to less restrictive remedial measures.	Yes ☐ No ☐
--	--

Part B

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

The distance to the nearest traffic signal along the major street is greater than 300 ft	Yes ☐ No ☐
<u>OR</u> , The proposed signal will not restrict the progressive movement of traffic.	Yes ☐ No ☐

The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet (Sheet 4 of 5)

WARRANT 6 - Coordinated Signal System
(All Parts Must Be Satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS	DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIGNAL	
≥ 1000 ft	N _____ ft, S _____ ft, E _____ ft, W _____ ft	Yes ☐ No ☐
On a one-way street or a street that has traffic predominantly in one direction, the adjacent traffic control signals are so far apart that they do not provide the necessary degree of vehicular platooning.		Yes ☐ No ☐
OR, On a two-way street, adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning and the proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation.		

WARRANT 7 - Crash Experience Warrant
(All Parts Must Be Satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

Adequate trial of alternatives with satisfactory observance and enforcement has failed to reduce the crash frequency.		Yes ☐ No ☐	
REQUIREMENTS	Number of crashes reported within a 12 month period susceptible to correction by a traffic signal, and involving injury or damage exceeding the requirements for a reportable crash.	Yes ☐ No ☐	
5 OR MORE			
REQUIREMENTS	CONDITIONS	Yes ☐ No ☐	
ONE CONDITION SATISFIED 80%	Warrant 1, Condition A Minimum Vehicular Volume		
	OR, Warrant 1, Condition B Interruption of Continuous Traffic		
	OR, Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume Condition Ped Vol $\geq$ 80% of Figure 4C-5 through Figure 4C-8		

WARRANT 8 - Roadway Network
(All Parts Must Be Satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

MINIMUM VOLUME REQUIREMENTS	ENTERING VOLUMES - ALL APPROACHES	✓	FULFILLED
1000 Veh/Hr	During Typical Weekday Peak Hour _____ Veh/Hr and has 5-year projected traffic volumes that meet one or more of Warrants 1, 2, and 3 during an average weekday.		Yes ☐ No ☐
	OR During Each of Any 5 Hrs. of a Sat. or Sun _____ Veh/Hr		
CHARACTERISTICS OF MAJOR ROUTES		MAJOR ROUTE A	MAJOR ROUTE B
Hwy. System Serving as Principal Network for Through Traffic			
Rural or Suburban Highway Outside Of, Entering, or Traversing a City			
Appears as Major Route on an Official Plan			
Any Major Route Characteristics Met, Both Streets		Yes ☐ No ☐	

The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet (Sheet 5 of 5)

WARRANT 9 - Intersection Near a Grade Crossing
(Both Parts A and B Must Be Satisfied)

SATISFIED YES ☐ NO ☐

PART A A grade crossing exists on an approach controlled by a STOP or YIELD sign and the center of the track nearest to the intersection is within 140 feet of the stop line or yield line on the approach. Track Center Line to Limit Line _____ ft	Yes ☐ No ☐
PART B There is one minor street approach lane at the track crossing - During the highest traffic volume hour during which rail traffic uses the crossing, the plotted point falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-9. Major Street - Total of both approaches: _____ VPH Minor Street - Crosses the track (one direction only, approaching the intersection): _____ VPH X AF (Use Tables 4C-2, 3, & 4 below to calculate AF) = _____ VPH OR, There are two or more minor street approach lanes at the track crossing - During the highest traffic volume hour during which rail traffic uses the crossing, the plotted point falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-10. Major Street - Total of both approaches: _____ VPH Minor Street - Crosses the track (one direction only, approaching the intersection): _____ VPH X AF (Use Tables 4C-2, 3, & 4 below to calculate AF) = _____ VPH	Yes ☐ No ☐

The minor street approach volume may be multiplied by up to three following adjustment factors (AF) as described in Section 4C.10.

- 1- Number of Rail Traffic per Day _____ Adjustment factor from table 4C-2 _____
- 2- Percentage of High-Occupancy Buses on Minor Street Approach _____ Adjustment factor from table 4C-3 _____
- 3- Percentage of Tractor-Trailer Trucks on Minor Street Approach _____ Adjustment factor from table 4C-4 _____

NOTE: If no data is available or known, then use AF = 1 (no adjustment)

**Figure 4C-103 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet
(Average Traffic Estimate Form)**

COUNT DATE 1-18-18
 CALC SR DATE 8-5-19
 CHK _____ DATE _____
 Major St: 13TH AVE Critical Approach Speed 240 mph
 Minor St: DEVON Critical Approach Speed _____ mph

Speed limit or critical speed on major street traffic > 40 mph..... ☒ }
 In built up area of isolated community of < 10,000 population..... ☐ } **RURAL (R)**
☐ } **URBAN (U)**

(Based on Estimated Average Daily Traffic - See Note)

URBAN.....		RURAL <u>X</u>		Minimum Requirements EADT			
CONDITION A - Minimum Vehicular Volume							
Satisfied _____ Not Satisfied <u>X</u>				Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)		Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)	
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach				Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
Major Street	Minor Street						
1.....	1.....			8,000	5,600	2,400	1,680
2 or More.....	1.....			9,600	6,720	2,400	1,680
2 or More.....	2 or More.....			9,600	6,720	3,200	2,240
1.....	2 or More.....			8,000	<u>5,600</u>	3,200	<u>2,240</u>
				<u>NO</u>		<u>NO</u>	
CONDITION B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic							
Satisfied _____ Not Satisfied <u>X</u>				Vehicles Per Day on Major Street (Total of Both Approaches)		Vehicles Per Day on Higher-Volume Minor Street Approach (One Direction Only)	
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach				Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
Major Street	Minor Street						
1.....	1.....			12,000	8,400	1,200	850
2 or More.....	1.....			14,400	10,080	1,200	850
2 or More.....	2 or More.....			14,400	<u>18,480</u>	1,600	<u>1,120</u>
1.....	2 or More.....			12,000	<u>8,400</u>	1,600	<u>1,120</u>
				<u>NO</u>		<u>YES</u>	
Combination of CONDITIONS A + B							
Satisfied _____ Not Satisfied <u>X</u>				2 CONDITIONS 80%		2 CONDITIONS 80%	
No one condition satisfied, but following conditions fulfilled 80% or more..... <u>NO</u> <u>NO</u>				<u>NO</u>		<u>NO</u>	

Note: To be used only for NEW INTERSECTIONS or other locations where it is not reasonable to count actual traffic volumes.

The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Chapter 4C - Traffic Control Signal Needs Studies
Part 4 - Highway Traffic Signals

DEVON: 2 PEAK HRS = 251
Assume 20% of DAILY
DAILY = 1255

EXIST 24-HR ON 13TH = 3285

922: 916

929: 895

927: 377

November 7, 2014

TOTAL: 5,473

MINOR					MAJOR		NB+SB		EB or WB						
Period	EB	WB	NB	SB	Projects	Combined Major	Greatest Minor								
00:00			2	2	122										
00:15			2	1		134	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
00:30			0	3		132	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
00:45			0	2		130	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
01:00			1	1	122	128	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
01:15			1	0		127	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
01:30			1	0		125	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
01:45			0	1		126	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
02:00			0	0	122	128	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
02:15			2	0		129	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
02:30			0	1		129	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
02:45			2	1		128	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
03:00			1	0	122	129	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
03:15			0	2		129	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
03:30			1	0		128	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
03:45			1	1		133	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
04:00			3	3	131	133	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
04:15			1	1		131	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
04:30			3	5		140	0	NO	NO		NO	NO			
04:45		101	5	9		152	101	NO	NO		NO	NO			
05:00			3	6	132	155	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
05:15			4	9		166	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
05:30			5	16		179	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
05:45		101	6	12		183	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
06:00			16	16	122	206	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
06:15			17	16		216	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
06:30			16	30		251	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
06:45		101	26	36		295	101	NO	NO		NO	YES			
07:00			21	40	122	324	101	NO	NO		YES	YES		YES	
07:15			23	64		378	101	YES	NO		YES	YES		YES	
07:30			39	86		457	101	YES	NO		YES	YES		YES	
07:45		101	43	68		506	101	YES	NO		YES	YES		YES	
08:00			47	67	122	559	101	YES	NO		YES	YES		YES	
08:15			43	37		582	101	YES	NO		YES	YES		YES	
08:30			11	19		457	101	YES	NO		YES	YES		YES	
08:45		101	13	26		263	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
09:00			14	19	122	304	101	NO	NO		YES	YES		YES	
09:15			10	20		254	101	NO	NO		YES	YES		YES	
09:30			14	25		263	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
09:45		101	12	13		249	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
10:00			19	17	122	252	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
10:15			25	16		263	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
10:30			24	31		279	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
10:45			21	12		287	0	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
11:00		101	14	15	122	280	101	NO	NO		YES	NO		YES	
11:15			8	14		261	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
11:30			19	11		236	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	
11:45			28	22		253	101	NO	NO		NO	YES		YES	


MINOR				MAJOR		Projects	NB+SB		EB or WB		Major >350?	Minor >125?	100% Satisfied?	Major >280?	Minor >84?	80% Satisfied?	
Period	EB	WD	NB	SB	Combined Major		Greatest Minor										
12:00			24	18	265												
12:15			21	23													
12:30			19	21													
12:45		67	18	22			431	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
13:00			15	16	265												
13:15			26	24			420	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
13:30			20	18			426	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
13:45		67	21	21			424	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
14:00			32	41	265												
14:15			33	24			426	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
14:30			45	27			468	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
14:45		67	30	31			475	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
15:00			63	21	265												
15:15			33	17			509	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
15:30			35	33			528	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
15:45		67	39	29			528	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
16:00			37	11	265												
16:15			47	32			535	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
16:30			49	28			499	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
16:45		67	40	34			528	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
17:00			55	33	265												
17:15			32	21			537	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
17:30			35	31			543	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
17:45		67	21	20			583	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
18:00			27	25	265												
18:15			17	19			557	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
18:30			18	16			546	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
18:45		67	16	13			513	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
19:00			28	12	265												
19:15			23	16			477	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
19:30			25	7			460	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
19:45		67	19	9			428	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
20:00			17	13	265												
20:15			16	13			416	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
20:30			15	11			404	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
20:45		67	12	8			405	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
21:00			16	6	265												
21:15			12	9			404	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
21:30			9	5			394	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
21:45		67	5	7			378	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
22:00			3	6	265												
22:15			9	5			105	67	NO	NO				YES	NO		
22:30			11	4			362	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
22:45		67	8	1			354	67	YES	NO				YES	NO		
23:00			4	5	265												
23:15			3	0			342	67	NO	NO				YES	NO		
23:30			6	2			334	67	NO	NO				YES	NO		
23:45		67	2	3			321	67	NO	NO				YES	NO		
						314	67	NO	NO				YES	NO			
						315	67	NO	NO				YES	NO			
						312	67	NO	NO				YES	NO			
						301	67	NO	NO				YES	NO			
						294	67	NO	NO				YES	NO			
		67				290	67	NO	NO				YES	NO			


Period	MINOR		MAJOR		Projects	NB+SB		Major >525?	Minor >537	100% Satisfied?	Major >426?	Minor >42?	80% Satisfied?
	EB	WB	NB	SB		Combined Major	Greatest Minor						
00:00			2	2	122								
00:15			2	1									
00:30			0	3									
00:45			0	2									
01:00			1	1	122								
01:15			1	0									
01:30			1	0									
01:45			0	1									
02:00			0	0	122								
02:15			2	0									
02:30			0	1									
02:45			2	1									
03:00			1	0	122								
03:15			0	2									
03:30			1	0									
03:45			1	1									
04:00			3	3	122								
04:15			1	1									
04:30			3	5									
04:45		101	5	9									
05:00			9	6	122								
05:15			4	9									
05:30			5	16									
05:45		101	6	12									
06:00			16	16	122								
06:15			17	16									
06:30			16	30									
06:45		101	26	36									
07:00			21	40	122								
07:15			23	64									
07:30			39	86									
07:45		101	43	68									
08:00			47	67	122								
08:15			43	37									
08:30			11	19									
08:45		101	13	26									
09:00			14	19	122								
09:15			10	20									
09:30			14	25									
09:45		101	12	13									
10:00			19	17	122								
10:15			25	16									
10:30			24	31									
10:45			21	12									
11:00		101	14	15	122								
11:15			8	14									
11:30			19	11									
11:45			28	22									


MINOR		MAJOR		Projects	NB+SB		ED or WB		Major >515?	Minor >515?	100% Satisfied?	Major >420?	Minor >42?	80% Satisfied?	
Period	ED	WB	NB		SB	Combined Major	Greatest Minor								
12:00			24	18	265	431	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
12:15			21	23		420	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
12:30			19	21		426	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
12:45		67	18	22		424	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
13:00			15	16	265	426	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
13:15			26	24		468	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
13:30			20	18		475	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
13:45		67	21	21		509	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
14:00			32	41	265	528	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
14:15			33	24		539	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
14:30			45	27		532	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
14:45		67	30	31		518	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
15:00			63	21	265	535	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
15:15			33	17		499	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
15:30			35	33		528	67	YES	YES			YES	YES	YES	
15:45		67	39	29		537	67	YES	YES			YES	YES	YES	
16:00			37	11	265	543	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
16:15			47	32		583	67	YES	YES			YES	YES	YES	
16:30			49	28		557	67	YES	YES			YES	YES	YES	
16:45		67	40	34		546	67	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES	YES	
17:00			55	33	265	513	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
17:15			32	21		477	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
17:30			35	31		460	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
17:45		67	21	20		428	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
18:00			27	25	265	416	67	NO	YES			YES	YES	YES	
18:15			17	19		404	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
18:30			18	16		407	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
18:45		67	16	13		405	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
19:00			28	12	265	404	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
19:15			23	16		394	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
19:30			25	7		384	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
19:45		67	19	9		378	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
20:00			17	13	265	105	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
20:15			16	13		162	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
20:30			15	11		154	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
20:45		67	12	8		342	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
21:00			16	6	265	334	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
21:15			12	9		321	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
21:30			9	5		314	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
21:45		67	5	7		315	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
22:00			3	6	265	312	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
22:15			9	5		311	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
22:30			11	4		301	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
22:45		67	8	1		294	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
23:00			4	5	265	290	67	NO	YES			NO	YES	YES	
23:15			3	0											
23:30			6	2											
23:45		67	2	3											

Exhibit C
Plan for Services

Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)







Attachment: Attachment 7 - Environmental (Initial Study, Mitigated Neg. Dec. 2019-36) (CD: Annexation 156)



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019

AGENDA SECTION: A

SUBJECT:

City Council Reorganization

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council reorganize and appoint a new Mayor and Vice Mayor to serve a one (1) year term in those positions.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019

AGENDA SECTION: B

SUBJECT:

Fire: Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Hanford and the Tulare-Kings County Regional Hazardous Materials Response Team

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council, by motion, authorize the City Manager to execute the Memorandum of Understanding (attached), which will allow the Hanford Fire Department to become a participating agency in the Tulare-Kings County Regional Hazardous Materials Response Team.

BACKGROUND:

The detailed background to support the staff recommendation for this MOU is contained in the attached Fire Department Briefing. In short, this Agreement outlines the shared responsibilities of the partner agencies to create and maintain a regional hazardous materials response team. This agreement will serve as a supplement to existing mutual aid agreements that will be composed of staff from participating agencies, as available; to provide first responder operational and technical services at the scene of hazardous materials incidents within the jurisdictional areas of the Parties."

The initial term of this Agreement shall be for five years effective July 1, 2019 and ending June 30, 2024. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreement, the Parties may mutually agree to extend this Agreement for additional five-year terms.

In consideration of the City of Hanford transferring ownership of the 2007 Pierce Hazardous Materials Unit to the City of Visalia for use by the Haz-Mat Team, the Parties agree that Hanford shall be credited as contributing \$100,240 or ten (10) years of payments due under the Agreement, whichever occurs first. The City of Hanford's required annual contribution under the MOU shall be first subtracted from this credit amount before any additional amounts shall be owed. In return, the Visalia Fire Department (VFD) shall adopt an applicable cost recovery rate for this vehicle that shall be applied to cost recovery charges for the VFD as it will have the responsibility to maintain and operate the unit on behalf of the Haz-Mat Team. Should the City

of Hanford choose to terminate this Agreement at any point prior to this credit ending, the City of Visalia shall either transfer ownership of the 2007 Pierce Hazardous Materials Unit back to the City of Hanford or pay the City of Hanford the outstanding balance of the credit due under the agreement.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Budget savings of the cost of maintenance and fuel for the 2007 Pierce Quantum Hazardous Materials Vehicle. Cost of participation in the agreement is offset by the value of the Hazardous Materials Vehicle (\$100,240) for up to 10 years.

ATTACHMENTS:

Final Version- 9-6-19- Tulare-Kings Regional Hazardous Materials Team MO...
HANFORD FIRE DEPARTMENT - HAZMAT BRIEFING

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN

THE COUNTY OF KINGS

THE COUNTY OF TULARE

CITY OF DINUBA

CITY OF EXETER

CITY OF FARMERSVILLE

CITY OF HANFORD

CITY OF LEMOORE

CITY OF LINDSAY

CITY OF TULARE

CITY OF VISALIA

CITY OF WOODLAKE

FOR THE

TULARE-KINGS COUNTY REGIONAL
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TEAM

INTRODUCTION

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU" or "Agreement") is entered into between Kings County, Tulare County, City of Dinuba, City of Exeter, City of Farmersville, City of Hanford, City of Lemoore, City of Lindsay, City of Tulare, City of Visalia, and City of Woodlake (jointly referred to as "Parties"). The MOU is for the purpose of entering into a cooperative agreement between the agencies listed above to provide technical services at the scene of a hazardous materials incident within the operational areas of the participating agencies within Tulare County and Kings County. The agencies signing this Agreement have determined that a multi-agency regional team provides an efficient and cost-effective method to provide such services under the terms of this MOU.

By commitment of resources as described in this MOU, the agencies will develop the Tulare-Kings County Regional Hazardous Materials Team, ("Haz-Mat Team") which will serve to provide for response to incidents involving hazardous materials to the best of the participating agencies' collective abilities and capabilities. The Haz-Mat Team will be a resource that is on-call when needed for the participating agencies, if resources are available, and will not circumvent the requesting agency's statutory authority.

The City of Visalia, through the City of Visalia Fire Department ("VFD") will serve as the lead agency for the Haz-Mat Team. As the lead agency the VFD will be primarily responsible for housing the Haz-Mat Team equipment, schedule training on a regional basis, accounting for the Haz-Mat Team funding, cost recovery efforts as specified in this Agreement, assembling and scheduling the available personnel for each Haz-Mat Team response.

1. PURPOSE

This Agreement is to create and maintain a regional hazardous materials response team, as a supplement to existing mutual aid agreements, that will be composed of staff from participating agencies, as available, to provide first responder operational and technical services at the scene of hazardous materials incidents within the jurisdictional areas of the Parties.

To the extent that the Parties previously operated under cost sharing agreements with the City of Visalia for hazardous materials response, this agreement is intended to replace those prior cost sharing agreements.

2. NO SEPARATE ENTITY

The Parties agree that the purpose of this Agreement may be accomplished without creating a separate entity from the Parties. The Parties to this Agreement are hereby expressly stating that this Agreement does not and is not intended to create a separate joint powers agency or any other type of entity separate and apart from the Parties to this Agreement.

Parties agree that the employees of each respective participating local agency remain the employees of that participating local agency and this Agreement does not make the Parties responsible in any way for the employees of another participating local agency.

3. DUTIES OF PARTIES

The Parties to this Agreement hereby agree that each will undertake the following duties and responsibilities:

- A. Each Party shall take steps, to the extent its resources permit, to train and certify at least one person qualified to provide Hazardous Materials Technician services or four persons qualified to provide First Responder Operational decontamination services.
- B. Each Party, may, at its discretion, acquire and maintain material and supplies necessary to provide equipment and support Haz-Mat Team operations.
- C. Each Party shall contribute funds, or materials, as specified in Exhibit A to this Agreement in order to financially support the costs associated with equipping and maintaining the Haz-Mat Team. Each Party shall pay its required share on or before October 1, of each year, either in a lump sum or in a payment schedule arranged with the lead agency. Failure to pay shall be considered a breach of this Agreement.
- D. This Agreement is intended to state an agreement by participating agencies to cooperate in responding to hazardous materials incidents but each Party acknowledges that this Agreement does not negate or alter its obligation to respond to any such incident occurring within its jurisdictional boundaries.
- E. At the sole discretion of each participating agency, a Party under this Agreement may request the Haz-Mat Team respond to the scene of a hazardous material incident within the participating agency's jurisdiction.
- F. Under the direction of the jurisdiction having legal scene management authority and responsibility, the Haz-Mat Team shall have control of all matters pertaining to the containment and decontamination of a hazardous materials incident until relieved of responsibility by the requesting Party or by the legal scene manager.
- G. VFD as the designated lead agency, shall, at its discretion and based on operational requirements, request Parties send trained personnel as part of a Haz-Mat Team response. Parties shall not be required to respond to a request for resources if it does not have sufficient personnel or equipment to respond at the time the request is made.

- H. Except as agreed to under this Agreement, each Party agrees to be solely responsible for the costs of their personnel, resources administration, training, and emergency response within its respective jurisdiction.
- I. Parties agree that if the Haz-Mat Team responds to a jurisdiction that is not a Party to this Agreement, then the VFD, as the agent for the Haz-Mat Team, shall bill that jurisdiction the applicable cost recovery for the Haz-Mat Team response. Cost recovery to local agencies may be offset by any amount collected from the party responsible for causing the hazardous material incident, if any, under available statutory law for cost recovery. Cost recovery among Parties with personnel on the responding Haz-Mat Team will be based on the applicable cost rate for the respective personnel involved.

4. INDEMNIFICATION

The Parties to this Agreement recognize the statutory immunities stated in Government Code Sections 850 et seq., Health and Safety Code Section 25400 and 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(d)(2) from liability, claims, actions, costs damages or losses, including death or injury to any person and/or damage to property to each other and third parties for personal injury, including death, and property damage arising out of acts or omissions, including the active or passive negligence, which may occur while the respective departments of the Parties take action in response to hazardous material incidents under this Agreement. These immunities, or any other governmental immunity, that do not extend to liability for personal injury, including death, and property damage arising out of any act or omission of any party to this Agreement which act or omission is performed in a grossly negligent manner or to liability for any injury or damage which is a result of bad faith, gross negligence or intentional misconduct, as those terms are defined or used under the statutory immunities cited above are referred to herein as “gross negligence or intentional misconduct.”

When the statutory liability immunities cited above apply there shall be no obligation by any Party to this Agreement to indemnify any other Party pursuant to this section of the Agreement.

Where any liability, claims, actions costs, damages or losses of any kind, including death or injury to any person and/or damage to property, (referred to as “claims” herein) are asserted by any third party or Party to this Agreement to have arisen out of any action or omission of a Party to this agreement which act or omission is or alleged to have been gross negligence or intentional misconduct by such Party, the Party or Parties whose actions which are alleged to have been gross negligence or intentional misconduct shall hold harmless, defend and indemnify the other Parties to this Agreement from and against any liability, claims actions, costs, damages or losses of any kind, including death or injury to any person and/or damage to property alleged to have arisen out of gross negligence or intentional misconduct. This indemnification shall include claims or any such liability assignable to the indemnified Parties on the theory or basis of respondeat superior or agency. This indemnification obligation shall continue beyond the term of this Agreement

as to any acts or omissions occurring under this Agreement or any extension of this Agreement. Parties agree that if proportional liability is determined for incidents described in this paragraph, then each shall bear its proportionate cost of any claims respectively attributable based on the applicable facts and circumstances. Parties shall indemnify/hold harmless other Parties that may have been named or involved in the action for any costs or damages incurred in this paragraph its respective proportionate liability.

To the extent that the paragraphs above do not apply to any claims that any third party or entity asserts to have arisen out of the activities or omissions, including active or passive negligence, of a Party whose employees or equipment were included in a response by the Haz-Mat Team under this Agreement, then the Party that has jurisdiction over the location of the incident and that requests the Haz-Mat Team shall hold harmless, assume the defense of, and indemnify the other Parties to this Agreement from and against such claims. This indemnification shall include claims or any such liability assignable to the indemnification parties on the theory or basis of respondeat superior or agency. This indemnification obligation shall continue beyond the term of the Agreement as to any acts or omissions occurring under this Agreement or any extension of this Agreement.

In any instance where claims name multiple Parties, then the Party with the majority of the employees in the Haz-Mat Team that responded to the incident shall assume the lead in defense unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

Each Party shall notify the other participating agencies in writing of any claims, administrative actions, or legal actions with respect to any of the matters described in this indemnification provision within five (5) business days of becoming aware of a claim or action. The Parties shall cooperate in the defense of such actions brought by others with respect to the matters covered in this Agreement. Nothing set forth in this Agreement shall establish a standard of care for, or create any legal rights in, any person not a party to this Agreement.

The provisions of this indemnification clause are intended to be interpreted as broadly as permitted by applicable law. This provision shall survive the termination, expiration, or cancellation of this Agreement.

5. INSURANCE

It is understood and agreed that each Party does and shall maintain insurance policies or self-insurance programs to fund their respective potential liabilities, including potential liability arising out of their personnel responding to hazardous materials incidents and the ownership, maintenance, operation, and use of equipment or procedures used in responding to hazardous materials incidents. Each Party agrees that their respective insurance policies or self-insurance policies shall be modified as necessary to include reasonably foreseeable liability arising out of this Agreement.

Each Party is responsible for providing all required worker's compensation benefits and administering worker's compensation for its employees.

Each Party hereby grants to the other Parties and the other Parties' respective officers, officials, employees, and volunteers a waiver of any right to subrogation in regards to the activities referenced in this Agreement which any insurer of the waiving Party may acquire against the other Parties and/or other Parties' respective officers, officials, employees, and volunteers by virtue of the payment of any loss under insurance maintained by the waiving Party. Each Party shall obtain the endorsement(s) necessary to effect this waiver of subrogation, but this provision applies regardless of whether or not the waiving Party obtain and provides the required endorsement(s) to the other Parties.

6. COST RECOVERY AMONG PARTIES

Cost recovery fees will not be charged to Parties. The only type of cost recovery that will be undertaken when the Haz-Mat Team responds to an incident in the jurisdiction of a Party will be to seek reimbursement from those persons and entities responsible for the incident under applicable state or local laws permitting cost recovery. Participating Parties agree to cooperate with one another in cost recovery.

The City of Visalia shall coordinate and monitor cost recovery efforts. Each Party shall provide Visalia with the applicable cost recovery rates for its personnel that serve on the Haz-Mat Team. For purposes of cost recovery, the Parties shall designate City of Visalia, acting through the VFD, as their agent to seek cost recovery of personnel that responded as part of the Haz-Mat Team.

Within thirty days of a hazardous materials incident each Party shall provide VFD with a record of all costs of resources, personnel, and equipment, deployed to the hazardous materials incident.

City of Visalia, as the designated agent under this Agreement, shall collect through cost recovery efforts all amounts expended by the Parties under this Agreement. Each Party shall cooperate with VFD in collection efforts for incidents that occur within their respective jurisdictional boundaries. All cost recovery revenue shall be reimbursed to the Party that incurred the expense. All Parties agree to account for Haz-Mat Team expenses incurred by their respective agency in an account separate from other revenues and expenditures.

Parties agree that VFD, as the lead agency (and primary location for Haz-Mat Team equipment) shall establish an administrative per incident fee of \$300.00 that will be applied to reimburse VFD the administrative costs of assembling the specific personnel that will be responding to each specific hazardous material incident. This per incident fee will only apply when the VFD coordinates a response by the Haz-Mat to a request for assistance.

7. TERM

The initial term of this Agreement shall be for five years effective July 1, 2019 and ending June 30, 2024. The Parties may mutually agree to extend this Agreement for additional five-year terms.

8. TERMINATION

A Party to this Agreement may voluntarily terminate participation upon one hundred eighty (180) calendar days written notice to all other Parties.

Parties that are in breach of the terms of this Agreement shall be notified in writing and have ninety (90) calendar days from the date of such notice to comply with the terms of the Agreement or its participation will be terminated.

Upon the effective termination date, any required contributions under this Agreement that were paid in advance shall be prorated and potentially refunded based on the number of months during the applicable fiscal year that a Party is involved in this Agreement.

Notwithstanding a Party's withdrawal or termination, that Party may continue to receive cost recovery reimbursements as provided under this Agreement for costs incurred while the Party operated under this Agreement and provided personnel or equipment to a hazardous material incident prior to termination.

9. ADDITIONAL PARTIES

Parties agree that additional agencies may join this Agreement at any time. VFD shall notify the existing Parties in writing of any agencies that seek to be added to this Agreement. The notice by VFD shall include any draft cost adjustments to the contributory amounts required under this Agreement that will go into effect with the addition of the new Party. VFD shall reissue the section concerning notice and the revised schedule of costs based on the addition of a new Party and a copy of the signature page of the Agreement signed by the new Party. The additional agency shall be added as a Party to this Agreement unless an existing Party objects within thirty (30) calendar days of being notified of the addition. In case of an objection, all then-current Parties shall meet and decide by a majority vote of currently participating Parties whether to include the additional agency.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding of the Parties. With the exception of Section 9, allowing additional agencies to participate, and Exhibit A, allowing population data to be changed as census data is updated and annual costs to increase by a set amount per year; no changes, modifications, or alternations, shall be effective unless in writing and signed by all Parties.

11. COUNTERPARTS

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

12. NOTICES

Any notice required to be given pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and sent first-class mail to the following addresses:

COUNTY OF KINGS

Clay Smith, Fire Chief
280 Campus Drive
Hanford, CA 93230

COUNTY OF TULARE

Tulare County Fire Department
835 S. Akers Street
Visalia, CA 93277

CITY OF DINUBA

Chad Thompson, Fire Chief
420 E. Tulare Street
Dinuba, CA 93230

CITY OF EXETER

Adam Ennis, City Administrator
100 N. C Street
Exeter, CA 93221

CITY OF FARMERSVILLE

City Manager
909 W. Visalia Road
Farmersville, CA 93223

CITY OF HANFORD

Eric Brotemarkle, Interim Fire Chief
350 W. Grangeville Avenue
Hanford, CA 93230

CITY OF LEMOORE

Fire Chief
711 W. Cinnamon Lane
Lemoore, CA 93245

CITY OF LINDSAY

Lindsay Department of Public Safety
185 N. Gale Hill Avenue
Lindsay, CA 93247

CITY OF TULARE

Luis Nevarez, Fire Chief
800 S. Blackstone Avenue
Tulare, CA 93230

CITY OF VISALIA

Fire Chief
420 N. Burke Street
Visalia, CA 93277

CITY OF WOODLAKE

Ramon Lara, City Administrator
350 N. Valencia Avenue
Woodlake, CA 93286

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their respective authorized officers. The signatories below are hereby affirming, under penalty of perjury, that they have the requisite authority from governing body of the agency they represent to sign this Agreement and bind the local agency that they represent to the terms of this Agreement.

[Signature pages follow]

COUNTY OF KINGS

By: _____
Chairperson, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

By: _____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Approved as to Form

By: _____
County Counsel

COUNTY OF TULARE

By: _____
Chairperson, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

By: _____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Approved as to Form

By: _____
County Counsel

CITY OF DINUBA

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF EXETER

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF FARMERSVILLE

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF HANFORD

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF LEMOORE

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF LINDSAY

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF TULARE

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF VISALIA

By: _____
City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

CITY OF WOODLAKE

By: _____
City Administrator

ATTEST:

By: _____
City Clerk

Approved as to Form

By: _____
City Attorney

Exhibit A Cost Responsibility

The Parties agree that each agency shall be responsible for its respective share of costs to equip and maintain on an annual basis the Haz-Mat Team.

The Parties, by this Agreement have agreed that on an annual basis the Haz-Mat Team should be funded \$72,562.22, which amount shall increase annually at a rate of two percent (2%) unless a different amount is agreed upon in writing by all the Parties to this Agreement.

The Parties agree that their share of the annual cost share shall be based on the respective populations of each jurisdiction compared to the total overall population of all participating jurisdictions. As census data is updated then the Parties agree to update this section of this Agreement based on the most recent census data.

In consideration of the City of Hanford transferring ownership of the 2007 Pierce Hazardous Materials Unit to the City of Visalia for use by the Haz-Mat Team, the Parties agree that Hanford shall be credited as contributing \$100,240 or ten (10) years of payments, whichever occurs first. The City of Hanford annual contribution shall be first subtracted from this amount before any additional amounts are owed. VFD shall adopt an applicable cost recovery rate for this vehicle that shall be applied to cost recovery charges for the VFD as it will have the responsibility to maintain and operate the unit on behalf of the Haz-Mat Team. In case the City of Hanford terminates this Agreement prior to this credit ending, then the City of Visalia shall either return the 2007 Pierce Hazardous Materials Unit or pay the City of Hanford the outstanding balance of the credit listed in this paragraph to retain the vehicle.

Agency	Population	Percentage	Cost Share
Tulare County	144,741	25.3%	\$18,343.39
Kings County	69,348	12.1 %	\$8,788.65
Dinuba	25,328	4.4%	\$3,209.88
Exeter	11,002	1.9%	\$1,394.31
Farmersville	11,358	2.0%	\$1,439.43
Hanford	58,105	10.1%	\$7,363.79
Lindsay	13,358	2.3%	\$1,692.89
Lemoore	26,257	4.6%	\$3,327.62
Tulare	66,967	11.7%	\$8,486.90
Visalia	138,207	24.1%	\$17,515.32
Woodlake	7,891	1.4%	\$1,000.05
Total	531,984	100%	\$72,562.22

* Note that if a city decides not to participate and terminates this agreement then they will be removed from the participating list and cause the applicable cost share of participating agencies to increase. If additional cities agree to participate, then the total population and corresponding share of costs will decrease.



HANFORD FIRE DEPARTMENT

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS UNIT OVERVIEW

HISTORY: The Hanford Fire Department established a Hazardous Materials Response team in 2000 and has maintained approximately 7 personnel trained to respond at the Hazardous Materials "Technician" level.

In 2007, the Hanford Fire Department Took delivery of a Pierce Quantum Hazardous Materials Unit. The unit was purchased using federal funding through the Kings County office of emergency management for roughly \$500,000.

The City of Hanford does have a significant threat from the release of hazardous substances. Our proximity to HWY198, HWY43 and the BNSF railroad tracks as well as our processes conducted in the industrial park necessitate a plan to respond to these potential threats.



PROBLEM: In the twelve years that the Hanford Fire Department has had the Hazardous Materials unit, the department has responded to **64,429** incidents. In the same period of time, the Hazardous Materials Unit has responded to just **14** incidents. Fleet maintenance reported that just since 2015, the maintenance costs for the Hazmat Unit were in excess of **\$24,500**. Fuel and man hours are not calculated in this figure. Additionally, the Hazardous Materials Unit is **NOT** on a replacement plan. A Type I Hazardous Materials response requires **7 trained responders** (Hazardous Materials Technician and/or Specialist) in order to fill critical roles on the scene. The city of Hanford has always struggled to fill these roles and has had to request the assistance of off-duty personnel and the Kings County Fire Department, delaying our ability to take action. The training to reach Technician level is four weeks and specialist is an additional two weeks. Grant funding may be available to cover the training and overtime.

SOLUTION: The Tulare Kings Hazardous Materials Response Team (TKHMRT) will be comprised of members of several of our surrounding City and County fire departments. The team will be led by Visalia Fire Department, who currently maintains and responds as a State Certified Type I Hazardous Materials Team. Each of the jurisdictions listed in the agreement will provide resources and funding in order to ensure sufficient resources respond. The City of Visalia would provide Hazardous Materials Response and coordinate with the jurisdictions to assemble the needed personnel. The City of Hanford would offset annual cost-share funding of approximately \$7400 annually for the next ten years by committing our Hazardous Materials Unit to the cause. The Hanford Fire Department will continue to maintain our level of training, incident scene control and respond to all reports of hazardous materials releases as usual. Escalated and complex incidents will now have a further response from the City of Visalia directly and the TKHMRT for Hazardous Materials Response. This agreement does not absolve us of our obligations to respond but rather augments our responses to provide greater service to our customers and an increase in training, personnel, and safety to the citizens of Hanford and the personnel of Hanford Fire Department.

NOTES: The negotiations for this agreement began nearly a year ago. Former Fire Chief Chris Ekk, former City Manager Darrel Pyle agreed that this agreement would expand our ability to ensure a safe, swift, efficient response to our citizens.



AGENDA STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019

AGENDA SECTION: C

SUBJECT:

Community Development: Consideration of an amendment to Resolution 19-08-R by adoption of Resolution 19-45-R to allow additional cannabis business permits for storefront dispensaries.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council:

- 1) Receive this follow up report on the cannabis permit process;
- 2) Make the determination not to increase the number of cannabis business permits to be issued to storefront dispensaries; and
- 3) Adopt Resolution 19-45-R accordingly.

ALTERNATIVE: If the City Council wishes to increase the number of permits, they would adopt Resolution 19-45-R as amended as follows:

- 1) That the number of storefront dispensaries be increased to three (3) and number of delivery only be decreased to one (1) or as amended by City Council.
- 2) That the City Council direct staff to bring back the award of a cannabis business permit to California Erudite Ventures dba "Herb and Joy", the next highest ranked cannabis company, at a future City Council meeting or as amended by City Council.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

I move to approve Resolution 19-45-R.

BACKGROUND:

On November 5, 2019, the City Council held a public hearing to award the second Cannabis Business Permit to Harvest of Hanford. At the time, there was discussion about the possibility of increasing the number of storefront permits. The discussion centered on the fact that the City had not yet received any applications for delivery only dispensaries. At the conclusion of that Agenda item, pursuant to staff's recommendation, Council directed staff to bring back a Resolution for consideration to allow for the increase in the number of storefront permits.

After consideration by staff including the Police Chief, Community Development Director and City Manager, staff is recommending that the City Council not increase the number of storefront permits. This recommendation is based on the analysis from the City's consultant that the City can accommodate one dispensary for each 25,000 in population. With an existing population estimated at approximately 58,000, two (2) store fronts would serve the existing population base. For reference, it is estimated that it will take approximately nine years before the City reaches 75,000 in population.

Included in the resolution is a staff recommendation to the Council that the existing list be used for the following 24 months and that each January, staff will report to the Council the existing conditions in the City regarding cannabis dispensaries and make a recommendation if any changes are warranted.

Should the City Council wish to award an additional permit, the resolution would need to be amended and direction provided to staff to bring the award(s) of a cannabis permit to the City Council for a public hearing as required in the Municipal Code. Staff would recommend that the next applicant on the list be awarded based on the scores of the applications.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Resolution 19-45-R Number of Cannabis Permits
Exhibit A - Cannabis Dispensary Application Ranking

RESOLUTION NO. 19-45-R**RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HANFORD
AMENDING THE NUMBER OF COMMERCIAL CANNABIS BUSINESS
PERMITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 5.56.080 OF THE HANFORD
MUNICIPAL CODE.**

At regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford duly called and held on December 3, 2019, it was moved by Council Member _____, and seconded by Council Member _____, and carried that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Resolution 17-43-R, 17-59-R, and 19-08-R were adopted establishing a number of Commercial Cannabis Business Permits for the City of Hanford in accordance with Section 5.56.080; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that allowing additional Commercial Cannabis Business Permits is in the best interest of the City of Hanford, while still allowing the City to address potential impacts to health, safety and welfare and to adequately address issues or impacts that may result from the regulation of the Cannabis industry; and,

WHEREAS, it has been determined that large scale cannabis businesses take considerable staff time for review and that the City does not have the staff to process in the same timeline as smaller or single cannabis applications and will be processed separately; and,

WHEREAS, existing permittees of Cannabis permits may process an application for additional cannabis permits, subject to current City regulations and numbers, by submitting an application directly with the Community Development Director and processed as a site plan review, conditional use permit or amendment to existing conditional use permit as required by Title 17 of the City Municipal Code and approved by the Chief of Police; and,

WHEREAS, after awarding Cannabis permits for dispensaries, the City Council wished to consider awarding additional storefront dispensary permits in the future; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Hanford underwent a competitive application process for permits and wishes to establish a list for future permits, if they are considered; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council finds that allowing additional Commercial Cannabis Business Permits is in the best interest of the City of Hanford and, in accordance with 5.56.080, establishes the following limits for the number of permits to be issued. The limitation on the number of permits shall remain in effect until changed by resolution of the City Council:

Cannabis Facilities (subject to award of permit)

- (1) Cultivation: unlimited

- (2) Manufacturing: unlimited
- (3) Transporting: unlimited
- (4) Lab Testing: unlimited
- (5) Distribution: unlimited
- (6) Micro-business: unlimited
- (7) Dispensaries (storefront): Two (2)
- (8) Dispensary (non-storefront): Two (2)
- (9) Cannabis Campus: unlimited (campuses limited to 16 permits year one, 10 permits year 2, and each subsequent year.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the issuance of additional cannabis business permits is subject to the following:

1. That existing cannabis permittees in the City of Hanford may add additional cannabis permits through a streamlined process by paying all application fees for processing by the Community Development Director and Chief of Police and any entitlements required in Title 17.
2. That large scale cannabis business applications may be processed outside the application processing timeline.
3. That the list of eligible cannabis business permits for storefront dispensaries, Exhibit A, shall be good for a period of 24 months.
4. That City staff report to the City Council every January for evaluation if additional cannabis permits are warranted.

Passed and Adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford held on December 3, 2018, by the following vote:

AYES:	Council Member	_____
NOES:	Council Member	_____
ABSTAIN:	Council Member	_____
ABSENT:	Council Member	_____

SUE SORENSON
MAYOR of the City of Hanford

Attest:

SARAH MARTINEZ
CITY CLERK

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF KINGS) ss
CITY OF HANFORD)

I, Sarah Martinez, City Clerk of the City of Hanford, do hereby certify the foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hanford held on the __ day of _____, 2018.

Date: _____

City Clerk

Attachment: Resolution 19-45-R Number of Cannabis Permits (CD: Cannabis Permit Award)

EXHIBIT A



CITY OF HANFORD
Commercial Cannabis Business
Application & Interview Scores

	Business Name	License Type	Total Points Possible	Total Points Adwarded	Score
1.	Harvest of Hanford, LLC	Retail	4,000	3,776	94.41%
2.	California Erudite Ventures dba Herb N' Joy	Retail	4,000	3,734	93.35%
3.	Elemental Green Care Corp dba Elemental Wellness	Retail	4,000	3,662	91.54%
4.	Hanford Facilities, LLC	Retail	4,000	3,642	91.06%
5.	SGI Hanford, LLC dba Authentic 559	Retail	4,000	3,587	89.67%
6.	DP Hanford, LLC	Retail	4,000	3,169	79.23%

Attachment: Exhibit A - Cannabis Dispensary Application Ranking (CD: Cannabis Permit Award)



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: D
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:

City Council Representation Selection

RECOMMENDATION:

The Council review the 2019 list of Council representation on local Boards, Commissions, and Committees and make those appoints for the 2020 calendar year.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Council Representation - 2019

2019 COUNCIL REPRESENTATION

ON BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, COMMITTEES

CITY BOARDS/COMMISSIONS

<u>Parks and Recreation Commission</u> (2nd Monday each month – 5:30 pm)	Art Brieno* Sue Sorensen	Rep Alt
<u>Parking and Traffic Commission</u> (4 th Thursday each month – 5:30 pm)	Sue Sorensen* Francisco Ramirez	Rep Alt
<u>Planning Commission</u> (2nd & 4th Tuesdays each month - 7:00 pm)	John Draxler* Martin Devine	Rep Alt

OTHER STANDING COMMITTEES

<u>League of California Cities</u> (2 nd Thursday, odd months – Executive Committee) (2 nd Thursday, even months – Division Meeting) (Report Meals on City's Form 700)	John Draxler Martin Devine	Rep Alt
<u>Main Street Hanford</u> (Last Monday each month – Org Cte Mtg - Noon) – Board Mtg – 6:15 pm) (Does NOT require Form 700)	Francisco Ramirez Sue Sorensen	Rep Alt
<u>Hanford Chamber of Commerce</u> (2 nd Friday each month – 8:00 am) (Does NOT require Form 700)	Martin Devine Francisco Ramirez	Rep Alt
<u>Kings Economic Development Corporation</u> (Last Monday each month – 11:30 am) (Does NOT require Form 700)	John Draxler Art Brieno	Rep Alt
<u>Kings County Association of Governments</u> (4th Wednesday each month – 4:30 pm) DOES require form 700)	Francisco Ramirez Martin Devine	Rep Alt
<u>Kings County Area Public Transit Agency</u> (4th Wednesday each month – 1:30 pm) DOES require form 700)	Francisco Ramirez Martin Devine	Rep Alt
<u>Kings County Abandoned Vehicle Authority</u> Meets as needed - 4 th Wednesday each month – 4:30 pm DOES require form 700 – give to KCAG)	Francisco Ramirez Martin Devine	Rep Alt
<u>Kings Community Action Organization (KCAO)</u> (3rd Wednesday each month - 4:30 pm) (Does require Form 700)	Art Brieno Francisco Ramirez	Rep Alt
<u>Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)</u> (4th Wednesday each month – 3:30 pm) (Kings County City Selection Commission - Mayor does not appoint) (Does require Form 700 IF appointed by City Selection Committee, otherwise NO)	Martin Devine John Draxler	Rep Alt

Same
Rep

<u>Kings Waste and Recycling Authority (KWRA)</u> (2nd Wednesday each month - 8:00 am) (Does require Form 700)	John Draxler Martin Devine	Rep Alt
<u>Cross-Valley Rail Joint Powers Authority</u> (Meeting dates vary, 1 to 2 per year)	Sue Sorensen Jon Draxler	Rep Alt
<u>Special City Selection Committee</u> <i>San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District</i> Mayor (Meeting dates vary)	Sue Sorensen Martin Devine	Rep Alt
<u>Hanford Fireworks Committee</u> (Meeting dates vary)	Martin Devine Francisco Ramirez	Rep Alt
<u>City County Coordinating Task Force</u> Mayor & Vice-Mayor (As Needed)	Sue Sorensen John Draxler	Rep Alt
<u>Kings County Area Disaster Council</u> (On call)	Sue Sorensen John Draxler	Rep Alt
<u>Kings County Gang Awareness Advisory Commission</u>	Sue Sorensen John Draxler	Rep Alt
<u>City-Wide and Downtown Business Loan Review Committee</u> (On call)	Sue Sorensen John Draxler	Rep Alt
<u>Kings County Commission On Aging</u> (3 rd Thursday each month – 2:30 pm)	** (Exp. 12/31/20)	
<u>Kings Mosquito Abatement District Board</u>	Bill Gundacker** (Exp. 12/31/20)	
<u>San Joaquin Valley Task Force Steering Com.</u>	Clyde Amaral***	
<u>San Joaquin Joint Powers Authority</u> (Does require Form 700)	Francisco Ramirez	Rep
<u>Kings County Water Commission</u> (Does require Form 700) (Kings County City Selection Commission - Mayor does not appoint)	Art Brieno	Rep
<u>Mid-Kings River Groundwater Sustainability Agency</u> (Does require Form 700)	Art Brieno	Rep

- * Non-Voting, Ex-Officio Member
 ** Appointed by Council (3 yr. term)
 *** Appointment made by County, confirmed by Council



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019	AGENDA SECTION: A
--------------------------------	--------------------------

SUBJECT:
Council Comments

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:



**AGENDA
STAFF REPORT**

MEETING DATE: 12/3/2019

AGENDA SECTION: A

SUBJECT:

Council Future Agenda Items

An updated list of the City Council's Goals, Objectives, and Future Agenda Items is attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

ATTACHMENTS:

Council G&O, Future Agenda Items

Council Future Agenda Items				
Originated Date	Item	Originator	Staff Lead	Date Estimate
1/25/19	Provide Customer Service Training to City Staff and Council (4)	2019 G & O	Sarah Cardoza	on going
1/25/19	Ensure an Indoor Recreation Facility is included in the Parks Master Plan process (4)	2019 G & O	Parker Sever (interim)	12/3/19
1/25/19	Evaluate the City's Zoning Ordinance (4)	2019 G & O	Darlene Mata	12/17/19 study session
1/25/19	Develop a plan for job growth including job growth in the technology sector (4)	2019 G & O	Mario Cifuentez	defer to permanent CM
1/25/19	Provide more Council training and educational opportunities (3)	2019 G & O	Council	on going
1/25/19	Conduct a City-wide staffing study (3)	2019 G & O	Sarah Cardoza	on going
1/25/19	Develop a food truck ordinance (3)	2019 G & O	Darlene Mata	Council updated on progress on 11/19/19
1/25/19	Address public safety staff needs (Police & Fire) (3)	2019 G & O	Steve Pendergrass, Parker Sever	1/7/20
6/5/18	Comprehensive Study of downtown businesses, including crosswalks. Study to change 7 th Street one-way going WEST and 6 th Street going EAST to increase angled parking.	2018 Council Consensus	John Doyel	1/21/20
5/22/19	Abandoned shopping cart ordinance	Brieno	Parker Sever, Karl Anderson	2/4/20
6/4/19	Letter to High Speed Rail in support of current Amtrak Station in Hanford	Ramirez	John Doyel	tbd
6/17/19	Volunteers/Interns for City Council members	Brieno	Sarah Cardoza	defer to HR Analyst
6/17/19	Review of properties that may be eligible for Prop 68 funding	Brieno	tbd	2/18/20

7/16/19	Discussion about partnership with the Hanford Chamber of Commerce; ownership of Freddy the Fire Truck and Carousel, request of Parks Dept. personnel to assist in clean-up after the Christmas parade	Ramirez	Mario Cifuentes & Council Members	TBD (after Council members' meeting with Chamber)
10/1/19	Mural Ordinance discussion	Brieno	Darlene Mata	tbd

Accomplished/Resolved Items	
Town Hall for consideration of a ballot measure to increase local sales taxes to address building restoration	Decision to forgo the 2018 election.
Placement of bell structure from old firehouse demolition	Placed at Fire Station #2
Tree for Jack Schwartz	Planted at Hidden Valley
Develop a homeless commission	Kings County Homeless Collaborative established
Hire a grant writer for Prop 68	Townsend Consulting
Study Session with Prop 68 consultant	Defer to Parks Master Plan consultant presentation on 7/16
Recognition of 2 teachers at JFK Jr. High	Recognized at 6/4 meeting
Initiate conversation with Self Help Enterprises regarding property on Parkside Drive and discuss non-profit/volunteership to maintain proposed park study session	Real Property negotiations held.
Decision to surplus Rabobank property	Surplus decision made by Council on 7/2.
G&O: Evaluate fees and revenues in light of anticipated cannabis revenue (4)	Presented to Council on 7/2
G&O: Evaluate cannabis regulations now that the State law has been clarified (3)	Updated Ordinance 19-06, approved by Council on 5/21
G&O: Evaluate East Precise Plan (5)	Downtown East Precise Plan repealed by Council on 9/17/19. Will be used as a guidance document.
G&O: Develop a collaborative process to address homelessness issues (4)	Study Session on 9/17 with Kings Tulare Homelessness Alliance.
G&O: Develop a public relations strategy (newsletters, social media, etc) and address staffing needs (4)	Will enter 1-year contract with DMI Agency consulting group; approved by Council 10/1/19.
Resolution to restrict smoking in public parks	Resolution 19-36-R adopted 10/1/19
Ordinance to ban tobacco use in City Parks	First reading 10/14/19, second reading 11/5/19.
Address Code Enforcement Staffing	CE officer hired; started on 10/21/19.
Naming Policy for facilities/parks/structures	Will be presented to Parks/Rec Comm on 1/13/20, then back to Council for adoption.

Downtown intercom/speaker system	Main Street Hanford is moving forward.
----------------------------------	--